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We review the possible role that multi-Higgs models may play in our understanding of the dynamics of a heavy 4th sequential generation of fermions. We describe the underlying ingredients of such models, focusing on two Higgs doublets, and discuss how they may effectively accommodate the low-energy phenomenology of such new heavy fermionic degrees of freedom. We also discuss the constraints on these models from precision electroweak data as well as from flavor physics and the implications for collider searches of the Higgs particles and of the 4th generation fermions, bearing in mind the recent observation of a light Higgs with a mass of $\sim 125$ GeV.

1. Introduction: The “Need” of a Multi-Higgs Setup for the 4th Generation

The minimal and perhaps the simplest framework for incorporating 4th generation fermions can be constructed by adding to the standard model (SM) a 4th sequential generation of fermion (quarks and leptons) doublets (for reviews see [1–3]). This framework, which is widely known as the SM4, can already address some of the leading theoretical challenges in particle physics:

(i) the hierarchy problem [4–11],
(ii) the origin of matter/antimatter asymmetry in the universe [12–16],
(iii) flavor physics and CKM anomalies [17–30].

Unfortunately, the current bounds on the masses of the 4th generation quarks within the SM4 are rather high, reaching up to $\sim 600$ GeV [31–34], that is, around the unitarity bounds on quark masses [35–37]. The implications of such a “superheavy” 4th generation spectrum are far reaching.

In fact, the SM4 as such is also strongly disfavored from searches at the LHC [38–41] and Tevatron [42] of the single Higgs particle of this model, essentially excluding the SM4 Higgs with masses up to 600 GeV [43, 44] and, thus, making it incompatible with the recent observation/evidence of a light Higgs with a mass of $\sim 125$ GeV [45, 46] (for a recent comprehensive analysis of the SM4 status in light of the latest Higgs results and electroweak precision data (EWPD), we refer the reader to [47]). These rather stringent limits on the SM4 raise several questions at the fundamental level:

(1) Are superheavy fermionic degrees of freedom a surprise or is that expected once new physics (NP), beyond the SM4 (BSM4), is assumed to enter at the TeV scale?
(2) Are such heavy fermions linked to strong dynamics and/or to compositeness at the nearby TeV scale?
(3) What sub-TeV degrees of freedom should we expect if indeed such heavy fermions are found? And what is the proper framework/effective theory required to describe the corresponding low energy dynamics?
(4) How do such heavy fermions affect Higgs physics?

(5) Can one construct a natural framework for 4th generation heavy fermions with a mass in the range 400–600 GeV that is consistent with EWPD and that is not excluded by the recent direct measurements from present high-energy colliders?

(6) What type of indirect hints for BSM4 dynamics can we expect in low energy flavor physics?

In this paper we will try to address these questions by considering a class of BSM4 low energy effective theories which are based on multi-Higgs models.

Let us start by studying the hints for BSM4 and strong dynamics from the evolution of the 4th generation Yukawa coupling $y_4$, under some simplifying assumptions. In particular, one can write the RGE of $y_4$ assuming SM4 dynamics and neglecting the gauge and the top-Yukawa couplings and taking all 4th generation Yukawa couplings equal [48]

$$\left(16\pi^2\right)\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\ y_4 = (2y_4)^3. \quad (1)$$

This yields a Landau Pole (defined by $1/\lambda^2(\mu = \Lambda_\gamma) \rightarrow 0$) at $\Lambda_\gamma \sim m_t e^{\pi^2/2m_t^2}$, giving $\Lambda_\gamma \sim 8, 3, 2$ TeV for $m_t \sim 300, 400, 500$ GeV. Therefore, within the SM4, the 4th generation Yukawa couplings are expected to “run into” a Landau Pole at the near-by TeV scale.

In fact, there are additional strong indications from the Higgs sector that a heavy 4th generation of fermions is tied with new strong dynamics at the near by TeV scale and that the SM4 is not the adequate framework to describe the new TeV-scale physics.

(1) The Higgs Mass Correction Due to Such Heavy Fermions Is Pushed to the Cutoff Scale. To see that, one can calculate the self-energy 1-loop correction to the Higgs mass with the exchange of a heavy fermion $q'$ and set the cutoff to $\Lambda > m_{q'}$, obtaining

$$\Delta m_H^2 \sim \left( \frac{m_{q'}}{400 \ \text{GeV}} \right)^2 \cdot \Lambda^2, \quad (2)$$

indicating that a heavy 4th family fermion with a mass around 400 GeV cannot coexist with the recently observed single light Higgs, since in the absence of fine tuning, the Higgs mass should be pushed up to the cutoff scale where the NP enters (in which case the definition of the Higgs particle becomes meaningless).

(2) The SM4 Higgs Quartic Coupling ($\lambda$) and a Heavy Higgs. One can again study the RGE for $\lambda$, assuming SM4 dynamics and neglecting the gauge and the top-Yukawa couplings and taking all 4th generation Yukawa couplings equal. One then obtains [48]

$$\left(16\pi^2\right)\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\ \lambda = 24\lambda^2 + 16 y_4^2 \left(2\lambda - y_4^2\right) \theta (\mu - m_t), \quad (3)$$

giving a Landau Pole (i.e., $\lambda (\mu = \Lambda_\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$) at $\Lambda_\lambda \sim 4.3, 2.5, 2.1$ TeV for $m_t \sim 500, 600, 700$ GeV and, thus, indicating that a light Higgs is not consistent with the SM4 if the NP scale is at the few-TeV range. Indeed, solving the full RGE for the SM4 one finds that $m_{H} > m_{q'}$ when the cutoff of the theory is set to the TeV scale, that is, to the proper cutoff of the SM4 when $m_{q'} \sim O(500)$ GeV [48]. The implications of a heavy Higgs in this mass range was considered, for example, in [49–52], claiming that the heavy SM4 Higgs case can relax the currently reported exclusion on the SM4. However, the heavy SM4 Higgs scenario is now in contradiction with the recent measurements of the two experiments at the LHC, which observe a light Higgs boson with a mass of ~125 GeV [38–41]. On the other hand, as will be shown in this paper (and also demonstrated before in [48] for the case of the popular 2HDM of type II with a 4th generation of doublets), a multi-Higgs setup for the 4th generation theory can relax the constraint $m_{H} \sim m_{q'}$.

Thus, under the assumption that heavy 4th generation quarks exist, if one assumes a light Higgs with a mass around 125 GeV and seriously takes into account the fact that low energy 4th generation theories possess a new threshold/cutoff (or a fixed point; see, e.g., [53, 54]) at the TeV scale, then one is forced to consider extensions of the naive SM4 with more than one Higgs doublet which, in turn, leads to the possibility that the Higgs particles (or some of the Higgs particles) may be composites primarily of the 4th generation fermions (see, e.g., [55–60]), with condensates $(Q_L^{\prime}Q_R^{\prime}) \neq 0, (Q_L^{\prime}H_R^{\prime}) \neq 0$ (and possibly also $(L_L^{\prime}L_R^{\prime}) \neq 0, (L_L^{\prime}H_R^{\prime}) \neq 0$). These condensates then induce EWSB and generate a dynamical mass for the condensing fermions. This viewpoint in fact dates back to an “old” idea suggested more than two decades ago [4]; that a heavy top quark may be used to form a $t^H$ condensate which could trigger dynamical EWSB. Although, this top-condensate mechanism led to the prediction of a too large $m_t$, this idea ignited further thoughts and studies towards the possibility that 4th generation fermions may play an important role in dynamical EWSB [4–9]. In particular, due to the presence of such heavy fermionic degrees of freedom, some form of strong dynamics and/or compositeness may occur at the near by TeV scale.

In this article, we will review the above viewpoint which was also adopted in [61]: that theories which contain such heavy fermionic states are inevitably cutoff at the near by TeV scale and are, therefore, more naturally embedded at low energies in multi-Higgs models, which are the proper low-energy effective frameworks for describing the sub- TeV dynamics of 4th generation fermions. As mentioned above, in this picture, the Higgs particles are viewed as the composite scalars that emerge as manifestations of the different possible bound states of the fundamental heavy fermions. This approach was considered already 20 years ago by Luty [62] and more recently in [60], where an attempt to put 4th degeneration heavy fermions into an effective multi-(composite) Higgs doublets model was made, using a Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) type approach.

The phenomenology of multi-Higgs models with a 4th family of fermions was studied to some extent recently in [48, 63–69] and within a SUSY framework in [14, 16, 70–72]. In this article, we will further study the phenomenology of 2HDM frameworks with a 4th family of fermions, focusing
on a new class of 2HDM’s “for the 4th generation” (named hereafter 4G2HDM) that can effectively address the low-energy phenomenology of a TeV-scale dynamical EWSB scenario, which is possibly triggered by the condensates of the 4th generation fermions.

We will first describe a few viable manifestations of a 2HDM framework with a 4th generation of fermions, focusing on the 4G2HDM framework of [61]. We will then discuss the constraints on such 4th generation 2HDM models from EWPD as well as from flavor physics. We will end by studying the expected implication of such 2HDM frameworks on the constraints on such 4th generation 2HDM models from direct searches for the 4th generation fermions and for the Higgs particle(s), assuming the existence of a light Higgs with a mass of 125 GeV.

