Diverse, massive-star-associated sources for elements heavier than Fe and the roles of neutrinos

Yong-Zhong Qian$^{1,2}$

1 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
2 Center for Nuclear Astrophysics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
E-mail: qian@physics.umn.edu
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Sources for elements heavier than Fe

1. Introduction

The inventory of those elements heavier than Fe in the solar system mainly came from two types of neutron-capture processes: the \(s\) (slow) process where the neutron-capture rates of the produced unstable nuclei are slower than the \(\beta\)-decay rates of the same nuclei and the \(r\) (rapid) process where the opposite is true \([1, 2]\). In addition to the crucial input from nuclear physics, understanding these two processes requires detailed knowledge of the conditions in the relevant astrophysical environments. Neutron-capture rates are directly proportional to the neutron (number) density \(n_n\). These rates also depend on the temperature \(T\) through the energy dependence of the cross sections (especially due to resonances) and through the relative velocity between neutrons and nuclei. The presence of nuclear excited states introduces dependence on \(T\) for both neutron-capture (e.g., \([3]\)) and \(\beta\)-decay rates (e.g., \([4]\)). In some environments, \(\beta\)-decay rates are affected by the ionization states of host atoms (e.g., \([5]\)) or by Pauli blocking of the emitted electrons (e.g., \([4]\)). Most important, how neutrons and the seed nuclei capturing them are provided for a neutron-capture process and more generally, how the overall nucleosynthesis proceeds as a function of time are determined by the detailed, often dynamic conditions in the relevant environments. Finally, in order to compare the contributions from different environments sited in various astrophysical events, we also need to know the total amount of neutron-capture elements produced in an event and the associated event rate over the Galactic history.

All isotopes of Tc are radioactive with \(^{98}\text{Tc}\) having the longest half-life of \(4.2 \times 10^6\) yr. The discovery of Tc in the spectra of stars now known to be in the asymptotic-giant-branch (AGB) stage of their evolution \([6]\) firmly established that the main \(s\) process occurs in AGB stars of \(\sim 1–3 M_\odot\) (\(M_\odot\) being the mass of the sun; e.g., \([7]\)). The search for the astrophysical sites of the \(r\) process so far has been inconclusive. Nevertheless, it has benefited greatly from models of massive (\(\gtrsim 8 M_\odot\)) star evolution (e.g., \([8]\)), core-collapse supernovae (e.g., \([9]\)), and neutron star mergers (e.g., \([10, 11]\)), from recognition of the importance of neutrinos to these models (e.g., \([12, 13]\)), and from observations of elemental abundances in stars formed over the Galactic history (e.g., \([14]\)) as well as meteoritic measurements of radioactive isotopes present in the early solar system (e.g., \([15, 16]\)). The above efforts not only have improved our understanding of the \(r\) process, but also have led to discoveries of new processes that make elements heavier than Fe not in the same way as the classical \(r\) or \(s\) process (e.g., \([17, 18]\)).

Recent progress in the understanding of the \(s\) process has been reviewed by Ref. \([7]\). This paper focuses on models of the \(r\) process and other related processes for producing elements heavier than Fe, all of which are associated with massive stars. Emphases are on the diversity of the relevant environments, the roles of neutrinos in quite a few of them, and the constraints from observations of metal-poor stars formed in the early Galaxy. Extensive discussion of nuclear physics issues and of astrophysical models with different emphases can be found in earlier reviews (e.g., \([19, 20, 21]\)).

2. Astrophysical models of the \(r\) process and related nucleosynthesis

By definition, an \(r\) process requires

\[
n_n \langle v\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z, A) \rangle \gtrsim \lambda_\beta(Z, A),
\]

(1)
where $v$ is the relative velocity and $\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z,A)$ is the corresponding cross section for neutron capture by the nucleus $(Z,A)$ with proton number $Z$ and mass number $A$, $\langle v\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z,A) \rangle$ is the neutron-capture rate coefficient of this nucleus averaged over the relevant thermal distributions, and $\lambda_\beta(Z,A)$ is its $\beta$-decay rate. A crude estimate of the neutron density required for an $r$ process is

$$n_n \gtrsim 10^{18} \left[ \frac{10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}}{\langle v\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z,A) \rangle} \right] \left[ \frac{\lambda_\beta(Z,A)}{10 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right] \text{cm}^{-3},$$

where nominal values of $\langle v\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z,A) \rangle$ and $\lambda_\beta(Z,A)$ have been indicated.

For convenience of discussion, we distinguish two generic types of $r$-process models: (1) a hot $r$-process occurring at $T \gtrsim 10^9$ K, for which neutron emission by photo-disintegration is important, and (2) a cold $r$ process occurring at $T \ll 10^9$ K, for which photo-disintegration can be ignored. A hot $r$ process tends to go through a phase with $n_n \gtrsim 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T \gtrsim 10^9$ K, for which a statistical $(n,\gamma)$-$(\gamma,n)$ equilibrium is achieved between neutron capture and photo-disintegration. In this case, the $r$-process path is determined by the “waiting-point” nuclei with neutron separation energies favored by the $n_n$ and $T$ of the environment and is independent of the detailed dynamics of neutron capture and photo-disintegration (e.g., \cite{19, 20, 21}). Upon the $\beta$-decay of the waiting-point nucleus with proton number $Z$, its daughter nucleus immediately captures several neutrons to become the waiting-point nucleus with proton number $Z + 1$. Except for a small number of nuclei with closed neutron shells, waiting-point nuclei have typical $\beta$-decay rates of $\lambda_\beta \gg 10$ s$^{-1}$. Consequently, neutrons are rapidly consumed in the $(n,\gamma)$-$(\gamma,n)$-equilibrium phase of a hot $r$ process. In the absence of fission, the total number of nuclei (excluding neutrons) is conserved. A useful quantity to characterize a hot $r$ process is the number of neutrons per seed nucleus, or the neutron-to-seed ratio $n/s$, at the beginning of the process. When all the neutrons are captured, the abundance pattern produced by a hot $r$ process is required by mass conservation to have the average mass number

$$\langle A \rangle = \langle A_s \rangle + n/s,$$

where $\langle A_s \rangle$ is the average mass number of the seed nuclei. For $\langle A_s \rangle + n/s \gtrsim 260$, the heaviest nuclei produced are unstable to fission. This increases the total number of nuclei and Eq. (3) no longer applies. The fission fragments become the new seed nuclei to capture neutrons. In the limit where a cyclic flow is established between the fission fragments and the fissioning nuclei (i.e., fission cycling occurs), a steady abundance pattern results independently of the initial seed nuclei and $n/s$.

