Octahedral Symmetry with Geometrical Breaking: New Prediction for Neutrino Mixing Angle $\theta_{13}$ and CP Violation
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We propose octahedral group $O_h$ as the family symmetry of neutrino-lepton sector. We find that $O_h$ contains subgroups $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau$ and $Z_4^\ell$ for realizing the bimaximal (BM) mixings, $\theta_{23} = \theta_{13} = 45^\circ$ and $\theta_{12} = 0^\circ$, where $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau$ and $Z_4^\ell$ serve as the residual symmetries of neutrinos and charged leptons, respectively. We present geometric interpretations of BM mixing in the octahedron, and construct natural geometrical breaking of $Z_4^\ell$, leading to nontrivial deviations from the BM mixings. Our theory makes truly simple predictions of a relatively large reactor angle, $\theta_{13} \approx 45^\circ - \theta_{12} = 7.5^\circ - 13.7^\circ$ (3$\sigma$), the nearly maximal atmospheric angle and the approximate maximal Dirac CP violation. These agree well with the current neutrino data, and will be further probed by the ongoing and upcoming oscillation experiments.
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1. Introduction

The observed three families of neutrinos, leptons and quarks in nature exhibit very distinctive and puzzling masses and mixing structures, posing great challenges to particle physics today. Unraveling the underlying family symmetry holds the best promise to overcome such challenges. For more than a decade, various oscillation experiments have discovered massiveness of neutrinos and large atmospheric and solar neutrino mixing angles ($\theta_{23}, \theta_{12}$), leaving the reactor mixing angle $\theta_{13}$ much smaller and least determined. Inspecting the current oscillation data $^1$ shows that the atmospheric angle is around maximal, $\theta_{23} = O(45^\circ)$, and the solar angle $\theta_{12}$ is large, but has significant deviation from its maximal value, $45^\circ - \theta_{12} = O(10^\circ)$. Strikingly, the recent T2K data $^2$ point to a nonzero $\theta_{13}$ at 2.5$\sigma$ level with central value $\theta_{13} = 9.0^\circ$ (11.0$^\circ$) for normal (inverted) mass ordering, which is on the same order of the deviation $45^\circ - \theta_{12} = O(10^\circ)$. Subsequently, Minos $^3$ and Double Chooz $^4$ found further indications of a nonzero $\theta_{13}$. The Daya Bay collaboration $^5$ newly announced a 5.2$\sigma$ discovery of the nonzero $\theta_{13}$, sin$^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.092 \pm 0.016$(stat) $\pm 0.005$(syst), with central value $\theta_{13} = 8.8^\circ$ and the 5$\sigma$ allowed range, $1.6^\circ < \theta_{13} < 12.4^\circ$. $^1$

Shortly afterwards, RENO $^6$ found nonzero $\theta_{13}$ at 4.9$\sigma$ level, sin$^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.113 \pm 0.013$(stat) $\pm 0.019$(syst), with central value $\theta_{13} = 9.8^\circ$, and allowed 5$\sigma$ range, $0^\circ < \theta_{13} < 14.1^\circ$. $^2$

Both data are consistent with the earlier results of T2K $^2$, Minos $^3$, and Double Chooz $^4$ last year.

From the available oscillation data $^1$-$^6$, we observe a striking pattern of neutrino mixing angles,

$$\theta_{23} = O(45^\circ), \quad \theta_{13} = O(45^\circ - \theta_{12}) = O(10^\circ),$$

where the second relation can be reexpressed in radian, $\theta_{13} = O(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_{12}) = O(0.2)$. Hence, we are strongly motivated to take the bimaximal (BM) mixing $^6$-$^7$ as our leading order (LO) structure,

$$\theta_{12}^{(0)} = \theta_{23}^{(0)} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_{13}^{(0)} = 0,$$

and then define the small deviations, $\delta_\alpha \equiv \frac{\pi}{4} - \theta_{23}$, $\delta_s \equiv \frac{\pi}{4} - \theta_{12}$, and $\delta_\delta \equiv \theta_{13} - 0$, as the next-to-leading order (NLO) perturbation,

