Charm quarks in medium and their contribution to di-electron spectra in relativistic heavy ion collisions
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We study the dynamics of charm quarks in the partonic medium and its implication to the di-electron spectra in high energy heavy ion collisions. The charm quarks traversing a thermal medium is simulated by the relativistic Langevin equation for elastic scatterings of charm quarks by thermal partons in an expanding fireball. The transport coefficients of charm quarks are calculated by the in-medium T-matrix method, where a static heavy quark potential is used with parameters fitted by the lattice QCD results. The di-electron invariant mass spectra are computed in most central collisions and are compared to the STAR data. The angular correlations of di-electrons are almost the same in p+p and Au+Au collisions in the mass range 1.1 < M < 2.5 GeV/c^2 with the back-to-back feature. This means that the angular correlation is intact even with medium interaction at the RHIC energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The goal of heavy ion collision experiments at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [1, 2] and Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [3] is to search for and then study properties of the new state of matter, the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP). The jet quenching and strong elliptic flow observed at RHIC [4, 5] and LHC [6] indicate that the hot and dense medium interacts strongly and behaves as a nearly prefect fluid [7, 8], so it is called the strongly coupled QGP or sQGP. Among all observables to pin down the sQGP, electromagnetic probes such as photons and dileptons are expected to provide clean signatures due to their weak couplings to the hot and dense matter [9, 10].

The di-electron invariant mass spectrum is usually divided into the low, intermediate and high mass regions (LMR, IMR and HMR), based on the notion that each region is dominated by different sources. In the LMR, M \lesssim 1 GeV/c^2, the medium modification of the \( \rho \) meson spectral function is the key to describe the di-muon enhancement in the NA60 experiment at the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) [11, 13], as well as the di-electron enhancement in the STAR experiment at RHIC [14, 15]. In the IMR, 1 \lesssim M \lesssim 3 GeV/c^2, the thermal quark-quark annihilation in the QGP phase was proposed to provide a measurable signal for the de-confinement phase transition at the RHIC energy [16]. However, in this mass region, the dilepton yields from semi-leptonic decays of open charm hadrons increase rapidly with collisional energies. In Ref. [14] by some of us, a naive model was used to estimate electrons from open charm decays. It was found that electrons from open charm hadron decays out-populate the thermal ones at the RHIC energy in the IMR.

The charm and bottom quarks are not expected to fully equilibrate in the hot and dense medium, so they are regarded as hard probes to the partonic medium due to their large mass scales compared to the temperature. Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (PQCD) calculations predicted a less energy loss for heavy quarks than for light quarks [17] due to the “dead-cone” effect [18]. But the measurements of non-photonic electrons from semi-leptonic decays of heavy flavor hadrons at RHIC [19] give strongly suppressed nuclear modification factor \( R_{AA} \) and large elliptic flow \( v_2 \). This implies a substantial modification of heavy quark spectra when they traverse the hot and dense medium.

There are a variety of models on the market for heavy quarks in partonic medium, such as the heavy quark diffusion model using the Fokker-Planck-Langevin equation [20–23] and the model based on the Boltzmann transport simulation [24, 25], etc.. These models show that in order to obtain the same suppression of \( R_{AA} \) for heavy quarks as for light quarks, much larger transport coefficients of heavy quarks than standard PQCD prediction have to be used in the diffusion process. This implies non-perturbative effects. The in-medium T-matrix method is one of non-perturbative models for heavy-light and heavy-gluon interactions [22, 24, 26] with a static heavy quark potential extracted from the lattice QCD (LQCD) with relativistic corrections. This reduces the thermalization time of heavy quarks 3-4 times shorter than the PQCD prediction.