As photo-disintegration plays no role in a cold $r$ process, a useful quantity to characterize this process is the time integral of $n_n$, or the total neutron “exposure”

$$T_n = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} n_n(t)dt,$$

where $t_i$ and $t_f$ correspond to the time at the beginning and the end of the process, respectively. In the absence of fission, the average mass number of the nuclei produced by a cold $r$ process can be estimated as

$$\langle A \rangle \sim \langle A_s \rangle + T_n \langle v\sigma_{n,\gamma} \rangle,$$

where $\langle v\sigma_{n,\gamma} \rangle$ is some average of the neutron-capture rate coefficients for the nuclei involved. Models of a cold $r$ process typically have relatively low $n_n$ and the corresponding $T_n$ may be insufficient to consume all the available neutrons. This is why $T_n$ is more relevant than $n/s$ for such a process. A successful cold $r$ process
occurs when moderately high $n_n$ can be sustained for long enough to give $T_n$ required for producing heavy $r$-process nuclei. (In contrast, a hot $r$ process typically has so high $n_n$ that all available neutrons are captured. A successful hot $r$ process occurs when enough neutrons are present initially to give a large $n/s$ required for producing heavy $r$-process nuclei.) If a cold $r$ process has $\langle A_s \rangle + T_n \langle v \sigma_{n,n} \gamma \rangle \gtrsim 260$, then fission must be taken into account and Eq. (5) no longer applies. If fission cycling could occur, a steady abundance pattern would be produced but it may differ from that in the case of a hot $r$ process as the nuclei involved in the cyclic flow are different for the two cases.

While the dynamics of an $r$ process depends on the occurrences of all the relevant nuclear reactions under the conditions of a specific environment, the above discussion gives an approximate description of the outcome based on the neutron-to-seed ratio $n/s$ for a hot $r$ process and the total neutron exposure $T_n$ for a cold one. In terms of specific features, because those unstable nuclei with closed neutron shells at $N = 50$, 82, and 126 on the $r$-process path are more stable than nearby nuclei, they are accumulated to produce the signature $r$-process abundance pattern with peaks at $A \sim 80, 130$, and 195. In contrast, the $s$-process pattern has peaks at $A = 88, 138$, and 208 corresponding to the stable nuclei with the $N = 50, 82$, and 126 closed neutron shells. Other processes producing nuclei heavier than Fe do not have as clear a signature abundance pattern as either the $r$ or $s$ process. Below we will focus on how the neutron-to-seed ratio or the total neutron exposure is determined in different astrophysical models for the $r$ process.

2.1. Expansion from nuclear statistical equilibrium

A generic model of a hot $r$ process is based on expansion of material from an initial state of high $T$ and high (mass) density $\rho$, for which all strong and electromagnetic reactions among free nucleons and nuclei occur so fast that the relative abundances of nuclei are determined by nuclear statistical equilibrium (NSE) independently of the detailed dynamics of these reactions. This initial composition and the subsequent evolution of $T$ and $\rho$ determine the neutron-to-seed ratio and ultimately the abundance pattern produced by the $r$ process.

In NSE, the number of nuclei $(Z, A)$ per baryon, or the number fraction $Y(Z, A)$ is given by

$$Y(Z, A) = \frac{G(Z, A)A^{3/2}}{2^A} \frac{Y_n^{A-Z}Y_p^Z}{Y_n^{A-Z}Y_p^Z} \times \left( \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m_u kT} \right)^{3(A-1)/2} \left( \frac{\rho}{m_u} \right)^{A-1} \exp \left[ \frac{B(Z, A)}{kT} \right],$$

where $G(Z, A)$ is the partition function of the corresponding nucleus, $B(Z, A)$ is its binding energy, $Y_n$ and $Y_p$ are the number fraction of neutrons and protons, respectively, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, $m_u$ is the atomic mass unit, and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant. The composition in NSE is also subject to constraints from conservation of baryon number and electric charge:

$$Y_n + Y_p + \sum_{(Z,A)} AY(Z, A) = 1,$$

$$Y_p + \sum_{(Z,A)} ZY(Z, A) = Ye,$$
where the sums extend over all nuclei (excluding free nucleons) and \( Y_e \) is the net number of electrons per baryon or the electron fraction. Given all the nuclear input for determining \( G(Z, A) \) and \( B(Z, A) \), the NSE composition for specific values of \( T \), \( \rho \), and \( Y_e \) can be calculated from Eqs. (6), (7), and (8).

It is instructive to rewrite Eq. (6) as

\[
Y(Z, A) = \frac{G(Z, A) A^{4/3}}{2} Y_n^{A-Z} Y_p^{Z} f(T, \rho; Z, A)^{A-1},
\]

\[
f(T, \rho; Z, A) = \left( \frac{2 \pi^2}{45 S_\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{2 \pi k T}{m_u c^2} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left[ \frac{B(Z, A)}{(A-1) k T} \right],
\]

\[
= \exp \left[ \frac{B(Z, A)}{(A-1) k T} - \frac{B_0}{k T} \right],
\]

where

\[
S_\gamma = \frac{4 \pi^2}{45} \left( \frac{k T}{h c} \right)^3 \left( \frac{m_u}{\rho} \right) = 1.21 \frac{T_{10}^3}{\rho_8}
\]

is the entropy of photons in units of \( k \) per baryon, and \( c \) is the speed of light. In the above equation, \( T_{10} \) is \( T \) in units of \( 10^{10} \) K and \( \rho_8 \) is \( \rho \) in units of \( 10^8 \) g cm\(^{-3} \). Similar notation will be used hereafter. The quantity \( B_0 \) defined by Eqs. (10) and (11) can be evaluated as

\[
B_0 = T_{10} \left[ 7.36 + 0.862 \ln \left( \frac{S_\gamma}{T_{10}^{3/2}} \right) \right] \text{MeV.} 
\]

It can be seen from Eqs. (9) and (11) that the NSE abundances of nuclei with \( B(Z, A)/(A - 1) < B_0 \) are exponentially suppressed.

The highest value of \( B(Z, A)/(A - 1) \) among all nuclei is 9.43 MeV for \(^4\)He (\( \alpha \) particle). The highest value of \( B(Z, A)/(A - 1) \) at a specific \( A \) increases approximately monotonically from 8.38 MeV for \(^{12}\)C to a peak at 8.95 MeV for \(^{56}\)Fe, and then decreases approximately monotonically, reaching \( \sim 8 \) MeV for \( A \sim 190 \) and 7.60 MeV for \(^{238}\)U. For fixed \( T \), \( B_0 \) increases with increasing \( S_\gamma \) [see Eq. (13)]. As \( B_0 = 9.43 \) MeV for \( T_{10} = 1 \) and \( S_\gamma = 11 \), the NSE abundances of all nuclei are exponentially suppressed and the NSE composition predominantly consists of free nucleons for \( T_{10} \sim 1 \) and \( S_\gamma \gtrsim 10 \). Physically, this may be explained as follows. The number of photons per baryon can be calculated from the black-body spectrum to be \( S_\gamma/3.6 \). For \( S_\gamma \gtrsim 10 \), the high-energy tail of the spectrum for \( T_{10} \sim 1 \) contains enough photons that are capable of breaking up nuclei into free nucleons. For fixed \( T \), such photons become more scarce as \( S_\gamma \) decreases. Consequently, \( \alpha \) particles start to be favored by NSE for \( T_{10} \sim 1 \) and \( S_\gamma \sim 1 \), and both \( \alpha \) particles and heavier nuclei are favored for \( T_{10} \sim 1 \) and \( S_\gamma \lesssim 0.1 \). In these cases, the dependence of the characteristic NSE composition on \( Y_e \) is manifest. For \( Y_e < 0.5 \) (i.e., an overall neutron richness), a significant number of neutrons coexist with \( \alpha \) particles and heavier nuclei but the proton abundance is very low (\( Y_p \ll Y_n \)). The roles of neutrons and protons are exchanged for \( Y_e \gtrsim 0.5 \). In addition, for fixed \( T \) and \( S_\gamma \), the abundant nuclei for \( Y_e \gtrsim 0.5 \) are more neutron rich and heavier than those for \( Y_e < 0.5 \).

