Hadroproduction of $\Upsilon(nS)$ above $B\bar{B}$ Thresholds and Implications for the $Y_b(10890)$
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Based on the non-relativistic QCD factorization scheme, we study the hadroproduction of the bottomonium states $\Upsilon(5S)$ and $\Upsilon(6S)$. We argue to search for them in the final states $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$, which are found to have anomalously large production rates at $\Upsilon(5S)$. The enhanced rates for the dipionic transitions in the $\Upsilon(5S)$-energy region could, besides $\Upsilon(5S)$, be ascribed to $Y_b(10890)$, a state reported by the Belle collaboration, which may be interpreted as a tetraquark. The LHC/Tevatron measurements are capable of making a case in favor of or against the existence of $Y_b(10890)$, as demonstrated here. Dalitz analysis of the $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ states from the $\Upsilon(5S)/Y_b(10890)$ decays also impacts directly on the interpretation of the charged bottomonium-like states, $Z_b(10600)$ and $Z_b(10650)$, discovered by Belle in these puzzling decays.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Ni; 14.40.Pq; 14.40.Rt

As a multi-scale system, heavy-quarkonium states provide a unique laboratory to explore the interplay between perturbative and nonperturbative effects of QCD. Due to the non-relativistic nature, these states allow the application of theoretical tools that can simplify and constrain the analyses of nonperturbative effects. The commonly-accepted method is the non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) which adopts a factorization ansatz to separate the short-distance and long-distance effects. Since the bottom quark is approximately three times heavier than the charm quark, it is expected that the expansion in $\alpha_s(\mu)$, where $\mu$ is a scale of $O(m_b)$, and $v^2$, with $v$ as the velocity of the heavy quark in the hadron, which also is an NRQCD expansion parameter, converges much faster for the bottomonium states. Consequently, great progress has been made in the past years on the hadronic production of $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$ [2]. On the experimental side the production rates and polarization have been measured at the Tevatron [3-5] and at the LHC [6,7]. Theoretical attempts to explain these data have been independently performed by several groups with the inclusion of the next-to-leading order QCD corrections [9-10].

Experimental and theoretical studies performed at hadron colliders have so far been limited to the $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$ bound states, since they all lie below the $B\bar{B}$ threshold and hence have sizable leptonic branching fractions. Above the $B\bar{B}$ threshold, however, the leptonic branching ratios of the higher bottomonium states become very small, as a consequence of which these states have not been seen so far in hadronic collisions. But, if the anomalously large decay widths of $O(1)$ MeV in the final states $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$, reported by Belle a few years ago [11,12], are to be ascribed to the decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$, then these final states are also promising for the detection of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ in experiments at the Tevatron and the LHC [13]. Arguing along similar lines, the rescattering mechanism which enhances the dipionic partial widths in the $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays is also likely to yield similar enhancements in the rates for the corresponding transitions in the $\Upsilon(6S)$ decays [20], which then could also be measurable in hadronic collisions. In this paper, we derive the hadroproduction cross sections for $\Upsilon(5S)$ and $\Upsilon(6S)$ in $pp(p)$ collisions using the NRQCD framework, supplemented by the subsequent decays into $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$. The enhanced rates for the dipionic transitions in the $\Upsilon(5S)$-energy region could, however, also be ascribed to $Y_b(10890)$, a state reported by the Belle collaboration [17,18], which is tentatively interpreted as a tetraquark [19-21]. In that case, one expects a smaller cross section for the hadroproduction of $Y_b(10890)$ than for a genuine $bb$ bound state. At the same time, as there are no tetraquark states expected to lie in the $\Upsilon(6S)$ region, there would be no plausible grounds to expect a measurable yield in the $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-\pi^+\pi^-$ final states from the decays of $\Upsilon(5S)$. Since exotic states in the charm sector have been successfully searched for in the $(J/\psi, \psi')\pi^+\pi^-$ final states not only at the $e^+e^-$ colliders, but also in hadroproduction in experiments at the Tevatron [22] and the LHC [23,24], the proposed measurements at hadron colliders in the final states $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-\pi^+\pi^-$ could open new avenues in the search and discovery of the exotic four quark states in the bottom sector. In particular, there exist three candidates up to date, namely the states labeled $Y_b(10890)$, $Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10650)$, with the last two observed by Belle last year [25]. If the exotic state $Y_b(10890)$ is not confirmed, we have nonetheless demonstrated a new way to explore the bottomonia above the $B\bar{B}$ threshold, which would supplement the study of $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$ in
TABLE I. Integrated normalized cross sections $\tilde{\sigma}_N$, shown in Fig. 1 (in units of nb/GeV$^3$), CO channels are multiplied by $10^{-2}$ for the processes $pp(p) \to \Upsilon(5S, 6S)$, assuming a transverse momentum range $3 \text{ GeV} < p_t < 50 \text{ GeV}$. The rapidity range $|y| < 2.5$ has been assumed for the Tevatron experiments (CDF and D0) at 1.96 TeV and for the LHC experiments (ATLAS and CMS) at 7, 8 and 14 TeV; the rapidity range $2.0 < y < 4.5$ is used for the LHCb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^3S_1^0$</th>
<th>$^3S_1^8$</th>
<th>$^1S_0^8$</th>
<th>$^3S_1^0$</th>
<th>$^3S_1^8$</th>
<th>$^1S_0^8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tevatron</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCb</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCb</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>9.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCb</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>21.58</td>
<td>18.76</td>
<td>25.98</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCb</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hadronic collisions. First steps in that direction are recently reported by the CMS collaboration [29].