In high energy heavy ion collisions, charm quark pairs are produced back to back in their center of mass frame in the gluon fusion process, \( gg \rightarrow c\bar{c} \). The angular correlation of charm quark pairs are expected to be modified by the interaction of charm quarks with the surrounding partonic medium [28, 30]. In the previous work by some of us [14], the medium modification of the angular correlation was neglected. In this paper, we will adopt a more realistic description for the dynamics of charm quarks in partonic medium and then provide a better model for the di-electrons from open charm hadron decays.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we give an introduction about the thermal dilepton production with the charm background in high energy heavy ion collisions. In Sec. III we give an introduction of the relativistic Fokker-Planck-Langevin equation for charm quark diffusion in the partonic medium. The space-time evolution can be described by a (2+1)-dimension hydrodynamical model. In Sec. IV we calculate observables related to open charm hadrons, such as transverse momentum spectra of \( D^0 \) mesons and the nuclear modification factor for electrons from semi-leptonic decays of

\[ M \approx M_{QGP} \approx 3 \text{ GeV} \]
II. THERMAL DILEPTON PRODUCTION WITH CHARM BACKGROUND

In this section we give an introduction about the thermal dilepton production with the charm background in high energy heavy ion collisions. The production rate of thermal dilepton in heavy ion collisions is given by \( \frac{dN_{ll}}{d^3xd^4p} = -\frac{\alpha}{4\pi^3 M^2} n_B(p\cdot u) \left( 1 + \frac{2m_j^2}{M^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_j^2}{M^2}} \text{Im}\Pi^R(p,T) \). \( \text{(1)} \)

where \( m_j \) is the lepton mass, \( \alpha = 1/137 \) is the electromagnetic fine structure constant, \( M \) and \( p \) are the dilepton invariant mass and four momentum vector respectively, \( \Pi^R \) is the retarded polarization tensor from the quark loop in the partonic phase or hadronic loops in the hadronic phase. For the hadronic phase, we include the medium modifications of vector mesons from scatterings of vector mesons by hadrons in the thermal medium as was done in Ref. \[14\]. The Bose-Einstein distribution function \( n_B(p\cdot u) = 1/(\exp(p\cdot u/T) - 1) \) depends on the fluid velocity \( u \) and the local temperature \( T \) of the thermal medium as functions of space-time, which are described by a (2+1)-dimension ideal hydrodynamical model \[14,16\]. The distribution of the initial energy density is determined by the Glauber model with 5% of the contribution from binary collisions. We use the LQCD equation of state (EOS), namely S95P-PCE, which has a wide range of phase transition temperatures from 184 to 220 MeV. The chemical and kinetic freeze-out temperatures are set to \( T_{\text{chem}} = 150 \) MeV and \( T_i = 106 \) MeV. We focus on most central collisions of Au+Au at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \) GeV and set the impact parameter as \( b = 2.4 \) fm. The initial energy density at the center of the overlapping region at \( b = 0 \) is set to \( \epsilon_0 = 55 \) GeV/fm\(^3\) and the equilibrium time to \( \tau_0 = 0.4 \) fm by fitting the transverse momentum spectra of long-lived hadrons \[14\].

The semi-leptonic decays of open charm hadrons contribute to the dilepton background. Such a background cannot be removed by current experiments. To simulate this background in our calculations, we use the Monte Carlo event generator PYTHIA \[31\] (set MSEL=4, i.e. choose the charm production with massive matrix elements) for p+p collisions. The dilepton yield in the mass range \( [1.1,2.5] \) GeV/c\(^2\) is dominated by semi-leptonic decays of open charm hadrons. In the PHENIX acceptance the integrated yield of di-electrons per event from heavy-flavor decays in that range is \( (4.21 \pm 0.28 \pm 1.02) \times 10^{-8} \) \[32\]. With the branching ratio of charm quarks to electrons \[33\] and the correction to the geometrical acceptance, the rapidity density of \( c\bar{c} \) pairs can be estimated \[32\]. We use the PYTHIA event generator with the PHENIX acceptance to reproduce in Fig. I(a) the di-electron spectra from open charm hadrons in p+p collisions. The result for heavy ion collisions is obtained by multiplying the p+p collision result by the binary collision number of heavy ion collisions as shown in Fig. Fig. I(b).