Now consider the adiabatic expansion from NSE for a mass element with a fixed \( Y_e \). At time \( t = 0 \), the mass element has an initial composition specified by NSE for its initial temperature \( T(0) \) and density \( \rho(0) \). If we know \( T(t) \) and \( \rho(t) \) during its expansion, we can follow the evolution of its composition with a nuclear reaction network. For adiabatic expansion, the total entropy \( S(T, \rho, Y_e; \{Y_i\}) \) of the mass
element has a constant value $S_0$, where \{Y_i\} indicates the complete set of number fractions for free nucleons and nuclei. The function $S(T, \rho, Y_e, \{Y_i\})$ can be calculated from the thermal distributions of the contributing particles, which include photons, $e^\pm$, free nucleons, and nuclei [see Eq. (12) for the contribution $S_e$ from photons]. Once $T(t)$ is specified, e.g.,

$$T(t) = T(0) \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{dyn}}),$$

(14)

where $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$ is a constant dynamic timescale, the corresponding $\rho(t)$ can be obtained from $S(T, \rho, Y_e, \{Y_i\}) = S_0$. Thus, given a specific set of $Y_e$, $S_0$, and $T(t)$ [e.g., Eq. (14) with $T_9(0) \sim 10$ and some $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$], the nucleosynthesis in a mass element adiabatically expanding from NSE can be calculated. Whether $r$-process nuclei can be produced depends on the set of conditions chosen. Clearly, an $r$ process requires $Y_e < 0.5$ (i.e., a neutron-rich mass element).

Typical nucleosynthesis in an expanding mass element can be described qualitatively as follows. In the beginning, nuclear reactions can still keep up with the NSE composition as it shifts according to $T(t)$ and $\rho(t)$. At $T_9 \sim 5$, the composition starts to deviate from NSE and its subsequent dynamic evolution is controlled by reactions involving photons and light nuclei, e.g., $(n, \gamma)$, $(p, \gamma)$, $(\alpha, \gamma)$, $(n, p)$, $(\alpha, n)$, $(\alpha, p)$, and their reverse reactions. At $T_9 \sim 3$, all reactions involving charged particles cease to be effective. If very few neutrons are left at this point, the abundance pattern starts to freeze out and no $r$ process occurs. On the other hand, if there are many neutrons coexisting with heavy nuclei at this point, an $r$ process can occur subsequently with those heavy nuclei serving as the seed nuclei to capture neutrons. The final abundance pattern produced by the $r$ process depends on the average mass number of the seed nuclei and the neutron-to-seed ratio [see Eq. (3)], both of which are set by the dynamic evolution between $T_9 \sim 5$ and 3.

2.1.1. Neutrino-driven winds from protoneutron stars A well-studied model of nucleosynthesis in material adiabatically expanding from NSE concerns neutrino-driven winds from protoneutron stars (PNSs) produced in core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe). A PNS cools by emitting $\nu_e$, $\bar{\nu}_e$, $\nu_\mu$, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, $\nu_\tau$, and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$. As these neutrinos pass through the hot material predominantly consisting of free nucleons immediately outside the PNS, a fraction of the $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ can be absorbed through

$$\nu_e + n \rightarrow p + e^-, \quad \bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+, \quad (15)$$

The energy provided by the above reactions heats the material and enables it to escape from the gravitational potential of the PNS in a neutrino-driven wind (e.g., [22]). These reactions also interconvert neutrons and protons, thereby determining the $Y_e$ in the wind [23]. As a mass element in the wind moves away from the PNS, the rate of heating it receives decreases due to diminishing neutrino fluxes. Eventually, its expansion can be characterized approximately with a fixed set of $Y_e$, $S_0$, and $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$. This “initial” state typically corresponds to $T_9(0) \sim 10$ and an NSE composition that predominantly consists of free nucleons, with $Y_n(0) \approx 1 - Y_e$ and $Y_p(0) \approx Y_e$. At $T_9 \sim 5$, the NSE composition shifts to $\alpha$ particles, with the number fraction for these particles being $Y_\alpha \sim Y_e/2$ for $Y_e < 0.5$ and $Y_\alpha \sim (1 - Y_e)/2$ for $Y_e > 0.5$. The corresponding number fractions for free nucleons are $Y_n \sim 1 - 2Y_e \gg Y_p$ for $Y_e < 0.5$ and $Y_n \ll Y_p \sim 2Y_e - 1$ for $Y_e > 0.5$. An $r$ process requires $Y_e < 0.5$ and that few neutrons are consumed and few heavy nuclei are made during the subsequent dynamic
phase for setting the neutron-to-seed ratio (prior to the cessation of reactions involving charged particles at $T_9 \sim 3$).

Qualitatively, a low $Y_e$, a high $S_0$, and a short $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$ favor a high neutron-to-seed ratio for the $r$ process. The lower $Y_e$ is, the more neutrons are available. The shorter $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$ is, the less time there is for consuming neutrons and making seed nuclei. Production of seed nuclei from neutrons and $\alpha$ particles must pass through the bottleneck of $^9\text{Be} + \alpha \rightarrow ^{12}\text{C} + n$, where the abundance of $^9\text{Be}$ is determined approximately by the statistical equilibrium between the forward and reverse reactions below [17]:

$$\alpha + \alpha + n \rightleftharpoons ^9\text{Be} + \gamma.$$  (17)

As discussed above, a high entropy per baryon favors free nucleons over nuclei in NSE. In a similar manner, a high $S_0$ suppresses the abundance of $^9\text{Be}$ by the presence of many photons per baryon, enough of which have energy exceeding 1.573 MeV to incur frequently the reverse reaction in Eq. (17). Thus, a high $S_0$ has the same effect as a short $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$ in reducing the consumption of neutrons and the production of seed nuclei before an $r$ process could occur.

At the end of the evolution of a massive star, it has a white-dwarf-like core surrounded by an envelope. The gravitational collapse of the core initiates the CCSN process. A neutrino-driven wind from the PNS was clearly seen in a model for the accretion-induced collapse of a bare white dwarf [24]. A star of $8.8 M_\odot$, which lies near the lower end of the mass range producing CCSNe, develops an O-Ne-Mg core [25]. In a CCSN model of such a star, Ref. [26] showed that the neutrino reactions in Eqs. (15) and (16) first provide heating to the shock wave that drives the explosion and then continue to operate to drive an expanding hot “bubble” between the PNS and the outgoing shock. The same phenomena were also seen in a CCSN model of a 20 $M_\odot$ star, which develops an Fe core [27]. Except for the effect of the shock, the hot bubble has similar characteristics to a neutrino-driven wind. Nucleosynthesis calculations showed that nuclei heavier than Fe, including heavy $r$-process nuclei in some cases, were produced in this type of environment (e.g., [17, 27, 28, 29]). This inspired the neutrino-driven wind model of the $r$ process. However, other studies found that the winds typically have $Y_e \sim 0.4$–0.5, $S_0 \sim 10$–100, and $\tau_{\text{dyn}} \sim 0.01$–0.1 s (e.g., [30, 31, 32]), for which only elements such as Sr, Y, and Zr with $A \sim 90$ are readily produced. For the most favorable of these conditions, elements from Pd and Ag with $A \sim 110$ up to Te immediately below $A \sim 130$ can be produced. In all cases, the production of elements heavier than Fe occurs during the dynamic phase between $T_9 \sim 5$ and 3, where many types of reactions involving free nucleons, $\alpha$ particles, and photons play important roles. Therefore, the neutrino-driven wind facilitates a new kind of nucleosynthesis for producing elements heavier than Fe that differs from the classical $r$ or $s$ process [17]. However, the general consensus is that typical conditions in the wind do not meet the requirement for producing $r$-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ (e.g., [33, 34, 35]).