$$\delta_\alpha \approx 0, \quad \delta_s \sim \delta_\delta = O(0.2).$$

As shown before, in the lepton mass-eigenbasis, the neutrino sector exhibits a generic LO symmetry $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau \otimes Z_3^\ell$, where $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau$ dictates $(\theta_{23}, \theta_{13}) = (\frac{\pi}{4}, 0)$ and $Z_3^\ell$ determines $\theta_{12}$ by its group parameter $k = \tan \theta_{12}$ (with $h$ being a general real parameter of the 3-dimensional representation of $Z_3^\ell$). $^8$ We find that the LO mixing pattern $^9$ is a specific realization of $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau \otimes Z_3^\ell$ with the group parameter $k = \tan \theta_{12}^{(0)} = 1$. We also note that the previously much studied finite groups $^2$-$^6$, $^10$, such as $A_4$ $^11$ and $S_4$ $^{12}$, etc, do not contain $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau \otimes Z_3^\ell$ as the subgroup. In this work, we demonstrate that the octahedral group $O_h$ can unify $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau \otimes Z_3^\ell$ and thus predict the LO structure $^9$. We further construct a natural geometrical breaking of the residual symmetries of $O_h$, leading to a quantitative prediction of the NLO structure $^{13}$, as well as the maximal Dirac CP violation.

2. Geometrical Formulation of Bimaximal Mixing

Most previous studies focused on the finite groups $A_4$ $^{11}$ and $S_4$ $^{12}$, in order to realize the tri-bimaximal (TBM) mixing ansatz $^2$ which is a special realization of the generic product group $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\tau \otimes Z_3^\ell$ by choosing the group parameter of $Z_3^\ell$ to be, $k = \tan \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ (for $\theta_{12} \approx 35.3^\circ$), as shown in $^2$. Another simple realization
of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\mu \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\tau$ is, $k = \tan \theta_{12} = \frac{2}{3} (\theta_{12} \simeq 33.7^\circ)$ [8][13], whose $\theta_{12}$ agrees with the current data even better than the TBM value. But any such choice of $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\mu$ is independent of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\tau$ (which dictates $\theta_{13} = 0$ and $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$), and thus does not give the prediction of $\theta_{13}$. Different from these studies, the present work will start from the BM mixing [14] as our LO structure, which corresponds to $\mathbb{Z}_2^\mu \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\tau (k = 1)$. We find the octahedral group $O_h$ to be a minimal group that contains $\mathbb{Z}_2^\mu \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\tau (k = 1)$ as subgroups.

Since $A_4$ and $S_4$ are much used in the literature [3], we first analyze the relationships among $A_4$, $S_4$ and $O_h$. The $A_4$ is the rotational group of a regular tetrahedron, and has 12 elements in total, which includes four $120^\circ$ rotations plus their inverses, and three $180^\circ$ rotations. $S_4$ is the full symmetry of a regular tetrahedron and has 24 elements, including the same rotations as $A_4$ and additional reflection. These are interpreted by the two upper plots in Fig. [1]. The difference between $A_4$ and $S_4$ is the additional reflection in $S_4$, which corresponds to $\mathbb{Z}_2^\tau$. Fig [1] provides an intuitive geometrical explanation on why $\mathbb{Z}_2^\mu$ appears accidentally in the $A_4$ models.

A similar situation happens for the BM mixing where the $\mathbb{Z}_2^\mu$ appears accidentally when the BM mixing is obtained from an $S_4$ model [14]. For this we also have a geometric interpretation, as presented by the lower plots of Fig. [1] The reason that an $S_4$ model may obtain BM mixing is not due to its tetrahedral property, but because it is isomorphic to chiral octahedral group $O$, a subgroup of octahedral group $O_h$. The $O_h$ is the full symmetry group of a regular octahedron and isomorphic to $O \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\tau$.
In our effective theory, the flavor symmetry breaking is the residual symmetry for leptons. In our construction, it still suffers other problems and complications including the geometrical meanings of the IRs and the sum of squares of their dimensions. The $D_j$ equals the order of $O_h$, \( \sum_{j=1}^{10} D_j^2 = 48 \), which has the solution, $D = \{1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3\}$. This shows that $O_h$ has four 1-dimension (1d) IRs, denoted by $1, 1', \mathbf{1}, \overline{1}$; two 2d IRs, denoted by $\mathbf{2}, \overline{2}$; and four 3d IRs, denoted by $3, 3', \overline{3}, \overline{3}'$. The IR $3$ is the fundamental representation, under which the elements $s$ and $t$ take the forms,

$$ S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \ 1 \\ 1 & \ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ 0 \\ 0 & \ 1 \end{pmatrix}. $$