We compute the di-electron spectra in most central collisions with STAR acceptance: \( p_T > 0.2 \) GeV/c, \( |y^{\text{lept}}| < 1 \) and \( |\eta^{\text{lep}}| < 1 \) for the transverse momentum and rapidity of di-electrons and the pseudo-rapidity of individual electrons, respectively, see Fig. I(b). We include vector mesons decays after thermal freeze-out (blue-dash-dotted line) and the Dalitz decays of \( \eta \) and \( \omega \) (black-dash-dot-dotted line). We do not include the medium modifications of charm quarks here. By contrast a simple parametrization was used in Ref. \[14\] about the nuclear modification factor for single electrons from open charm decays. As shown in the figure, the charm background (blue-dash-dot-dotted line) dominates over the contributions from the QGP phase (red-long-dashed line) and the hadronic phase (red-dashed line). The \( p_T \) spectra of charm quarks as well as the angular correlation of the \( c\bar{c} \) pairs can be
modified by their interaction with the thermalized light partons. This has to be described in a more realistic and reasonable model. This is what we will do in this paper.

III. CHARM QUARKS IN PARTONIC MEDIUM

In this section we will describe our model for charm quarks traversing the partonic medium based on the Langevin equation for heavy quark diffusion and the (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamical model for the fireball expansion.

A. Fokker-Planck-Langevin equation

The charm quarks traversing in a partonic medium will change their momentum distribution by interaction with thermal partons. Due to its heavy mass, the movement of a charm quark in the partonic medium can be treated as Brownian motion governed by the Langevin equation [36, 37],

\[ dx^i = \frac{p^i}{E} \, dt, \]
\[ dp^i = -a^i_j p^j dt + c^i_j \odot dB^j(t), \]

where \( x^i \) and \( p^i \) denote the i-th spatial components of the position and momentum vectors \( x \) and \( p \) of the charm quark, \( a^i_j \) and \( c^i_j \) are coefficients which are related to drag force and diffusion, \( dB^i(t) \) are noise variables which are specified by their correlations

\[ \langle dB^i(t) \rangle = 0, \]
\[ \langle dB^i(t) dB^j(t') \rangle = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij}\sqrt{dt}, & t = t' \\ 0, & t \neq t' \end{cases}. \]

We can choose the standard Gaussian noise satisfying the above correlation,

\[ \mathcal{P}(dB(t) \in [y,y+dy]) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi dt} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left( -\frac{y^2}{2dt} \right), \]

where \( dB(t) = \sqrt{\sum_i (dB^i)^2} \). The symbol \( \odot \) in Eq. (3) denotes the discretization rule.

We use post-point discretization, then the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation is [37]

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i} \left( \left( a^i_j p^j - c^i_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p^j} c^j_i f \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^k} \left( c^k_i c^j_i f \right) \right), \]

where \( f \) is the phase-space distribution of heavy quarks. If the heat bath is stationary, isotropic and homogeneous, the coefficients take the simple diagonal form [37]

\[ a^i_j = \Gamma(E) \delta^i_j, \quad c^i_j = \sqrt{2D(E)\delta^i_j}, \]

where \( \Gamma(E) \) and \( D(E) \) are the drag and diffusion coefficient respectively. The generalized fluctuation-dissipation relation (the equilibrium condition) gives

\[ D(E) = \frac{E}{T} \Gamma(E), \]

where \( E = \sqrt{p^2 + m_Q^2} \) is the charm quark energy. We will use the form \( (7) \) for \( a^i_j \) and \( c^i_j \) in our calculation.