The $Y_e$ in the wind is determined by the competition between the reactions in Eqs. (15) and (16). The ratio of the rates of these reactions is

$$\frac{\lambda_{\nu_e, n}}{\lambda_{\nu_e, p}} = \frac{\phi_{\nu_e} \langle \sigma_{\nu_e, n} \rangle}{\phi_{\bar{\nu}_e} \langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}_e, p} \rangle} \approx \frac{L_{\nu_e}}{L_{\bar{\nu}_e}} \frac{\epsilon_{\nu_e} + 2\Delta}{\epsilon_{\nu_e} - 2\Delta},$$  (18)

where for example, $\phi_{\nu_e}$ is the $\nu_e$ flux, $\langle \sigma_{\nu_e, n} \rangle$ is the cross section for the reaction in Eq. (15) averaged over the $\nu_e$ spectrum, $L_{\nu_e}$ is the $\nu_e$ luminosity, $\epsilon_{\nu_e} \equiv \langle E_{\nu_e}^2 \rangle/\langle E_{\nu_e} \rangle$
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is the effective $\nu_e$ energy, $\langle E_{\nu_e}^n \rangle$ denotes the $n$th moment of the $\nu_e$ spectrum, and $\Delta = 1.293$ MeV is the neutron-proton mass difference. The approximate equality in Eq. (18) takes into account that $\phi_{\nu_e} \propto L_{\nu_e}/\langle E_{\nu_e} \rangle$, $\phi_{\bar{\nu}_e} \propto L_{\bar{\nu}_e}/\langle E_{\bar{\nu}_e} \rangle$, $\sigma_{\nu,n} \propto (E_{\nu_e} + \Delta)^2$, and $\sigma_{\nu,p} \propto (E_{\nu_e} - \Delta)^2$, which also explain the definitions of $\epsilon_{\nu_e}$ and $\epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e}$. A neutron-rich ($Y_e < 0.5$) wind requires $\lambda_{\nu,n}/\lambda_{\nu,p} < 1$. For $L_{\nu_e} \approx L_{\bar{\nu}_e}$, this requires $\epsilon_{\nu_e} - \epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e} > 4\Delta$ [31]. Recent neutrino transport calculations [36, 37] give softer spectra than previous works (e.g., [27]). As a result, the neutrino-driven wind was found to be mostly proton rich (e.g., [38]). While this is detrimental to the prospect of an $r$ process, other interesting nucleosynthesis can still take place in a proton-rich wind, in particular the so-called $\nu p$ process [18]. This process goes through a phase where $(p, \gamma)$ and $(\gamma, p)$ reactions are in equilibrium and progress towards heavier nuclei depends on the $\beta^+$ decay of the “waiting-point” nuclei favored by this equilibrium [cf. $(n, \gamma)$- $(\gamma, n)$ equilibrium for a hot $r$ process]. A long-lived waiting-point nucleus would have terminated the nuclear flow were neutrinos to be ignored (e.g., [39]). However, a proton-rich wind is exposed to an intense $\nu_e$ flux, which produces neutrons through the reaction in Eq. (16). The ensuing $(n, p)$ reaction on the stalling waiting-point nucleus has the same effect as its $\beta^+$ decay but occurs much faster. Consequently, the $\nu p$ process can produce elements significantly heavier than Fe [18, 40, 41]. It was shown that elements from Sr, Y, and Zr to Pd and Ag with $A \sim 90$–110 can be produced in both proton-rich and neutron-rich winds [42].

Because neutrino reactions are crucial to the determination of the conditions in the wind, the exact nucleosynthesis in this environment is sensitive to the luminosities and spectra obtained from calculations of neutrino transport inside the PNS on the one hand, and to the effects of neutrino flavor oscillations outside the PNS on the other. Recent works showed that medium effects increase the $\nu_e$ opacity but decrease the $\bar{\nu}_e$ opacity in the decoupling region, thereby increasing the difference between the $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectra [43, 44]. Consequently, $\epsilon_{\nu_e} - \epsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e} > 4\Delta$ can be obtained to sustain a neutron-rich neutrino-driven wind for a substantial period in the absence of neutrino flavor oscillations. As $\nu_\mu$, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, $\nu_\tau$, and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ have harder spectra than both $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$, neutrino flavor oscillations outside the PNS can drastically change the $Y_e$ in the wind (e.g., [23]). For the benefit of the $r$ process, the most effective way to reduce $Y_e$ is to invoke oscillations of $\nu_e$ into sterile neutrinos $\nu_s$ that do not have the normal weak interaction (e.g., [45]). However, the presence of multiple neutrino species with intense fluxes in an environment with a wide range of density calls for rather complicated treatment of flavor oscillations (e.g., [46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51]).

Other than neutrino physics, the conditions in the wind depend on the mass and radius of the PNS. For a typical PNS of $\approx 1.4M_\odot$ in mass and $\approx 10$ km in radius, general relativity does not affect the wind very much. Two neutron stars of $\approx 2M_\odot$ were discovered recently [52, 53]. General relativistic effects are important for PNSs of such masses, which have winds of substantially higher $S_0$ and shorter $t_{\text{dyn}}$ favorable to an $r$ process (e.g., [31, 54]). Nevertheless, production of $r$-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ still requires $Y_e \lesssim 0.4$ in the wind from a PNS of $\approx 2M_\odot$ for a reasonable nuclear equation of state (e.g., [55, 56]).

2.1.2. Other scenarios involving CCSN ejecta  Expansion from NSE with a fixed set of $Y_e$, $S_0$, and $t_{\text{dyn}}$ provides an approximate description for a wide range of ejecta from CCSNe. Using the typical conditions in neutrino-driven winds from PNSs for
comparison, we can identify promising r-process scenarios involving other types of CCSN ejecta based on whether they have lower $Y_e$, higher $S_0$, shorter $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$, or any combination of the above. Lower $Y_e$ can be obtained in winds from accretion disks (or tori) surrounding black holes that are formed in special CCSNe associated with long gamma-ray bursts \cite{57, 58} or in neutron star mergers associated with short ones \cite{59, 60}. The dense disk material is neutron rich due to electron capture and emits $\bar{\nu}_e$ with a harder spectrum than that of $\nu_e$. If winds are ejected rapidly without significant neutrino interaction, they retain the low $Y_e$ of the disk material. Even when they experience frequent neutrino interaction, the harder $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum may again lead to a low $Y_e$. So far, all r-process calculations for winds from black-hole accretion disks are parametrized studies. It remains to be seen if such studies can be confirmed by self-consistent hydrodynamic models with accurate neutrino transport.

Some CCSN mechanisms involve vigorous convections or magnetohydrodynamic jets, both of which can cause rapid ejection of neutron-rich material near the PNS without significant neutrino interaction. Models for r-process nucleosynthesis in such ejecta (e.g., \cite{61, 62, 63}) also await refinement along with the associated CCSN mechanisms.