The cross section for the hadroproduction process $pp(p) \to \Upsilon + X$ (we will leave $X$ implicit in the following) is given by

$$\sigma_N(p\bar{p}(p) \to \Upsilon + X) = \int dx_1 dx_2 \sum_{i,j} f_i(x_1) f_j(x_2) \times \tilde{\sigma}(ij \to (b\bar{b})_N + X)[O[N]], \quad (1)$$

where $i,j$ denotes a generic parton inside a proton/antiproton, and $f_i(x_1), f_j(x_2)$ are the parton distribution functions (PDFs), which depend on the fractional momenta $x_i(i = 1, 2)$ (an additional scale-dependence is suppressed here), and $\Upsilon$ denotes a generic bottomonium state above $BB$ threshold for which we consider $\Upsilon(5S)$ and $\Upsilon(6S)$ in this paper. We adopt the CTEQ 6 PDFs [30] in our numerical calculations. $[O[N]]$ are the long-distance matrix elements (LDMEs). $N$ denotes all the quantum numbers of the $b\bar{b}$ pair, which we label in the form $^{2S+1}L_J$ (color $c$, spin $S$, angular momentum $L$, and total angular momentum $J$), and $\tilde{\sigma}$ denotes the partonic cross section.

The normalized cross sections, in which the LDMEs are factored out are defined by $\tilde{\sigma}_N \equiv \sigma_N/[O[N]]$. The transverse momentum distribution is then given by

$$\frac{d\sigma_N}{dp_t} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 dy f_i(x_1, \mu_f) f_j(x_2, \mu_f) \frac{d\tilde{\sigma}_N}{dt}[O[N]], \quad (2)$$

where $y$ is the rapidity of $\Upsilon$, $p_t$ is the transverse momentum and $J$ is the Jacobian factor.

The leading-order partonic processes for the $S$-wave configurations are:

$$g(p_1)g(p_2) \to \Upsilon[^3S_1^0](p_3) + g(p_4),$$
$$g(p_1)g(p_2) \to \Upsilon[^1S_0^8, ^3S_1^8](p_3) + g(p_4),$$
$$g(p_1)q(p_2) \to \Upsilon[^1S_0^8, ^3S_1^8](p_3) + q(p_4),$$
$$q(p_1)\bar{q}(p_2) \to \Upsilon[^1S_0^8, ^3S_1^8](p_3) + g(p_4). \quad (3)$$

These differential partonic cross sections, which are needed in Eq. 2 have been calculated in fixed-order perturbation theory in the literature. For the color singlet (CS), one has (see for instance Ref. [15]):

$$\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}}{dt} = \frac{5\pi^2\alpha_s^2(s^2 - 1 + \hat{t}^2(\tilde{\mu}^2 - 1)^2)}{216m_b^2s^2(\hat{s} - 1)^2(\hat{t} - 1)^2(\hat{u} - 1)^2}. \quad (4)$$

The normalized Mandelstam variables are defined as

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad \hat{t} = (p_1 - p_2)^2, \quad \hat{u} = (p_1 + p_4)^2,$$

with $m_b \simeq 4.75$ GeV. The factorization scale $\mu_f$ is chosen as $\mu_f = \sqrt{m_b^2 + p_t^2}$. The partonic cross sections for the
color octet (CO) have been calculated in Refs. [11,31]. The K-factor for the CS contribution has been calculated for the process pp(p) → Υ(1S) in [15], which we have employed for the numerical calculations presented here. It is assumed, that the K-factor is not sensitive to √s. The CO contributions are taken at LO, since the NLO corrections, which have also been calculated for pp(p) → Υ(1S), are small [11].