The Fokker-Planck equation can be derived from the Boltzmann equation in the Landau approximation [36],

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i} \left[ A(E)p^i f + \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i} \left( B^j(p) f \right) \right], \]

where the relaxation rate is given by

\[ A(E) = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} E_q f_i(x,q) \]
\[ \times \left\{ \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} E_{p'} \int \frac{d^3q'}{(2\pi)^3} E_{q'} \left( 1 - \frac{p \cdot p'}{p^2} \right) \right\} \times |\mathcal{M}(s)|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p + q - p' - q'), \]

where \( f_i(x,q) \) is the phase-space distribution for light quarks or gluons, and \( q \) and \( q' \) denote their 4-momenta. The heavy quark 4-momenta are denoted by \( p, p' \) whose spatial components are \( p_i, p'_i \) respectively. The function \( B^j(p) \) is a momentum integral similar to \( A(E) \). Comparing with Eqs. (2)–(9), we have

\[ \Gamma(E) = A(E) + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} D(E), \]

where we used \( \frac{\partial}{\partial p^i} \) \( = (p^i/k) \frac{\partial}{\partial E}. \) In Eq. (10), \( \mathcal{M}(s) \) is the scattering amplitude of a heavy quark by a light quark or a gluon. Note that \( A(E) \) and \( \Gamma(E) \) depend on temperature via \( f_i(x,q) \) and \( \mathcal{M}(s) \).

B. Charm quark diffusion in expanding partonic medium

1. Charm quark transport coefficients

As mentioned in the introduction, the PQCD calculation of heavy quark transport coefficients cannot reproduce the data for the heavy quark \( R_{AA} \) and the elliptic flow, so non-perturbative effects have to be included. To this end, we adopt the in-medium T-matrix method based on the static heavy quark potential [22, 26] to calculate \( \mathcal{M}(s) \). The potential is given by a microscopic model with a Coulomb and a confinement component, where the free parameters are fixed by comparison with the color-averaged free energy of charm quarks from LQCD [27].

We choose “potential-1” in Ref. [27] which corresponds to the (2+1)-flavors LQCD result. The internal energy is used as the interaction kernel in the T-matrix equation. The 4-dimensional Bethe-Salpeter type T-matrix equation can be reduced to 1-dimensional equation by the Thompson method and partial-wave expansion. The charm quark scattering with light quarks [27] and gluons [28] are all considered. In Fig. 3(a) we show the relaxation rate of the charm quark as functions of 3-momenta at different temperatures. The contributions from \( u/d \) and s quarks and from gluons at \( T = 294 \) MeV are shown in Fig. 3(b). The relaxation rate given by
2. Initial and freeze-out condition

We use a 2+1 dimension ideal hydrodynamical model to simulate the thermal medium for both the calculation of dilepton production rate and simulation of charm quarks’ movement in medium. Instead of using PYTHIA simulation as in our previous work summarized in Sec. II, we generate the charm quark pairs by PYTHIA, and we put them to the thermal medium and let them evolve under Langevin evolution, then we put them back to PYTHIA for them to undergo the following process. Before the equilibration time \( \tau_0 \), we assume charm quarks move as free streaming.

We choose the freeze-out temperature of open charm hadrons to be the transition temperature \( T_c = 184 \text{ MeV} \). Actually there is no rigorous definition of the transition temperature for a crossover manifested by the lattice equation of state. By the transition temperature here we mean the hadronic/partonic phase below/above it. Note that the freeze-out temperature of light hadrons \( (= 106 \text{ MeV}) \) is much lower than the transition temperature. We assume that charm quarks hadronize at the freeze-out temperature to form charm hadrons (mostly open charm mesons) and decouple from the medium immediately. It is shown in Ref. [38] that if open charm hadrons undergo further interaction with the hadronic medium, their transverse momentum spectra are suppressed by about 20 - 25% for \( p_T = 3 - 10 \text{ GeV/c} \) at RHIC energy. We will discuss below that such an effect can be partially achieved by decreasing the freeze-out temperature.

