Search for a dijet resonance in events with jets and missing transverse energy in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
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We report on a search for a dijet resonance in events with only two or three jets and large imbalance in the total event transverse momentum. This search is sensitive to the possible production of a new particle in association with a $W$ or $Z$ boson, where the boson decays leptonically with one or more neutrinos in the final state. We use the full data set collected by the CDF II detector at the Tevatron collider at a proton-antiproton center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV. These data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 9.1 fb$^{-1}$. We study the invariant mass distribution of the two jets with highest transverse energy. We find good agreement between data and standard model background expectations and measure the combined cross section for $W$ and $Z$ bosons in the total event transverse momentum. This search is sensitive to the possible production of a new particle in association with a $W$ or $Z$ boson.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Hm, 12.38.Qk, 14.70.-e, 14.80.-j

I. INTRODUCTION

A study of the dijet invariant mass ($m_{jj}$) distribution in events with jet pairs produced in association with a $W$ boson was performed by the CDF collaboration using $p\bar{p}$ collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.3 fb$^{-1}$ \cite{1}. That analysis focused on $W$ boson decays to $\ell\nu$ ($\ell = e$ or $\mu$), where the presence of an identified electron ($e$) or muon ($\mu$) was required in the event selection. Reference \cite{1} reported evidence for a discrepancy with the standard model (SM) expectations, interpretable as an excess of events in the mass range of 120–160 GeV/$c^2$ corresponding to a significance of 3.2 standard deviations. In that study, the excess could be modeled with a Gaussian distribution, centered at 145 GeV/$c^2$ with an rms width of 14.3 GeV/$c^2$, corresponding to the expected experimental $m_{jj}$ resolution of the CDF II detector. The acceptance and selection efficiencies for events associated with such a dijet resonance were estimated by simulating Higgs boson ($H$) production in association with a $W$ boson for a Higgs boson mass of 150 GeV/$c^2$. Based on the assumption that the observed excess originated from a hypothetical new particle $X$ with a branching fraction to quark pairs of one, the excess corresponded to a measured production cross section for $\sigma(pp \rightarrow WX)$ of 3.1 $\pm$ 0.8 pb \cite{2}.

In this article, we present a search for a dijet resonance produced in association with a vector boson by studying the $m_{jj}$ distribution from the two highest energy clusters of particles (jets) in events with only two or three detected jets and large imbalance in total event transverse-momentum, indicative of the presence of undetected particles. We veto events containing one or more identified high-$p_T$ leptons, in order to ensure that the sample is sta-
tistically independent from those used in other studies. The resulting final states are sensitive to \( WX \to f \nu jj \) and \( ZX \to \nu \bar{\nu} jj \) production and decay, where \( f \) represents a hadronically-decaying \( \tau \) lepton or an unidentified \( e \) or \( \mu \). We use the entire CDF \( pp \) collision data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 9.1 fb\(^{-1}\).

The production of both \( WX \) and \( ZX \) states is of interest since many of the theoretical models proposed to explain the excess at 145 GeV/c\(^2\) allow the hypothetical particle \( X \) to be produced in association with either a \( W \) boson or a \( Z \) boson. While studies on \( WX \) production are presented in Refs. [11, 13, 14], no studies focusing on \( ZX \) production have been reported to date. The search for \( WX \) and \( ZX \) production in events with jets and an imbalance of transverse energy is analogous to the search for \( WH \) production in the same final state [5], which has comparable sensitivity to that for the \( WH \) process reconstructed in the final state with a lepton and jets, but is based on an independent event sample.

II. DATA SAMPLE AND EVENT PRESELECTION

The data were collected by CDF II [7], a general-purpose detector used to study Tevatron \( pp \) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV. CDF II features a charged-particle tracking system consisting of a cylindrical open-cell drift chamber and silicon microstrip detectors immersed in a 1.4 T magnetic field parallel to the beam axis. Electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters surrounding the tracking system measure the energies of charged and neutral particles. Drift chambers and scintillators located outside the calorimeter identify muons.

The calorimeter system consists of lead-scintillator sampling electromagnetic and iron-scintillator sampling hadronic calorimeters. The calorimeters comprise central barrel (\( |\eta| \leq 1.1 \)) and plug (1.1 \( \leq |\eta| \leq 3.6 \)) sections in pseudorapidity \( (\eta) \) space [8]. Calorimeter modules are arranged in a projective-tower geometry. Individual towers in the central barrel subtend 0.1 in \( |\eta| \) and 15\(^\circ\) in \( \phi \) [8]. The sizes of the towers in the end plug calorimeter vary with \( |\eta| \), subtending 0.1 in \( |\eta| \) and 7.5\(^\circ\) in \( \phi \) at \( |\eta| = 1.1 \), and 0.5 in \( |\eta| \) and 15\(^\circ\) in \( \phi \) at \( |\eta| = 3.6 \).

