Opposite parity fermion mixing and baryons $1/2^\pm$
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We develop a variant of $K$-matrix, which includes the effect of opposite parity fermions (OPF) mixing, and apply it for description of $\pi N$ partial waves $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$. OPF-mixing leads to appearance of negative energy poles in $K$-matrix and restoration of MacDowell symmetry, relating two partial waves. Joint analysis of PWA results for $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ confirms significance of this effect.

I. INTRODUCTION

For fermions there exists a non-standard mixing, when fermion fields with opposite parities are mixing at loop level while parity is conserved in vertex (shortly OPF-mixing):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\(N_1(1/2^-)\)}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\(N_2(1/2^+)\)}
\end{array}
\]

It is possible because fermion and antifermion have different parities. This effect was investigated in detail in [1] and was applied to $\pi N$ scattering, where it leads to relation between two partial waves. In [1] was found the simplest physical example of manifestation of this effect: the partial waves $P_{13}$ and $D_{13}$, where baryons $J = 3/2^\pm$ are produced. The OPF-mixing effect is identified in the partial wave $P_{13}$ as rather specific interference of resonance with background generated by resonance state in $D_{13}$ wave. The above-mentioned relation between partial waves influences mainly on a wave with lower orbital momentum and it is used as additional source of information about structure of wave with higher $l$.

Another physical example, where OPF-mixing may be essential, is related with the partial waves $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$, where resonances $J^P = 1/2^\pm, I = 1/2$ are produced. Most interesting object here is the Roper resonance $N(1440)$, which has some unusual properties and problems with quark-models identification, see, e.g. [2–10]. However, in presence of several resonance states the approach of [1], that uses a matrix propagator, becomes too cumbersome. Alternatively, for description of OPF-mixing one can use the $K$-matrix approach, which works for any number of states and channels.

In this paper we develop the $K$-matrix approach for $\pi N$ partial amplitudes with accounting of the OPF-mixing effect and apply it for description of $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ partial waves. Most serious
changing as compared with its standard form is the appearance of negative energy poles in $K$-matrix. If, besides, we use QFT to calculate tree amplitudes (i.e. $K$-matrix), starting from effective Lagrangians, we obtain the partial amplitudes $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ satisfying the MacDowell symmetry condition:

$$f_{l,+}(W) = -f_{l+1,-}(-W),$$

(1)

which was obtained \[11\] from general analytic properties of amplitudes.

We use the obtained $K$-matrix to describe results of partial wave analysis for $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ amplitudes. The main purpose is to see the manifestation of OPF-mixing and it naturally leads to joint fitting of these two waves.

II. MIXING OF FERMIONS WITH OPPOSITE PARITIES AND $K$-MATRIX

We need to discuss the effect of OPF-mixing in amplitudes of $\pi N$ scattering and its implementation in framework of $K$-matrix description. For a first step one may restrict oneself by a simplified case: two resonance states and two channels. Let us write down the effective Lagrangians $\pi NN'$ without derivatives and conserving the parity:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g_1 \bar{N}_1(x)N(x)\varphi(x) + \text{h.c.}, \quad \text{for } J^P(N_1) = 1/2^-, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = ig_2 \bar{N}_2(x)\gamma^5N(x)\varphi(x) + \text{h.c.}, \quad \text{for } J^P(N_2) = 1/2^+. \quad (3)$$