3. Hydro-Langevin evolution

To solve the Fokker-Planck-Langevin equation in a expanding fluid, at each space-time point, we boost the charm quark momentum to the local rest frame of the fluid cell at the position of the charm quark, then let the charm quark undergo Brownian motion and change its position and momentum, and finally boost it back to the lab frame [23]. So the phase space state of the charm quark is traced in the lab frame. The time interval for the position and momentum update in the Langevin equation are kept equal to that for the temperature and fluid velocity update in hydrodynamical evolution. We set it to \( d\tau = 0.01 \text{ fm} \) in the lab frame. The time interval in one fluid cell is given by [39]

\[
\Delta\tau = \frac{\gamma d\tau (E_Q - p_1 v_1 - p_2 v_2)}{E_Q} \tag{12}
\]

where \( E_Q, p_1, p_2 \) are the energy and the spatial components of a charm quark momentum, \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are the spatial components of the fluid velocity at the charm quark position, and \( \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}_1^2 - \mathbf{v}_2^2} \) is the Lorentz contraction factor. All above quantities are defined in the lab frame. We then put charm quarks to perform the Hydro-Langevin simulation step by step. In each step, the momentum diffusion of the charm quark is controlled by the drag and diffusion coefficients as functions of the charm quark’s 3-momentum and the temperature of the fluid cell at the position of the charm quark.

As long as the local temperature of the fluid cell at the position of the charm quark is below \( T_c \), we stop the Langevin evolution of the charm quark and record its final position and momentum. After all charm quarks complete their evolution, we obtain the \( p_T \) spectra as well as the angular correlation of charm quark pairs.
Figure 3: (Color online) The \( p_T \) spectra and azimuthal angular correlation of charm quarks. The integrated multiplicities are normalized to unit. (a) The \( p_T \) spectra of charm quarks in the initial state without medium modification and those after Hydro-Langevin evolution in the final state. The nuclear modification factor is shown in the inset. (b) The azimuthal angular correlation of charm quark pairs in the initial and final states. The different \( p_T \) cutoffs are chosen. The freeze-out temperature is set to \( T_c = 184 \) MeV.

C. Numerical result and parameter dependence

1. \( R_{AA} \) and correlation in azimuthal angles

In Fig. 3 we show the final \( p_T \) distribution of charm quarks and the correlation in azimuthal angles for charm quark pairs in Hydro-Langevin simulation. The comparison with the PYTHIA results is also given. The nuclear modification factor \( R_{AA} \), which measures the charm quark energy loss in medium, is shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a).

We can see in Fig. 3(b) that the medium modification of the correlation in azimuthal angles of charm quark pairs is small in both low and high \( p_T \) range. At high \( p_T \), the azimuthal angular correlation changes little after charm quark pairs pass through the medium, this is because the relaxation rate at high \( p_T \) is small, see Fig. 2 so the angular deflection of the charm quark is small in each scattering by thermal partons. At low \( p_T \), though a large angular deflection may occur in each scattering which would reduce the angular correlation, since the original azimuthal angular correlation is already very weak, such a reduction can hardly be observed. The reason for such results is that a large default value \( \langle k_T \rangle = 2 \) \( \text{GeV} \) for the Gaussian \( k_T \) width of primordial partons in colliding protons is used in PYTHIA 6.4 which dismisses the angular correlation of low \( p_T \) charm quark pairs. This is different from the result of Ref. [30] which used momentum-independent transport coefficients and a lower \( \langle k_T \rangle \) value. It is shown that if the thermal medium has large collective flow, such as the partonic medium created at the LHC energy, the near side instead of the back-to-back correlation of charm quark pairs would appear. At such a high collisional energy, the next-to-leading order PQCD processes for charm quark pairs become more important, and regeneration of charm quarks in the partonic medium should also be taken into account [24], which will further modify the angular correlation of charm quark pairs at low \( p_T \).

2. Parameters dependance

To look at the dependence of the correlation in azimuthal angles on \( \langle k_T \rangle \), we set \( \langle k_T \rangle = 1 \) \( \text{GeV} \), i.e. the default value of PYTHIA 6.3. This corresponds to a softer \( p_T \) distribution of charm quarks in the initial state. Then the change of the azimuthal angular correlation at low \( p_T \) is more obvious, but the correlation at high \( p_T \) is insensitive to the value of \( \langle k_T \rangle \). The nuclear modification factors for two values of \( \langle k_T \rangle \) in PYTHIA are shown in Fig. 4(b).