Using these inputs, we show the transverse momentum distributions dσ/dpt in Fig. 1 for the processes pp → Υ(5S) at the LHC with √s = 7 TeV in the transverse momentum range 3 GeV < p_t < 50 GeV, where log(p_t/m_{Υ(5S)}) is not large enough to necessitate the resummation of the logarithms [32,34]. The integrated normalized cross sections ̄σ_N are given in in Tab. I.

For the long-distance part we need nonperturbative input. The CS-LDMEs are given by the radial wave function at the origin and can be extracted from the partial e^+e^- widths via the Van-Royen Weisskopf formula. Using the Particle Data Group values [33] for the leptonic partial widths as input, and m_{Υ(5S)} = 10876 MeV, m_{Υ(6S)} = 11019 MeV, we find at NLO |R(0)|^2_{Υ(5S)} = 2.37 GeV^3 and |R(0)|^2_{Υ(6S)} = 1.02 GeV^3. The radial wave function at origin R(0) is related to the LDME via <O^H 3S^1>| = 3|R(0)|^2/(4π).

The CO-LDMEs can only be extracted from the experimental data on differential distributions. This has been done for the Υ(1S,2S,3S) states by fitting the data on Υ(1S,2S,3S) → μ^+μ^- by the CMS collaboration [8] with p_t > 3 GeV. The fit is shown to the left, while the error correlation of the parameters is shown to the right.

![FIG. 2. Estimates of the LDMEs for Υ(3S) by fitting data on Υ(3S) → μ^+μ^- by the CMS collaboration [8] with p_t > 3 GeV. The fit is shown to the left, while the error correlation of the parameters is shown to the right.](image)

TABLE II. Branching ratios for the Υ(5S) and Υ(6S). All input values are taken from the PDG [33], except for the Υ(6S) entries, which are estimated from the scattering model [20].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Branching Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Υ(5S) → π^+π^-</td>
<td>(0.53 ± 0.06)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(5S) → π^+π^-</td>
<td>(0.78 ± 0.13)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(5S) → π^+π^-</td>
<td>(0.48 ± 0.18)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(6S) → π^+π^-</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(6S) → π^+π^-</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(6S) → π^+π^-</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(1S) → μ^+μ^-</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(2S) → μ^+μ^-</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ(3S) → μ^+μ^-</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the exclusive production processes pp(p) → Υ(5S,6S) → (Υ(1S,2S,3S) → μ^+μ^-π^+π^-), we combine the results from the cross sections discussed earlier and the branching ratios for the Υ(nS) decays, which are listed in Tab. I. Note, that in this table, the branching fractions of Υ(5S) → Υ(1S,2S,3S)π^+π^- are taken from the experimental data, and thus no model-dependence is introduced. For the estimate for the branching ratio of Υ(6S), we rely on the rescattering model [20] as the Belle anomaly seen in the Υ(5S) decays can be well explained. In this model, the Υ(6S) → Υ(1S,2S,3S)π^+π^- channels are also expected to have partial widths of about 1 MeV. Possible variations in the estimates of the off-mass-shell effects due to different parametrizations are neglected, as we don’t expect them to be large. Again we remain on the conservative side by adding the errors on the branching ratios linearly for the upper and lower bounds.

The total cross sections for the processes pp(p) → Υ(5S,6S) → (Υ(nS) → μ^+μ^-π^+π^- (n = 1, 2, 3) in pb at the Tevatron (√s = 1.96 TeV) and the LHC (√s =7, 8, 14 TeV), assuming the rapidity intervals described in Tab. I are given in Tab. I. They are the principal results derived in this paper and deserve a number of com-
ments. In calculating the cross sections, we have included the next-to-leading order contributions by rescaling the available results for the process $p\bar{p}(p) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)$ [15]. Fixing the center-of-mass energy and the rapidity interval, the cross-sections for each of the six processes $pp(\bar{p}) \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S,6S) \rightarrow (\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n = 1,2,3$) are bounded in an interval spanning roughly one order of magnitude, mainly due to our current ignorance of the CO matrix elements for the $\Upsilon(5S)$ and $\Upsilon(6S)$. We note that the cross sections increase by an order of magnitude in going from the Tevatron ($pp; \sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV) to the LHC ($pp; \sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV), with the currently highest luminosity of about 20 fb$^{-1}$ is typically of $O(1)$ pb for the ATLAS and the CMS experimental setups. Thus, the estimates presented here offer achievable targets for the future experimental searches.