Let us consider two baryon states of opposite parities with masses $m_1$ ($J^P = 1/2^-$), $m_2$ ($J^P = 1/2^+$) and two intermediate states $\pi N$, $\eta N$. Using the effective Lagrangians we can calculate contributions of states $N_1$, $N_2$ to partial waves at tree level (see details in \[1\]) for s-wave amplitudes:

$$f_{s,+}^{\text{tree}}(\pi N \rightarrow \pi N) = -\frac{(E_N^{(\pi)} + m_N)}{8\pi W} \left( \frac{g_{1,\pi}^2}{W - m_1} + \frac{g_{2,\pi}^2}{W + m_2} \right),$$

$$f_{s,+}^{\text{tree}}(\pi N \rightarrow \eta N) = -\frac{\sqrt{(E_N^{(\pi)} + m_N)(E_N^{(\eta)} + m_N)}}{8\pi W} \left( \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{W - m_1} + \frac{g_{2,\pi}g_{2,\eta}}{W + m_2} \right), \quad (4)$$

and for p-wave amplitudes:

$$f_{p,-}^{\text{tree}}(\pi N \rightarrow \pi N) = \frac{(E_N^{(\pi)} - m_N)}{8\pi W} \left( \frac{g_{1,\pi}^2}{-W - m_1} + \frac{g_{2,\pi}^2}{-W + m_2} \right),$$

$$f_{p,-}^{\text{tree}}(\pi N \rightarrow \eta N) = \frac{\sqrt{(E_N^{(\pi)} - m_N)(E_N^{(\eta)} - m_N)}}{8\pi W} \left( \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{-W - m_1} + \frac{g_{2,\pi}g_{2,\eta}}{-W + m_2} \right), \quad (5)$$

$$f_{p,-}^{\text{tree}}(\eta N \rightarrow \eta N) = \frac{(E_N^{(\eta)} - m_N)}{8\pi W} \left( \frac{g_{1,\eta}^2}{-W - m_1} + \frac{g_{2,\eta}^2}{-W + m_2} \right).$$
Here $W = \sqrt{s}$ is the total CMS energy and $E_N^{(\pi)}$ ($E_N^{(\eta)}$) is nucleon CMS energy of system $\pi N$ ($\eta N$)

$$E_N^{(\pi)} = \frac{W^2 + m_N^2 - m_\pi^2}{2W}. \tag{6}$$

We introduced here short notation for coupling constants, e.g. $g_{1,\pi} = g_{N_1 N_\pi}$.

The tree amplitudes (4)–(5) contain poles with both positive and negative energy, originated from propagators of $N_1$ and $N_2$ fields of opposite parities. Accounting the loop transitions results in dressing of states and also in mixing of these two fields.

Note that $W \rightarrow -W$ replacement gives

$$E_N^{(\pi)} + m_N \rightarrow -(E_N^{(\pi)} - m_N), \tag{7}$$

so tree amplitudes (4)-(5) possess the MacDowell symmetry property \[11\]

$$f_p,-(W) = -f_s,+(W). \tag{8}$$

In $K$-matrix representation for partial amplitudes

$$f = K \left( 1 - iPK \right)^{-1}, \tag{9}$$

diagonal matrix $iP$, constructed from CMS momenta, originates from imaginary part of a loop. Therefore, $K$-matrix here is simply a matrix of tree amplitudes that should be identified with amplitudes (4),(5).

As the result we come to representation of partial amplitudes for $s$- and $p$-waves

$$f_s(W) = K_s(W) \left( 1 - iPK_s(W) \right)^{-1}, \quad f_p(W) = K_p(W) \left( 1 - iPK_p(W) \right)^{-1}, \tag{10}$$

where the matrices $K_s, K_p$ (i.e. tree amplitudes (4),(5)), may be written in factorized form \[1\]

$$K_s = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \rho_s \tilde{K}_s \rho_s, \quad K_p = \frac{1}{8\pi} \rho_p \tilde{K}_p \rho_p. \tag{11}$$