2. 2HDM’s and 4th Generation Fermions

Assuming a common generic 2HDM potential, the phenomenology of 2HDM’s is generically encoded in the texture of the Yukawa interaction Lagrangian. The simplest variant of a 2HDM with 4th generations of fermions can be constructed based on the so-called type II 2HDM (which we denote hereafter by 2HDMII), in which one of the Higgs doublets couples only to up-type fermions and the other to down-type ones. This setup ensures the absence of tree-level flavor changing neutral currents (FCNC) and is, therefore, widely favored when confronted with low energy flavor data. The Yukawa terms of the 2HDMII, extended to include the extra 4th generation quark doublet, are (and similarly in the leptonic sector)

\[
\mathcal{L}_Y = -Q_L \Phi_d F_d d_R - Q_L \Phi_u u_R + \text{h.c.} ,
\]

where \( f_d(R) \) are left-(right) handed fermion fields, \( Q_L \) is the left-handed SU(2) quark doublet, and \( F_d, F_u \) are general \( 4 \times 4 \) Yukawa matrices in flavor space. Also, \( \Phi_{d,u} \) are the Higgs doublets:

\[
\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^+ \\ v_i + \phi_i^0 \\ \sqrt{2} \phi_i^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} v_i^+ + \phi_i^{0*} \\ \sqrt{2} \phi_i^- \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Motivated by the idea that the low energy scalar degrees of freedom may be the composites of the heavy 4th generation fermions, it is possible to construct a new class of 2HDM’s that effectively parameterize 4th generation condensation by giving a special status to the 4th family fermions. This was done in [61], where (in the spirit of the Das and Kao 2HDM that was based on the SM’s three families of fermions [73]) one of the Higgs fields \( \phi_h \)—call it the “heavier” field—was assumed to couple only to heavy fermionic states, while the second Higgs field \( \phi_l \)—the “lighter” field—is responsible for the mass generation of all other (lighter) fermions. The possible viable variants of this approach can be parameterized as [61] (and similarly in the leptonic sector)

\[
\mathcal{L}_Y = -Q_L \left( \Phi'_d F_d \cdot \left( I - \mathcal{F}^{ \alpha \beta}_d \right) \right) + \Phi_h F_d \cdot \mathcal{F}^{ \alpha \beta}_d d_R
\]

\[
- Q_L \left( \Phi'_u F_u \cdot \left( I - \mathcal{F}^{ \alpha \beta}_u \right) \right) + \Phi_h F_u \cdot \mathcal{F}^{ \alpha \beta}_u u_R + \text{h.c.},
\]

where \( \Phi_{ch} \) are the two Higgs doublets, \( I \) is the identity matrix, and \( \mathcal{F}^{ \alpha \beta}_q (q = d, u) \) are diagonal \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices defined by

\[
\mathcal{F}^{ \alpha \beta}_q \equiv \text{diag}(0, 0, \alpha_q, \beta_q).
\]

The Yukawa interaction Lagrangian of (6) can lead to several interesting textures that can be realized in terms of a \( Z_2 \)-symmetry under which the fields transform as follows:

\[
\Phi_d \rightarrow -\Phi_d , \quad \Phi_u \rightarrow +\Phi_u , \quad Q_L \rightarrow +Q_L ,
\]

\[
d_R \rightarrow -d_R , \quad d_R = d, s) , \quad u_R \rightarrow -u_R , \quad (u = u, c) ,
\]

\[
b_h \rightarrow (1)^{\alpha_b} b_R , \quad b'_R \rightarrow (1)^{\alpha_b} b'_R ,
\]

\[
t_R \rightarrow (1)^{\alpha_b} t_R , \quad t'_R \rightarrow (1)^{\alpha_b} t'_R ,
\]

which allows us to construct several models that have a non-trivial Yukawa structure and that are potentially associated with the following compositeness scenario

(i) Type I 4G2HDM: denoted hereafter by 4G2HDMI and defined by \( (\alpha_d, \beta_d, \alpha_u, \beta_u) = (0, 1, 0, 1) \), in which case \( \Phi_h \) gives masses only to \( t' \) and \( b' \), while \( \Phi_d \) generates masses for all other quarks (including the top quark). For this model, which seems to be the natural choice for the leptonic sector, we expect

\[
\tan \beta \equiv \frac{\nu_h}{\nu_t} = \frac{m'_d}{m_t} \sim \Theta(1) .
\]

(ii) Type II 4G2HDM: denoted hereafter by 4G2HDMII and defined by \( (\alpha_d, \beta_d, \alpha_u, \beta_u) = (1, 1, 1, 1) \), in which case the heavy condensate \( \Phi_h \) couples to the heavy quarks states of both the 3rd and 4th generations \( t \) and \( b \) quarks, whereas \( \Phi_d \) couples to the light quarks of the 1st and 2nd generations. For this model one expects \( \tan \beta \gg 1 \).

(iii) Type III 4G2HDM: denoted hereafter by 4G2HDMIII and defined by \( (\alpha_d, \beta_d, \alpha_u, \beta_u) = (0, 1, 1, 1) \), in which case \( m_t, m_{t'}, \) and \( m_d, \alpha \propto \nu_h \), so that only quarks with masses at the EW-scale are coupled to the heavy doublet \( \Phi_h \). Here also one expects \( \tan \beta \gg 1 \).

The Yukawa interactions for these models are given by [61]
Thus, a natural choice which will be adopted here in some instances is $|\epsilon_i| = m_t/m_t'$, $|\epsilon_b| = m_b/m_b'$ and $\epsilon_s, \epsilon_o \rightarrow 0$.

3. Constraints on 2HDM's with a 4th Generation of Fermions

3.1. Constraints from Electroweak Precision Data: Oblique Parameters

The sensitivity of EWPD to 4th generation fermions within the minimal SM4 framework was extensively analyzed in the past decade [74–80]. Here we are interested instead in the constraints that EWPD impose on 2HDM's with a 4th generation family. As usual, the effects of the NP can be divided into the effects of the heavy NP which does and which does not couple directly to the ordinary SM fermions. For the former, the leading effect comes from the decay $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, which is mainly sensitive to the $H^+ t' b$ and $W^+ t' b$ couplings through one-loop exchanges of $H^+$ and $W^+$ shown in Figure 1, and which was analyzed in detail in [61].

On the other hand, the effects which do not involve direct couplings to the ordinary fermions can be analyzed by the quantum oblique corrections to the gauge-bosons 2-point functions, which can be parameterized in terms of the oblique parameters $S$, $T$, and $U$ [81, 82]. For the oblique parameters the effects of a 2HDM with a 4th generation are common to any variant of a 2HDM framework (including the 2HDMII, and the 4G2HDMI, 4G2HDMII and 4G2HDMIII described...
in the previous section), since the $Hff$ Yukawa interactions of any 2HDM do not contribute at 1 loop to the gauge-bosons self-energies.

In particular, apart from the pure 1-loop Higgs exchanges, one also has to include the new contributions from $t'$ and $b'$ exchanges which shift the $T$ parameter ($\Delta T_f$) and which involve the new SM4-like diagonal coupling $Wt'b'$ as well as the $Wt^b$ and $Wb'$ off-diagonal vertices (see, e.g., [79]):

$$\Delta T_f = \frac{3}{8\pi^2 m_W^2} \left( |V_{t'b'}|^2 F_{t'b'} + |V_{t'b'}|^2 F_{t'b} + |V_{t'b'}|^2 F_{t'b'}^c \right),$$

with

$$F_{ij} = x_i - x_j - x_i x_j \log \frac{x_i}{x_j},$$

and $x_k = (m_k/m_f)^2$.

The complete set of corrections to the $S$ and $T$ parameters within a 2HDM with a 4th generation of fermions was considered in [61, 75, 83]. Following the recent analysis in [61], we show in Figure 2 the results of “blindly” (randomly) scanning the relevant parameter space with 100000 models, where we set the light Higgs mass to be $m_h = 125$ GeV and vary the rest of the relevant parameters within the ranges: $\tan \beta \leq 30$, $\theta_{34} \leq 0.3$, $150$ GeV $\leq m_{H^\pm} \leq 1$ TeV, $150$ GeV $\leq m_{A} \leq 1$ TeV, $200$ GeV $\leq m_{H^+} \leq 1$ TeV, $400$ GeV $\leq m_{t'} \leq 600$ GeV, and $100$ GeV $\leq m_t$ and $m_{t'}$ $\leq 1.2$ TeV, and the CP-even neutral Higgs mixing angle in the range $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$. In particular, we plot in Figure 2 the allowed points in parameter space projected onto the 68%, 95%, and 99% allowed contours in the $S-T$ plane, and the 95% CL allowed range in the $m_{H^\pm}$ - $\tan \beta$ and the $\Delta m_{t'} - \Delta m_{t''}$ planes, corresponding to the 95% CL contour in the $S-T$ plane.

We find that compatibility with PEWD mostly requires $\tan \beta \sim O(1)$ with a small number of points in parameter space having $\tan \beta \gtrsim 5$. We also find that the 2HDM frameworks allow 4th generation quarks and leptons mass splittings extended to $-200$ GeV $\leq \Delta m_{t'} \leq 200$ GeV and $-400$ GeV $\leq \Delta m_{l'} \leq 400$ GeV, and “solutions” where both the quarks and the leptons of the 4th generation doublets are degenerate. For the cases of a small (or no) 4th generation fermion mass splitting, the amount of isospin breaking required to compensate for the effect of the extra fermions and Higgs particles on $S$ and $T$ is provided by a mass splitting among the Higgs particles; see [61].

### 3.2. Constraints from Electroweak Precision Data: $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$

The effects of the NP in $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ are best studied via the well-measured quantity $R_b$:

$$R_b = \frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})}{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow hadrons)},$$

which is a rather clean test of the SM, since being a ratio between two hadronic rates, most of the electroweak, oblique, and QCD corrections cancel between numerator and denominator.

Following [61], the effects of NP in $R_b$ can be parameterized in terms of the corrections $\delta_b$ and $\delta_c$ to the decays $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $Z \rightarrow c\bar{c}$, respectively:

$$R_b = R_b^{SM} \frac{1 + \delta_b}{1 + R_b^{SM} \delta_b + \delta_c},$$

where $R_b^{SM}$ and $R_c^{SM}$ are the corresponding 1-loop quantities calculated in the SM and $\delta_q$ are the NP corrections defined in terms of the $Zq\bar{q}$ couplings as

$$\delta_q = 2g_{qL}^{SM}g_{qR}^{SM}g_{qL}^{new}g_{qR}^{new} (g_{qL}^{SM})^2 + (g_{qR}^{SM})^2,$$

with

$$V_{qZ} = -i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} V_{W\mu} \left( \overline{q}_L + \overline{q}_R \right) qZ^\mu,$$

where

$$s_W(c_W) = \sin(\theta_W), L(R) = (1 + (-)\gamma_5)/2$$

and $g_{qL}^{SM}$, $g_{qL}^{new}$, $g_{qR}^{SM}$, and $g_{qR}^{new}$ are the SM (1-loop) quantities and the NP 1-loop corrections.
3.2.1. SM4-Like Corrections. These are the corrections to $g_{qL}$ due to the 1-loop $W - t'$ exchanges (denoted here as $g_{qL}^{\text{SM4}}$), which are given by [18, 79, 84, 85]

$$g_{qL}^{\text{SM4}} = \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2c_W} \left( \frac{m_{t'}^2}{m_Z^2} - \frac{m_t^2}{m_Z^2} \right) \sin^2 \theta_{34},$$  

where $\theta_{34}$ is the mixing angle between the 3rd and 4th generation quarks, that is, defining $|V_{tb}| = |V_{t'b'}| = \sin \theta_{34}$, and the 2nd term $\propto -\sin^2 \theta_{34} m_t^2/m_Z^2$ is the decrease from the SM's $tb$ correction to the $W$-boson vacuum polarization, which in the 4th generation case is $\propto |V_{t'b'}|^2 = \cos^2 \theta_{34} = 1 - \sin^2 \theta_{34}$.