Jets are reconstructed from energy deposits in contiguous groups of calorimeter towers, using the \textsc{jetclu} algorithm [9] with a fixed cone size of \( \Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(|\Delta \eta|)^2 + (|\Delta \phi|)^2} \) = 0.4. Jet energies are corrected [10] for nonuniformities of the calorimeter response as a function of \( \eta \), energy contributions from multiple \( pp \) interactions within the event, and the nonlinear response of the calorimeters. In contrast with the analysis described in Ref. [3], additional corrections are applied to the reconstructed jets in simulated events to more accurately model the energy scales of particle showers initiated by quarks and gluons. These corrections are obtained by comparing predicted and observed distributions of the transverse energy balance, \( p_T(Z/\gamma) - E_T(\text{jet}) \), from independent \( Z+1 \) jet and \( \gamma+1 \) jet event samples [11].

We consider events selected online due to the presence of large missing transverse energy [12]. We inclusively select events with \( E_T > 45 \) GeV and also the additional events with \( E_T > 30 \) GeV that contain two reconstructed jets. The event missing transverse energy is corrected offline for the presence of muons, which typically deposit only a fraction of their energy in the calorimeter, and reconstructed charged-particle tracks pointing at inactive regions of the detector. In order to only retain events for which the online selection is fully efficient, we require those selected for further analysis to have a corrected \( E_T > 50 \) GeV.

We additionally require events to contain two or three reconstructed jets, where the two with the highest transverse energies [13], \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \), meet minimal threshold requirements of \( E_T(j_1) > 35 \) GeV and \( E_T(j_2) > 25 \) GeV. Both jets are required to be reconstructed within the range \( |\eta(j_i)| < 2 \) and at least one of the two within \( |\eta(j_i)| < 0.9 \). We also require the two jets to be separated by \( \Delta R(j_1, j_2) > 1 \). Events containing only one additional jet with \( E_T > 15 \) GeV and \( |\eta| < 2.4 \) are not rejected, in order to increase acceptance for signal events with an extra jet originating from an initial- or final-state radiation or a hadronically-decaying \( \tau \) lepton in the final state. Events containing an identified electron or muon with \( p_T > 20 \) GeV/c are rejected to maintain orthogonality with other search samples. Those events that satisfy all of the above criteria form the preselection sample used for this analysis.

III. BACKGROUND MODELING

We model SM background processes using a variety of Monte Carlo (MC) simulation programs. The diboson processes (\( WW \), \( WZ \), and \( ZZ \)) are generated with \textsc{pythia} [14] incorporating \( \gamma^* \) contributions to the \( Z \) boson components for masses above 2 GeV/c\(^2\). The normalization of simulated samples is extrapolated from next-to-leading order calculations [13, 17] with the \( \gamma^* \) and \( Z \) contributions restricted to the mass range between 40 and 140 GeV/c\(^2\), yielding cross sections of 11.7 pb for \( WW \), 3.6 pb for \( WZ \), and 1.5 pb for \( ZZ \) processes, respectively. Top-quark production is generated assuming a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV/c\(^2\) [17]. Top-quark pair production is generated with \textsc{pythia}, and its contribution is normalized to the approximate next-to-next-to-leading order cross section [18]. Single top-quark production is modeled using \textsc{powheg} [19] and normalized to the next-to-leading order cross sections [20, 21]. Production of a \( W \) or \( Z \) boson in association with parton jets is modeled by \textsc{alpgen} [22] incorporating \textsc{pythia} to simulate parton showering and hadronization. Normalizations for predicted event rates associated with these processes are obtained from data.

We model multijet events from Quantum Chromodynamics processes, a major source of background in final
states with jets and $E_T$, using a data-driven method. We define the missing transverse momentum $\vec{p}_T$, a variable similar to $E_T$, as the negative vector sum of charged-particle transverse momenta from the reconstructed tracks in an event. As shown in Fig. 1, $E_T$ and $\vec{p}_T$ tend to be aligned for processes with neutrinos in the final state, such as diboson production, but aligned or antialigned in the data, which are dominated by multijet production. Because multijet processes result in final states with no neutrinos, observed $E_T$ necessarily originates from jet energy mismeasurements and therefore tends to point either in the same direction or direction opposite to the reconstructed $E_T$ of the mis-measured jet. Conversely, observed $\vec{p}_T$ in these events is generated from differences in the fractions of showering particles within each jet that are reconstructable as charged tracks, a mechanism uncorrelated with calorimeter energy mismeasurements. Hence, the directions of the observed $E_T$ and $\vec{p}_T$ in these events are in many cases different from one another. For events originating from dijet production, in which the two jets are produced opposite to one another, the azimuthal separation between the $E_T$ and $\vec{p}_T$ thus peaks in the regions near 0 or $\pi$. Hence, multijet background can be suppressed by rejecting events where $\Delta \phi(E_T, \vec{p}_T) > \pi/2$, and rejected events can be used to model the multijet background contained within the selected data sample defined by $\Delta \phi(E_T, \vec{p}_T) < \pi/2$. The applicability of this model is confirmed in data control regions [23] and supported by other measurements [5, 24, 25].