Here for notational distinction, we use uppercase (lowercase) letters for the representations (elements). The 3d representations can be directly inferred according to the geometrical meanings of $s$ and $t$. In Fig.\( \text{3} \), we define $O\overline{E}$ as $x$-axis, $O\overline{A}$ as $y$-axis, and $O\overline{B}$ as $z$-axis.

The $O_h$ flavor symmetry breaking is expected to occur at a higher energy scale and leave the residual symmetries in the low energy mass-matrices of neutrinos and leptons. For this work, we study the low energy effective theory and its phenomenological predictions, where the detailed high-scale dynamics of $O_h$ breaking is irrelevant. In our effective theory, the flavor symmetry breaking is explicit (which is similar to the well-established explicit breakings of discrete $P$ and $CP$ symmetries in the SM). Such breaking may arise from the spontaneous symmetry breaking via proper flavoron fields at high scales, or due to certain unknown new mechanism, but the associated heavy states are all integrated out from the effective theory and thus irrelevant to our low energy study.

Since spontaneous breaking of discrete flavor symmetry still suffers other problems and complications including the well-known domain wall problem, we prefer explicit breaking for our effective theory. In our construction, the residual symmetry for leptons is $Z_4\ell$, and is generated by the 90° rotation $r_{\ell}$ around the axis $O\overline{E}$ in Fig.\( \text{3} \). For neutrinos, the residual symmetry is $Z_2^{\mu\tau} \otimes Z_4^\ell$, and is generated by the two reflections, $r_{\mu\tau}$ and $r_s$, as depicted in Fig.\( \text{3} \). We can express them as below,

$$ Z_2^{\mu\tau}: \quad r_{\mu\tau} = t(st)^2, $$

$$ Z_4^\ell: \quad r_{\ell} = (ts)^2. $$

Then, we derive their 3-dimensional representations,

$$ R_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, $$

$$ R_{\mu\tau} = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1). $$

In our construction, we assign the three generations of leptons to the triplet representation $3$. Then, we can write down the effective Lagrangian for lepton and neutrino mass-terms at the leading order,

$$ \mathcal{L}_0 = \mu_1 \ell_1^c \ell_1 + \mu_2 (\ell_2^c \ell_2 + \ell_3^c \ell_3) + \mu_3 (\ell_2^c \ell_3 - \ell_3^c \ell_2) + m_{11}(\nu_1 \nu_1 + \nu_2 \nu_2 + \nu_3 \nu_3) + m_{22} \nu_2 \nu_2 + m_{33} \nu_3 \nu_3 + \text{h.c.,} $$

where $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)^T \equiv \nu$ and $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)^T \equiv \ell$ are left-handed neutrinos and leptons, and $(\ell_1^c, \ell_2^c, \ell_3^c) \equiv \ell^c$ denote the right-handed anti-leptons. The Lagrangian is invariant under the above residual symmetries, i.e., the $Z_4^\ell$ for leptons,

$$ \ell \rightarrow R_{\ell} \ell, \quad \ell^c \rightarrow \ell^c R_{\ell}^\dagger. $$
and $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ for Majorana neutrinos,
\begin{equation}
\nu \rightarrow R_\mu \nu, \quad \text{or} \quad \nu \rightarrow R_\nu \nu.
\end{equation}
The mass matrices of leptons and neutrinos from \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix} can be diagonalized by the mapping matrices $U_{\ell 0}$ and $U_{\nu 0}$, respectively,
\begin{equation}
U_{\ell 0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{\nu 0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{U}_0',
\end{equation}
where $\tilde{U}_0'$ is a diagonal Majorana-phase matrix absorbing possible phases from the neutrino mass-eigenvalues. Thus, we can deduce the PMNS mixing matrix,
\begin{equation}
V_0 = U_{\ell 0}^\dagger U_{\nu 0} = U_0 U_0',
\end{equation}
where $U_0$ exactly agrees to the BM mixing (as derived earlier from the geometrical construction in Fig.2), and $U_0' = \text{diag}(1, 1, i) U_0'$ is the Majorana-phase matrix.