The SM4-like effect on $R_b$ is plotted in Figure 3, from which we can see that $R_b$ puts rather stringent constraints on the $m_{t'} - \theta_{34}$ plane which is the SM4 subspace of the parameter space of any 2HDM containing a 4th generation of fermions. For example, for $m_{t'} \sim 500$ GeV the $t' - b$ mixing angle is restricted to $\theta_{34} \lesssim 0.2$.
3.2.2. $H^+ - t^\prime$ Exchanges. The corrections from the 1-loop $H^+ - t^\prime$ exchanges are plotted in Figure 1. In the 4G2HDM of types II and III, these charged Higgs exchange diagrams are found to have negligible effects on $R_b$ and are, therefore, not constrained by this quantity. On the other hand, $R_b$ is rather sensitive to the charged Higgs 1-loop exchanges within the 4G2HDMI. This can be seen in Figure 4, where $R_b$ is plotted (for the 4G2HDMI case) as a function of the charged Higgs and $t^\prime$ masses, fixing $\epsilon_t = m_{t'/m_{t'}}$ and focusing on the values $\tan \beta = 1, 5, \theta_{34} = 0, 0.2$, and $m_{H^+} = 400, 750$ GeV.

In Figure 5 we further plot the allowed ranges in the $m_{H^+} - \tan \beta$ plane in the 4G2HDMI, subject to the $R_b$ constraint.
(2σ), for tan β in the range 1–15, fixing $m_{t'} = 500$ GeV, $m_{b'} = 450$ GeV, $\theta_{34} = 0.2$, and $\epsilon_{b} = m_{b}/m_{t'}$ and for three values of the $t-t'$ mixing parameter: $\epsilon_{t} = \epsilon_{b} \sim 1$ (a), $\epsilon_{t} = m_{t}/m_{t'} \sim 0.35$ (b), and $\epsilon_{t} = 1$ (c). Figure taken from [61].

For the case of the 2HDMII (i.e., extended with a 4th family of fermions), which is also plotted in Figure 4, we find that there is essentially no constraint in the $m_{tt'} - \tan \beta$ plane for $m_{t'} \leq 500$ GeV.

3.2.3. The Flavor Changing $\mathcal{R}^{0}bb'$ Interactions. The 1-loop corrections to $R_b$ which involve the flavor changing (FC) $\mathcal{R}^{0}bb'$ interactions emanate from the nondiagonal 34 and 43 elements in $\Sigma^d$, with $\mathcal{R}^{0} = h, H$, or $A$. These corrections are found to be much smaller than 1-loop $H^+$ exchanges, so that they can be safely neglected, in particular for $\epsilon_{b} \ll 1$.

3.3. Constraints from Flavor in B Physics

3.3.1. $\bar{B}\to X_{s}Y$. Flavor physics plays an important role in discriminating between the various NP models. In this regard, FCNC decays can provide key information about the SM and its various extensions.

The inclusive radiative decay $\bar{B}\to X_{s}Y$ is indeed known to be a very sensitive probe of NP. The underlying process is induced by the FC decay of the $b$-quark into a strange quark and a photon. The $\text{Br}(\bar{B}\to X_{s}Y)$ has already carved out large regions of the parameter space of most of the NP models [89–102]. On the other hand, model independent analysis in the effective field theory approach without [103] and with [104] the assumption of minimal flavor violation also show the strong constraining power of the decay $\bar{B}\to X_{s}Y$. Once more precise data from super-B factories are available, this decay will undoubtedly be more efficient in selecting the viable regions of the parameter space in the various classes of NP models.

The calculation of the decay rate of the $\bar{B}\to X_{s}Y$ transition is most conveniently performed after integrating out the heavy degrees of freedom. The resulting effective theory contains various FC dimension-five and -six local interactions and the inclusive decay rate is given by

$$\Gamma(b \to X_{s}Y)_{E_1 > E_0} = \frac{G_F^2 m_b^5 \alpha_{em}}{32\pi^4} |V_{tb}^* V_{tb}|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{8} C_i(\mu_b) C_j(\mu_b) G_{ij}(E_0, \mu_b),$$

where the Wilson coefficients, $C_i$, of the effective operators (see below) are perturbatively calculable at the relevant renormalization scale and the Renormalization Group Equations (RGE) can be used to evaluate $C_i$ at the scale $\mu_b \sim m_t/2$. At present, all the relevant Wilson coefficients $C_i(\mu_b)$ are known at the Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order (NNLO) [105–106] and $G_{ij}(E_0, \mu_b)$ is determined by the matrix elements of the operators $O_1, \ldots, O_8$ [107, 108]:

$$O_{1,2} = (\bar{s} \Gamma c) (\bar{c} \Gamma' b) \quad \text{(current-current operators)}$$

$$O_{3,4,5,6} = (\bar{s} \Gamma q) \sum_q (\bar{q} \Gamma' q) \quad \text{(four-quark penguin operators)}$$
Figure 6: Examples of one-loop 1PI diagrams that contribute to $b \to s \gamma$ in a 2HDM framework, with $W$ bosons, charged Higgs, and 4th generation quarks exchanges ($u_i = u, c, t, t'$).

Figure 7: The $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ (representative) box diagrams with different combinations of the gauge bosons ($W, G$) and the fermions ($u_i, u_j$) in the internal lines. The same diagrams contribute to $B^q - \bar{B}^q$ mixing with the $d$-quark in the external lines replaced by the $s$-quark.

$$O_7 = \frac{e m_b}{16 \pi^2} \tilde{Z}_4 \sigma^{\mu \nu} b^a F_{\mu \nu}$$ (photonic dipole operator)

$$O_8 = \frac{g m_b}{16 \pi^2} \tilde{Z}_4 \sigma^{\mu \nu} T^{a b} G_{\mu \nu}$$ (gluonic dipole operator),

which consists of perturbative and nonperturbative corrections. The perturbative corrections are well under control and are fully known at NLO QCD [117]. However, quantitative estimates of all the non-perturbative effects are not available, although they are believed to be $\approx 5\%$ [117].

The inclusive branching ratio in the SM is given by [89]

$$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)^{\text{NNLO}}_{E_{\gamma} > 1.6 \text{ GeV}} = (3.15 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$$ (21)

whereas the current experimental data gives [118]

$$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)^{\text{exp}}_{E_{\gamma} > 1.6 \text{ GeV}} = (3.55 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$$ (22)

The SM prediction is, thus, consistent with the experiment (both having a 7% error) and is therefore useful for constraining many extensions of the SM.

In the SM4, there are no new operators other than the ones present in the SM. However, there are extra contributions to the Wilson coefficients corresponding to the operators $O_7$ and $O_8$ from $t'$-loops [17–20]. In a 2HDM framework with a 4th generation family, the new ingredient with respect to the SM4 is the presence of the charged Higgs 1-loop exchanges which contribute to the Wilson coefficients of the effective theory. In particular, at the parton level within a 2HDM, $\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma$ proceeds via the penguin diagrams depicted in Figure 6. As was shown in [61], in the 4G2HDMI, 4G2HDMII, and 4G2HDMIII frameworks, the leading effects enter in $C_7$ and $C_8$ from the 1-loop exchanges of $t' - W, t - H^+$, and $t' - H^+$.

3.3.2. $B_q - \bar{B}_q$ Mixing. An important role for constraining NP in the $b$-quark system is also played by $B_q - \bar{B}_q$ ($q = d, s$) mixing, the phenomenon of which is described by the dispersive part $M_{12}^{d,s}$ of the $B_q$ mixing amplitude. The current theory precision is limited by lattice results; the SM prediction still allows NP contributions to $|M_{12}^{d,s}|$ of order 20% [119].

Within a 2HDM setup, the leading contribution to $B_q - \bar{B}_q$ ($q = d, s$) mixing comes from the box diagrams shown in Figure 7, where the $G$ boson is replaced by the charged Higgs $H^+$, and the fermions $u_{i,j}$ are replaced by $(t, t')$. Thus, the net contribution to the mass difference $\Delta M_q = 2|M_{12q}|$ is given by [61]

$$M_{12q} = \frac{G_F^2}{12 \pi^2} M_W^2 f_{B_q} f_{\bar{B}_q} B_q B_{\bar{B}_q} \left[ M_{WW} + M_{HB} + M_{HW} \right]$$ (23)
For the B-physics parameters, we use the inputs given in Table 1, and for the 4th generation quark masses, we take $m_t = 500$ GeV and $m_{t'} = 450$ GeV.

### Table 1: Inputs used for the B-physics parameters in the analysis below. When not explicitly stated, we take the inputs from Particle Data Group [74].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_{bd}/\sqrt{B_{bd}}$</td>
<td>$0.224 \pm 0.015$ GeV [86, 87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi$</td>
<td>$1.232 \pm 0.042$ [86, 87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_t$</td>
<td>$0.5765 \pm 0.0065$ [88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta M_s = (17.77 \pm 0.12)$ ps$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta M_d = (0.507 \pm 0.005)$ ps$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_B = (0.208 \pm 0.008)$ GeV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{t'}^\text{pole} = (170 \pm 4)$ GeV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>V_{ub}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>V_{cb}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{t'} = 1.63$ ps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_H, M_{H^+}, M_{H^0}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is the weighted average of $V_{ub}^{\text{inc}} = (40.1 \pm 2.7 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-4}$ and $V_{ub}^{\text{exc}} = (29.7 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-4}$ for the inclusive and exclusive values, respectively. In our numerical analysis, we increase the error on $V_{ub}$ by 50% and take the total error to be around 12% due to the appreciable disagreement between the two determinations.

### Constraints from B Physics: Results

For the “standard” 2HDMII with four generations we find that the constraints from $\text{Br}(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$ and $\Delta M_s(q = d, s)$ have a simple pattern in the $m_{H^+} - \tan \beta$ plane. In particular, with $m_t \sim 500$ GeV we find that $M_{H^+} \gtrsim 600$ GeV for $\tan \beta = 1$, while $M_{H^+} \gtrsim 500$ GeV for $\tan \beta > 5$.

