Maximum Synchrotron Frequency for Shock Accelerated Particles
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\begin{abstract}
It is widely believed that the maximum energy of synchrotron photons when electrons are accelerated in shocks via the Fermi process is about 50 MeV (in plasma comoving frame). We show that under certain conditions, which are expected to be realized in relativistic shocks of gamma-ray bursts, synchrotron photons of energy much larger than 50 MeV (comoving frame) can be produced. The requirement is that magnetic field should decay downstream of the shock front on a length scale that is small compared with the distance traveled by the highest energy electrons before they lose half their energy; photons of energy much larger than 50 MeV are produced close to the shock front whereas the highest Lorentz factor that electrons can attain is controlled by the much weaker field that occupies most of the volume of the shocked plasma.
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1 INTRODUCTION

High energy radiation from many astrophysical objects is believed to be generated in shock heated plasma where charged particles are accelerated by the Fermi mechanism, eg. Fermi (1949), Axford et al. (1977), Bell (1978), Blandford & Ostriker (1978), Achterberg et al. (2001), Sironi & Spitkovsky (2011). The maximum particle energy is set by the condition that radiative losses between acceleration episodes (which is the time it takes to travel from one side of shock front to the other) should be smaller than the energy gain. This upper bound to electron energy means that the emergent synchrotron radiation falls off to zero above a certain cut-off frequency which is about 50 MeV in the plasma rest frame independent of the magnetic field strength in the shock heated fluid (de Jager & Harding, 1992; Lyutikov, 2009; Piran & Nakar, 2010; the derivation is provided in the next section).

Many astrophysical objects, such as supernova (SN) remnants, pulsars, AGNs and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), emit photons of energy larger than \(\sim 10^5\) MeV, and this upper limit has been used to eliminate the electron synchrotron process in shock-heated plasma as the radiation mechanism for these objects. However, we show in this Letter that the \(\sim 50\) MeV upper limit can be violated under certain astrophysically realistic conditions.

The upper limit of 50 MeV for synchrotron radiation is arrived at by assuming a uniform magnetic field throughout shock heated plasma. We show that this upper limit can be considerably raised when the magnetic field is not uniform. A particular case we analyze in some detail is where the magnetic field decays with distance down-stream of the shock front, and find that for a range of parameters there can be a significant synchrotron flux even at several GeV (in fluid comoving frame). This is described in section 2. The calculations presented in section 2 can be easily generalized to consider a situation where magnetic field fluctuates randomly instead of decaying with distance from the shock front. The application to a few astrophysical systems are presented in §3.

2 MAXIMUM SYNCHROTRON FREQUENCY FOR SHOCK HEATED PLASMA

The argument that the maximum photon energy for synchrotron emission for shock accelerated electrons is \(\sim 50\) MeV is straightforward and goes as follows.

Electrons gain energy by a factor \(\sim 2\) whenever they cross a relativistic shock front and are scattered back to the other side. The time it takes for a charge particle of Lorentz factor \(\gamma_c\) to make this trip from one side of the shock front to the other is of order of the Larmor time, \(t_L = mc\gamma_c/(qB)\); where \(B\) is the comoving frame magnetic field strength, \(m\) is the particle mass, and \(q\) is its charge. The energy lost to synchrotron radiation during this time is \(\delta E \sim t_L \sigma_T B^2 \gamma_c^2 c/6\pi \sim \sigma_T B^2 \gamma_c^2 m c^2 / (6\pi q)\). Particle acceleration ceases when \(\delta E \sim mc^2 \gamma_c/2\), and therefore the maximum Lorentz factor a particle can attain is given by

\[ \frac{\sigma_T B^2 \gamma_c^2}{3\pi q} \sim 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_{\text{max}}^2 \sim \frac{9m^2 c^4}{8Bq^3}. \]
where \( \sigma_T = 8\pi q^2/(3m_e^2 c^4) \) is the Thomson cross-section. The synchrotron photon energy corresponding to \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \) is

\[
\hbar \nu_{\text{max}} \sim \frac{qB_{\text{max}}^2 \hbar}{2\pi mc} \sim \frac{9m_c^3 \hbar}{16\pi q^2} \tag{2}
\]

Thus, the maximum photon energy for electrons is \( \sim 50 \text{ MeV} \) and for protons \( \sim 10^7 \text{ GeV} \). These numbers might be overestimated by a factor 5-10 due to uncertainty regarding the time it takes particles to travel from one side of the shock front to the other (which could be an order of magnitude larger than the Larmor time assumed in these calculations, e.g. Achterberg et al. 2001, Lemoine & Revenu 2006, Sagi & Nakar 2012, Uchiyama et al. 2007). All calculations in this paper are affected by this uncertainty. However, the ratio of \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) for the case of a uniform magnetic field to that for an inhomogeneous field geometry, discussed below, should be fairly secure.