We also note that in the lepton-major mass-eigenbasis, the symmetry transformation matrices of \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix} become,
\begin{equation}
(R_\ell', R_\mu'^\tau, R_\nu'^s) = U_{\ell 0}^\dagger (R_\ell, R_\mu'^\tau, R_\nu'^s) U_{\ell 0}, \quad \text{with}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
R_\ell' = \text{diag}(1, i, -i),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
R_\mu'^\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_\nu'^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

Here, the transformation $R_\mu'^\tau$ is just the conventional form of $Z_2^\mu$ in the lepton-major mass-eigenbasis and corresponds to the symmetry under the $\nu\mu$ and $\nu\tau$ exchange.

As a final note of illustration, we give a type-I seesaw realization of the neutrino masses in \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix}, where we assign the Higgs doublet $\Phi$ of the standard model (SM) as singlet of the $O_4$ group, and the three heavy right-handed neutrinos $(\nu_1^c, \nu_2^c, \nu_3^c) \equiv \nu^c$ as triplet, $\nu^c \rightarrow \nu^c R_\mu'^\tau, s$ under $Z_2^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ transformation. Thus, we can write down the seesaw Lagrangian to replace the second row of \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix},
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{L}_{ss} = \tilde{m}_{11} \nu_1^c \nu_1 + \tilde{m}_{22} \nu_2^c \nu_2 + \nu_3^c \nu_3 + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{m}_{12} \nu_1^c \nu_2 + \tilde{m}_{13} \nu_1^c \nu_3 + \nu_2^c \nu_3)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
- M_{11} (\nu_1^c \nu_1^c + \nu_2^c \nu_2^c) - M_{22} \nu_2^c \nu_2^c - 2 M_{13} \nu_1^c \nu_3^c,
\end{equation}
where the Dirac masses arise from the products of the corresponding Yukawa couplings and Higgs vacuum expectation value ($\Phi$). Integrating out the heavy $\nu_j^c$'s from \ref{eq:seesaw_lagrangian}, we derive the light neutrino seesaw-mass-terms in the effective Lagrangian \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix} with the coefficients,
\begin{equation}
m_{11} = \frac{(\tilde{m}_{11}^2 + \tilde{m}_{13}^2) M_{11} - 2 \tilde{m}_{11} \tilde{m}_{13} M_{13}}{M_{11}^2 - M_{13}^2},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
m_{22} = \frac{\tilde{m}_{22}^2}{M_{22}},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
m_{13} = -\frac{(\tilde{m}_{11}^2 + \tilde{m}_{13}^2) M_{13} + 2 \tilde{m}_{11} \tilde{m}_{13} M_{11}}{M_{11}^2 - M_{13}^2}.
\end{equation}

At low energies our effective theory has the same particle content as the SM with light massive neutrinos. The heavy states associated with possible flavor breakings are integrated out around the seesaw scale, and thus cause no visible flavor changing effect at low energies.

4. Geometrical Breaking and Physical Predictions

Sec. 3 demonstrated how the LO BM mixing \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix} is predicted by the residual symmetries $Z_4^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ and $Z_4^\nu$ of the octahedral group $O_4$. In this section, we will construct a natural geometrical breaking of $Z_4^\nu$ in the lepton sector, and derive physical predictions.