For the 4G2HDM's of types I, II, and III, the combined constraints on their parameter space from both $\text{Br}(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$ and $\Delta M_s(q = d, s)$ are summarized below. In Figures 8 and 9 we show a sample of the results obtained in [61], where the allowed ranges are shown in the $m_{H^+} - \tan \beta$ and $\tan \beta - \epsilon_t$ planes, respectively. In these plots we use $|V_{tb}| = 0.001$—corresponding to the “$3 + 1$” scenario with a negligible 4th-3rd generation mixing, that is, with $|\Delta m_{43}^\text{eff}| = 10^{-7}$—correspondingly. We see, for example, that in the 4G2HDMI, the “$3 + 1$” scenario typically imposes $\tan \beta \sim 1$ with $\epsilon_t$ typically larger than about 0.4 when $m_{t'} \lesssim 500$ GeV. In the 4G2HDMII and the 4G2HDMIII one observes a similar correlation between $\tan \beta$ and $m_{H^+}$; however, larger $\tan \beta$ values are allowed for $\epsilon_t \lesssim m_t/m_{t'}$, and a charged Higgs mass is typically heavier than 400 GeV.

For the case of a Cabibbo size mixing between the 4th and 3rd generation quarks, we set $|V_{t'b}| = |V_{t'c}| = 0.2$ and show in Figures 10 and 11 the allowed parameter space in the $m_{H^+} - \tan \beta$ and $\tan \beta - \epsilon_t$ planes, in the 4G2HDM's of...
Figure 9: The “3 + 1” scenario, $V_{tb} = 0.001 (|\lambda^{tb}_0| = 10^{-5})$: the allowed parameter space in the $\tan\beta - \epsilon_t$ plane, following constraints from $B \to X_s \gamma$ and $B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing, in the 4G2HDMI (a), the 4G2HDMII (b), and the 4G2HDMIII (c), for $m_t = 500$ GeV, $m_{t'} = 450$ GeV, $\epsilon_b = m_b/m_{t'}$, and with $m_{H^+} = 400$ and 750 GeV. Figure taken from [61].

Figure 10: The Cabibbo size mixing case, $V_{tb} = 0.2 (|\lambda^{tb}_0| = 0.004)$: the allowed parameter space in the $m_{H^+} - \tan\beta$ plane, following constraints from $B \to X_s \gamma$ and $B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing, in the 4G2HDMI (a), 4G2HDMII (b), and 4G2HDMIII (c), for $m_t = 500$ GeV, $m_{t'} = 450$ GeV, $\epsilon_b = m_b/m_{t'}$, and $\epsilon_t = 0.34 (\sim m_t/m_{t'})$. Figure taken from [61].

Figure 11: The Cabibbo size mixing case, $V_{tb} = 0.2 (|\lambda^{tb}_0| = 0.004)$: the allowed parameter space in the $\tan\beta - \epsilon_t$ plane, following constraints from $B \to X_s \gamma$ and $B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing, in the 4G2HDMI (a), 4G2HDMII (b), and 4G2HDMIII (c), for $m_t = 500$ GeV, $m_{t'} = 450$ GeV, and $\epsilon_b = m_b/m_{t'}$, and with $m_{H^+} = 400$ and 750 GeV. Figure taken from [61].
types I, II, and III, with $m_{t'} = 500$ GeV, $m_{H'} = 450$ GeV, and $\epsilon_i = m_i/m_{t'}$. In the 4G2HDMII and the 4G2HDMIII we see a similar behavior as in the no-mixing case (i.e., as in the case $V_{tb} \to 0$), while in the 4G2HDMI we see that “turning on” $V_{tb}$ allows for a slightly larger $\tan \beta$, that is, up to $\tan \beta \sim 5$ for $\epsilon_i \gtrsim 0.9$. Also, similar to the no-mixing case, larger values of $\tan \beta$ are allowed in the 4G2HDMI and 4G2HDMII. Furthermore, $m_{H'} \sim 300$ GeV and $\tan \beta - 1$ are allowed in the 4G2HDMI.

3.4. Combined Constraints and Points of Interest. In Table 2 we give a sample list of interesting points (models) in parameter space of the 4G2HDMI that “survive” all constraints from EWPD and flavor physics in the 4G2HDMI, for $m_{h_0} = 125$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 1$, and $\epsilon_i = m_i/m_{t'}$. The list includes (see also caption of Table 2) models with a 4th generation mass splitting (between the up and down partners of both the 4th family quarks and leptons) larger than 150 GeV; models where both the 4th generation quarks and leptons are nearly degenerate; models with a light to intermediate neutral Higgs spectrum, that is, $m_{h_0} = 125$ GeV and $m_A$ or $m_{h_2}$ in the range 150–300 GeV; models with a large inverted mass hierarchy in the quark doublet, that is, $m_{t'} - m_{b'} > 150$ GeV; models with a light charged Higgs with a mass smaller than 400 GeV and models with a Cabibbo size as well as an $\epsilon(0.01)$ size $t' - b/t - b'$ mixing angle.

4. Other Useful Effects in Flavor Physics

We discuss below some important low energy observables, which are potentially sensitive to the 4th generation dynamics within the multi-Higgs framework, and have shown some degree of discrepancy between their measured values and the SM predictions.

4.1. Muon ($g - 2$) and Lepton Flavor Violation. The muon anomalous magnetic moment ($\mu$AMM), $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$, is well known to play an important role in the search for NP. In the SM, the total contributions to the $\mu$AMM, $a_\mu^{\text{SM}}$, can be divided into three parts: the QED, the electroweak (EW), and the hadronic contributions. While the QED [120–125] and EW [126–129] contributions are well understood, the main theoretical uncertainty lies with the hadronic part which is difficult to control [130, 131].

Since the first precision measurement of $a_\mu$, there has been a discrepancy between its experimental value and the SM prediction. This discrepancy has been slowly growing due to recent impressive theoretical and experimental progress. Comparing theory and experiment, the deviation amounts to

$$
a_\mu^{\exp} - a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (255 \pm 80) \cdot 10^{-11}$$

which corresponds to a $\sim 3\sigma$ effect. In order to confirm this result, the uncertainties have to be further reduced.

It is interesting to interpret the difference as a contribution from loop exchanges of new particles. A number of groups have studied the contribution to $a_\mu$ in various extensions of the SM to constrain their parameters space (for reviews see [133, 134]). In most extensions of the SM, new charged or neutral states can contribute to the $\mu$AMM at the one-loop (lowest) level. In [135], we have shown that the $\sim 3\sigma$ excess in $a_\mu$ (with respect to the SM prediction) can be accounted for by one-loop exchanges of the heavy 4th generation neutrino ($\nu'$) in the 4G2HDMI setup when
applied to the leptonic sector (i.e., where the "heavy" Higgs doublet couples only to the 4th generation lepton doublet and the "light" Higgs doublet couples to leptons of the lighter 1st–3rd generations; see [135]).

The effective vertex of a photon with a charged fermion can in general be written as

$$\bar{u}(p') e^\mu(p)$$

$$= \bar{u}(p') e \left[ \gamma^\mu \frac{\sqrt{2} m_f}{G_F} F_1(j^2) + i \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^\nu F_2(j^2) \right] u(p),$$

(26)

where, to lowest order, $F_1(0) = 1$ and $F_2(0) = 0$. While $F_1(0)$ remains unity at all orders due to charge conservation, quantum corrections yield $F_2(0) \neq 0$. Thus, since $g_\mu \equiv 2 \cdot (F_1(0) + F_2(0))$, it follows that $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2 = F_2(0)$.

In the 4G2HDMI [61, 135] the one-loop contribution to the muon anomaly can be subdivided as

$$a_\mu = [a_\mu]_{SM4}^{SM4} + [a_\mu]_{4G2HDMI}^{4G2HDMI},$$

(27)

where $[a_\mu]_{4G2HDMI}^{4G2HDMI}$ contains the charged and neutral Higgs contributions coming from the one-loop diagrams in Figure 12, where the diagrams with $r'$ and $y'$ in the loop dominate. The SM4-like contribution, $[a_\mu]_{SM4}^{SM4}$, comes from the one-loop diagram with $W^+ - y'$ in the loop and is given by [136]

$$[a_\mu]_{SM4}^{SM4} = \frac{G_F m_e^2}{|U_{24}|^2} \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2} \pi^2} \left( x_{y'} \right),$$

(28)

where $U_{24}$ is the 24 element of the CKM-like PMNS lepton matrix, $x_{y'} = \frac{m_j^2}{m_{y'}^2}$. For values of $m_{y'}$ in the range 100 GeV $\leq m_{y'} \leq 1000$ GeV, one finds $1 \times 10^{-9} \leq |a_\mu|_{4G2HDMI}^{4G2HDMI}/|U_{24}|^2 \leq 3 \times 10^{-5}$, so that for $|U_{24}|^2 \ll 1$ (as expected) the simple SM4 cannot accommodate the observed discrepancy in $a_\mu$. The detail expression for $[a_\mu]_{4G2HDMI}^{4G2HDMI}$ has been given in [135]. It is interesting to note that the dominant contribution to $[a_\mu]_{4G2HDMI}^{4G2HDMI}$, or for that matter to $a_\mu$, comes from the charged Higgs loops and the contribution from diagrams with the neutral Higgs exchanges is subleading [135]. In addition, $a_\mu$ was found to be sensitive only to the product $\delta_{U_{ij}} \cdot \delta_{U_{ij}}$, where

$$\delta_{U_{ij}} = \frac{U_{e_i}^*}{U_{e_4}^*}, \quad \delta_{U_{ij}} = \frac{\Sigma^e_{24}}{\Sigma^e_{14}}$$

and $\Sigma'(\Sigma')$ are the new mixing matrices (i.e., in the 4G2HDMI) in the charged (neutral) leptonic sectors. That is, similar to the quark sector (see (10)), these matrices are obtained after diagonalizing the lepton mass matrices

$$\Sigma_{ij}^e = L_{R_{i}}^* L_{R_{j}}, \quad \Sigma_{ij}^\nu = N_{R_{i}}^* N_{R_{j}},$$

(30)

where $L_R$ and $N_R$ are the rotation (unitary) matrices of the right-handed charged and neutral leptons, respectively.

In Figure 13 we plot $a_\mu$ as a function of the product $\delta_{U_{ij}} \cdot \delta_{U_{ij}}$ (assuming its real) for several values of $m_{\nu}$ and $m_{\mu}$, and fixing $m_{\nu} = m_{\mu}$. Depending on the mass $m_{\mu}$, we find that $\delta_{U_{ij}} \cdot \delta_{U_{ij}} \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ is typically required to accommodate the measured value of $a_{\mu}$.

The constraints on the 4G2HDMI parameters and in particular on the quantities $\delta_{U_{ij}}$ and $\delta_{U_{ij}}$ which control the $\mu$AMM were studied in [135], by analyzing the lepton flavor violating (LFV) decays $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$. These decays are absent in the SM and are useful for constraining NP models that can potentially contribute to the muon anomaly.

