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Abstract

We investigate the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray flares of Mrk 421 on 2008 June 6-15 using the synchrotron self-Compton(SSC) model with electron acceleration, in which an evident correlation between the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray bands appears, while no significant correlation between the optical and X-ray band is observed. We argue that the emission from Mrk 421 may originate from two different components. One is the steady component from the outer region that is mainly attributed to the optical band, in which the electrons are accelerated by first-order Fermi acceleration mechanism. We use a steady electron spectrum to produce the synchrotron self-Compton emission. The other is the variable component from the inner region, in which the electrons are accelerated by the stochastic acceleration process. We use the time-dependent SSC model to produce the emission from the variable component. We suggest that the flares are due to the hardening of the electron spectrum under the process of the stochastic acceleration, which leads to the hardening of the observed spectrum in the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray bands. Furthermore, we find that the energy densities of electrons and magnetic fields are near equipartition in both jet regions.
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1. Introduction

Blazars are the most extreme subclass of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs). Their radiation are thought to originate in a relativistic jet oriented at a small angle with respect to the line of the sight. They usually show strong and rapid variability, high and variable polarization, and super-luminal motions, etc. The spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of blazars are dominated by non-thermal emission and consist of two distinct, broad components. It is generally agreed that the low component of their SEDs is produced by synchrotron emission from relativistic electrons, while the origin of high energy component is still a matter of debate. There are two classes of models to explain high energy emission: leptonic model and hadronic model. In the leptonic model, the high energy emission is produced by inverse Compton (IC) scattering of the soft photon fields (e.g., Böttcher 2007), in which soft photons are the synchrotron photons within the jet (the SSC process; Maraschi et al. 1992; Bloom and Marscher 1996) or the photons external to jet (the EC process). These external photons are the UV accretion disk photons (Dermer & Schlickeiser 1993) or the accretion disk photons reprocessed by broad line region clouds (Sikora et al. 1994) or the infrared photons from the dust torus (Bläizewski et al. 2000). In the hadronic model, the high energy emission originates from proton synchrotron or photon-hadronic interaction (Mannheim et al. 1993; Mücke et al. 2003; Böttcher et al. 2009). A way to distinguish the different emission models is to study variability of blazars. The one-zone SSC model predicts a significant X-ray/$\gamma$-ray correlation. However, the hadronic model is challenged by the observed X-ray/$\gamma$-ray correlation and very rapid $\gamma$-ray variability.

Mrk 421 is a high frequency peaked BL Lac object (HBL) and at a redshift of $z=0.03$. It is one of the closest and brightest sources in the extragalactic TeV sky. It is the first extragalactic TeV source (Punch et al. 1992) and has been targeted by many multi-wavelength campaigns. Mrk 421 is a highly variable source and show strong variability in both X-ray and $\gamma$-ray bands. The synchrotron peak of its SED ranges from 0.1keV in a low state to several keV in a high state. In general, the spectrum becomes harder with increasing flux level in both X-ray and $\gamma$-ray bands (Aharonian et al. 2002; Fossati et al. 2008; Acciari et al. 2011). In addition, the spectral index changes during different active states and shows an evident correlation with flux (Krennrich et al. 2002). Some observations have been revealed that Mrk 421 shows the hard and soft lag in the X-ray band (Takahashi et al. 1996; Takahashi et al. 2000). The correlation of X-ray and $\gamma$-ray fluxes were found (Inoue & Takahara 1996; Takahashi et al. 1996; Fossati et al. 2008; Horan et al. 2009), supporting the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray emission may originate from the same electron population, while no apparent correlation of optical and X-ray appeared (Albert et al. 2007; Giebels et al. 2007; Horan et al. 2009; Acciari et al. 2011), indicating the optical and X-ray radiation may arise from...
the different electron population. The rapid flares in both X-ray and \( \gamma \)-ray can help us to understand the particle acceleration and emission process in blazars. First-order Fermi process may play an important role in accelerating the jet electrons (Mastichiadis & Kirk 1997; Kirk et al. 1998). The electrons in the jet of Mrk 421 are likely to be accelerated by a first-order Fermi mechanism at the shock front and then form a power-law energy spectrum with the index of \( p \approx 2.2 \) (Abdo et al. 2011; Shukla et al. 2012). Several authors also pointed out that the stochastic acceleration could be at work in blazar jets (Lefa et al. 2011; Yan et al. 2012). Recently, Zheng et al. (2011) suggested that the rapid TeV flares in Mrk 501 could be caused by the stochastic acceleration. It is likely that several acceleration mechanism are at work in blazar jets (Rieger et al. 2007).