Inspecting the residual symmetries $Z_4^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ and $Z_4^\nu$, we see that there are two fundamental ways to introduce the breaking: one is to break the $Z_4^\nu$ in the lepton sector, and another is to break $Z_4^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ in the neutrino sector (as in \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix}). In either way of the breaking, it is generally recognized that charged leptons always have the maximal residual symmetries $U(1) \otimes U(1) \otimes U(1) \supseteq Z_4$, and neutrinos always have the maximal residual symmetries $Z_2 \otimes Z_2 \otimes Z_2 \supseteq Z_2^\nu \otimes Z_2^\nu$, even though after any possible breaking the $Z_4$ will differ from the original $Z_4$ and $Z_2 \otimes Z_2 \otimes Z_2$ is no longer the $Z_4^\nu \otimes Z_2^\nu$. The breaking of the original $Z_4^\nu$ or $Z_4^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ always accompanies the emergence of a new $Z_4^\nu$ or $Z_4^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$. Hence, adding a residual symmetry breaking term $\delta \mathcal{L}$ to the LO Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ just means changing the corresponding residual symmetry from $Z_4^\nu$ to another $Z_4^\nu$, or from $Z_4^\mu \otimes Z_2^\nu$ to another $Z_2^\nu \otimes Z_2^\nu$. It usually turns out that the emergent new residual symmetries in the new Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0 + \delta \mathcal{L}$, such as $Z_4^\nu$ or $Z_2^\nu \otimes Z_2^\nu$, may be no longer physically useful, but the modification of the original symmetry predictions based on the LO Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ due to the residual symmetry breaking term $\delta \mathcal{L}$ does lead to physically testable corrections.

For our study, we find that the simplest way comes from a natural geometrical breaking of $Z_4^\nu$ in lepton sector. This geometrical breaking is constructed by simply rotating the axis $O_{\tilde{E}}$ of $Z_4^\nu$ to another axis $O_{\tilde{E}}'$ associated with a new $Z_4^\nu$, where we define the small rotation angle $\angle \mathcal{EOE}' \equiv \pi/4$ with a characteristic breaking parameter $\epsilon$. We illustrate this geometrical breaking in Fig.4 where the axis $O_{\tilde{E}}$ rotates to $O_{\tilde{E}}'$ within the plane GIJH and G (H) is the middle point of the line AD (BC). Thus we can derive the $Z_4^\nu$ breaking Lagrangian,
\begin{equation}
\delta \mathcal{L} = -\epsilon \left[ (\mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3) \ell_1 \ell_2 + (\mu_2 - \mu_1 - \mu_3) \ell_2 \ell_3 + (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + \mu_3) \ell_3 \ell_1 \right] + \text{h.c.},
\end{equation}
where $\mu_{1,2,3}$ have appeared in the LO Lagrangian \ref{eq:nu_mass_matrix}. We find that the small breaking parameter $\epsilon$ indeed generates the NLO deviation via $\epsilon \approx 45^\circ - \theta_{12} = O(0.2)$. We have verified that $\mathcal{L}_0 + \delta \mathcal{L}$ is invariant under transformations of $R_\ell \in Z_4^\nu$. Geometrically, $R_\ell$ is a rotation
around the axis $\overrightarrow{OE'}$ by 90°, and we deduce up to $O(\epsilon)$,
\[
\tilde{R}_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
2\epsilon & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (20)

Combining the breaking term $\mathcal{L}_1$ with the LO Lagrangian (11), we derive the full PMNS mixing matrix up to the NLO,
\[
V \approx \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1+i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1+i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} & i\epsilon \\
\frac{1-i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1+i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{1-i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1+i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-i\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\end{pmatrix} U',
\] (21)

where $U' = U_0'$ as given below (15) since the symmetry breaking Lagrangian (19) does not affect the neutrino mass matrix. It is clear that $V$ differs from the LO result (15) by $O(\epsilon)$ corrections. We can also break the $Z_4'$ by rotating $\overrightarrow{OE'}$ in the direction perpendicular to the plane GIJH, but the difference can be absorbed by flipping the sign of Dirac CP phase. Finally, from (21), we derive the following solution, up to $O(\epsilon)$ corrections,
\[
\delta_a \simeq 0, \quad \delta_c \simeq \delta_s \simeq \epsilon, \quad \delta_D \simeq \pm \frac{\pi}{2},
\] (22)

where as we defined before, the three NLO parameters $(\delta_a, \delta_s, \delta_c, \delta_D) \equiv (\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_{23}, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_{12} + \theta_{13}, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_{12} + \theta_{13})$, and $\delta_D$ is the Dirac CP phase angle. From (22), we infer the truly simple and beautiful predictions below,
\[
\theta_{13} \simeq 45^\circ - \theta_{12}, \quad \theta_{23} \simeq 45^\circ, \quad \delta_D \simeq \pm 90^\circ.
\] (23)