The current experimental 90% CL upper bounds on these LFV decays are [74, 137]

$$\text{Br}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8},$$

$$\text{Br}(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma) < 2.4 \times 10^{-12}.$$
neutral Higgs amplitudes, $\mathcal{M}_{H^+}^{4G2HDMI}(\ell_i \rightarrow \ell_j\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\ell \mu}^{4G2HDMI}(\ell_i \rightarrow \ell_j\gamma)$ (calculated from the diagrams in Figure 12). As in the $\mu$AMM case, the dominant contribution to LFV decays was found to be from the charged Higgs exchange diagrams [135]. In addition, the decays $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ are sensitive to $\delta_{U_1}$ and $\delta_{U_2}$ through the products $(\delta_{U_1}\delta_{U_2}, \delta_{U_2}\delta_{U_2})$ and $(\delta_{U_1}, \delta_{U_2}, \delta_{U_2}, \delta_{U_2})$, respectively, so that, in principle, one can avoid constraints on the quantities $\delta_{U_2}$ and $\delta_{U_2}$ if $\delta_{U_1}, \delta_{U_2}, $ and $\delta_{U_2}$ are sufficiently small.

In [135], we have shown that it is possible to address both the BR$(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and the muon anomaly $a_\mu$ within the 4G2HDMI framework, if $\delta_{U_1} \ll \delta_{U_2}$ and $\delta_{U_1} \ll \delta_{U_2}$, which is indeed expected if we consider the observed hierarchical pattern of the quark's CKM matrix as a guide. However, in order to account also for the measured upper limit on BR$(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma)$ (see (31)), one requires that $\delta_{U_1} < \delta_{U_2}$ and $\delta_{U_2} < \delta_{U_2}$. Therefore, the typical benchmark matrix for the 4th generation elements of the matrices $U_i$ $\Sigma_i$ that can account for the observed muon anomaly and still be consistent with the current constraints from the LFV decays $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ is

$$U_{14} \sim (\Sigma_{14})^T = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & \varepsilon \omega \varepsilon^2 \\
1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

Where, for example, $\varepsilon \sim 0.1$ for $m_{\nu} = 100$ GeV.

The above texture implies a hierarchical pattern which is different from what one would expect from the observed hierarchical pattern of the quark's CKM matrix. Nonetheless, without a fundamental theory of flavor, our insight for flavor should be data driven also in the leptonic sector. Besides, the above texture is sensitive to the current precision in the measurement of the muon $g - 2$ which can change for example, if more accurate calculations end up showing that part of the hadronic contributions cannot be ignored.

### 4.2. Insight from B Physics

#### 4.2.1. $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

Among the various $B_q$ rare decays, the purely leptonic $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays are highly sensitive to indirect effects of NP, since the quark level decays are based on the FCNC $b \rightarrow d, s$ transitions which are severely (loop) suppressed in the SM. In particular, the decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ has received special attention in the past decade, since its branching fraction, $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$, can be significantly enhanced by loop exchanges of new particles predicted by various NP scenarios. For example, $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ imposes restrictive constraints on the SUSY parameter space (see, e.g., [138–140]), where in some scenarios better limits than those obtained from direct searches have been claimed. However, the excluded SUSY parameter space depends strongly on the choice of $\tan \beta$ since the $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ rate typically varies as $(\tan \beta)^6$.

In the LHC era the current limit on $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ has been improved. The two different experiments LHCb and CMS, using $1fb^{-1}$ and $5fb^{-1}$ data sample, respectively, yield [141, 142]

$$\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 4.5 \times 10^{-9}, \quad \text{LHCb@95% CL}$$

$$< 7.7 \times 10^{-9}, \quad \text{CMS@95% C,} \quad (36)$$

whereas the SM prediction for this decay is [19]

$$\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}. \quad (37)$$

In fact, LHCb has the sensitivity to measure the $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ down to $2 \times 10^{-9}$, which is about 5$\sigma$ smaller than the SM prediction.

In general, the matrix element for the decay $B_s \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ can be written as [143]

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G_F \alpha}{2\sqrt{2}\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \left[ F_S \bar{\ell} \ell + F_A \bar{\ell} Y_{\gamma}s \ell + F_A \bar{\ell} Y_{\mu}s \ell \right], \quad (38)$$
where $p^\mu$ is the four-momentum of the initial $B_s$ meson and $F_{\alpha}$ are functions of Lorentz invariant quantities. Squaring the matrix and summing over the lepton spins, we obtain the branching fraction

$$\text{Br}(B_s^{+} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2 M_{B_s} \tau_{B_s}}{64 \pi^3} \left[1 - \frac{4 m_{\ell^\prime}^2}{M_{B_s}^2}\right] \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{4 m_{\ell^\prime}^2}{2 M_{B_s}^2}\right)|F_S|^2 + |F_p + 2 m_{\ell^\prime} F_{A^*}|^2\right].$$

(39)

In the SM, the dominant effect in $B_s^{+} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ arises from the diagrams shown in Figure 14, which contribute only to $F_{A^*}$ in (38).

As in other NP models, in the 4G2HDMI there will be contributions to $F_S$, $F_p$, and $F_{A^*}$ coming from the charged Higgs exchange penguin and box diagrams (replacing $W^+ \rightarrow H^+$ in Figure 14). In [61], constraints on the 4G2HDMI parameter spaces were estimated, using the recent data on $\text{Br}(B_s^{+} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$. This was done in the context of the muon ($g - 2$), in the sense that only those interactions (in the leptonic vertex) which are associated with $a_\mu$ have been considered. In particular, considering only the $e^+ e^- H^+$ vertex, the only diagrams that contribute to $B_s^{+} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ are the Higgs exchange box diagrams in Figure 14, where one or two $W$-bosons are replaced by $H^+$ and $(t, v')$ are being replaced by both $(t', v')$ and $(t', v')$. It was then found that the contribution from the new box diagrams in the 4G2HDMI that involve the heavy 4th generation neutrino is consistent with the current experimental bound on $\text{Br}(B_s^{+} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ for values of $\delta_{u_3}$ and $\delta_{c_3}$ that reproduce the observed muon $g - 2$ see Figure 15.

4.2.2. $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ and $B \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \tau \nu$. Other purely leptonic and semileptonic decays of the $B$ meson, such as $B \rightarrow \tau$ decays, can also provide useful tests of the SM and its extensions. Of particular interest are the purely leptonic $B \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ and the semileptonic $B^+ \rightarrow D^{(*)+} \tau \nu$ decays. The SM contribution to the branching ratios of these decays arises at the tree-level from the charged weak interactions. An important NP contribution to these decays is the tree level exchange of a charged Higgs in multi-Higgs models, so that these decays offer interesting probes of the Higgs sector and, particularly, of its Yukawa interactions.

The SM expression for the decay rate of $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$ is given by

$$\text{Br}(B \rightarrow \tau \nu)_{\text{SM}} = \frac{G_F^2 m_B^3 m_{\tau}}{8 \pi} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tau}^2}{m_B^2}\right)^2 f_B^2 |V_{ub}|^2 \tau_{\nu},$$

(40)

where $f_B$ is the decay constant and $\tau_{\nu}$ is the $B^+$ lifetime. The SM prediction for $\text{Br}(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$ is, therefore, sensitive to the decay constant $f_B$ and to the CKM element $|V_{ub}|$ and is thus limited by the uncertainty in the determination of these quantities. Using the available constraints on $f_B$ and the inclusive determination of $V_{ub}$: $f_B = 200 \pm 20$ MeV and

**Figure 14:** Dominant SM diagrams for the decay $B_s^{+} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$, $d' = d$ or $s$.

**Figure 15:** $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) \times 10^9$ as a function of $\lambda_{h_{1,2,3}}^2 \equiv V_{l2}V_{l3}^*$, from box diagrams with $H^+$ and $(t, v')$, $(t', v')$ exchanges in the 4G2HDMI. The parameters $\delta_{u_3}$ and $\delta_{c_3}$ are varied within the constraints imposed by $a_\mu$ (see the previous section), keeping both of them $\leq 0.2$. Also shown are the experimental 95% CL upper bounds from LHCb (red horizontal line) and from CMS (green horizontal line). The SM predicted range of values (at 1σ) is shown within the black horizontal lines. Figure taken from [135].

It is also interesting to note that the $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$, in both the SM4 and the 4G2HDMI, can differ from the SM value by at-most a factor of $\sigma(3)$ in either direction (for a detail discussions see [135]).
The SM prediction for the decay rate is

\[ \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu)_{\text{SM}} = (0.86 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}. \] (41)

Furthermore, the SM prediction on \( \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu) \), obtained directly from a fit to various other observables (i.e., without using \( V_{ub} \) and the lattice results for \( f_B \)) is [144]

\[ \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu)_{\text{SM}} = (0.73 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}. \] (42)

Both results show some degree of discrepancy with the current world average on \( \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu) \) which is [118]

\[ \text{Br}(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu) = (1.67 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}. \] (43)

We want to indicate here how the 4G2HDM can address this if the discrepancy is confirmed.

From the theoretical point of view, several models of NP predict large deviations from the SM for processes involving third generation fermions. For instance, in a "standard" 2HDM where the two Higgs doublets are coupled separately to up- and down-type quarks (i.e., the 2HDMII setup described in Section 2), the \( B \to \tau \nu \) amplitude receives an additional tree-level contribution from the heavy charged-Higgs exchange, leading to

\[ \frac{\text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu)_{\text{2HDMII}}}{\text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu)_{\text{SM}}} = \left[ 1 - \frac{m_2^2 \tan^2 \beta}{M_H^2} \right], \] (44)

so that for large \( \tan \beta \), the r.h.s. of (44) can be significantly different from 1. However, in this particular case (of the 2HDMII), the charged-Higgs contribution reduces the SM value for the branching ratio, thus further worsening the situation with respect to the experimentally measured value.