Extremely rapid expansion with $\tau_{\text{dyn}} \sim 10^{-3}$ s may be achieved for some shocked ejecta in O-Ne-Mg CCSNe, which is another scenario for producing r-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ \cite{64}. The rapid expansion required depends on the steeply falling density profile outside an O-Ne-Mg core \cite{25}. In the model originally proposed, the shocked ejecta was heated to $T_9 \sim 10$ and dissociated into free nucleons. The subsequent expansion with $Y_e < 0.5$, $S_0 \sim 100$, and $\tau_{\text{dyn}} \sim 10^{-3}$ s resulted in production of heavy r-process nuclei up to U and Th \cite{64}. However, current models of O-Ne-Mg CCSNe do not find such conditions \cite{65, 66}. In particular, the shocked ejecta was only heated to $T_9 \sim 5$, which is too low to dissociate nuclei into free nucleons, thereby eliminating the neutron source in the original scenario. So unless there would be large changes in the progenitor structure or explosion mechanism or both for O-Ne-Mg CCSN relative to the current models, shock-induced r-process nucleosynthesis could not work as originally proposed. A slightly modified scenario might be more viable: if the shocked ejecta initially has a significant abundance of $^{13}\text{C}$ or $^{22}\text{Ne}$, then a post-shock temperature of $T_9 \sim 5$ is sufficient to induce $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha, n)^{16}\text{O}$ or $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25}\text{Mg}$, which can provide neutrons for an r process. These neutron sources are important for the s process (e.g., \cite{7}) and were also considered in early models of shock-induced nucleosynthesis at lower temperatures and without rapid expansion (e.g., \cite{67, 68}). While it remains interesting to explore shock-induced r-process nucleosynthesis in O-Ne-Mg CCSNe, current models of these events were shown to be capable of producing a number of interesting nuclei including $^{64}\text{Zn}$, $^{70}\text{Ge}$, $^{74}\text{Se}$, $^{78}\text{Kr}$, $^{84}\text{Sr}$, $^{90}\text{Zr}$, and $^{92}\text{Mo}$, which can be used to constrain the frequency of occurrences for these events based on considerations of Galactic chemical evolution \cite{69}.

2.1.3. Neutron star mergers  Neutron stars are a great source of low-$Y_e$ material. Such material can be ejected through tidal disruption during mergers of two neutron stars or of a neutron star with a black hole. Pioneering work on r-process nucleosynthesis during decompression of cold neutron-star matter was carried out in Ref. \cite{70}. More recent studies used detailed hydrodynamic simulations of mergers of two neutron stars and found robust production of r-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ (e.g., \cite{10, 11, 71, 72}). Based on these studies, the extremely neutron-rich ejecta is heated by $\beta$ decay during its decompression and can also be shocked to high temperatures during its dynamic ejection. Due to the very high initial density of the ejecta, heavy
nuclei are already present during the NSE phase of the expansion. The subsequent hot r process undergoes fission cycling, thereby producing a stable abundance pattern for \( A \gtrsim 130 \). So far, the neutron-star-merger model of the r process appears to be the only one that is sufficiently detailed and consistently successful. However, due to the rather low frequency of occurrences for neutron star mergers, this model may have difficulty explaining the r-process enrichment observed in the very early Galaxy (see Sec. 3).

2.2. Neutrino-induced nucleosynthesis in He shells and a cold r process

A recent model for a cold r process is related to neutrino-induced nucleosynthesis in the He shells of early CCSNe. This is a modified version of the original scenario proposed in Ref. [73], where neutrons are produced by neutral-current neutrino reactions on \(^4\text{He}\) nuclei through \(^4\text{He}(\nu,\nu n)^3\text{He}(n, p)^3\text{H}\) or \(^4\text{He}(\nu,\nu p)^3\text{H}\) followed by \(^3\text{H}(\alpha, 2n)^4\text{He}\). These neutrons are captured by those seed nuclei (mostly \(^{56}\text{Fe}\)) acquired at the birth of the CCSN progenitor, but not by the predominant \(^4\text{He}\) nuclei. If \(n_n \gtrsim 10^{10}\) cm\(^{-3}\) is obtained in a metal-poor CCSN with few seed nuclei, an r process can occur [see Eq. (2)]. This scenario was critiqued in Ref. [74], which concluded that it could work only for a special class of metal-poor CCSNe with He shells at very small radii to ensure sufficiently large neutrino fluxes for neutron production. Some follow-up studies were carried out in Refs. [75, 76].

Recent models of metal-poor massive stars [8] show that a significant amount of \(^{12}\text{C}\) and \(^{16}\text{O}\) is produced in the inner He shell during the pre-supernova evolution. These nuclei are neutron sinks, which render the neutron density in the inner He shell too low to cause an r process. To eliminate these neutron sinks requires one to consider the outer He shell at radii of \( r \sim 10^{18} \) cm. This has two major effects on neutron production: (1) the large radii greatly reduce the neutrino fluxes, and (2) the corresponding temperature of \( T_9 \sim 0.1 \) is high enough for efficiently producing \(^7\text{Li}\) through \(^4\text{He}(\nu, \nu)\gamma\)\(^7\text{Li}\) but too low for the release of neutrons through \(^7\text{Li}(\alpha, 2n)^4\text{He} + ^4\text{He}\). Consequently, the \(^3\text{H}\) nuclei produced by neutral-current neutrino reactions mostly end up in \(^7\text{Li}\) instead of generating neutrons through \(^3\text{H}(\alpha, 2n)^4\text{He}\) as proposed in the original scenario of a neutrino-induced r process in the He shell.

A recent study [77] proposed a solution to the above problems by considering the charged-current reaction \(^4\text{He}(\bar{\nu}_e, e^+ n)^3\text{H}\) as the neutron source and invoking \(\bar{\nu}_e \leftrightarrow \nu_{\mu, \tau}\) oscillations to enhance the neutron production rate. The charged-current \(\bar{\nu}_e\) reaction on \(^4\text{He}\) has a threshold of 21.6 MeV, which is significantly above the average \(\bar{\nu}_e\) energy in the absence of flavor oscillations. However, the emission spectra of \(\nu_\mu\) and \(\nu_\tau\) are much harder, especially when the results from earlier neutrino transport calculations (e.g., [27]) are used. For an inverted neutrino mass hierarchy, \(\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_{\mu, \tau}\) oscillations can occur before neutrinos reach the outer He shell, thereby giving rise to a hard effective \(\bar{\nu}_e\) spectrum for neutron production. The neutron production rate per \(^4\text{He}\) nucleus is

\[
\lambda_{\bar{\nu}_e, n} = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \left[ \frac{L_{\bar{\nu}_e} \langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}_e, a, n} \rangle}{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}_e} \rangle} \right] \times \left( \frac{L_{\bar{\nu}_e} T_{\nu_{\bar{e}}}^{\text{eff}}}{r^2} \right)_{\text{eff}},
\]

where \(\langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}_e, a, n} \rangle\) is the cross section for the charged-current \(\bar{\nu}_e\) reaction on \(^4\text{He}\) averaged over the spectrum, the subscript “eff” denotes effective quantities for \(\bar{\nu}_e\), \(T_{\nu_{\bar{e}}}\) is the temperature for a Fermi-Dirac spectrum with zero chemical potential, and the power
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index $p$ is $\sim 5$–6 \cite{73,78}. Using the neutrino emission spectra of Ref. \cite{27} and invoking $\bar{\nu}_e \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$ oscillations, Ref. \cite{77} showed that sufficiently high neutron density is obtained for an $r$ process to occur in the outer He shell of a CCSN of $11 M_\odot$ with an initial metallicity of $[Fe/H] \equiv \log(Fe/H) - \log(Fe/H)_\odot \sim -4.5$. Nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ are produced on timescales of $\sim 10$–20 s after the onset of core collapse and remain intact after the passage of the CCSN shock wave. The increase in density and temperature for the shocked material also has some beneficial effects on further neutron capture \cite{77,79}.