### 2.1 Inhomogeneous magnetic field and the maximum synchrotron frequency

Let us consider that the magnetic field decays with distance downstream of the shock front as

\[
B(x) = B_w(x/L_p)^{-\eta} + B_0 \tag{3}
\]

where \( L_p \) is the length scale over which the field decays, \( B_w \) and \( B_0 \) are the strongest and weakest magnetic field strengths in the shocked fluid, and \( x \geq L_p \). If magnetic field is generated by the Weibel mechanism then \( L_p \) is of order the plasma length scale (Medvedev & Loeb, 1999), i.e.

\[
L_p = \left[ \frac{m_p \Gamma_s^2 c^2}{(4\pi n_e q_s^2)} \right]^{1/2} = 2.2 \times 10^7 \text{cm} (\Gamma_s/n_e)^{1/2} \tag{4}
\]

where \( m_p \) is proton mass, \( \Gamma_s \) is the Lorentz factor of the shock front wrt the unshocked fluid, and \( n_e \) is the number density of electrons in the shocked fluid comoving frame.1

Particles accelerated in a shock influence the generation of magnetic fields and can substantially increase the length scale for field decay (Medvedev et al. 2005; Medvedev & Zakutnaya, 2009; Keshet et al. 2009). A larger coherence length magnetic field produced by high energy particles - which have larger plasma scale - decays on a longer time scale. However, these fields are also likely to be weaker (Medvedev & Zakutnaya, 2009), although their true decay is much smaller than the thickness of the shocked plasma, due to synchrotron loss occurring in the region of low magnetic field (\( x \gg L_p \)) which also controls the maximum Lorentz factor of electrons, provided that

\[
B_w \left[ 1 - \left( L_p/x_0 \right)^{2\eta-1} \right]/(2\eta - 1) < B_0^0 (R_L/L_p) \tag{6}
\]

where \( x_0/L_p \equiv (B_w/B_0)^{1/\eta} \); the above equation is obtained by calculating energy loss in the region of low magnetic field (\( B_0 \)) where the electron travels a distance \( \sim R_L \), and the loss in the region \( L_p \leq x < x_0 \), requiring the former to be larger. Since \( x_0/L_p \gg 1 \) for the case of interest, the above condition simplifies to

\[
(B_w/B_0)^2 \leq R_L/L_p \tag{7}
\]

when \( \eta > 1/2 \). Thus, one of the requirements for exceeding the \( \sim 50 \text{ MeV} \) limit is that the width of the region occupied by high magnetic fields (\( x_0 \)) is much smaller than \( R_L \). The above condition (eq. 6) also guarantees that the Lorentz radius of electron in the region of high magnetic field is much larger than \( L_p \), and thus the deflection of electron orbit while passing through this region is small; electrons are turned around in the region of low magnetic field which occupies most of the shocked plasma volume.

The maximum LF of an electron, \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \), is obtained by requiring that the energy loss due to synchrotron radiation in a Lorentz factor (\( R_L/c \)) not exceed \( m_e c^2 \gamma_{\text{max}}^2/2 \). The case of interest is where electrons lose their energy while traveling through the region of weak magnetic field. In this case \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \) is same as given in equation (1), i.e.

\[
\gamma_{\text{max}}^2 \sim \frac{9m_c^3 c^4}{8q^2 B_0^2} \tag{8}
\]

This Lorentz factor must satisfy the condition that \( R_L (\gamma_{\text{max}}) \) is smaller than the comoving radial width of the shocked fluid (the width is \( R/\Gamma \) by causality argument), i.e. the electron is confined to the system. This requires

\[
B_0 \geq \left[ \frac{3\pi}{\sigma_T q} \right]^{1/3} \left( m_e c^2 \Gamma/R \right)^{2/3} = 0.1 \text{ mG} \left( \Gamma/R_{\gamma} \right)^{2/3} \tag{9}
\]
where $\Gamma$ is the Lorentz factor of the shocked fluid, $R$ is the distance of the shock front from the center of explosion, and we have adopted the widely used convenient notation $x_n \equiv x/10^n$.

Electrons also suffer IC loss of energy which is ignored here; considering that $\gamma_{\text{max}} \sim 10^8 B_0^{-1/2}$, IC scatterings are in Klein-Nishina regime and thus the IC loss is highly suppressed (Barniol Duran & Kumar, 2011).