The freeze-out temperature \( T_c \) influences the lifetime of the partonic medium and then the degree of equilibrium of charm quarks. To look at the \( T_c \) dependence, we tune it to a lower value, \( T_c = 159 \) \( \text{MeV} \), which corresponds to energy density \( \varepsilon_c = 0.445 \) \( \text{GeV/fm}^3 \). The lower freeze-out temperature gives a longer evolution time of charm quarks in the partonic medium and more interaction with thermal partons, which give additional contribution to their energy loss, as shown in Fig. 5. The diffusion effect of open charm hadrons in hadronic medium can partially be accounted by lower freeze-out temperature, in this sense our result is similar to a Langevin simulation of open charm hadrons in hadronic medium [38].

IV. EXPERIMENTAL OBSERVABLES

A. Non-photonic electrons and di-electron background

After Hydro-Langevin evolution in the partonic medium, charm quarks are put back to the same event in PYTHIA to undergo hadronization and resonance decays. In Fig. 2(a), we show the \( p_T \) spectra and the nuclear modification factor of \( \ell^0 \). The result of \( R_{AA} \) for single electrons from semi-leptonic decays of open charm hadrons is shown in Fig. 2(b), in comparison with the PHENIX data in central Au+Au collisions.
Figure 4: (Color online) (a) Same as Fig. 3(b) but with \( \langle k_T \rangle = 1 \) GeV/c. The integrated multiplicities are normalized to unit. (b) The nuclear modification factors with Hydro-Langevin evolution for charm quarks in partonic medium for two values of \( \langle k_T \rangle \) in PYTHIA.

Figure 5: (Color online) Comparison the energy loss of charm quarks with different decoupling temperature. The medium effect of charm quarks is given by the Hydro-Langevin evolution.

Figure 6: (Color online) (a) The \( p_T \) spectra and the nuclear modification factor for \( D^0 \) mesons. The integrated multiplicity is normalized to unity. The nuclear modification factor is shown in the inset. (b) The nuclear modification factor of electrons from semi-leptonic decays of open charm hadrons. The data are taken from PHENIX [40].

Our result agrees with the data at intermediate \( p_T \). In the low and high \( p_T \) range, the disagreement is large. In order to improve our result in this region, we have to use the coalescence model to describe the hadronization of the charm mesons and to include the contribution from bottom hadron decays.

Now we come back to the di-electron invariant mass spectra as shown in Fig. 1(b) but using the charm background with medium modification from hydro-Langevin simulation. We see in Fig. 7(a) that the medium effect suppresses the charm background in IMR and HMR compared to the PYTHIA result, and the medium modified charm background (black-long-dashed line) dominates over the signal (blue-dashed line) in the IMR. A better agreement with the data has been achieved. The contribution from open charm hadrons by hydro-Langevin simulation is larger (or less suppressed) in the IMR than our previous result [14].

In general, the suppression of the charm background in the
Figure 7: (Color online) (a) Same as Fig. 1(b) but the charm background is simulated by Hydro-Langevin evolution. (b) The $p_T$ spectra for charm and light quarks. The light quark distribution at the freeze-out is given by the Cooper-Frye-type formula,

$$\frac{dN}{d^2p_Tdy} = \frac{g_l}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{T_f=184\text{ MeV}} d\Sigma_p p^\mu n_F(p \cdot u),$$

where $\Sigma_p$ denotes the normal vector of the freeze-out hypersurface, $n_F$ is the Fermi distribution, and $g_l$ is the degeneracy factor.

