WASP-12b AND HAT-P-8b ARE MEMBERS OF TRIPLE STAR SYSTEMS
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ABSTRACT

We present high spatial resolution images that demonstrate WASP-12b and HAT-P-8b orbit the primary star of hierarchical triple star systems. In each case, two distant companions with colors and brightness consistent with M dwarfs co-orbit the hot Jupiter planet host as well as one another. Our adaptive optics images spatially resolve the secondary around WASP-12, previously identified by Bergfors et al. (2011) and Crossfield et al. (2012), into two distinct sources separated by 84.3 ± 0.6 mas (21 ± 3 AU). We find that the secondary to HAT-P-8, also identified by Bergfors et al. (2011), is in fact composed of two stars separated by 65.3 ± 0.5 mas (15 ± 1 AU). Our follow-up observations demonstrate physical association through common proper-motion. HAT-P-8 C has a particularly low mass, which we estimate to be 0.18 ± 0.02 M⊙ using photometry. Due to their hierarchy, WASP-12 BC and HAT-P-8 BC will enable the first dynamical mass determination for hot Jupiter stellar companions. These previously well-studied planet hosts now represent higher-order multi-star systems with potentially complex dynamics, underscoring the importance of diffraction-limited imaging and providing additional context for understanding the migrant population of transiting hot Jupiters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There is much debate regarding the origin and evolutionary history of hot Jupiters. Traditional core accretion theory suggests that such planets form beyond the ice-line (the boundary outside which water exists in a frozen state) prior to moving inwards (Pollack et al. 1996). The earliest proposed planet migration mechanisms involve a gradual inward-spiral facilitated by planet-disk interactions (Goldreich & Tremaine 1980; Lin et al. 1996; Murray et al. 1998). Naive interpretation of these migration models presumes that planetary orbits should be well aligned with the spin-axis of their host star. However, precise radial velocity (RV) measurements exploiting the Rossiter-McLaughlin (RM) effect show that many transiting hot Jupiter orbits are significantly misaligned (Winn et al. 2009, 2010b; Triaud et al. 2010; Hébrard et al. 2011; Albrecht et al. 2012).

Numerous dynamical models have been proposed to explain the wide range of observed spin-orbit angles, including planet-planet scattering (Ford & Rasio 2008; Chatterjee et al. 2008) and Koziol-Lidov perturbations with subsequent tidal friction (Wu & Murray 2003; Naoz et al. 2011). Several teams have performed comparative analyses suggesting that these two modes could be responsible for placing Jupiters into very short (several day) orbital periods, either individually or in combination (Fabrycky & Winn 2009; Morton & Johnson 2011; Nagasawa & Ida 2011; Beaugé & Nesvorny 2012; Dawson et al. 2013).

The underlying assumption motivating these more complex dynamical models is that protoplanetary disks maintain alignment with their host star throughout the planet formation process. However, this assertion need not apply to all stars. Recent theoretical work indicates that the cause of misalignment may instead be induced by forces acting on the disk itself. For example, Lai et al. (2011) have proposed that young protostars with strong magnetic fields (>104 Gauss) can act to warp and misalign the circumstellar disk. Alternatively, gravitational torques from a companion star can change the inclination of the disk relative to the spin-axis of the star prior to the formation of planets (Batygin 2012). In any case, such mechanisms must be able to account for the observed abrupt change in the distribution of spin-axis angles as a function of stellar effective temperature (Winn et al. 2010a; Albrecht et al. 2012).