The maximum synchrotron frequency, in shock comoving frame, is given by

$$\nu_{\text{max}} \sim \frac{q_0^2 B_w B_0}{2\pi m_e c} \sim \frac{9 m_e c^3}{16 \pi q_0^2} \left( \frac{B_w}{B_0} \right) \sim 50 \text{ MeV}(B_w/B_0),$$

which is larger than the case of a uniform magnetic field by a factor $B_w/B_0$.

We next estimate the synchrotron flux at $\nu_{\text{max}}$ to see whether it lies on the powerlaw extension of flux at lower frequencies ($< 50$ MeV) or not.

Let us consider electrons of LF $> \gamma_{\text{max}}/2^{1/2}$ which produce synchrotron photons of frequency $> \nu_{\text{max}}/2$. By the definition of $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ these electrons will lose half of their energy while traveling downstream of the shock front. The energy fraction of these electrons lost to synchrotron radiation ($\xi$) while they travel through the region where the magnetic field strength is $\sim B_w$ is

$$\xi \sim \frac{B_w^2 L_p}{B_0^2 R_L(\gamma_{\text{max}})} \sim 2 \times 10^{-4} \left[ \frac{B_w}{B_0} \right]^2 B_0^{3/2} (\Gamma \nu_c/n_c)^{1/2}$$

where $R_L(\gamma_{\text{max}}) = m_e c^2 \gamma_{\text{max}}/(q B_0)$ is the Larmor radius, and we made use of equations (4) & (5) to substitute for $L_p$ & $\gamma_{\text{max}}$. The frequency of radiation produced in the region of high magnetic field ($B_w$) is $\sim \nu_{\text{max}}$ which is given by equation (10). Much of the rest of the electron energy is lost to radiation in the region occupied by the weaker field $B_0$, and the synchrotron frequency of the emergent radiation from this region is $\nu_{\text{max}}(B_0/B_w) \equiv \nu_{\text{low}}$, where $\nu_{\text{low}} \sim 50$ MeV is the maximum synchrotron frequency when the magnetic field is uniform.

The specific flux at $\nu_{\text{max}}$ is obtained from the equation

$$\nu_{\text{max}} f(\nu_{\text{max}}) \sim \xi \nu_{\text{low}} f(\nu_{\text{low}}).$$

Therefore, the spectral index between $\nu_{\text{uw}}$ and $\nu_{\text{max}}$ as defined by $f_\nu \propto \nu^\beta$ is

$$\beta = -1 + \frac{\ln \xi / \ln \nu_{\text{max}}}{\ln \nu_{\text{max}} / \ln \nu_{\text{low}}} \equiv 1 + \frac{1.5 \ln \left( B_0 / B_0 \right) + 0.5 \ln (\Gamma \nu_c/n_c) - 8.5}{\ln (B_w/B_0)}$$

(12)

The second equality is obtained by substituting for $\xi$ from equation (11). It can be shown, after some algebra, that $\beta$ cannot be larger than $-\pi/2$, where $p$ is the index for electron distribution.

We note that the synchrotron radiation formula can only be used provided that the magnetic field is coherent on scale $\sim R_L(\gamma_{\text{max}}) \sim m_ec^2/(q B_0) \sim 1.7 \times 10^3 B_0^{-3/2}$ cm. The coherence scale for magnetic field close to the shock-front is $\sim L_p \sim 2 \times 10^{10} (\Gamma \nu_c/n_c)^{1/2}$ cm. Thus, we see that the coherence length for magnetic fields is much larger than $R_L(\gamma_{\text{max}})$ as long as $B_w \gtrsim 0.1$ mG. The coherence scale grows with increasing distance from the shock front (since smaller scale fields have time to decay), and so does the Larmor radius. However, as long as $B_0 \ll 1$ mG, the magnetic field is coherent on length scales that are much larger than $R_L(\gamma_{\text{max}})$ everywhere downstream of the shock front. Therefore, it is safe to use the synchrotron radiation results as we have in this section.

3 When magnetic field changes on a length scale that is short compared with electron Larmor radius divided by its Lorentz factor, the radiation produced is in the jitter regime which is discussed in Medvedev, (2000).

### 3 APPLICATIONS TO GRBS AND SUPERNova REMNANTS

We consider two particular applications of the results found in the previous section, one of which is the application to GRB afterglow radiation, and the other is the application to synchrotron radiation from SN remnants.