Here $\rho_s, \rho_p$ are

$$\rho_s(W) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{E_N^{(\pi)} + m_N}{W}}, & 0 \\ 0, & \sqrt{\frac{E_N^{(\eta)} + m_N}{W}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_p(W) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{E_N^{(\pi)} - m_N}{W}}, & 0 \\ 0, & \sqrt{\frac{E_N^{(\eta)} - m_N}{W}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

\[1\] Similar $K$-matrix have been used for a long time in $\pi N$ phenomenology, see, e.g. \[12\], but with other phase-space factors.
and matrix $P$ consists of CMS momenta as analytic functions of $W$. In this case "primitive" $K$-matrices contain poles with both positive and negative energy

$$
\hat{K}_s(W) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{g^2_{1,\pi}}{W-m_1} & \frac{g^2_{2,\pi}}{W+m_2} & \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta} + g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{W-m_1 + W+m_2} \\
\frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta}}{W-m_1} & \frac{g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{W+m_2} & \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta} + g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{W-m_1 + W+m_2} \\
\frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta}}{W-m_1} & \frac{g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{W+m_2} & \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta} + g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{W-m_1 + W+m_2}
\end{array} \right),
$$

(13)

$$
\hat{K}_p(W) = \hat{K}_s(-W) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{g^2_{1,\pi}}{-W-m_1} & \frac{g^2_{2,\pi}}{-W+m_2} & \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta} + g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{-W-m_1 + -W+m_2} \\
\frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta}}{-W-m_1} & \frac{g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{-W+m_2} & \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta} + g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{-W-m_1 + -W+m_2} \\
\frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta}}{-W-m_1} & \frac{g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{-W+m_2} & \frac{g_{1,\pi}g_{2,\eta} + g_{2,\pi}g_{1,\eta}}{-W-m_1 + -W+m_2}
\end{array} \right).
$$

(14)

Recall that $m_1$ is mass of $J^P = 1/2^-$ state and $m_2$ is mass of $J^P = 1/2^+$ one. Generalization of this construction for the case of more channels and states is obvious.

Since CMS momenta have the property $P(-W) = -P(W)$, the MacDowell symmetry property (8) is extended from tree amplitudes to unitarized $K$-matrix ones (10). Note that our $K$-matrix amplitudes (10) may be rewritten in other form, close to the one used in [12]

$$
f_s(W) = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \rho_s \hat{K}_s[1 + i\rho_s P \rho_s \hat{K}_s(W)/(8\pi)]^{-1} \rho_s,
$$

$$
f_p(W) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \rho_p \hat{K}_p[1 - i\rho_p P \rho_p \hat{K}_p(W)/(8\pi)]^{-1} \rho_p.
$$

(15)

Following a common sense one can expect that presence of negative energy pole, for example, in elastic $\pi N$ amplitude should give a negligible effect in physical energy region. However, this is not true if corresponding coupling constant is large $|g_{2,\pi}| \gg |g_{1,\pi}|$. To see the reason of this ratio, one can compare decay widths of $s$- and $p$-states

$$
\Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow \pi N) = g^2_{N_1\pi N} \Phi_s, \quad \Gamma(N_2 \rightarrow \pi N) = g^2_{N_2\pi N} \Phi_p,
$$

(16)

where $\Phi_s$, $\Phi_p$ are corresponding phase volumes. For resonance states not far from threshold, with masses, e.g. 1.5–1.7 GeV, phase volumes differ greatly, $\Phi_s \gg \Phi_p$. If both resonances have typical hadronic width $\Gamma \sim 100$ MeV, then coupling constants differ dramatically too, $|g_{N_2\pi N}| \gg |g_{N_1\pi N}|$. This inequality will result in increasing of background contribution to $s$-wave and on the other hand in suppressing of background in $p$-wave. As a result, OPF-mixing leads to relation between two partial wave of $\pi N$ scattering, but this connection mainly influences on amplitude with lower orbital number.