In the modified model for a neutrino-induced $r$ process in He shells of metal-poor CCSNe, the newly-synthesized $^7\text{Li}$ becomes an important neutron sink \cite{77}. Interestingly, the high neutron density in the outer He shell not only sustains a cold $r$ process producing nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$, but also facilitates a mini-$r$ process producing $^9\text{Be}$ from $^7\text{Li}$ \cite{79}. This is a signature of the above $r$-process model as $^9\text{Be}$ is usually considered to be produced exclusively by interaction of cosmic rays with the interstellar medium (e.g., \cite{80}). Another signature is that the above mechanism for producing $^9\text{Be}$ and $r$-process nuclei operates only in CCSNe with initial metallicities of $[Fe/H] \lesssim -3$.

As the metallicity increases, the neutron density obtained in the He shell decreases, but important modification of the initial metal abundances could still occur there, perhaps even producing some nuclei hard to make otherwise.

A crucial input to the above model of neutrino-induced nucleosynthesis in He shells is the neutrino emission spectra, especially those of $\bar{\nu}_e$, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$. While modern neutrino transport calculations tend to give softer emission spectra \cite{36,37}, they still produce significantly harder spectra for $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ than for $\bar{\nu}_e$. Consequently, even with these spectra, $\bar{\nu}_e \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$ oscillations can still greatly enhance the rate of the charged-current $\bar{\nu}_e$ reaction on $^4\text{He}$. The resulting neutron density in the He shells of metal-poor CCSNe is sufficiently high to produce $r$-process nuclei at least up to $A \sim 80$ in addition to $^9\text{Be}$ \cite{77,79}. It is also worth emphasizing that there are still substantial uncertainties in neutrino transport calculations. As mentioned in Sec. 2.1.1, recent studies \cite{43,44} showed that inclusion of medium effects on the opacities of $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ gives rise to significantly larger differences in their emission spectra than those obtained by Refs. \cite{36} and \cite{37}. It remains to be seen whether there are further important changes, especially to the opacities of $\nu_\mu$, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, $\nu_\tau$, and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$, that should be made in the current transport calculations.

3. Implications and constraints from observations

Currently there is no direct observational evidence linking any astrophysical event to an $r$ process. However, the available data provide rich information on the occurrences of this process and related nucleosynthesis for elements heavier than Fe. In this regard, elemental abundances in metal-poor stars of the Galactic halo are especially valuable. Those stars with $[Fe/H] \lesssim -1.5$ were formed during the first $\sim 10^9$ yr since the beginning of nucleosynthesis in the Galactic history. They survive until the present time because they have low masses ($\lesssim 1 M_\odot$) and hence, long lives ($\gtrsim 10^{10}$ yr). Low-mass stars with $[Fe/H] \lesssim -2.5$ are particularly interesting because they were formed at such early times that few astrophysical events could have occurred in a local region and chemical enrichment would be grossly inhomogeneous. As only short-lived massive stars could have contributed to the enrichment of the interstellar medium (ISM) at those early times, low-mass stars sampling such enrichment would provide a fossil record of the nucleosynthesis from individual massive-star-associated sources.
A recent review of abundances in metal-poor stars can be found in Ref. [14]. Their implications for the \( r \) process were discussed in detail in Ref. [81].

Based on the abundance patterns observed in metal-poor stars, the elements covered can be approximately divided into three groups: (1) Na to Zn \((A \sim 23–70)\), (2) Sr to Ag \((A \sim 88–110)\), and (3) Ba to U \((A \sim 135–238)\). The variation of the pattern within each group is typically much smaller than that of the pattern covering any two groups. So for a qualitative discussion, we can focus on Fe, Sr, and Eu, which represent each of the above three groups, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the data on \( \log \epsilon(\text{Sr}) \equiv \log(\text{Sr}/\text{H}) + 12 \) vs. \([\text{Fe/H}]\) for a large sample of metal-poor stars selected in Ref. [82]. Were there only a unique source producing both Sr and Fe with a fixed yield ratio, the data would follow a straight line with a slope of unity. In sharp contrast, there is large scatter in \( \log \epsilon(\text{Sr}) \) at any fixed \([\text{Fe/H}]\) for \([\text{Fe/H}] \gtrsim -2.5\) in Fig. 1, which clearly demonstrates the occurrences of diverse sources with widely-varying relative yields of Sr and Fe. The scatter diminishes and a clear trend emerges for \([\text{Fe/H}] > -2.5\). This indicates that after a sufficiently long time, contributions from all major sources for Sr and Fe became well mixed in the ISM. In this case, both of the number abundance ratios \((\text{Sr/H})\) and \((\text{Fe/H})\) reflect the progress of time in an identical manner up to a constant factor. Accordingly, the data for \([\text{Fe/H}] > -2.5\) follow the solid line with a slope of unity in Fig. 1. Note that relative to this line, there are many stars severely deficient in Sr at \([\text{Fe/H}] \lesssim -3\), which demonstrates the occurrences of sources producing Fe but very little Sr [82].

There are also quite a few stars with Sr/Fe ratios close to the solid line and one star at \([\text{Fe/H}] \sim -5.5\) with a very high Sr/Fe ratio. As chemical enrichment for \([\text{Fe/H}] \lesssim -3\) is dominated by individual nucleosynthetic events, the relative frequencies of occurrences for sources with widely-varying relative yields of Sr and Fe in this regime may be inferred from a sufficiently large sample. Comparison of the frequencies and yields of these sources with the mean trend at \([\text{Fe/H}] > -2.5\) may then inform us if the same sources continue to be important at higher metallicities or if any of them was only evident at \([\text{Fe/H}] \lesssim -3\).

Figure 2 shows the data on \( \log \epsilon(\text{Eu}) \) vs. \([\text{Fe/H}]\) for stars with \( r \)-process enrichment selected in Ref. [83]. The dashed line has a slope of unity and approximately describes the data for \([\text{Fe/H}] > -2.5\). However, there is large scatter in \( \log \epsilon(\text{Eu}) \) both above and below this line at any \([\text{Fe/H}]\) for \([\text{Fe/H}] \sim -3\) to \(-2.5\). Similar to the case of Sr, these data demonstrate the occurrences of diverse sources with widely-varying relative production of Eu to Fe.

Note that the lack of data on \( \log \epsilon(\text{Eu}) \) at \([\text{Fe/H}] < -3\) does not necessarily mean the absence of \( r \)-process enrichment at such low metallicities. This could be simply due to the detection limit for very low Eu abundances (e.g., [84]). As \( r \)-process nucleosynthesis tends to produce more Ba than Eu, Ba can be detected down to \([\text{Fe/H}] \sim -4\). However, there is some complication with Ba. Many metal-poor stars in binaries show high Ba abundances, but these are not due to enrichment of the ISM from which the stars were formed. Instead, such Ba enrichment occurred locally in a binary long after its formation: when its more massive member evolved through the AGB stage, Ba was produced in that star through the \( s \) process and then transferred along with other products of AGB nucleosynthesis to pollute the surface of the lower-mass companion, which is now observed with a very high Ba abundance. By excluding these cases of \( s \)-process contamination in binaries, we can also use Ba as a proxy for \( r \)-process nucleosynthesis at low metallicities.