#### 3.1 Gamma-ray Bursts

The relativistic jet produced in GRBs drives a shock wave into the medium in the vicinity of the burst, and synchrotron radiation from shock heated plasma is responsible for the long lived afterglow radiation from radio to $\gamma$-ray frequencies (eg. Piran, 2004; Meszaros 2006; Gehrels et al. 2009; Zhang 2007). Roughly half of the GRB jet energy is imparted to the surrounding medium at the deceleration radius, $R_d$, which is given by, eg. Piran (2004), Zhang (2007)

$$R_d \equiv \frac{3E}{4\pi n_0 m_p c^2 \Gamma_0^2} \sim 1.2 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}(E_{53}/n_0)^{1/3} \Gamma_{0.2}^{2/3},$$

(13)

where $E$ is the isotropic equivalent of energy carried by the jet, $n_0$ is the average number density of protons in the unshocked medium within the region of radius $R_d$, and $\Gamma_0$ is the initial Lorentz factor of the jet. The Lorentz factor of the jet decreases with distance as $R^{-3/2}$. The comoving radial width of the shocked plasma is $\theta R \sim R/T$, and therefore $\theta R/L_p \sim 10^{5} R_2 \Gamma_{2}^{-1} (n_c/V)$.

The minimum magnetic field expected in the shocked fluid is $B_0 = 4\Gamma B_{\text{asm}}$ which is simply the field of the GRB circum-stellar-medium (CSM) compressed by the relativistic shock by a factor $4\Gamma$; $B_{\text{asm}}$ is the field in the CSM at a distance $\sim R_d$ from the center of explosion and is expected to be of order a few $\mu$G. Thus, the condition that electrons of Lorentz factor $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ are confined to the shocked fluid by the weak magnetic field (see eq 5) is satisfied for GRB external shocks as long as the shock is highly relativistic ($\Gamma \gtrsim 10$).

The field is likely amplified by a large factor immediately downstream of the shock front by the two-stream instability, such that the energy density in the magnetic field is a fraction, $\epsilon_B$, of the thermal energy density of the shocked fluid (Medvedev & Loeb, 1999). In this case

$$B_w \sim (32\pi B_{\text{asm}} n_0 m_p c^2 \Gamma^2)^{1/2},$$

(14)

with $\epsilon_B \sim 10^{-1}$ (Medvedev & Loeb, 1999); we have used here the relativistic self-similar shock solution (Blandford & McKee, 1976) according to which the thermal energy density behind the shock front is $4\pi n_0 m_p c^2 T^2$. This magnetic field has a coherence length of order the plasma scale and decays downstream of the shock front (Gruzinov, 2001; Sironi & Spitkovsky, 2011; but see also Medvedev et al. 2005). It is unclear what is the exact value of the field very far from the shock front. According to recent numerical simulations of Sironi & Spitkovsky (2011) the far field might be of order the shocked compressed ISM field; empirically we know from GRB afterglows that $\epsilon_B \lesssim 10^{-4}$ for a large fraction of bursts (see §2.1 for references to a number of papers on this topic). Therefore, for GRB afterglows we expect the ratio between $B_w$ and $B_0$ to be larger than $\sim 10^6$ and possibly of order

$$\frac{B_w}{B_0} \sim \frac{\left( 2\pi B_{\text{asm}} n_0 m_p c^2 \right)^{1/2} c}{B_{\text{asm}}} \sim 9.5 \times 10^3 (\epsilon_B n_0)^{1/2} B_{\text{asm}}^{-1}$$

(15)

where $B_{\text{asm}}^{-5}$ is the ISM magnetic field in units of $10 \mu G$; the precise value of $B_w/B_0$ is not important as long as it is larger than
The maximum energy for synchrotron photons in the observer frame is
\[ \nu_{\text{max}} \approx \frac{3.8 \times 10^{18}}{E_{51} n_0^{2/3} v_{0,9}^{-2/3}} \, \text{cm}^{-1} \] (17)
where \( E_{51} \) is the kinetic energy of the remnant, and \( v_{0,9} \) is its initial speed in unit of \( 10^9 \) cm/s. The radius increases with time as \( R \sim \frac{1}{3} T_9^{2/3} \) during the adiabatic expansion phase of the remnant. The radial width of the remnant \( \delta R \) is roughly \( 1/5 \) of its radius, and the proton-plasma length \( L_p \sim 2 \times 10^5 n_0^{1/2} \) cm. Therefore, \( \delta R/L_p \gtrsim 10^{11} \).