Above we use the simplest effective Lagrangians (2)–(3) to derive tree amplitudes. However, it is well-known, that spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry requires pion field to appear in Lagrangian only through derivative

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = f_2 \tilde{N}_2(x) \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu N(x) \partial_{\mu} \varphi(x) + \text{h.c.}, \quad J^P = 1/2^+, \quad f_2 = \frac{g_2}{m_2 + m_N},
$$

(17)
It is not difficult to understand how inclusion of derivative changes tree amplitudes and, hence \( K \)-matrix. Pole contribution \( \pi(k_1)N(p_1) \rightarrow N_2(p) \rightarrow \pi(k_2)N(p_2) \) in that case takes the form:

\[
T = f_2^2 \gamma^5 k_2^\dagger \frac{1}{p - M} \gamma^5 k_1 u(p_1).
\]  

(18)

With use of equations of motion, we see that inclusion of derivative at vertex leads to the following modification of resonance contribution

\[
g_2^2 \frac{1}{p - M} \rightarrow f_2^2 \frac{1}{p + m_N}(p + m_N).  
\]  

(19)

Separation of the positive and negative energy poles is performed with the off-shell projector operators \( \Lambda^\pm = 1/2 (1 \pm \hat{p}/W) \)

\[
f_2^2 (p + m_N) \frac{1}{p - m_N} = \Lambda^+ f_2^2 (W + m_N)^2 \frac{1}{W - M} + \Lambda^- f_2^2 (W - m_N)^2 \frac{1}{-W - M},
\]  

(20)

where the first term gives contribution to \( p \)-wave and second one to \( s \)-wave. Modification of the pole contributions in ”primitive” \( K \)-matrices (13)–(14) is evident

\[
g_2^2 \rightarrow f_2^2 (W - m_N)^2, \quad \text{for } s\text{-wave}, \tag{21}
\]

\[
g_2^2 \rightarrow f_2^2 (W + m_N)^2, \quad \text{for } p\text{-wave}. \tag{22}
\]

One can expect that the inclusion of derivatives most strongly affects on threshold properties of \( s \)-wave due to dumping factor \( (W - m_N)^2 \).

III. PARTIAL AMPLITUDES OF \( \pi N \) SCATTERING

We will use the described above \( K \)-matrix for description of partial waves \( S_{11} \) and \( P_{11} \) of \( \pi N \) scattering in the energy region \( W < 2 \) GeV. Following [3, 13, 14] we will use three channels of reaction: \( \pi N, \eta N \) and \( \sigma N \), where the last is ”effective” channel, imitating different \( \pi \pi N \) states. ”Primitive” \( K \)-matrices have a form [13]–[14] but can contain several \( J^P = 1/2^+ \) and \( J^P = 1/2^- \) states.

First of all, let us try to describe \( S_{11} \) and \( P_{11} \) waves separately. \( p \)-wave is described rather well by our formulas with derivative in vertex [21]–[22], see Fig. 1. In this case the \( s \)-wave states are missing in amplitudes, the \( p \)-wave \( K \)-matrix has two positive energy poles.

---

2 It is not difficult to understand that this rule holds for resonance contribution of any parity \( J^P = 1/2^\pm \).

3 As for \( J^P = 1/2^- \) baryons, the presence of derivative in vertex leads to contradiction with data near threshold in \( S_{11} \) due to factor \( (W - m_N)^2 \) in [21], see Fig. 5.
Figure 1: The results of fitting of $P_{11}$-wave of $\pi N$ scattering. Dots show results of PWA [15], solid lines represent our amplitudes (10)–(14) in the presence of derivative in vertex (21)–(22). $K$-matrix has only $p$-wave states. On the right side: $p$-wave inelasticity [15], the curve corresponds to lines on the left side. Partial wave normalization corresponds to [15]: $\text{Im } f = |f|^2 + (1 - \eta^2)/4$.