Figure 3 shows the data on \( \text{[Sr/Fe]} \) vs. \( \text{[Ba/Fe]} \) for the same sample of stars as
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**Figure 1.** Data on $\log(\epsilon(\text{Sr})$ vs. $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ (downward arrows indicating upper limits; symbols connected with a line indicating results for the same star assuming two different atmospheric models). Typical observational errors in $\log(\epsilon(\text{Sr})$ are $\sim 0.2$–0.3 dex. The solid line has a slope of unity and is for an ISM with well-mixed contributions from major sources for Sr and Fe. The data mostly cluster around this line at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] > -2.5$ but drastically depart to low $\log(\epsilon(\text{Sr})$ values for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \lesssim -3$. See Ref. [82] for data sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources$^a$</th>
<th>Stellar models</th>
<th>Nucleosynthetic products</th>
<th>Yield ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L^*$</td>
<td>$\sim 15$–$20 , M_\odot$</td>
<td>Na to Zn, Sr to Ag</td>
<td>$[\text{Sr}/\text{Fe}]_{L^*} = 0.30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNe</td>
<td>$&gt; 20 , M_\odot$</td>
<td>Na to Zn (especially Fe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>$\sim 8$–$10 , M_\odot$, NSMs</td>
<td>Sr to Ag, Ba to U</td>
<td>$[\text{Sr}/\text{Ba}]_{H} = -0.31$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $L^*$: CCSNe producing the group of elements associated with Fe and the “lighter” part of those elements heavier than Fe including Sr to Ag. HNe: hypernovae producing predominantly the group of elements associated with Fe. $H$: CCSNe or NSMs producing both the lighter and “heavier” parts of those elements beyond Fe but very little of the group associated with Fe.

Table 1. Characteristics of an illustrative three-component model.

shown in Fig. 1. It is clear that diverse sources with widely-varying relative production of Fe, Sr, and Ba were responsible for the chemical enrichment of metal-poor stars. While there are a multitude of possible ways to account for the data, it is instructive to see how a simple framework can make the connection between the data and the astrophysical models for nucleosynthesis. Below we describe a three-component model [82] with characteristics summarized in Table 1 to account for the data in Fig. 3.

As discussed in Sec. 2.1.1, neutrino-driven winds from PNSs are very likely a major source for Sr. This source may be associated with CCSNe from progenitors of $\sim 15$–$20 \, M_\odot$, which also produce significant amounts of Fe as known from the light curves in some cases (e.g., Fig. 2 in Ref. [85]). It is uncertain whether the neutrino-
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Figure 2. Data on log $\varepsilon$(Eu) vs. [Fe/H] (diamonds: [Fe/H] $\leq -2.5$, circles: $-2.5 < [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -2$, squares: $[\text{Fe/H}] > -2$). Typical observational errors in log $\varepsilon$(Eu) are $\sim 0.2$ dex. The dashed line has a slope of unity and is for an ISM with well-mixed contributions from major sources for Eu and Fe. The data mostly cluster around this line at [Fe/H] $> -2.5$ but drastically depart to large scatter in log $\varepsilon$(Eu) for [Fe/H] $\lesssim -3$. See Ref. [83] for data sources.

driven winds in these CCSNe could produce $r$-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ including Ba and Eu. In our simple framework, we assume that they can not. For convenience, we will refer to the above CCSNe as the $L^*$ source producing the “lighter” part of those nuclei heavier than Fe and characterize their nucleosynthesis with a fixed (number) yield ratio $(\text{Sr}/\text{Fe})_{L^*}$.

CCSNe from progenitors of $> 20 \, M_\odot$ are thought to produce black holes instead of PNSs (e.g., Fig. 2 in Ref. [85]). At least some of these, commonly referred to as hypernovae (HNe), are associated with long gamma-ray bursts, which are known to produce large amounts of Fe (e.g., [86]). While winds from accretion disks associated with black holes formed in HNe were proposed as sites for producing a wide range of elements heavier than Fe (e.g., [58]), we assume that they produce very little of such elements but are a major source for Fe.

Finally, we assume that an $H$ source is responsible for all “heavy” $r$-process nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$. This source also produces a significant amount of lighter nuclei such as Sr, but no Fe. The specific identification of the $H$ source is uncertain, but it could be associated with low-mass CCSNe from progenitors of $\sim 8$–$10 \, M_\odot$ (e.g., [81]) or with neutron star mergers (NSMs). Models of low-mass CCSNe showed that they produce very little Fe (e.g., [87]), but the neutrino-driven winds from their PNSs can produce a significant amount of Sr. While $r$-process production in such events is very uncertain, some modified version of a shock-induced $r$-process cannot be ruled out (see Sec. 2.1.2). It may also be possible that a non-neutrino-driven explosion mechanism could eject very neutron-rich matter from near the core of a low-mass
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Figure 3. Data on [Sr/Fe] vs. [Ba/Fe] for the same sample of stars as shown in Fig. 1 (leftward arrows indicating upper limits on [Ba/Fe]). Typical observational errors in [Sr/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] are ∼ 0.1–0.25 dex. The curves are for an illustrative three-component model including HNe, H, and L* sources. The filled circle labeled “L*” indicates the (number) yield ratio of [Sr/Fe]_{L*} = 0.30 for the L* source. The parameter f_{Fe,L^*} is the fraction of Fe contributed by this source. Note that essentially all the data lie inside the allowed region bounded by the curves for f_{Fe,L^*} = 0 and 1. See text and Ref. [82] for detail.

CCSN to facilitate an r process (e.g., [61]). As for NSMs, they do not produce Fe but were shown to make heavy r-process nuclei rather robustly by detailed models (see Sec. 2.1.3). The neutron-rich torus surrounding black holes formed in these events might have neutrino-driven winds that can produce a significant amount of Sr (e.g., [59, 60]). In any case, we characterize the H source with a fixed yield ratio ([Sr/Ba])_H.

Taking HNe, H, and L* sources as operating regularly in the metal-poor regime, we can calculate the number abundance ratio ([Sr/H]) in the ISM as

$$\left( \frac{\text{Sr}}{\text{H}} \right) = \left( \frac{\text{Sr}}{\text{Ba}} \right)_H \left( \frac{\text{Ba}}{\text{H}} \right) + \left( \frac{\text{Sr}}{\text{Fe}} \right)_{L^*} \left( \frac{\text{Fe}}{\text{H}} \right) \times f_{Fe,L^*},$$

where ([Ba/H]) and ([Fe/H]) are the abundance ratios of Ba and Fe relative to hydrogen in the ISM, respectively, and f_{Fe,L^*} is the fraction of Fe contributed by the L* source. The above equation can be rewritten as

$$10^{[\text{Sr}/\text{Fe}]} = 10^{[\text{Sr}/\text{Ba}]_H + [\text{Ba}/\text{Fe}]} + f_{Fe,L^*} \times 10^{[\text{Sr}/\text{Fe}]_{L^*}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Using [Sr/Ba]_H = -0.31 and [Sr/Fe]_{L^*} = 0.30 [82], we show the curves given by Eq. (21) for f_{Fe,L^*} = 0, 0.1, 0.24, and 1, respectively, in Fig. 3. It can be seen that essentially all the data lie within the allowed region bounded by the curves for f_{Fe,L^*} = 0 and 1.