The equipartition magnetic field for the remnant is
\[ B_\text{w} \sim \left( 4 \sigma T_9 / \Delta \right)^{1/2} v \, \text{mG} \] (18)
where \( v \) is the remnant speed. The mean magnetic field of the remnant should be larger than, or equal to, the shock compressed magnetic field of the CSM, i.e. \( B_0 \gtrsim 5 B_{\text{ISM}} \sim 10 \mu \text{G} \). Therefore, \( B_\text{w}/B_0 \gtrsim 10^2 \).

The maximum Lorentz factor of electron for this mean magnetic field is
\[ \gamma_{\text{max}} \sim \left( 3 \sigma \gamma / (\sigma T B_0) \right)^{1/2} \sim 3 \times 10^{10} \] (see eq. 8), and its Larmor radius is \( 5 \times 10^{13} \text{cm} \) which is smaller than the shell width when the velocity drops below \( 10^6 \text{cm/s} \).

Several bursts detected by the Fermi satellite show emission above \( 10 \) GeV in the GRB host galaxy rest frame: Abdo et al. (2009a,b, 2010), Ackermann et al. (2010, 2011). These bursts violate the conventional upper limit of \( \sim 50 \text{GeV} / (1 + z) \) GeV for synchrotron radiation from shock heated plasma, eg. Piran & Nakar (2010). However, the \( > 10^4 \text{MeV} \) data for these bursts is in excellent agreement with synchrotron radiation from the external shock, which also provides a very nice fit to the late time x-ray, optical and radio data using the same exact parameters that provide a fit to the early \( > 10^2 \text{MeV} \) data (Kumar & Barniol Duran, 2009, 2010).

This conflict between the excellent fit to the high energy data on one hand and the conventional upper limit on synchrotron photon energy of \( \sim 5 \text{GeV} \) on the other hand can be resolved provided that the magnetic field just behind the shock front is much larger than far down-stream; photons of energy \( \gtrsim 5 \text{GeV} \) are produced by electrons of LF \( \sim \gamma_{\text{max}} \) while they travel through regions of stronger magnetic field close to the shock front.

We note that there is a large uncertainty regarding the length scale over which fields decay, \( B_w \) & \( B_0 \). However, the comoving frame 50 MeV limit on synchrotron photons is violated whenever \( B_w/B_0 \gtrsim 10 \), and the distance electrons of LF \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \) travel before losing their energy to radiation is large compared to the length-scale for the decay of magnetic field. The data for GRBs with \( \gtrsim 10 \text{GeV} \) emission show that the volume averaged magnetic field in the shocked fluid is a factor \( 10^3 \) smaller than the equipartition value (Kumar & Barniol Duran, 2010) whereas the Weibel field decays over one order of magnitude even in the worst case lies on a slightly steeper power-law extension of the lower energy spectrum.
\( L_c \sim c t_c \sim 8 \times 10^{18} \) cm which is of order of the remnant width when the remnant velocity drops below \( 10^3 \)\( \text{cms}^{-1} \).

Thus, \( L_c/L_p \sim 10^{11} \), and so \( L_c/L_p \gg (B_w/B_0)^2 \sim 10^4 \). Therefore, the specific flux at 50 MeV \( (B_w/B_0) \sim 5 \text{GeV} \) is a factor \( \xi \lesssim 10^7 \) smaller than the flux at 50 MeV, which is perhaps too small to be of practical consequence. However, if the magnetic field in the remnant decays not on the plasma length scale but on \( \sim 10^5 \) times the plasma scale then the flux at \( \nu_{\text{max}} \sim 5 \text{GeV} \) would lie on the extension of the powerlaw spectrum at lower energies.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that photons of \( \geq 10 \text{ GeV} \) energy observed from several GRBs by the Fermi satellite can be produced via the synchrotron process in the shock heated circum-stellar medium. The conventional wisdom that the maximum energy of photons in this situation should not exceed \( \sim 50 \gamma/(1+z) \) MeV \( \sim 5 \text{GeV} \) is violated because this limit is obtained by assuming a uniform magnetic field down-stream of the shock front. However, when the field is much stronger close to the shock front and decays downstream (such as when magnetic fields are generated by Weibel-type instability\(^6\)), the maximum photon energy is larger than this limit by a factor of the ratio of field just behind the shock front and far downstream: photons of energy \( \gtrsim 10^2 \text{GeV} \) (in observer frame) can be generated this way in GRB early afterglows.

The upper limit of \( \sim 50 \text{ MeV} \) is difficult to violate for synchrotron radiation from supernova remnants unless the field were to decay on a length scale much larger than proton plasma length but smaller than the distance highest energy electrons travel before losing half their energy.
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