In the 4G2HDMII, the effective tree-level interactions that will contribute to \( B \to \tau \nu \) can be written as

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F V_{ub}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \bar{u}_j (1 - \gamma_5) b \tau \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu - \frac{m_t m_b A_{bu}}{M_H^2} \right] \times \left\{ A_{u}^\tau (1 + \gamma_5) b \tau (1 - \gamma_5) \nu \right. \]

\[ \left. + A_{d}^\tau (1 - \gamma_5) b \tau (1 + \gamma_5) \nu \right\}, \] (45)

where the second term represents the tree-level charged-Higgs exchange and the first term results from the diagram with W boson exchange. Also, \( A_{bu} \), \( A_u^\tau \), and \( A_d^\tau \) are factors coming from the \( b \to uH \) and \( \tau \to \nu_r H \) vertices, respectively, given by

\[ A_{bu} = \tan \beta - (\tan \beta + \cot \beta) \left( \frac{m_t}{m_b} V_{ub}^* \right), \]

\[ A_u^\tau = -m_t V_{ub}^* \left( \frac{m_t^2}{m_r} \right) \left( \frac{m_t^2}{m_r} \right), \]

\[ A_d^\tau = -m_t V_{ub}^* \left( \frac{m_t^2}{m_r} \right) \left( \frac{m_t^2}{m_r} \right). \] (46)

A simple calculation, using (45) and (46), yields

\[ \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu) \]

\[ = \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu)_{\text{SM}} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_t^2}{M_H^2} A_{bu} A_u^\tau \right]^2 + \frac{m_t^2}{M_H^2} A_{bu} A_d^\tau \left( \frac{m_t^2}{m_r} \right)^2. \] (47)

Thus, taking, for example, \( \Sigma_{ij} \approx m_i / m_j \), only a moderate enhancement to \( \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu) \) is possible at large \( \tan \beta \). If, on the other hand, \( \Sigma_{ij} \gg m_i / m_j \), then the \( \text{Br}(B \to \tau \nu) \) can be significantly enhanced compared to the SM prediction. Of course, the experimental deviations at the moment are only a few sigmas, but, if, they get confirmed, then we have indicated here how we may be able to address them.

Semileptonic \( B \) decays such as \( B \to D^{(*) \tau \nu} \) are more complicated to handle than the pure leptonic ones, since the theoretical predictions for these decays to exclusive final states require knowledge of the form factors involved. There are, however, several other observables (besides the branching fraction), such as the decay distributions and the \( \tau \) polarization, which can be useful in this cases for probing NP.

As in the case of \( B \to \tau \nu \), the semileptonic decay \( B \to D^{(*) \tau \nu} \) is also known to be a sensitive mode to the tree-level charged-Higgs exchange. Furthermore, the precise measurement of \( B \to D^{(*) \tau \nu} \) at the B-factories and the theoretical developments of heavy-quark effective theory (HQET) has improved our understanding of exclusive semileptonic decays [74, 145].

In particular, the ratios \( R(D^{(*)}) \equiv \text{BR}(B \to D^{(*) \tau \nu}) / \text{BR}(B \to D^{(*) \ell \nu}) \) reduce considerably the main theoretical uncertainties and, hence, turn out to be a more useful observable [146]. The updated SM predictions of these rates, averaged over electron and muons, are given by [147, 148]

\[ R(D^{(*)})_{\text{SM}} = 0.297 \pm 0.017, \]

\[ R(D^{(*)})_{\text{SM}} = 0.252 \pm 0.003, \] (48)

so that at this level of precision the experimental uncertainties are expected to dominate.

The most recently measured values of these observables are given by [147, 148]

\[ R(D^{(*)})_{\text{exp}} = 0.440 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.042, \]

\[ R(D^{(*)})_{\text{exp}} = 0.332 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.018. \] (49)

The measured values, therefore, exceed the SM predictions for \( R(D^{(*)})_{\text{SM}} \) and \( R(D^{(*)})_{\text{SM}} \) by 2.0σ and 2.7σ, respectively, so it is argued that the possibility of both the measured values agreeing with the SM is excluded at the 3.4σ level. In addition, the combined analysis of \( R(D) \) and \( R(D^*) \) rules out the 2HDMII charged Higgs boson with 99.8% confidence level for any value of \( \tan \beta / M_H \) when combined with \( \text{Br}(B \to X \nu) \); see [147, 148]. Once again, it is not clear to us how serious to take the indications of the deviations in

\[ V_{ub} = (39.9 \pm 1.5 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-4} \] [144], the SM prediction for the decay rate is
we expect a moderate enhancement to both $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$ in the 4G2HDMI if $\Sigma_i \approx m_i/m_t$ and a larger effect for larger values of $\Sigma_i$.

5. New Aspects of the Phenomenology of the 4G2HDMI

In the 4G2HDMI (i.e., the 4G2HDM with $\beta_d = \beta_u = 0$ see (6)), one obtains (see (11))

$$\Sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |\epsilon_b|^2 & \epsilon_b^* \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_b & \left(1 - \frac{|\epsilon_b|^2}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Sigma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |\epsilon_t|^2 & \epsilon_t^* \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_t & \left(1 - \frac{|\epsilon_t|^2}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

which leads to interesting patterns (in flavor space) in both the neutral and charged Higgs sectors. For example, the $H^0 q_d q_j$ Yukawa interactions of (9) ($H^0 = h, H, A$) give rise to potentially enhanced tree-level $t' \to t$ and $b' \to b$ FC transitions and absence of "dangerous" tree-level FCNC transitions between the 4th and the 1st and 2nd generations quarks as well as among the 1st-2nd and 3rd generation quarks. In particular, the FC $H^0 t't$ interactions in this case are (taking $\alpha \to \pi/2$)

$$\mathcal{L}(ht't') = -\frac{g}{2m_W} \epsilon_b \sqrt{1 + t_\beta^2 t'} \left( R + \frac{m_t}{m_t'} \right) t'h,$$

$$\mathcal{L}(H't't) = -\frac{g}{2m_W} \epsilon_t \sqrt{1 + t_\beta^2 t} \left( R + \frac{m_t}{m_t'} \right) t'H,$$

$$\mathcal{L}(At't') = i\frac{g}{2m_W} \epsilon_t \frac{1 + t_\beta^2}{t_\beta t'} \left( R - \frac{m_t}{m_t'} \right) t'A,$$

and similarly for the $H^0 b'b$ vertices by changing $\epsilon_t \to \epsilon_b$ (and an extra minus sign in the $Ab'b$ coupling).

If $\epsilon_t \sim m_t/m_{b'}$, then the above $H^0 t't$ couplings can become sizable, to the level that it might dominate the decay pattern of the $t'$ (see below). In fact, large FC effects are also expected in $b' \to b$ transitions since, even for a very small $\epsilon_b \sim m_b/m_W$, the FC $hb'b$ and $Ab'b$ Yukawa couplings can become sizable if, for example, $\tan \beta \sim 5$, for which case they are $\propto 5 m_b/m_W$. Therefore, such new FCNC $t' \to t$ and $b' \to b$ transitions can have drastic phenomenological consequences for high-energy collider searches of the 4th generation fermions, as we be discussed below.

Furthermore, the flavor diagonal interactions of the Higgs species with the up quarks of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd generations are proportional to $\tan \beta$ in this model, thus being a factor of $\tan^2 \beta$ larger than the corresponding “conventional” 2HDMII (i.e., the type II 2HDM) couplings (which are $\propto \cot \beta$). For example, this gives rise to an enhanced flavor diagonal $ht't'$ interactions, while suppressing the $ht't$ one,

$$\mathcal{L}(ht't') = \frac{g m_t}{2 m_W} \sqrt{1 + t_\beta^2} \left(1 - |\epsilon_t|^2\right) t'h,$$

when $|\epsilon_t|^2 \to 0$.

Another important new feature of this model occurs in the charged Higgs couplings involving the 3rd and 4th generation quarks, which are completely altered by the presence of the $\Sigma_3$ and $\Sigma_4$ matrices and can thus lead to interesting new effects in both high-energy collider searches of the heavy 4th generation quarks and leptons, as will be discussed in more detail in the next sections. To see that, one can study the new decay patterns of $t'$ and $b'$ that follow from the above new Yukawa terms.

Recalling that in the "standard" 2HDMII (which underlies a supersymmetric four-generation model) the $\tilde{t}_R b'_L H^+$ would be $\propto m_t V_{tb'}/t_\beta$, we find that in the 4G2HDMI the $\tilde{t}_R b'_L H^+$ coupling is potentially enhanced by a factor of

$$\frac{\tilde{t}_R b'_L H^+ (4G2HDMI)}{\tilde{t}_R b'_L H^+ (2HDMII)} \sim \epsilon_t \cdot t_\beta^2 \cdot \frac{m_t}{m_t'} \cdot \frac{V_{tb}}{V_{tb'}},$$

so that if, for example, $t_\beta \sim 1$ and $\epsilon_t \sim m_t/m_{b'}$, there is a factor of $V_{tb}/V_{tb'}$ enhancement to the $\tilde{t}_R b'_L H^+$ interaction.

These new aspects of phenomenology in the Yukawa interactions sector can have far reaching implications for collider searches of the heavy 4th generation quarks and leptons, as will be discussed in more detail in the next sections. To see that, one can study the new decay patterns of $t'$ and $b'$ that follow from the above new Yukawa terms. In particular, in Figure 16 we plot the branching ratios of the leading $t'$ decay channels (assuming $m_{3t}, m_A > m_t$): $t' \to th, bw, b'W^\pm (W^\mp)$ stands for either on-shell or off-shell $W$ depending on $m_{b'}$, as a function of the $b'$ mass. We
use \( m_h = 125 \) GeV, \( m_{h'} = 500 \) GeV, \( \tan \beta = 1 \), \( \epsilon_t = m_t/m_{t'} \), and \( \theta_{34} = 0.05 \) and 0.2. We see that the BR(\( t' \to th \)) can easily reach \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) (even for a rather large \( \theta_{34} \sim 0.2 \) for which \( t' \to bW \) becomes sizable), in particular when \( m_t - m_{h'} < m_W \); see for example, points 8–11 in Table 2 for which BR(\( t' \to th \)) \( \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \).

In Figure 17 we plot the branching ratios of the leading \( b' \) decay channels \( b' \to tH^- \), \( b' \to bh \), \( b' \to tW \), as a function of \( \epsilon_b \) for \( m_{b'} = 500 \) GeV, \( m_{h'} = 125 \) GeV, \( \tan \beta = 1 \), \( m_{t'} = 300 \) GeV, \( \epsilon_t = m_t/m_{t'} \), and \( \theta_{34} = 0.05 \) and 0.2. We see that in the \( b' \) case the dominance of \( b' \to tH^- \) (if kinematically allowed) should be much more pronounced.

\[ \theta_{34} = 0.05 \]

\[ \theta_{34} = 0.2 \]

\[ \theta_{34} = 0.05 \]

\[ \theta_{34} = 0.2 \]

\[ \theta_{34} = 0.05 \]

\[ \theta_{34} = 0.2 \]
due to the expected smallness of the $b - b'$ mixing parameter, $e_b$, which controls the FC decay $b' \rightarrow bh$; see, for example, points 12 and 13 in Table 2 for which $\text{BR}(b' \rightarrow bH) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. On the other hand, if $e_b$ is larger than about 0.4, then $b' \rightarrow bh$ dominates.