A number of plausible hot Jupiter migration mechanisms involve the presence of a massive third body. High spatial resolution imaging can detect such companions at physical scales corresponding to the expected location of their orbits (Eggenberger et al. 2007; Daemgen et al. 2009; Mugrauer & Neuhäuser 2009; Mason et al. 2011; Roberts et al. 2011; Ginski et al. 2012; Faedi et al. 2013; Narita et al. 2012). These studies consistently find

10 Stellar companions at short orbital periods can be constrained and sometimes ruled out by existing RV measurements.
that a significant fraction (tens of percent) host a distant stellar candidate companion that could potentially affect the dynamical histories of the observed hot Jupiters. Several of the most comprehensive and recent programs have used “lucky” imaging to efficiently explore a large number of targets. However, near-infrared observations combined with adaptive optics (AO) provides comparatively deeper effective contrast levels especially for objects with red colors such as M dwarfs and brown dwarfs (Fleming et al. 2012). We have recently commenced a multi-faceted observing program, named “Friends of Hot Jupiters” (hereafter FHJ), that systematically searches for additional companions around a large sample of transiting planet systems (Knutson et al. 2013). The primary objective of the FHJ survey is to quantify the relative fraction of systems, including both well-aligned and misaligned hot Jupiters, that contain distant tertiary bodies, and to study any candidate perturbers in detail using imaging and spectroscopy.

In this paper, we present initial results from the FHJ survey demonstrating that WASP-12 and HAT-P-8 are actually triple star systems. The candidate companion pairs found orbiting these two planet hosts were identified previously by Bergfors et al. (2011) as single objects. Our diffraction limited observations, using Keck, spatially resolve each secondary source into two distinct components. Combining our measurements with previous observations increases the astrometric time baseline by a factor of 2-3 and allows us to confirm the physical association of these objects with their parent star.

2. SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS

2.1. WASP-12

WASP-12b is a highly irradiated transiting hot Jupiter that orbits a G0V star with a 1.09 day period (Hebb et al. 2009). RM measurements yield a sky-projected spin orbit angle of $\lambda = 59^{+15}_{-20}$ deg (Albrecht et al. 2012). WASP-12b may have a prolate shape and be undergoing Roche-Lobe overflow that results in substantive mass loss (Li et al. 2010; Fossati et al. 2010, 2013). It has been suggested that this planet’s dayside emission spectrum is consistent with a super-solar carbon-to-oxygen ratio (Madhusudhan et al. 2011; Moses et al. 2013; see however Crossfield et al. 2012). Recent observations of WASP-12b’s transmission spectrum indicate that it may also have a high-altitude haze or cloud layer (Swain et al. 2013; Stevenson et al. 2013).

Bergfors et al. (2011) detected a faint source separated by 1.047 $\pm$ 0.021” from the WASP-12 primary. Using Keck/NIRSPEC archival data, Crossfield et al. (2012) analyzed the near-infrared spectrum of the candidate companion and found that it is consistent with an M dwarf. Crossfield et al. (2012) also note that the candidate is abnormally bright for an M dwarf if situated at the same distance as the primary. Bergfors et al. (2013) find that the companion’s point-spread function (PSF) appears to be elongated in two separate epochs, possibly indicating that it is a marginally resolved triple system.

2.2. HAT-P-8

HAT-P-8b is a transiting hot Jupiter that orbits an F6V star with a period of 3.07 days (Latham et al. 2009). Initially suspected to have an inflated radius, recent observations by Mancini et al. (2013) indicate a higher density than previously reported. Simpson et al. (2011) measure a sky-projected spin-orbit angle of $\lambda = 15^{+43}_{-38}$ deg and Moutou et al. (2011) find $\lambda = -17^{+22}_{-11.5}$ deg, both consistent with a reasonably well-aligned prograde orbit. High spatial resolution imaging by Bergfors et al. (2011, 2013) indicates that HAT-P-8 may be part of a binary star system, although Faedi et al. (2013) were unable to confirm the candidate companion, which had a purported angular separation of $1.027 \pm 0.011$”.