The $p_T$ distribution of a charm meson formed in the coalescence of a charm and a light quark is given by [44]

$$\frac{dN}{d^2p_T} = \frac{g_M (2\sqrt{\pi} \sigma)^3}{V} \int d^2p_1 d^2p_T \frac{dN_q}{d^2p_1} \frac{dN_Q}{d^2p_T} \times \exp(-k^2 \sigma^2) \delta(p_T - p_1^Q - p_F^Q),$$

where $g_M$ is the statistical factor and $k = (m_1^Q p_1^Q - m_Q p_T^Q)/(m_1 + m_Q)$ with $m_1, m_Q$ being the quark masses and $p_1^Q, p_T^Q$ being transverse momenta defined in the center-of-mass frame of the charm meson. The width parameter is given by $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{\mu \omega}$ with $\mu = m_1 m_Q/(m_1 + m_Q)$ and $\omega = 0.33\text{ GeV}$ [44].

In Fig. 8 we show the $p_T$ and $R_{AA}$ spectra for $D_0$ mesons in coalescence hadronization scenario. We find that the $R_{AA}$ from the coalescence model is much larger than that from the fragmentation model. Since the coalescence mechanism dominates in the low $p_T$ region, we expect that the coalescence model could give a better description of single electron $R_{AA}$.
at low $p_T$ than Fig. 6(b). We will investigate the charm quark hadronization in details in a separate paper.

In our work, we focus on the IMR di-electrons, most of which are from open charm hadron decays with intermediate and high transverse momenta. This can be seen by the obvious back-to-back azimuthal angular correlations of di-electrons in Fig. 7(c). Given the uncertainties of coalescence probability in the IMR, we expect that the modification from the coalescence/recombination to the IMR di-electrons would be small.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

We investigate the medium modification of charm quarks when they traverse the partonic medium using the relativistic Fokker-Planck-Langevin equation for elastic scatterings of charm quarks by thermal partons in a hydrodynamically expanding fireball. The transport coefficients of charm quarks are calculated by the in-medium T-matrix approximation with the static heavy quark potential, where the free parameters are fitted by the color-average free energy of charm quarks from LQCD. The space-time history of the fireball is provided by the (2+1)-dimension ideal hydrodynamical model. We find that the suppression of charm quark transverse momentum spectra depends on the transport coefficients in the Langevin equation, the life-time of the partonic medium, and the transverse momentum spectra of charm quarks in the initial state. The medium modification of the correlation in azimuthal angles of charm quark pairs turns out to be small in both the low and high $p_T$ range, but it becomes larger in the low $p_T$ range when a smaller $\langle k_T \rangle$ of primordial partons in colliding protons is used in PYTHIA.

With hadronization of charm quarks, we calculate the $p_T$ spectra and nuclear modification factor of $D^0$ mesons as well as the nuclear modification factor of single electrons from open charm hadron decays. Our results are in good agreement with the PHENIX data in the intermediate $p_T$ range. With the STAR detector acceptance, we compute the di-electron invariant mass spectra in most central collisions and compare them with the STAR data of 0-10% centrality. Our current result is consistent to the previous one by some of us [14]. We find that the correlation in azimuthal angles for di-electrons is almost identical to p+p and Au+Au collisions in the mass range $1.1 < M < 2.5$ GeV/$c^2$. This implies the suppression of the charm background in the IMR is dominated by the charm quark energy loss.

We try to give a quantitative study of the open charm contribution to di-electrons in the IMR. There are still some uncertainties which could be constrained in a future more comprehensive study of open charm hadrons in heavy ion collisions. Firstly the initial charm quark distribution in p+p and Au+Au collisions has to be fixed. There is a recent measurement of the charm meson production cross section and transverse momentum spectra [45] which may shed light on this, but the statistics is not high enough and needs to be improved. Secondly the open charm hadron diffusion in the hadronic medium might be relevant. Though this effect can be partially achieved by tuning the freeze-out temperature to lower values, a rigorous study is necessary. Thirdly the charm quark hadronization has to be treated in a better model. Though we argue that the hadronization process may not modify our current IMR di-electron results very much, it is expected to have impact on the low and intermediate $p_T$ region. Finally the charm quark regeneration in the partonic medium may also be important at the LHC energy.
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