Motivated by above results, we study the flares of Mrk 421 on 2008 June 6-15, in which no significant correlation between optical and X-ray/TeV bands is observed. We assume that the multi-band emission originates from two different components. One is the steady component from the out region to produce the optical emission, in which the electrons are accelerated by first-order Fermi process. The other is the variable component from the inner region, in which the electrons are accelerated by stochastic process. The observed flares are produced by the hardening of the electron spectrum under the process of stochastic acceleration. In the section 2 we describe the basic option of the model. In the section 3 we apply the model to Mrk 421. The discussion and conclusion are presented in the session 4. Throughout this paper, the primed quantities \( \gamma', \delta \) of electrons. Throughout the paper, the primed quantities refer to the co-moving frame and the unprimed quantities refer to the observed frame. Photon and electron energies are reduced in the unit of \( m_ec^2 \).

The synchrotron flux is given by (Finke et al. 2008)

\[
\frac{\delta^3}{4 \pi dL^2} = \int_1^\infty d\epsilon' N'(\epsilon')(4\pi R_b^3/3) R(x),
\]

where \( e' = e \delta B'/(1 + z) \) is the dimensionless synchrotron photon energy in the observer’s frame. We use an approximation for \( R(x) \) given by Finke et al. (2008),

\[
N'(\epsilon') = k \epsilon^{-p} \quad \epsilon'_{\text{min}} < \epsilon' < \epsilon'_{\text{max}},
\]

where \( k \) is the normalization factor in the unit of \( cm^{-3} \), \( \epsilon'_{\text{min}} \) and \( \epsilon'_{\text{max}} \) are the minimum and maximum energies of electrons in the blob, and \( p \) is the energy spectral index of electrons. Throughout the paper, the primed quantities refer to the co-moving frame and the unprimed quantities refer to the observed frame. Photon and electron energies are reduced in the unit of \( m_ec^2 \).

The synchrotron energy density is given by (Cao and Wang 2011)

\[
u'_\text{syn}(\epsilon') = \frac{R_b^3}{c} \sqrt{3 \epsilon^3 B'} \int_1^\infty d\epsilon' N'(\epsilon')(4\pi R_b^3/3) R(x),
\]

where \( x = 4\pi' e^2 m_e^2 c^3/3eBh_\gamma^2 \). The synchrotron energy density is given by

\[
\frac{\delta^3}{4 \pi dL^2} = \int_1^\infty d\epsilon' N'(\epsilon')(4\pi R_b^3/3) R(x),
\]

where \( \sigma_T \) is the Thomson cross section, and \( \epsilon_s = \delta B'/(1 + z) \) is the dimensionless scattered photon energy in the observer’s frame. The function \( F(q, \Gamma, \epsilon) \) is given by

\[
f^{\text{ssc}}_{\epsilon_s} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{16\pi R_b^3} \int_0^\infty d\epsilon' f^{\text{syn}}_{\epsilon_s} \int_{\Gamma_{\text{min}}}^{\Gamma_{\text{max}}} d\Gamma' \frac{N'(\epsilon')(4\pi R_b^3/3) \beta}{\gamma'^2} F(q, \Gamma, \epsilon),
\]

where \( \delta B' \) is the Alfvén wavelength of the Alfvén wave spectrum.