6. Implications of the 4G2HDMI for Direct Searches of 4th Generation Quarks

The direct searches of the 4th generation quarks at the LHC currently provide the most stringent limits on their masses. In particular, CMS reported a 450 GeV lower limit [31] on the $t'$ mass in the semileptonic channel ($pp \rightarrow t't' \rightarrow [W^+]_\text{hadronic}b[W^-]_\text{leptonic}\bar{b} \rightarrow \ell

\bar{\nu}, \nu \ell$) and a 557 GeV lower limit [32] in the dilepton channel ($pp \rightarrow t't' \rightarrow [W^+]_\text{leptonic}b[W^-]_\text{leptonic}\bar{b} \rightarrow \ell \ell \nu \bar{\nu}$). The most recent lower bounds on the $b'$ mass are 480 GeV [33] (ATLAS) and 611 GeV [34] (CMS).

These searches assumed $\text{Br}(t' \rightarrow bW^+) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, as expected within the SM4 framework. As was argued above, this is quite unlikely to be the case in models with more than one Higgs doublet, for which new decay patterns can emerge from the interaction of the heavy quarks with the extended Higgs sector, for example, $t' \rightarrow ht (b' \rightarrow hb), t' \rightarrow H'b (t' \rightarrow H'b)$. In addition, the SM4 forbidden channels $t' \rightarrow b'W$ and $b' \rightarrow t'W$, depending on the mass hierarchy in the fourth generation doublet, may no longer be in contradiction with the EWPD if there are more Higgs doublets (see [61] and Section 3) and may be kinematically open as well. Taking into account such possible new decay modes to the neutral and charged scalars, one can define the generic signature [149]: $t't'/b'b \rightarrow n_WW + n_b\bar{b}$, with $n_W$ and $n_b$ being the number of $W$ and $b$ and $\bar{b}$ jets in the event, respectively.

Focusing on the $t'$ case, [150, 151] have reinterpret the ATLAS $b'$ search (reported in [33]) to extract limits on $t'$ if it decays via non-SM4 channels such as $t' \rightarrow th$ and $t' \rightarrow tZ$, whereas [149] have considered, more specifically, the decay channels $t't' \rightarrow 6W + 2b$ and $t't' \rightarrow 2W + 6b$ as representatives of such new signatures beyond the SM4. As was indeed demonstrated in both [149–151], when $t' \rightarrow bW$ and $b' \rightarrow tW$ are no longer the leading decay channels, the attempts to impose the SM4–motivated dynamics on processes with a completely different topology result in a relaxed limit on the fourth generation quarks with respect to the SM4 case. Specifically, for the $t'$, the CMS analysis in the semileptonic channel was based on the complete reconstruction of each $\ell \nu b\bar{\nu}q\bar{q}$ event (including the reconstruction of the hadronic $W$). The total distribution of $M_{\text{fit}}$ (the reconstructed mass of the $t'$) and $H_T$ (the scalar sum of all transverse momenta in the event) was used to set a bound on the $t'$ mass. On the other hand, for the new signatures (e.g., $t' \rightarrow th$), the number of jets in each event is higher (e.g., in the $2W + 6b$ signature, there are 8 jets in the semileptonic channel) and the reconstruction will miss a large part of them, resulting in $H_T$ and $M_{\text{fit}}$ being substantially lower—peaking around the main $t\bar{t}$ background. An example of this effect is plotted in Figure 18.

The analysis in the dilepton channel relies on the fact that $M_{bb'}$, which is the invariant mass of a pair of any lepton and a $b$ jet in the event, is much higher in the underlying $t't'$ signal with respect to the leading $t\bar{t}$ background. In particular, in the case of $t\bar{t}$, $M_{bb'}$ has an upper bound that corresponds to the mass of the top quark, and therefore in the region above ~170 GeV (the “signal region”) $M_{bb'}$ is a clean signal of the SM4-like $t't'$ production. However, this dilepton search strategy will fail for signatures with more than 2 leptons or $b$ jets, as in the case of the 4G2HDMI $2W + 6b$ and $6W + 2b$ signatures, since the combinatorial background will lower $M_{bb'}$, resulting in much less events in the signal region. An example for this effect is plotted in Figure 19.

Assuming now that the physics which underlies the 4th generation dynamics goes beyond the SM4, one can estimate the extent to which the new signatures are already excluded by the current LHC searches [149–151]. Here we will briefly recapitulate the analysis performed in [149] for both the semileptonic and dilepton channels mentioned above. For the semileptonic channel, [149] demonstrated, using a naive simulation of the new beyond SM4 signals in question, what the exclusion plot would be (using the CMS search strategy which is based on the SM4 $t' \rightarrow bW$ decay topology) if the data contains the 4G2HDMI signals. This was done by “injecting” $t't' \rightarrow 6b + 2W$ events with $m_{t'} = 350$ GeV and $t't' \rightarrow 2b + 6W$ events with $m_{t'} = 450$ GeV. The results are shown in Figure 20, which shows that the expected exclusion curves for the background + $t't' \rightarrow 6b+2W$ and background + $t't' \rightarrow 2b + 6W$ cases are less than 2σ apart from the background-only curve. The curves for the 4G2HDMI signatures with $m_{t'} = 350–450$ GeV lie between the two signal curves shown in the figure. Thus, using the CMS analysis one
\[ \int L \, dt = 5 f_b \]

The recently observed new Higgs-like particle with a mass of \( \sim 125 \) GeV (at the level of \( \sim 5 \sigma \) see [38–41]) is the first potential evidence for a Higgs boson which can be consistent with the SM picture. Furthermore, a study of the combined Tevatron data has revealed a smaller broad excess in the semileptonic channel, which can be related to the production of the Higgs boson. These searches further exclude an SM Higgs with masses between 115 GeV and 135 GeV [42].

The quantity that is usually being used for comparison between the LHC and Tevatron results and the expected signals in various models is the ratio

\[ R_{XX}^{Model(Obs)} = \frac{\sigma(pp/\bar{p}\bar{p} \rightarrow h \rightarrow XX)^{Model(Obs)}}{\sigma(pp/\bar{p}\bar{p} \rightarrow h \rightarrow XX)^{SM}}, \tag{55} \]
which is the observed ratio of cross-sections, that is, the signal strengths \(R_{XX}^{\text{Obs}}\), and the errors in the different channels are [38–42].

(i) \(VV \rightarrow h \rightarrow γγ\): 2.2 ± 1.4 (taken from \(γγ + 2j\)),
(ii) \(gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow γγ\): 1.68 ± 0.42,
(iii) \(gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow WW^*\): 0.78 ± 0.3,
(iv) \(gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow ZZ^*\): 0.83 ± 0.3,
(v) \(gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow ττ\): 0.2 ± 0.85,
(vi) \(pp/p\bar{p} \rightarrow hW \rightarrow b\bar{b}W\): 1.8 ± 1.5.

One can easily notice that the channels which have the highest sensitivity to the Higgs signals and contributed the most to the recent 125 GeV Higgs discovery are \(h \rightarrow γγ\) and \(h \rightarrow ZZ^*, WW^*\). In all other channels the results are not conclusive, and at this time, they are consistent with the background-only hypothesis at the level of less than 2σ.

As was shown recently in [47], the above reported measurements are not compatible with the SM4 at the level of 5σ. In particular, light Higgs production through gluon fusion is enhanced by a factor of \(∼10\) in the SM4 due to the contribution of diagrams with \(t'\) and \(b'\) in the loops, which in general leads to larger signals (than what was observed at the LHC) in the \(h \rightarrow ZZ/WW/ττ\) channels. For a light Higgs with a mass \(m_h < 150\) GeV and 4th generation masses of \(\mathcal{O}(600)\) GeV, \(h \rightarrow ZZ/WW\) in is fact suppressed by a factor of \(∼0.2\) due to NLO corrections [152, 153], and the exclusion is based mainly on the \(ττ\) channel. In the \(h \rightarrow γγ\) channel there is also a substantial suppression of \(\mathcal{O}(0.1)\) due to (accidental) destructive interference in the loop [77, 154] and another \(\mathcal{O}(0.1)\) factor due to NLO corrections [152, 153]. If \(v_t\) is taken to be light enough, then \(Br(h \rightarrow ν_tν_t)\) becomes \(\mathcal{O}(1)\), suppressing all the other channels, and the exclusion gets eased. This, however, further suppresses the \(γγ\) channel to the level that the observed excess can no longer be accounted for [45]. Therefore, as was also noted in [45, 46, 155], the SM4 is strongly disfavored for any \(m_{ν_t}\), even without considering the \(ττ\) channel.

The comparison to any given model can be performed using a \(χ^2\) fit defined as

\[
χ^2 = \sum_X \frac{(R_{XX}^{\text{Model}} - R_{XX}^{\text{Obs}})^2}{σ_{XX}^2},
\]

where \(σ_{XX}\) are the errors on the observed cross-sections and \(R_{XX}^{\text{Model}}\) is calculated using the program Hdecay [156] with recent NLO contributions (which also include the heavy 4th generation fermions for the 4th generation scenarios). One can take advantage of the fact that \(σ(YY \rightarrow h)\) and \(σ(YY \rightarrow h)_{SM} = Γ(h \rightarrow YY)_{Model}/Γ(h \rightarrow YY)_{SM}\) and calculate \(R_{XX}^{\text{Model}}\) using

\[
R_{XX}^{\text{Model}} = \frac{Γ(h \rightarrow YY)_{Model}/Γ(h \rightarrow XX)_{Model}}{Br(h \rightarrow XX)_{Model}/Br(h \rightarrow XX)_{SM}},
\]

where \(YY \rightarrow h\) is the Higgs production mechanism, that is, either by gluon fusion \(gg \rightarrow h\), vector boson fusion \(WW/ZZ \rightarrow h\), or associated Higgs-W production, \(W^* \rightarrow hW\) at Tevatron.

In multi-Higgs 4th generation frameworks, the picture becomes more complicated, since there are new scalar states with new Yukawa couplings depending on \(tan\ β\) and \(α\ (α\ is\ the\ mixing\ angle\ in\ the\ neutral\ Higgs\ sector)\), as well as couplings to the \(W\) and the \(Z\) bosons which are proportional to \(sin(α - β)\) and \(cos(α - β)\) (with the exception of the pseudoscalar \(A\) which does not couple at tree-level to the \(W\) and the \(Z\) ). Furthermore, the specific Yukawa structure can vary depending on the type of the multi-Higgs model; for example, for the 4G2HDMI case considered below there is an additional parameter, \(ε_t\), which parameterizes the \(t_t - t_t'\) mixing (see Section 2 and [61]). In Figure 22 we plot the branching ratios of \(h\) as a function of \(α\) in the 4G2HDMI, for \(m_h = 125\) GeV, \(tan β = 1\), \(ε_t = 0.5\), and \(M_4G = m_{t} = m_{l} = m_{ν_t} = 400\) GeV.