3. ADAPTIVE OPTICS IMAGING

We initially observed WASP-12 (V= 11.6) and HAT-P-8 (V= 10.4) as part of the FHJ program in Spring 2012 using NIRC2 (instrument PI: Keith Matthews) with the Keck II AO system (Wizinowich 2000). Our standard
distance modulus estimates: and absolute magnitudes by comparing to Kraus & Hillenbrand 2007. Absolute magnitudes are found using (photometric or spectroscopic)

Summary of astrometric measurements listing integration time (Δt_int), angular separation (ρ), and position angle (PA). Observations are separated by more than one year for each stellar system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companion</th>
<th>JD-2,450,000</th>
<th>Date (UT)</th>
<th>Δt_int [s]</th>
<th>ρ (mas)</th>
<th>PA (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WASP-12 B</td>
<td>5,959.9</td>
<td>2012-Feb-02</td>
<td>135 ± 135</td>
<td>1064 ± 19</td>
<td>251.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASP-12 C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASP-12 B</td>
<td>6,353.8</td>
<td>2013-Mar-02</td>
<td>150 ± 1062 ± 18</td>
<td>251.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASP-12 C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT-P-8 B</td>
<td>6,103.0</td>
<td>2012-June-24</td>
<td>162 ± 95</td>
<td>1040 ± 14</td>
<td>137.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT-P-8 C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT-P-8 B</td>
<td>6,476.9</td>
<td>2013-July-03</td>
<td>180 ± 180</td>
<td>1053 ± 14</td>
<td>137.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT-P-8 C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2
Secondary and tertiary companion photometric properties. We estimate spectral types using near-infrared color information (when available) and absolute magnitudes by comparing to Kraus & Hillenbrand 2007. Absolute magnitudes are found using (photometric or spectroscopic) distance modulus estimates: d = 250 ± 30 pc for WASP-12 (Bergfors et al. 2013) and d = 230 ± 15 pc for HAT-P-8 (Latham et al. 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companion</th>
<th>ΔJ</th>
<th>ΔKs</th>
<th>J − Ks</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>Mass (M⊙)</th>
<th>Spec. Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WASP-12 B</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.47 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.05</td>
<td>M3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASP-12 C</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.50 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.05</td>
<td>M6V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT-P-8 B</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.07</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.07</td>
<td>7.73 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>∞M3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT-P-8 C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.32 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>∞M6V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data were processed using standard techniques to flat-field the array, subtract background noise, and align and co-add the frames (e.g., Crepp et al. 2012). Figure 1 shows the final reduced K-band images for WASP-12 and HAT-P-8. Our observations provide a spatial resolution comparable to the diffraction limit (approximately 45 mas). In each case, two candidate companions (B, C) are detected.

We obtained complementary photometry in the J-band to determine the companion colors and help constrain their physical properties. WASP-12 BC are spatially resolved in the J-band; however HAT-P-8 BC are not seen in the UT 2012 June-24 J-band images due to high airmass (2.19) indicating that the HAT-P-8 companions have red colors. Deeper follow-up J-band observations taken UT 2012 July-03 (see Section 4.2) detect the combined light of HAT-P-8 BC but do not spatially separate the objects as is seen at longer wavelengths.

4. PHOTOMETRY AND ASTROMETRY
4.1. PSF Model Fits
We perform a Bayesian analysis to model the AO observations of WASP-12 and HAT-P-8 at each epoch. Specifically, Markov-Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) numerical methods are employed to compute companion relative brightnesses, astrometric positions, and determine uncertainties. The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm efficiently explores regions of parameter space to find the best-fitting global minimum and calculate posterior distributions for each fit parameter.

We simultaneously model three point-spread functions to self-consistently account for contamination from nearby companion star. Free parameters include: rectilinear coordinates for each source; peak brightness of each source; sky background levels (which we model as spatially uniform); and PSF fitting parameters, α, β, γ, rs, and w. The observations are well-modeled using a modified Moffat function, given by:

$$I(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_i \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r_i}{r_s} \right)^2 \right]^{-\beta} + \gamma_i e^{-r_i^2/w^2} \right\},$$

where $r_i = \sqrt{(x_i - x_0)^2 + (y_i - y_0)^2}$ is a polar coordinate corresponding to the angular separation from each source, $i$, in the image. The term on the left describes the AO halo and the term on the right characterizes the PSF core. By separating the terms, we effectively account for tip/tilt and focal anisoplanatism in the images, although we do not allow rs and w to vary individually ($w_i = w$, $r_s = r$) due to the already large number of degrees of freedom (twelve when including the sky background). The posterior distributions found by our MCMC algorithm marginalize over all fitting parameters.