The SSC flux is given by (Finke et al. 2008; Dermer et al. 2009)

\[
\frac{\delta^3}{4 \pi dL^2} = \int_1^\infty d\epsilon' N'(\epsilon')(4\pi R_b^3/3) R(x),
\]

where \( \sigma_T \) is the Thomson cross section, and \( \epsilon_s = \delta D'/\epsilon_s \) is the dimensionless scattered photon energy in the observer’s frame. The function \( F(q, \Gamma, \epsilon) \) is given by

\[
f^{\text{ssc}}_{\epsilon_s} = [2glnq + (1 + 2q)(1 - q) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + q^2)/(1 - q)] (1 - q < 1),\]

where \( q = (c^2 - \epsilon'^2)/(c^2 + \epsilon'^2) \) and \( \Gamma_p = 4\epsilon'/\gamma' \).

We use the time-dependent one-zone SSC model to produce the emission from the variable component under the process of stochastic acceleration. The momentum diffusion equation describing the evolution of particle number density \( N'(\epsilon', t) \) is given by (Katarzyński et al. 2006; Tramacere et al. 2011)

\[
\frac{\partial N'(\epsilon', t)}{\partial \Gamma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma} \left( C'(\epsilon', \Gamma) - A'(\epsilon', \Gamma) \right) N'(\epsilon', t) + D_p'(\epsilon', \Gamma) \frac{\partial N'(\epsilon', t)}{\partial \epsilon'} - E'(\epsilon', t) + Q'(\epsilon', t),
\]

where \( C'(\epsilon', \Gamma) \) is the radiative cooling term due to the synchrotron and SSC emission of the particle, \( D_p'(\epsilon', \Gamma) \) is the momentum diffusion coefficient, \( A'(\epsilon', \Gamma) = 2D_p'(\epsilon', \Gamma) / \epsilon' \) is the acceleration term which describes the particle energy gain per unit time, and \( E'(\epsilon', \Gamma) = N'(\epsilon', t) / \tau^{\text{esc}} \) is the escape term. The escape of the particles is described by the characteristic escaping time \( \tau^{\text{esc}} = R_b / c, Q'(\epsilon', t) \) is the particle injecting term.

In the framework of the quasi-linear approximation for the particle-wave interactions, the momentum diffusion coefficient is given by (Schlickeiser 1989; Stawarz & Petrosian 2008; O’Sullivan, et al. 2009)

\[
D_p'(\epsilon', \Gamma) \approx \beta_A^{2} \left( \frac{\delta B'}{B'} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\rho_p}{\lambda_{\text{max}}^s} \right)^{-q-2} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}^s}{c} \right)^{-1} \gamma'^{2},
\]

where \( \beta_A = v_A/c \) and \( v_A \) is the Alfvén wave velocity, \( q \) is the spectral index of turbulence, \( \rho_p = \rho_c/eB = \gamma mc^2/eB \) is the Larmor radius, \( \rho_p \) is the momentum of particle. \( \lambda_{\text{max}}^s \) is the maximum wavelength of the Alfvén wave spectrum.

The characteristic acceleration timescale due to stochastic particle-wave interactions is

\[
t^{\text{acc}} = \frac{\beta_A^{2} \delta B'}{c} (\frac{\epsilon}{v_A}) \left( \frac{\delta B'}{B'} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}^s}{c} \right)^{-1} \gamma'^{2} \approx \gamma'^{2},
\]

In the case of hard sphere approximation \( (q = 2) \), the acceleration timescale is independent with the electron energy. We assume that the turbulence level \( \delta B'^2 / B'^2 \sim 1 \),
Alfvén wave velocity $v_A \sim c$ and the maximum wavelength $\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim R_b'$. We can obtain $t_{\text{acc}}' \sim R_b'/c$ and assume $t_{\text{acc}}' = t_{\text{acc}} = R_b'/c$ in our model. Here, we consider that the particle is continuously injected at the low energy ($1 \leq \gamma' \leq 2$) and is accelerated to the equilibrium energy $\gamma_{\text{eq}}'$ given by $t_{\text{cool}}'(\gamma_{\text{eq}}') = t_{\text{acc}}'$.

The total cooling rate is

$$C'(\gamma', t) = \left( \frac{dt}{dt} \right)_{\text{syn}} + \left( \frac{dt}{dt} \right)_{\text{ssc}}. \quad (8)$$

For the synchrotron cooling,

$$\left( \frac{dt}{dt} \right)_{\text{syn}} = \frac{4 \sigma_T c}{3 m_e c^2} \gamma^2 U_B' , \quad (9)$$

where $U_B' = B_0^2 / 8 \pi$ is the magnetic field energy density.