Best-fit parameters corresponding to Fig. 1 (in GeV units) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
m_1 &= 1.236 \pm 0.003, \quad g_{1,\pi} = 7.93 \pm 0.07, \quad g_{1,\sigma} = 8.47 \pm 0.11, \quad g_{1,\eta} = -2.90 \pm 0.20, \\
m_2 &= 1.504 \pm 0.001, \quad g_{2,\pi} = 6.54 \pm 0.05, \quad g_{2,\sigma} = 6.76 \pm 0.06, \quad g_{2,\eta} = 5.0 \text{ (fixed)}, \\
m_\sigma &= 0.3 \text{ (fixed)}, \quad \chi^2/\text{DOF} = 273/95. (23)
\end{align*}
\]

The use of vertices without derivative leads to impairment of quality of description: $\chi^2 > 350$, again we need two poles with close masses.

Both variants give a negative background contribution to $S_{11}$ wave, comparable in magnitude with other contributions, as it seen on Fig. 2. Variant without derivative in vertex gives a larger background contribution, rapidly changing near thresholds. Of course, we use rather rough approach – effective $\sigma N$ channel can have different origin in these waves. So, behavior of background contribution at low energy (especially without derivative in vertex) is not well-defined. But it seems that description of $P_{11}$ partial wave without derivative in vertices contradicts to data on $S_{11}$. On Fig. 2 there are shown some typical curves, there exist different variants with sharp behavior near thresholds. The presence of derivative in a vertex suppresses the threshold region in background contribution due to factor $(W - m_N)^2$, but in resonance region this is rather large contribution, see Fig. 2.

Attempt to describe partial wave $S_{11}$ without background contribution has no success: a

\[\text{Visible cusps in background contribution appear due to presence of large } p\text{-wave coupling constants, unnatural for } s\text{-wave, in this term. This is one of manifestations of OPF-mixing.} \]
Figure 2: Background contribution to $s$-wave, generated by $p$-wave states, i.e. in this case $K$-matrix for $s$-wave (13) has only negative energy poles. Solid lines represent variant with derivative in vertex (corresponding to curves on Fig. 1), dashed lines – variant without derivative in vertex.

minimal variant of $K$-matrix with two positive energy poles don’t allow to reach even qualitative agreement with PWA.

As a next step, let us add the background contribution, arising from $p$-wave states (solid lines on Fig. 1) with fixed parameters (23). One can see from Fig. 3 that quality of description is unsatisfactory in this case but double-peak behavior is arisen in partial wave for the first time. It means that to describe $S_{11}$ wave a background contribution is necessary and its value is close to solid line curves at Fig. 1

Since the MacDowell symmetry connects two partial waves, it is naturally to perform the joint analysis of $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ amplitudes, when resonance states in one wave generate background in other and vice versa. In this case $K$-matrices (13)–(14) have poles with both positive and negative energy: we use two $s$-wave and two $p$-wave poles. This leads to noticeable improvement of description, as it seen from Fig. 4; in this case $\chi^2/\text{DOF} = 850/190$.

At last, background contributions can be generated not only by negative energy poles but by other terms. We accounted it by adding to elastic amplitudes $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ a smooth contributions of the form:

$$\hat{K}^B_s = A + B(W - m_N)^2, \quad \hat{K}^B_p = A + B(W + m_N)^2,$$

which do not violate the MacDowell symmetry property. Such terms correspond to pole contributions with large masses in $s$- and $p$-waves. Results of joint description of two waves are depicted at Fig. 5. Note that we have quite good description $\chi^2/\text{DOF} = 584/187$ and back-
Figure 3: Results of $s$-wave fitting with fixed parameters for $p$-wave states. Parameters of $p$-wave correspond to curves on Fig. 1. $s$-wave contains two states with $K$-matrix masses 1.55 and 1.75 GeV.

Figure 4: Result of joint fitting of $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$-waves of $\pi N$ scattering. $K$-matrices have two $s$-wave and two $p$-wave poles. Dashed lines show real and imaginary parts of (unitarized) background contribution. Imaginary part of background for $p$-wave is well below than real one and is not seen at figure.

So, the performed joint analysis of $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ partial waves demonstrates that OPF-mixing gives rather marked effect in production of $1/2^\pm$ baryons.