Equation 1 captures on-axis AO features but does not replicate low order aberrations or diffraction from the first Airy ring. We have experimented with other PSF forms such as sinc(...)$^2$ and sinc2(...)$^2$ functions. Assuming that uncertainties in each reduced image are described by Poisson statistics at the pixel level, resulting from sky-background subtraction shot-noise, we find the results from each AO model are consistent with one another but uncertainties are unrealistically small. For example, an-
Fig. 2.— Astrometric measurements for WASP-12 and HAT-P-8. Axes correspond to the angular separation (offset) in the north and east cardinal directions as measured relative to the primary star. The combined proper motion plus parallactic motion of an infinitely distant (unassociated) object is given by the dashed and solid curves. Dashed curves correspond to the astrometric time baseline of Bergfors et al. (2013). The solid curves correspond to the astrometric time baseline of this study. Bergfors et al. (2013) did not spatially resolve the WASP-12 BC and HAT-P-8 BC components, but did provide the initial detection of their combined light signal (in 2009 October). We plot the photo-center of our resolved BC companions to compare to Bergfors' 2009 and 2011 data. Our Keck AO epochs are separated by more than one year and demonstrate physical association (by themselves) for HAT-P-8; association of WASP-12 BC is established by combining our results with those of Bergfors et al. (2013). Our astrometric uncertainties are over-plotted and comparable to Bergfors et al. (2013). Our measurement precision is dominated by systematics from distortion in the individual frames. Orbital motion of these two bodies may be detectable with additional observations.

The angular separation measurement uncertainties are less than 1 mas (1σ). The images used for our analysis have been fully processed prior to MCMC calculations. As such, we have stacked frames acquired from different dither positions. This step is required because the companions are so much fainter than their primary star particularly in the J-band. However, by combining images obtained from different locations on the array, we have introduced PSF spatial smearing from uncorrected optical distortions. We estimate the size of this effect using polynomial fits available for the NIRC2 array provided by Keck Observatory. Systematic errors are of order 1-2 pixels and change depending on the size of the dither pattern. Distortion corrections may be applied before image stacking but this introduces significant numerical noise. Furthermore, the correction coefficients also change slowly with time (Yelda et al. 2010).

Our final adopted astrometric uncertainties were found by adding the effects of optical distortion in quadrature with that from photon noise and pixel crosstalk resulting from PSF fitting errors. We self-consistently account for uncertainty in the plate scale and orientation of the NIRC2 array (Ghez et al. 2008) by randomly drawing values for the plate-scale and orientation from a normal distribution and folding the results into calculations of the angular separation and position angle when converting from pixel separations to arcseconds. Nevertheless, the effect from optical distortion dominates the uncertainty for each astrometric epoch as it is much greater than both pixel cross-talk and photon noise. Results for relative astrometry measurements are shown in Table 1. Although our observations from 2012 and 2013 were acquired in different filters (K′ and Ks), due to a change in the FHJ default observing strategy, this effect appears to be small since the results are nearly identical.

4.2. Physical Association

We perform an astrometric analysis to assess the physical association of the WASP-12 BC and HAT-P-8 BC candidates with their primary star. To do so, we compare our astrometric measurements against the null hypothesis that the off axis sources are infinitely distant unrelated background objects with zero parallax. WASP-12 has a small proper motion [-0.7, -7.8 mas/yr] comparable to the size (9.963 ± 0.006 mas) of a NIRC2 pixel (Høg et al. 2000; Ghez et al. 2008). HAT-P-8 has a proper motion that is an order of magnitude larger [75.5, 17.5 mas/yr] comparable to the size of a NIRC2 pixel (Høg et al. 2000). Neither star has a Hipparcos parallax measurement which complicates the analysis. Instead, the distance to WASP-12 is estimated using a photometric distance modulus (Bergfors et al. 2013) and the distance to HAT-P-8 is determined using a spectroscopic distance modulus (Latham et al. 2009). We incorporate parallactic motion by converting estimated distances to a trigonometric parallax (ellipse). The resulting differential motion across the sky between the primary star and candidate secondary/tertiary is given by the vector sum of the proper and parallactic motion (Zimmerman et al. 2010).