For the IC cooling, the Klein-Nishina (KN) effect is important at high energy and obviously modifies the electron and the SSC spectra (Moderski et al. 2005). We calculate the IC cooling rate using the method of Moderski et al. (2005), which takes into account the KN correction

$$\left( \frac{dt}{dt} \right)_{\text{ssc}} = \frac{4 \sigma_T c}{3 m_e c^2} \gamma^2 \int f_{\text{KN}}(4 \gamma' \epsilon') \epsilon' u'_{\text{syn}}(\epsilon') d\epsilon' , \quad (10)$$

where $u'_{\text{syn}}(\epsilon')$ is given by the equation (3) and $f_{\text{KN}}(x)$ is approximated as (Moderski et al. 2005)

$$f_{\text{KN}}(x) \approx \begin{cases} (1 + x)^{-1.5} & \text{For } x < 10^4 \\ \frac{9}{2}(\ln x - \frac{11}{6}) & \text{For } x > 10^4 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

To obtain the electron energy distribution, Equation 5 needs to be solved by a numerical method because of its nonlinearity. We adopt a very useful numerical difference scheme proposed by Chang & Copper (1970). The method is a finite difference scheme, which uses the forward differentiation in time and the centered differentiation in energy. We test our code by comparing our results with the analytic solution given by Chang & Copper (1970). We find that our numerical results are in good agreement with the analytic solution.

3. Application

Mrk 421 entered a very active phase and showed frequent flare episodes in 2008. Donnarumma et al. (2009) presented the optical, X-ray, and very high energy $\gamma$-ray observation of Mrk 421 between May 24 and June 23 of 2008 with WEBT, UVOT, RXTE/ASM, Swift, AGILE, VERITAS and MAGIC. SuperAGILE, RXTE/ASM and Swift/BAT observe a clear correlation between soft and hard X-rays. The $2-10$ keV flux measured by Swift/XRT is $\sim 2.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, higher than previous observation. The derived peak synchrotron energy of $\sim 3$ keV is higher than the typical values of $0.5-1$ keV. VHE observations with MAGIC and VERITAS between June 6 and 8 imply that $\gamma$-rays is well correlated with X-rays. Two flare states are reported during this period. One is the low state on June 6 observed from optical to TeV gamma-ray. The one is the high state on June 9-15 observed from optical to MeV gamma-ray, in which the flux increases and the spectrum becomes harder. Unfortunately, there are no TeV data included in this multi-wavelength campaign after June 8 because the moonlight hampers the Cherenkov telescope measurements. However, Di Sciascio et al. (2010) complemented the VHE observation in the high state by the ARGO-YBJ experiment on June 11-13. We use the multiwavelength data collected by Donnarumma et al. (2009) in June 6-15. The VHE data in the high state are from Di Sciascio et al. (2010). The TeV data is corrected by EBL absorption using the model reported by Raue & Mazin (2008).

Many multiwavelength campaigns have revealed a strong correlation of X-rays with $\gamma$-rays. No significant correlation is observed between X/$\gamma$-rays and the optical band which usually shows a steady flux. We argue that the emission may contain two components from two different jet regions. One is the steady component from the outer region, in which the electrons are accelerated by first-order Fermi process. We use the conventional SSC model to produce the emission from the steady component. The first-order Fermi acceleration is expected to create a steady electron spectrum with spectral index 2.2. We set $p = 2.2$ to fit the SED of Mrk 421, in which the parameters are listed in table 1. The other is the variable component from the inner region, in which the low energy electrons are continuously injected into blob and then accelerated to the high energy by the stochastic acceleration. In our calculations, We assume a constant initial electron distribution $N_{\text{in}}(\gamma', 0) = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for $1 \leq \gamma' \leq 2$. We use the time-dependent SSC model to produce the emission from the variable component, in which the parameters are listed in table 2. The model SED of Mrk 421 is shown in figure 2. It shows that our model can well fit the SEDs of Mrk 421.