The above three-component model is simple and consistent with the available data. However, the identification of the three prototypical sources with specific models
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for nucleosynthesis is not definite. Perhaps the most uncertain identification is for the $H$ source responsible for all the heavy $r$-process nuclei. While current models tend to support NSMs as a major source for such nuclei, there is a potential problem with the data on log $\epsilon$(Eu) vs. [Fe/H] shown in Fig. 2. As NSMs occur $\sim 10^2$–$10^3$ times less frequently than CCSNe in the Galaxy (e.g., [88, 89]), many CCSNe would have occurred to provide a high Fe abundance to a typical local region before it received its first enrichment by an NSM [90, 91]. Therefore, it would be very difficult for NSMs to account for the Eu observed at metallicities as low as [Fe/H] $\lesssim -2.5$ (see Fig. 2). There are two possible solutions to this problem: either the frequency of occurrences for NSMs was close to that for the dominant CCSN sources for Fe in the early Galaxy or there were sources other than NSMs producing heavy $r$-process nuclei at [Fe/H] $\lesssim -2.5$. The latter solution may be provided by neutrino-induced $r$-process nucleosynthesis in He shells of early CCSNe (see Sec. 2.2). Note that apart from the above difficulty, the occurrences of NSMs over the Galactic history appear to be consistent with the average trend of $r$-process enrichment (e.g., [92]).

4. Summary

The framework of the classical $r$ and $s$ processes proposed in Refs. [1] and [2] remains valuable in discussing the origin of the elements heavier than Fe. However, this framework needs to be updated and complemented in many important aspects. As discussed in Sec. 2.1, expansion from NSE allows a wide range of elements heavier than Fe to be produced. In this scenario, elements such as Sr to Ag can be made by reactions involving free nucleons, $\alpha$ particles, and photons in a manner that is different from the classical $r$ or $s$ process [17]. Neutrino-driven winds from PNSs produced in CCSNe are a prototypical environment the evolution of which can be approximated by expansion from NSE. Here neutrinos not only determine the conditions for the expansion [31], but also can directly participate in nucleosynthesis as in the $\nu p$ process [18]. Consequently, what can be produced in neutrino-driven winds is sensitive to the emission characteristics of neutrinos and to neutrino flavor oscillations (see Sec. 2.1.1). In fact, this also applies to nucleosynthesis in other ejecta from CCSNe and NSMs so long as neutrino interaction with the ejecta is significant. In particular, neutrino-induced $r$-process nucleosynthesis in He shells of metal-poor CCSNe could work only when a hard effective $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum can be obtained before neutrinos reach the He shell ([77], see Sec. 2.2).

Nucleosynthesis studies are often challenged by the lack of accurate nuclear data on the one hand, and by the poor knowledge of astrophysical conditions on the other. This is illustrated very well by works on the $r$ process. There were numerous efforts at using estimates of properties of neutron-rich nuclei far from stability to model this process parametrically (see e.g., [19, 20, 21] for reviews). Without detailed knowledge of the astrophysical conditions, many parametric studies (e.g., [93]) calculated nucleosynthesis at a constant $T$ and a constant $n_n$ for a neutron irradiation time $t_{irr}$, and compared superpositions of results obtained for different sets of $T$, $n_n$, and $t_{irr}$ with the solar $r$-process abundance pattern derived from meteoritic data and $s$-process studies (e.g., [7]). These calculations provided a good guide to our understanding of the nuclear physics associated with the $r$ process (e.g., [93, 94]). Their adopted sets of $T$, $n_n$, and $t_{irr}$ may be compatible with some astrophysical sites, but are not the result of self-consistent stellar models. As discussed in Sec. 2, important progress has been made in simulating the conditions at various potential $r$-
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process sites. Although all of these sites remain to be understood better, the associated nucleosynthesis studies have already benefited from the existing qualitative, and in some cases quantitative, description of the dynamic evolution of the astrophysical conditions. Further, such studies can be combined with other characteristics of the astrophysical sites, such as their frequencies of occurrences, to provide valuable input for a detailed framework to understand Galactic chemical evolution.

We have discussed a number of massive-star-associated models for production of elements heavier than Fe in Sec. 2. Based on the available results, it is very likely that neutrino-driven winds from PNSs produced in CCSNe are a major source for Sr to Ag ($A \sim 88–110$). The associated stars are most likely of $\sim 8–20 M_\odot$. With special conditions such as a massive ($\approx 2 M_\odot$) PNS or favorable neutrino spectra from new physics regarding either interaction inside the PNS or flavor oscillations outside it, the neutrino-driven winds may be able to provide adequate conditions for an $r$ process to produce nuclei up to and perhaps even beyond $A \sim 130$ (see Sec. 2.1.1).

Those elements possibly produced in winds from PNSs may also be synthesized in other environments where expansion from NSE occurs. Examples are winds from accretion disks (or tori) associated with black holes formed in CCSNe or NSMs, and other CCSN ejecta launched from the vicinity of the PNS, which includes convective upflows, magnetohydrodynamic jets, and shocked inner shells with steeply-falling density (see Sec. 2.1.2). Some of the above examples, such as winds from black-hole accretion disks, suffer from similar uncertainties in neutrino physics to the case of winds from PNSs. In addition, all of the above examples are subject to uncertainties in the associated progenitors and in the dynamics involved. Nevertheless, major progress has been made through the efforts of many workers, especially in the areas of CCSN and NSM simulations. Stars of $\sim 8–10 M_\odot$ have a sharply-falling density profile outside the core, which makes the associated CCSNe explode easily (e.g., [87]). Convection plays an important, perhaps even decisive role in CCSNe from progenitors of $> 10 M_\odot$ (e.g., [9]). Stars of $> 20 M_\odot$ are likely associated with black-hole producing CCSNe (but see e.g., [9]), a fraction of these can be HNe to power long gamma-ray bursts (e.g., [86]). The detailed nucleosynthesis associated with all these sources remains an exciting and active area of investigation. Their neutrino signals are also interesting to explore and may provide unique insights into the processes occurring deep inside these sources (e.g., [95, 96]).

So far the most successful model of the $r$ process, especially regarding production of nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$, is associated with decompression of cold neutron-star matter that is ejected from NSMs through tidal disruption. Robust $r$-process production involving fission cycling was seen in detailed hydrodynamic simulations of NSMs (e.g., [71, 72]). The data on $r$-process enrichment at [Fe/H] $\lesssim -2.5$ appear to require an additional source for nuclei with $A \gtrsim 130$ at such low metallicities. A neutrino-induced $r$ process in He shells of early CCSNe could fulfill this role [77].

In summary, observations of abundances in metal-poor stars clearly demonstrate the occurrences of diverse sources for elements heavier than Fe (see Sec. 3). The massive-star-associated models for production of such elements discussed here not only provide a good framework to account for these observations, but also offer many venues to explore the rich physics involved in stellar evolution, CCSNe, and NSMs. Most intriguing, the important roles of neutrinos in many of these models highlight a profound connection between properties of elementary particles and the origin of the elements.
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