Our astrometric measurements are shown in Figure 2. Over-plotted are previous measurements taken by Bergfors et al. (2013) in October 2009 that identify the combined light signal of WASP-12 BC and HAT-P-8 BC but do not spatially resolve the sources into individual components. Our Keck AO observations from 2012 and 2013 clearly separate the light from each companion star.
The angular separation of WASP-12 BC is 84.3 ± 0.6 mas (21 ± 3 AU) and the angular separation of HAT-P-8 BC is only 65.3 ± 0.5 mas (15 ± 1 AU), comparable to the diffraction limit of a 10 meter telescope at near-infrared wavelengths. Optical distortion for such small separations is negligible. To compare data on an equal footing with Bergfors et al. (2013), we plot combined light photo-centers for WASP-12 BC and HAT-P-8 BC in Figure 2.

The a priori probability of finding three point sources in a hierarchical configuration separated by only 1" on the sky is very low. Our two astrometric epochs for WASP-12 and HAT-P-8 are separated by 393.9 days and 373.9 days, respectively. The expected motion of a background source relative to the primary star is 8.5 ± 1.0 mas (0.9 ± 0.1 pixels) for WASP-12 and 79.3 ± 2.9 mas (8.0 ± 0.3 pixels) for HAT-P-8 over the same time-frame.

With only two observations, the confirmation that WASP-12 BC are bona-fide companions is marginal. However, combining our measurements with the 2009 October initial detection from Bergfors et al. (2011) we can demonstrate that the three point sources are physically associated (Figure 2). To further reinforce our results, we have determined the photometric distance modulus for WASP-12 BC. The combined light apparent magnitude of the WASP-12 System is 10.19 ± 0.02 (Skrutskie et al. 2006). Backing out the individual apparent magnitudes of WASP-12 BC from our relative photometry measurements, we find the distance to WASP-12 B is 263 ± 13 pc and the distance to WASP-12 C is 267 ± 15 pc. These values overlap with the photometric distance estimated by Bergfors et al. (2013) of 250 ± 30 pc ruling out the possibility that they are foreground or background objects.

HAT-P-8 BC are confirmed using our observations alone due to the large space motion of the host star. We cannot claim detection of orbital motion for either system because of the aforementioned systematic errors and fact that the stars were observed with different instruments and filters. Dedicated astrometric measurements are required to determine the total dynamical mass of the secondary and tertiary in each case (Dupuy et al. 2010).

We note that in both cases, WASP-12 and HAT-P-8, our measurements are ≈ 20 mas south and ≈ 20 mas east of Bergfors suggesting possible systematics between the AstraLux and Keck data sets.

4.3. Companion Characterization

Bergfors et al. (2013) assign a preliminary spectral type of M0V for WASP-12 "B" (combined light), assuming the identified off axis source is associated. Crossfield et al. (2012) find that WASP-12 "B" is a hot M dwarf with ΔK = 2.45 ± 0.06 mag. We find that WASP-12 B and WASP-12 C are ΔK_A,B = 3.25 ± 0.04 and ΔK_A,C = 3.28 ± 0.04 mags fainter than the primary respectively (Table 2). Combining the signal from both components, our measurements show that the expected unresolved brightness difference between the secondary/tertiary and primary star should be ΔK_{A,BC} = 2.51 ± 0.03 mag, consistent with the interpretation of Crossfield et al. (2012).