The first relation above strikingly predicts the crucial reactor angle $\theta_{13}$ in terms of the precisely measured solar angle $\theta_{12}$, without explicit dependence on the breaking parameter $\epsilon$ itself. The current global fit [1] gives the $3\sigma$ range of solar angle, $31.3^\circ < \theta_{12} < 37.5^\circ$, with a central value $\theta_{12} = 34.5^\circ$. With this, our first relation of (23) predicts the $3\sigma$ range of the reactor angle,
\[
7.5^\circ < \theta_{13} < 13.7^\circ.
\] (24)

This is rather distinct and agrees well with the new data from Daya Bay and RENO reactor experiments [3].

We further plot the predicted $\theta_{13}$ as a function of $45^\circ - \theta_{12}$ in Fig. 5, where we have input $\theta_{12}$ from the global fit [1] by generating 300 random samples with Gaussian distribution. Fig. 5 also shows the $3\sigma$ constraint by the global fit [1] on the allowed parameter space, as marked by black dashed box. We further present the $3\sigma$ and $5\sigma$ limits of $\theta_{13}$ from the new Daya Bay data [5], as given by the horizontal yellow and pink bands, respectively. The $3\sigma$ ($5\sigma$) limits of RENO data [6] are shown by the horizontal blue (red) dashed lines. Our prediction on $\theta_{13}$ (marked by blue +) lies in the upper half of the allowed regions by Daya Bay and RENO data, and will be further tested by the upcoming data of Daya Bay [5], T2K [2], Double Chooz [4], and RENO [7] experiments.

We also note that under the seesaw generation of light neutrino masses via (17)-(18), it is natural to have the $O_{4b}$ breaking and $Z_4'$ breaking realized at or above the seesaw scale. Then, we can further include the small effects of renormalization group (RG) running for mixing angles [23]. We find that the RG running effects are possible to reduce $\theta_{23}$ and $\theta_{12} + \theta_{13}$ by about 2° at low energy. Thus, we have $\theta_{23} \simeq 43^\circ$ and $\theta_{13} \simeq 43^\circ - \theta_{12}$, which will agree better with the recent global fit [1].

Finally, we further compute the Jarlskog invariant $J$ [16] as a measure of leptonic CP violations. With [23],

![FIG. 4. Geometric breaking of $Z_4'$ is realized by pulling the $Z_4'$ axis $OE$ to $OE'$, where the point $E'$ is in the line IJ.](image)

![FIG. 5. Prediction of $\theta_{13}$ as a function of $45^\circ - \theta_{12}$, with 300 samples (marked by blue +). The yellow (pink) region corresponds to the $3\sigma$ ($5\sigma$) new limit of Daya Bay [5]. The $3\sigma$ ($5\sigma$) new limit of RENO [6] is given by the blue (red) dashed lines. The black dashed box depicts the allowed $3\sigma$ ranges by the global fit [1].](image)
we derive $J$ in terms of the solar mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ alone,

$$ J = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \delta_D $$

$$ \simeq \pm \frac{1}{16} \sin 4\theta_{12} . $$

(25)

From the global fit [1], we derive the 3$\sigma$ range of the predicted Jarlskog invariant,

$$ |J| = 0.031 - 0.050 . $$

(26)

With Eq. (25) and inputting the global fit [1] of $\theta_{12}$, we plot our prediction of $J$ as a function of $45^\circ - \theta_{12}$ in Fig. 6, where the yellow region shows the 3$\sigma$ limits on $45^\circ - \theta_{12}$ from the global fit. Our distinctive prediction of the nearly maximal Dirac CP violation will be further probed by the upcoming long baseline oscillation experiments.

5. Conclusions

Understanding flavor symmetries behind three families of the standard model fermions in nature holds the best promise to unravel their mysterious masses and mixing structures. In this work, we proposed octahedral group $O_h$ as the flavor symmetry of neutrino-lepton sector, whose residual symmetries $\mathbb{Z}_2^\omega \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4'$ prescribe the neutrinos and charged leptons, respectively.