In figure 1, we show the electron spectra of two flare states used in our SED fits. It is obvious that the IC cooling modifies the electron spectrum in the high energy and the electron spectrum is softer than that in the case of only synchrotron cooling. Nevertheless, the electron spectrum does not change at the low-energy end and becomes harder at the high-energy end from the low state to the high state, indicating that the flares are due to the hardening of the electron spectrum at the high energy. The short time variability ($\sim 1$ day) constrains the size of the emitting region to be $R_b' < c \tau_{\text{var}} \delta_D \sim 5 \times 10^{16} (\delta_D/0.2) \text{ cm}$. The mean energy $E$ of synchrotron radiation from an electron with Lorentz factor $\gamma'$ is $E \approx 2 c B_0 \gamma'^2 \delta_D$. The peak energy of synchrotron radiation is $E_p \approx 3 \text{ keV}$ during this flare, corresponding to $\gamma' \approx 1.4 \times 10^{5} (B/0.3 \text{ G})^{-1/2} (\delta_D/32)^{-1/2}$, which is comparable with the peak of the electron spectrum we used (see fig. 1). The parameter of $\gamma' \epsilon_{\gamma'} = \gamma' \epsilon_\gamma / \delta_D > 1$ indicates that the IC scattering occurs at the KN regime during the two flares. We can estimate the Doppler factor $\delta_D$ by the KN effect. When the energy of the electron has $\gamma' \geq 1/\epsilon_\gamma$, the IC scattering takes place at the KN regime, leading to a peak in the IC luminosity at $\epsilon' \approx 1/\epsilon_\gamma$. We can obtain that $\delta_D \approx (\epsilon_\gamma / \epsilon_{\gamma'})^{1/2} = (E\gamma' / m_e c^2)^{1/2} = (E_\gamma / m_e c^2)^{1/2}$. We have $E_\gamma \approx 1 \text{ keV}$ and $E_\gamma \approx 0.3 \text{ TeV}$ in the low state and obtain $\delta_D > 34$ that is very close to the value we used. The physical parame-
Table 1. The model parameters for the steady component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B′(G)</th>
<th>δ_D</th>
<th>γ'_min</th>
<th>γ'_max</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>k(cm⁻³)</th>
<th>R_b′(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The steady component</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 × 10⁴</td>
<td>4 × 10⁴</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.2 × 10³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The model parameters for the variable component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B′(G)</th>
<th>δ_D</th>
<th>Q′(cm⁻³·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>t'_{acc}(s)</th>
<th>f/t'_{acc}</th>
<th>R_b′(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The variable component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The low state</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>R_b′/c</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The high state</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>R_b′/c</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Jet powers in the form of radiation, Poynting flux, bulk motion of electrons and protons for the steady and variable components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P_r(erg·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>P_B(erg·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>P_e(erg·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>P_p(erg·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>κ_{eq}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The steady component</td>
<td>3.00 × 10⁴²</td>
<td>7.59 × 10⁴²</td>
<td>4.99 × 10⁴³</td>
<td>2.19 × 10⁴⁴</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The variable component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the low state</td>
<td>3.70 × 10⁴²</td>
<td>2.81 × 10⁴²</td>
<td>1.61 × 10⁴³</td>
<td>1.37 × 10⁴⁴</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the high state</td>
<td>5.50 × 10⁴²</td>
<td>2.81 × 10⁴²</td>
<td>1.97 × 10⁴³</td>
<td>1.38 × 10⁴⁴</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The electron spectra of two flare states used in the SED fittings. Solid lines represent the case of SSC cooling. The dashed lines represent the case of only synchrotron cooling.
Fig. 2. The red and black circles represent the simultaneous optical-X-ray-TeV data during two flare states. The blue dashed curves represent the synchrotron-SSC emission from the steady components. The red and black dashed curves represent synchrotron-SSC emission from the variable component during two flare states. The red and black solid curves are the total emission from two components during two flare states. The observed data come from Donnarumma et al. 2009 and Di Sciascio et al. 2010.