Recently, the CMS collaboration has presented the search for a new bottomonium state, denoted as $X_b$, decaying to $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$, based on a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [29]. No evidence is found for $X_b$, and the upper limit at a confidence level of 95% on the production cross section of $X_b$ times the decay branching fraction of $X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ is set to be

$$\frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)} < 0.02,$$

(6)

where the stated upper bound correspond to the $X_b$ mass around 10.876 GeV.

Using the current experimental data on the $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S))$, we can convert the above ratio to absolute cross sections and compare with our results. Since the masses of the $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $X_b$ are close, it may be a good approximation to assume that the ratio given in Eq. (6) is insensitive to the kinematics cuts. Using the CMS result at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in Ref. [8]:

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)X)B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 1.55 \text{ nb}$$

(7)

we get

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow X_b \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 7.1 \text{ pb},$$

(8)

where the ranges 3 GeV < $p_t$ < 50 GeV and $|y| < 2.4$ have been used. Identifying $X_b$ with the $\Upsilon(5S)$, the above upper bound is larger than our predictions by a factor of $O(10)$. With increased luminosity, the next round of experiments at the LHC will reach the required experimental sensitivity. We also note that the current CMS analysis is based on a stiff cut on $p_t$ ($p_t > 13.5$ GeV). Lowering this cut will help significantly in the discovery of $X_b$ ($\Upsilon(5S)$ or $\Upsilon_b$).

There are two competing scenarios, which can be explored in the future data analysis:

i) Experiments are able to establish the signals in the processes $pp(\bar{p}) \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S,6S) \rightarrow (\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$, in approximate agreement with the estimates presented here, based on the rescattering formalism. This would then extend the application of the NRQCD techniques to the yet unexplored sectors $\Upsilon(5S)$ and $\Upsilon(6S)$ in hadroproduction. Note, that the estimates can be easily adopted for any other scenario, which relies on the anomaly coming from $\Upsilon(5S)$. In this case also, one expects the $\Upsilon(6S)$ to reflect the enhanced branching ratios to $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$, as seen in the decays of $\Upsilon(5S)$. Any such scenario will be tested as well. Changing the estimated branching ratio, listed in Tab. III is straightforward.

ii) Experiments are able to establish only the process $pp(\bar{p}) \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow (\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$, but not $pp(\bar{p}) \rightarrow \Upsilon(6S) \rightarrow (\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$, which, in our opinion, would speak against the rescattering mechanism and strengthen the case of $Y_b(10890)$ as the source of the anomalous dipion transitions [21-24]. In this case, experiments would provide a calibration of the cross section for the exotic hadron $Y_b(10890)$ – certainly a valuable piece of information in this unexplored QCD sector.

Once enough data are available, one could undertake a Dalitz analysis of the $\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ final states to determine the origin of the charged tetraquarks states $Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10650)$, discovered by the BELLE collaboration [28] along similar lines. In this regard, we wish to point out that recently a charged four-quark state $Z_+(3900)$ has been discovered by the BESIII collaboration.
that the experiments at the hadron colliders LHC have in principle the sensitivity to detect the bottomonium states Υ(5S) and Υ(6S), extending significantly their current experimental reach, and exploring thereby also the nature of the exotic states Y_{b}(10890), Z_{b}(10600) and Z_{b}(10650), discovered in e^{+}e^{-} annihilation experiments. As the observed decay widths for Υ(5S) → Υ(nS)π^{+}π^{-} show an anomalous enhancement by two orders of magnitude, and our estimates presented in Tab. [III] are uncertain by at most an order of magnitude, the rescattering mechanism as the source of this enhancement can be tested. Once the p_{T}-spectrum of the Υ(5S) is measured, the data will be precise enough to unambiguously pin down the nature of the resonance Υ(5S) or Y_{b}. Our lower bounds presented in Tab. [III] puts the measurement of the Υ(5S) within reach of the next round of experiments at the LHC.

Acknowledgments. W.W. is grateful to Bin Gong, Yu Jia, Peng Sun and Jian-Xiong Wang for valuable discussions. We thank Kai-Feng Chen for useful communications on the recent CMS results in Ref. [29]. The work of W.W. is supported by the DFG and the NSFC through funds provided to the Sino-German CRC 110 “Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD”.