The current neutrino data strongly motivate us to consider the bimaximal mixing (BM) of [4] as a good LO structure and the deviations from the BM mixing in Eq. (15) can nicely serve as the NLO perturbation. In Sec. 2-3, we presented the geometric interpretations of $O_h$ and its residual symmetries (Fig. 1 and Fig. 3). We found that the unique octahedral group $O_h$ naturally includes the subgroups $\mathbb{Z}_2^\omega \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4'$ for generating the BM mixing structure (6) or (15).

In Sec. 4, we further constructed a natural geometrical breaking of $\mathbb{Z}_4'$ as in Fig. 4 and Eq. (19). The resultant PMNS mixing matrix $V$ was derived in Eq. (24). Our theory makes truly simple new predictions of a relatively large reactor angle, $\theta_{13} \simeq 45^\circ - \theta_{12} = 7.5^\circ - 13.7^\circ$ (3$\sigma$), the nearly maximal atmospheric angle, and the approximate maximal Dirac CP violation. These are shown in Eq. (23) and presented in Fig. 5. Especially, our new prediction of the reactor angle $\theta_{13}$ agrees well with the new Daya Bay discovery [1] and the RENO limit [2]. Finally, we predicted Jarlskog invariant $J$ in terms of a single mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ via Eq. (25). With this we derived its 3$\sigma$ range, $|J| = 0.031 - 0.050$, as in Eq. (26). The correlation between Jarlskog invariant $J$ and the deviation $45^\circ - \theta_{23}$ is depicted in Fig. 6. All our new predictions agree well with the current neutrino data, and will be further tested by the on-going and upcoming oscillation experiments.
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[5] During the finalization of this paper, we are pleased to learn the new measurement from Daya Bay, F. An et al., [Daya Bay Collaboration], arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]. Shortly after the submission of this paper, RENO also announced new result, J. K. Ahn et al., [RENO Collaboration], arXiv:1204.0626.v2 [hep-ex]. Both data agree well to our Fig. 5 of the $\theta_{13}$

prediction.
$Z_2$ (corresponding to $ST^2S$ element of $S_4$) transforms $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau) \rightarrow (-\nu_e, \nu_\tau, \nu_\mu)$ in the lepton-mass-eigenbasis, and differs from the conventional $Z_2^{\mu\tau}$ by an extra inversion symmetry $Z_2'$ with $R(Z_2') = \text{diag}(-1,1,1)$. The invariance of this $Z_2$ ($ST^2S$) further requires light neutrino mass-matrix $M_\nu$ to have its $\{12\}$ and $\{13\}$ elements take opposite signs (rather than equal). This same $Z_2$ of element $ST^2S$ also exists in the $S_4$ of arXiv:0903.1940 above and was found to differ from the conventional $Z_2^{\mu\tau}$.

Our $O_h$ is larger than $S_4$ and isomorphic to the product group $S_4 \otimes Z_2'$, which naturally contains the conventional $Z_2^{\mu\tau} \otimes Z_2^{\mu\tau}$ in neutrino sector.


[15] We note that diagonalizing the leading-order lepton mass-matrix in (11) gives mass-eigenvalues, $m_e = \mu_1$, and $m_{\mu,\tau} = |\mu_2 \pm i\mu_3|$. Here the electron has no mixing with $(\mu, \tau)$ leptons and its mass-parameter $\mu_1$ is fixed by data. The parameters $\mu_{2,3}$ are complex in general. For a simple illustration, choose $\mu_2$ to be real and $\mu_3 = i\bar{\mu}_3$ to be imaginary. Thus, the $(\mu, \tau)$ masses take the simple form, $m_{\mu,\tau} = |\mu_2 \mp i\bar{\mu}_3|$. Given the ratio of experimental $(\mu, \tau)$ mass-values $m_\tau/m_\mu \simeq 16.8 = O(10)$, we find that this is realized by inputting $\bar{\mu}_3/\mu_2 \simeq 0.89$, or 1.13, which is normally $O(1)$. Including the NLO lepton mass-terms in (19), we explicitly verified that the above LO mass-eigenvalues remain unchanged. Hence our construction can accommodate the observed lepton masses.