Let us now examine how well the 2HDM scenarios with a 4th generation of fermions fit the measured Higgs mediated cross-sections listed above with \(m_h = 125\) GeV. The simplest case to study is the "standard" 2HDMII (i.e., the 2HDM of type II extended to include a fourth fermion family) with the pseudoscalar \(A\) being the lightest scalar, since its couplings do not depend on \(α\) [65, 66]. However, as was already noted in [66], for the "standard" 2HDMII the case of a light \(A\) decaying to the \(γγ\) mode is excluded when all 4th generation fermions are heavy. With the new results, in particular, the signals of the 125 GeV Higgs decaying into a pair of vector bosons, the case of the \(A\) being the lightest scalar is excluded irrespective of the 4th generation fermion masses.

Here we wish to extend the previous analysis made for the 2HDMII scenario by calculating the \(χ^2\) for the light Higgs...
with a mass $m_h = 125$ GeV, both for the 4G2HDMI of [61] and for the 2HDMII with a 4th generation of fermions, and to compare it to the SM. We follow the analysis in [157], which used the latest version of H decay [156], where all the relevant couplings for the 4G2HDMI and for the 2HDMII frameworks were inserted. For the treatment of the NLO corrections to $h \to VV$, [157] used the approximation of a degenerate 4th generation spectrum, where two cases were studied: $m_{\nu} = m_{\nu_l} = m_{\nu_r} \equiv M_{4G} = 400$ and 600 GeV (while the first case, i.e., $M_{4G} = 400$, is excluded for the SM4, it is not necessarily excluded for the 2HDM setups, as discussed in the previous section). Note that the 4th generation neutrino is taken to be heavy enough, so that the decays of the light Higgs into a pair of $\nu$’s are not considered, thus limiting the discussion to the effects of the altered Higgs couplings in the 2HDM frameworks with respect to the SM4.

Indeed, [157] found that the best fit is obtained for the light CP-even Higgs, $h$, whereas the other neutral Higgs particles of the 2HDM setups, that is, $H$ and $A$, cannot account for the observed data.

The resulting $\chi^2$ and $P$ values in the 4G2HDMI case (combining all the six reported Higgs decay channels above), with $m_h = 125$ GeV, $M_{4G} = 400$ and 600 GeV, $\epsilon_i = 0.1$ and 0.5, and for $0.7 < \tan \beta < 1.4$ (this range is roughly the EWPD and flavor physics allowed range in these 2HDM setups; see Section 3), are shown in Figure 23. The value of the Higgs mixing angle $\alpha$ is the one which minimizes $\chi^2$ for each value of $\tan \beta$. The SM best fit is also shown in the plot. In Figure 24 we further show the resulting $\chi^2$ and $P$-values as a function of $\tan \beta$, this time minimizing for each value of $\tan \beta$ with respect to both $\alpha$ and $\epsilon_i$ (in the 4G2HDMI case). For comparison, we also show in Figure 24 the $\chi^2$ and $P$-values for a 125 GeV $h$ in the 2HDMII with a 4th generation and in the SM.

Looking at the $P$-values in Figures 23 and 24 (which “measure” the extent to which a given model can be successfully used to interpret the Higgs data in all the measured decay channels) we see that $h$ of the 4G2HDMI with $\tan \beta \sim 0(1)$ and $M_{4G} = 400–600$ GeV is a good candidate for the recently observed 125 GeV Higgs, giving a fit comparable to the SM fit. This conclusion is not changed by explicitly adding the EWPD as an additional constraint to the above analysis (i.e., the $P$-values stay roughly the same; see [157]). The “standard” 2HDMII setup with $M_{4G} = 400$ GeV is also found to be consistent with the Higgs data in a narrower range of $\tan \beta \lesssim 0.9$. Also, the fit favors a large $t - t'$ mixing parameter $\epsilon_i$, implying $BR(t' \to th) \sim 0(1)$ which completely changes the $t'$ decay pattern [61] and, therefore, significantly relaxing the current bounds on $m_{t'}$ (see previous section).

However, more data is required to effectively distinguish between the 4G2HDMI scalars and the SM Higgs. In particular, in Figure 25 we show the individual pulls and the signal strengths for the best fitted $h$ signals (i.e., with $m_h = 125$ GeV) in the 4G2HDMI with $M_{4G} = 400$ GeV. We can see that appreciable deviations from the SM are expected in the channels $gg \to h \to \tau\tau$, $VV \to h \to \gamma\gamma$, and $hV \to b\bar{b}V$. In particular, the most notable effects are about a 1.5$\sigma$ deviation (from the observed value) in the VBF diphoton channel $VV \to h \to \gamma\gamma$ and a 2–2.5$\sigma$ deviation in the $gg \to h \to \tau\tau$ channel. The deviations in these channels are in fact a prediction of the 4G2HDMI strictly based on the current Higgs data, which could play a crucial

**Figure 23:** $\chi^2$ (a) and $P$ values (b), as a function of $\tan \beta$, for the lightest 4G2HDMI CP-even scalar $h$, with $m_h = 125$ GeV, $\epsilon_i = 0.1$ and 0.5, and $M_{4G} = m_{\nu} = m_{\nu_l} = m_{\nu_r} = 400$ and 600 GeV. The value of the Higgs mixing angle $\alpha$ is the one which minimizes $\chi^2$ for each value of $\tan \beta$. The SM best fit is shown by the horizontal dashed line and the dash-dotted line in the right plot corresponds to $P = 0.05$ and serves as a reference line. Figure taken from [157].
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Figure 24: Same as Figure 23, while here we minimize with respect to both $\epsilon_t$ and $\alpha$ for each value of $\tan \beta$. Also shown are the $\chi^2$ and $P$ values for a 125 GeV Higgs in the SM and in the type II 2HDM with a 4th generation of fermions (denoted by 2HDMII). Figure taken from [157].

Figure 25: The individual pulls $(R_{\text{Model}}^{XX} - R_{\text{Obs}}^{XX})/\sigma_{XX}$ (a) and the signal strengths $R_{\text{Model}}^{XX}$ (b), in the different channels, that correspond to the best fitted 4G2HDMI curve with $m_h = 125$ GeV and $M_{4G} = 400$ GeV, shown in Figure 24. Figure taken from [157].

role as data with higher statistics becomes available. They can be understood as follows: the channels that dominate the fit (i.e., having a higher statistical significance due to their smaller errors) are $gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $ZZ^*$, $WW^*$. Thus, since the $gg \rightarrow h$ production vertex is generically enhanced by the $t'$ and $b'$ loops, the fit then searches for values of the relevant 4G2HDMI parameters which decrease the $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $ZZ^*$, $WW^*$ decays in the appropriate amount. This in turn leads to an enhanced $gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (i.e., due to the enhancement in the $gg \rightarrow h$ production vertex) and to a decrease in the $VV \rightarrow h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $p\bar{p}/pp \rightarrow W \rightarrow hhW \rightarrow bbW$, which are independent of the enhanced $gg h$ vertex but are sensitive to the decreased $VVh$ one. It is important to note that some of the characteristics of these "predictions" can change with more data collected.

Finally, [157] also finds that for the best fitted 4G2HDMI case, the heavier CP-even scalar, $H$, is excluded by the current data (in particular by the $ZZ$ and $WW$ searches) up to
$m_{1H} \sim 500$ GeV, whereas a CP-odd state, $A$, as light as 130 GeV is allowed by current data (for more details see [157]).

8. Summary

We have addressed several fundamental and challenging questions (that we have outlined in the introduction) regarding the nature and underlying dynamics of the physics and phenomenology of 4th generation fermions, if they exist. We have argued the following.

1. The current stringent bounds on the masses of the 4th generation quarks, that is, $m_{4f} \geq 400$ GeV, are indicative of NP, possibly of a strongly coupled nature, since such new heavy fermionic degrees of freedom naturally lead to a Landau pole at the nearby TeV-scale, which may be viewed as the cutoff of 4th generation low-energy theories.

2. The fact that the 4th generation fermions must be so heavy is, therefore, of no surprise since their large mass stands out as a strong hint for the widely expected new TeV scale physics, where the new heavy fermionic states may be considered to be the agents of EWSB.

3. If indeed the 4th generation fermions are linked to strong dynamics and/or to compositeness at the nearby TeV scale, then one is forced to extend the minimally constructed SM4 framework which is not compatible with this viewpoint and neither with current data. In particular, in this case one should expect the sub-TeV particle spectrum to accommodate several new scalar composites of the 4th family fermions.

The challenge in this scenario is to construct a viable theory that can adequately parameterize the physics of TeV-scale compositeness and that will guide us to the detection of these new states at the LHC.

We have, thus, suggested and reviewed a class of 2HDM’s—extended to include a 4th family of fermions—that can serve as low-energy effective models for the TeV-scale compositeness scenario and then analyzed/discussed

(i) the constraints on these models from EWPD as well as from low-energy flavor physics,

(ii) the expected new phenomenology and the implications for collider searches of the 4th generation heavy fermions as well as of the multi-Higgs states of these models.

We have found that it is indeed possible to construct a natural 2HDM framework with heavy 4th generation fermions with a mass in the range 400–600 GeV, which is consistent with EWPD and which is not excluded by the recent direct measurements at the current-high energy colliders.

In particular, we found that, under the 2HDM frameworks for the 4th generation described in this paper, one can

(i) relax the current mass bounds on the 4th generation quarks,

(ii) successfully fit the recently measured 125 GeV Higgs signals, to the parameters of the 2HDM with roughly similar quality of fit as the one achieved for the SM with 3 generations; this result is in sharp contrast to the poor fit obtained with the minimal SM4 setup which is, therefore, excluded.

Finally, we have shown that, if such an extended 4th generation 2HDM setup is realized in nature, then one should expect to observe further hints for the underlying TeV-scale dynamics in direct high-energy collider signals involving the 4th generation fermions and the associated new scalars as well as in low energy flavor physics.
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Endnotes

1. Note that since $N_{R,4i}$ and $L_{RA,i}$ parameterize mixings among the 4th generation and the 1st–3rd generations leptons, one expects $\Sigma_{ij}^{f} \ll \Sigma_{4k}^{f}$ for $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$; see (30).

2. We combine the results from the CMS and ATLAS experiments (for $pp/P\bar{p} \rightarrow hW \rightarrow b\bar{b}W$ we combine the results from CMS and Tevatron), where in cases where the measured value was not explicitly given we estimate it from the published plots.
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