In Table I we present the values of pole masses and widths obtained by continuation of our amplitudes to complex $W$ plane. As a whole, we see that our values for $m_p, \Gamma_p$ are rather close to previously obtained. The only hint for disagreement is appearance at some sheets of a stable
pole $1/2^+$ with $m_p \approx 1500$ MeV instead of generally accepted mass $m_p \approx 1365$ MeV. But this question as well as distribution of poles over different Riemann sheets should be investigated in more correct multi-channel approach, not with effective $\sigma N$ channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partial wave, PDG values</th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>Some other works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{11}, 1/2^-$</td>
<td>$(1507, 87)$</td>
<td>$(1502, 95), (1648, 80)$ [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1535) (1510, 70)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1650) (1655, 165)</td>
<td>$(1659, 149)$</td>
<td>$(1519, 129), (1669, 136)$ [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{11}, 1/2^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1440) (1365, 190)</td>
<td>$(1365, 194)$</td>
<td>$(1359, 162)$ [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(1500, 160)$</td>
<td>$(1385, 164)$ [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(1387, 147)$ [16]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Pole masses and widths ($M_R, \Gamma_R$) extracted from poles position in the complex plane $W$:

$$W_0 = M_R - i\Gamma_R/2.$$ 

Figure 5: Result of joint fitting of $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ waves of $\pi N$ scattering. $K$-matrix has two $s$- and two $p$-waves poles and background of form [24].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we investigated the manifestation of OPF-mixing in $\pi N$ partial waves $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$, where baryons $1/2^\pm$, $I = 1/2$ are produced. We found that the effect of mixing of fermion fields with opposite parity can be readily realized in the framework of $K$-matrix approach. It allows to have simple expressions for amplitudes in the case of any resonance
states and reaction channels. Note that $s$- and $p$-wave $K$-matrices, \[(13)\text{–}(14)\], have poles with both positive and negative energy and are related with each other by $\hat{K}_p(W) = \hat{K}_s(-W)$.

The so constructed partial waves possess the well-known MacDowell symmetry that connects two partial waves under substitution $W \rightarrow -W$. Up to now, this symmetry did not play any role in data analysis since it connects physical and unphysical regions. However, taking OPF-mixing into account, MacDowell symmetry leads to physical consequences: resonance in one partial wave gives rise to background contribution in another and vice versa. This connection between two waves, as in case of $3/2^\pm$ resonances \[1\], works mainly in one direction: it generates large negative background in a wave with lower orbital momentum. So we come to idea of joint analysis of two partial waves and it allows to get an additional information about dynamics in higher $l$ wave. Such an example can be seen at Fig. \[2\] where two variants of background in $S_{11}$ are depicted.

Our main purpose here was to see the effects of OPF-mixing in the amplitudes $S_{11}$, $P_{11}$ and to estimate their value. So, following \[14\], we have used simplified three-channel formalism in which $\sigma N$ is some quasi-channel, imitating different $\pi\pi N$ intermediate states. In spite of so rough approach we obtained rather good description of $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$ waves, comparable well with more comprehensive analyses \[18–21\] with number of channels up to 6. We suppose that OPF-mixing (or MacDowell symmetry) can be taken into account not only in $K$-matrix formalism but in framework of more detailed dynamical multi-channel approach.

Note, that obtained pole positions not always coincide with the results of previous analyses. For example, for $N(1440)$ state we found on most sheets a very stable pole with $\text{Re}W \approx 1500$ MeV instead of ”standard” value $\approx 1360$ MeV, see Table \[1\]. After various verifications we suppose that this is result of crudity of used approximation (effective $\sigma N$ channel). But it is possible that here exists some dependency on details of description and it needs more close investigation.

Summarizing, we found out that effect of a loop OPF-mixing is seen in PWA results as a connection between partial waves $S_{11}$ and $P_{11}$. We assume that this connection may be of interest as possibility to obtain additional information about $P_{11}$ wave and baryons $1/2^+$. 
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