To further characterize the companions around each star, we calculate absolute magnitudes based on previous distance estimates from Bergfors et al. (2013) and Latham et al. (2009). Our uncertainty in absolute magnitude is dominated by the lack of a trigonometric parallax measurement. We estimate the mass of each companion using Girardi et al. (2002) evolutionary models assuming a system age of 5 Gyr. Comparing our absolute magnitudes to those of Kraus & Hillenbrand (2007), we find that WASP-12 BC are consistent with M3V (Table 2). Additionally, the J - K colors of WASP-12 BC (see Table 2) are also consistent with M stars (Kraus & Hillenbrand 2007). Although HAT-P-8 BC are detected during second epoch (UT 2013 July-03) observations, they are spatially unresolved in the J-band because the images were obtained at an airmass of 2.19. Performing aperture photometry for the pair, we find a combined difference in magnitude of ΔJ_A,BC = 5.9 ± 0.2. We estimate the spectral types of HAT-P-8 B and C to be ≈ M5V and M6V respectively using K-band pho-
ometry alone.

4.4. Companion Constraints

As part of the FHJ program, we obtained additional RV measurements for both systems, which we use to constrain the presence of additional companions at shorter orbital periods. Our best-fit RV slopes are:

\[
\frac{dv}{dt}_{\text{WASP-12}} = -4.12 \pm 4.37 \text{ m/s/year} \\
\frac{dv}{dt}_{\text{HAT-P-8}} = -2.72 \pm 2.39 \text{ m/s/year},
\]

consistent with the absence of massive, \( m \geq 5 M_J \), objects out to \( a \leq 8.3 \text{ AU} \) for WASP-12 and \( a \leq 10.9 \text{ AU} \) for HAT-P-8. Figure 3 displays joint constraints imposed by the combination of Doppler RV measurements (solid lines) and direct imaging observations (dashed lines). Should any additional companions be present in these systems, their masses must reside below both curves. Continued RV monitoring of the host stars will further eliminate regions of mass-semi-major axis parameter space.

5. SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

We have commenced a multi-disciplinary follow-up observing program, named “Friends of Hot Jupiters” (FHJ), that targets a large sample of short-period gas giant transiting planet systems. In this paper, we present AO images from Keck that spatially resolve previously identified candidate companions around WASP-12 and HAT-P-8 into two distinct sources. When combined with previous observations from Bergfors et al. 2013, our astrometric measurements show that WASP-12 BC and HAT-P-8 BC are gravitationally bound to one another as well as the primary, making WASP-12b and HAT-P-8b members of hierarchical triple star systems.

Our diffraction-limited measurements show that the two companions around WASP-12 are separated by 84.3 ± 0.6 mas (21 ± 3 AU) and have roughly equal brightness. We estimate spectral types of M3V, consistent with the Crossfield et al. 2012 (spatially unresolved) combined-light spectroscopic analysis. Our photometric measurements combined with evolutionary models indicate masses of 0.38 ± 0.05 M_⊙ and 0.37 ± 0.05 M_⊙ for WASP-12 B and C, respectively. The companions orbiting HAT-P-8 are separated by only 65.3 ± 0.5 mas (15±1 AU) and have somewhat more disparate properties. We estimate that HAT-P-8 B has a mass of 0.22 ± 0.03 M_⊙ and HAT-P-8 C has a mass of 0.18 ± 0.02 M_⊙. In each case our ability to characterize each system is limited by the lack of an accurate trigonometric parallax.

The ongoing debate concerning the origin of misaligned hot Jupiters has brought about several potential orbital evolutionary theories. AO imaging shows significant promise to improve our understanding of the dynamical history of these systems. Although numerous candidate companions around hot Jupiter hosts have been identified (e.g., Bergfors et al. 2013), multi-epoch astrometry that assesses the physical association of these objects requires dedicated follow-up measurements from comprehensive programs that study close- separations stellar companions in detail. WASP-12 and HAT-P-8 may offer unique insights into the dynamics of hot Jupiter systems because their hierarchy will ultimately enable companion mass estimates using dynamics.
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