We can estimate the power carried by the jet in the form of Poynting flux ($P_B$), relativistic electrons ($P_e$) and cold protons ($P_p$). All powers are calculated as (Celotti & Ghisellini 2008; Ghisellini et al. 2010)

$$P_i = \pi R'^2 \Gamma^2 c U'_i,$$

where $U'_i$ ($i = B, e, p$) is the energy density of the $i$ component as measured in the co-moving frame. The electron energy density is given by $U'_e = \int \gamma' m_e c^2 N'(\gamma') d\gamma$. The ratio of electron number density to proton number density in blazar jets is of the order of 0.1-1 (Sikora & Madejski 2000; Celotti & Ghisellini 2008). We calculate the proton energy density $U'_p$ by assuming one proton per emitting electron. The power carried by the radiation is (Celotti & Ghisellini 2008)

$$P_r = \pi R'^2 \Gamma^2 c U'_r = \frac{L'}{\delta^2} \approx \frac{L}{\delta^2},$$

where $U'_r = L'/(4\pi R'^2 c)$ is the radiation energy density produced by the jet. $L$ is the total observed non-thermal luminosity. We set $\delta = \Gamma$ by assuming the viewing angle $\theta = 1/\Gamma$. $\kappa_{eq} = U'_B/U'_e$ is the equipartition parameter between the magnetic and electron energy density. The estimated powers are listed in table 3. In both regions, we find that the electron energy density is slightly larger than the magnetic field energy density, and they are close to equipartition, typically $0.1 \lesssim \kappa_{eq} \lesssim 1$ (Ghisellini et al. 2010). Because the radiated power is far less than the total jet power, the substantial fraction of the total jet power is carried by the proton, indicating that the jet is strongly matter-dominated.

4. Discussions and Conclusions

Donnarumma et al. (2009) analyzed the optical and X-ray variability of Mrk 421, they suggested that the inner region would produce the X-ray. However outer region only could produce lower-frequency emission. Blażejowski et al. (2005) pointed out that the one-zone SSC model couldn’t fit the optical and radio fluxes well. They argued that the optical and radio radiation could originate in another region further down the jet, which is consistent with the fact that the optical flux has small change compared to the fluxes in the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray bands. Moreover, a two-component model is used to explain the multiwavelength variability of Mrk 421 (Chen et al. 2011). A two-component model is seemly needed to explain the multi-wavelength SEDs of Mrk 421, supported by the lack of correlation between the optical and X-ray bands.

In the paper, we study the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray flares of Mrk 421 on 2008 June 6-15 using the SSC model with electron acceleration. We suggest that the low-energy electron population from the outer region is produced via first-order Fermi acceleration, and the high-energy
electron population is related to the stochastic acceleration. The X-ray observations with Suzaku also implied that the emission from Mrk 421 may originate from two different electron populations, which may be produced by first-order Fermi and second-order Fermi processes (Ushio et al. 2009; Ushio et al. 2010). We reproduce the observed SEDs of Mrk 421 from the low state to the high state using a two-component model. We obtain an excellent fit to the observed spectrum of Mrk 421 based on our model. Donnarumma et al. (2009) used a steady electron spectrum to reproduce the observed SEDs of Mrk 421, and pointed out that the flares are due to the hardening of electron spectrum, not due to the increase/decrease of the electron density. However, they didn’t explain what acceleration mechanism leads to the softing/hardening of electron spectrum. We argue that the emission from Mrk 421 may originate from two different electron populations, which may be produced by synchrotron and IC components shift toward the higher frequency from the low state to the high state, supporting our results. Furthermore, the observed peaks of synchrotron and IC components shift toward the higher frequency from the low state to the high state, supporting that the flares are driven by the process of the electron acceleration. We estimate the jet powers using our model parameters in both jet regions. We find that the energy densities of electrons and magnetic field are near equipartition and the jet is strongly matter-dominated in both jet regions. A hint of correlation between optical and TeV energy was reported by Donnarumma et al. (2009), but no clear correlation between the optical and X/γ-ray bands is confirmed so far. Our two-component model can be tested by observing the optical and X/γ-ray bands in future.
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