IV. ANALYSIS METHOD

Event preselection yields over 2 million candidate events, of which 94% are estimated to originate from multijet production. Requiring $\Delta \phi(E_T, \vec{p}_T) < \pi/2$, reduces the multijet contribution by roughly a factor of two. To further reduce this background contribution, we require the azimuthal separation between the $E_T$ and each jet to satisfy $\Delta \phi(E_T, j_i) > 0.8$. We also require $\vec{p}_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and large $E_T$ significance ($E_T/\sqrt{\sum E_T} > 3.5 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$, where $\sum E_T$ is the scalar sum of transverse energies deposited in the calorimeter), as well as $H_T/E_T < 1.2$, where $H_T$ is the magnitude of the negative vector sum of jet transverse energies. These additional selections reduce the multijet background by more than 99% and increase $S/\sqrt{B}$ to 11.7 from 3.3, where $S$ is the predicted number of SM diboson events and $B$ is the predicted number of events from other SM processes in the selected samples.

To study the features of the $m_{jj}$ distribution in the final event sample, we fit the observed distribution in data to the modeled distributions for the contributing background processes. Any contribution from WX and ZX production would appear as an additional narrow structure overlapping the expected, resonant contribution from SM diboson production. First, we extract a measurement of diboson production by fitting the $m_{jj}$ distribution for the relative event contributions from known SM processes and compare the result with theoretical predictions. We then allow for an additional Gaussian contribution from WX and ZX production and set 95% credibility level (C.L.) upper limits on the cross section for such processes using various theoretical constructs. The fits used to extract cross sections and upper limits are based on the Bayesian marginal likelihood method [20].

In the final fits, contributions from top-quark production are constrained based on theoretical predictions. Initial normalizations for the $W/Z+jets$ and multijet background contributions are obtained by fitting the $E_T$ distribution, which provides good discrimination between signal-like and background-like processes, using a $\chi^2$ minimization technique. Figure 2 shows the fitted $E_T$ distribution, where the $W/Z+jets$ and multijet contributions are initially treated as unconstrained and determined from the fit. The resulting uncertainties on the multijet and $W/Z+jets$ contributions originating from this proce-
When performing the maximum likelihood fits, we consider several sources of systematic uncertainties, included as constraints in the likelihood. Sources that affect predicted event yields for modeled background contributions are referred to as rate uncertainties. Dominant rate uncertainties include those on the normalizations obtained from data to constrain multijet (19%) and W/Z+jets (3%) contributions. Uncertainties associated with theoretical cross section calculations (6–7%) and the sample luminosity measurement [27] (6%), which affect predicted background process event rates taken directly from simulation, are also included. In addition, uncertainty sources such as jet energy scale [10] (1.4–13%), parton density functions (2%), efficiency of lepton veto requirements (2%), and measured trigger efficiencies (0.4–1.5%) that...
affect simulated detector event acceptances are incorporated on both the signal and background contributions.

We also incorporate the effects of systematic uncertainty sources, which result in variations in the shapes of modeled $m_{jj}$ distributions for the contributing processes. For those processes modeled via simulation, we account for potential variations in the shape of the $m_{jj}$ distribution originating from jet energy scale uncertainties. Uncorrelated uncertainties on the simulated energy scales for jets originating from quarks (3%) and gluons (6%) are considered separately. In the case of the $W/Z+\text{jets}$ background contribution, shape uncertainties resulting from factor of two changes to the nominal $Q^2$ scale used in the perturbative expansion for calculating matrix elements in the AIPGEN generator are also incorporated. Finally, for the modeled $m_{jj}$ distribution from multijet production, we obtain shape uncertainties by varying the normalization of the modeled contributions from other processes, which are subtracted from the data distribution obtained from events with $\Delta(\vec{E}_T, \vec{p}_T) > \pi/2$.

V. RESULTS

A. Diboson Measurement

We fit the distribution of $m_{jj}$ from the two highest-energy jets in events passing all selection criteria to extract a cross section measurement for diboson production. We take SM values for the relative production rates of the $WW$, $WZ$, and $ZZ$ processes in order to obtain a single $m_{jj}$ template corresponding to combined diboson production. The diboson contribution is allowed to float freely by assuming a flat, non-negative prior probability for the total cross section. The unit Gaussian priors of the nuisance parameters are centered on zero and truncated whenever the value results in a nonphysical prediction. Figure 4 shows the fitted $m_{jj}$ distribution and a comparison of the fitted diboson contribution against the data after subtracting the other background contributions obtained from the fit. The inclusive cross section $\sigma(pp \to VV)$, where $VV = WW + WZ + ZZ$, is measured to be $13.8^{+4.0}_{-2.7}$ pb for $\gamma^*$ and $Z$ contributions restricted to the mass range between 40 and 140 GeV/$c^2$, which is in good agreement with the SM prediction of $16.8 \pm 1.0$ pb.

B. Limits on Dijet-Resonance Cross Sections

To search for $WX$ and $ZX$ production, we perform a second fit, normalizing diboson contributions to their theoretical expectation and assuming 6% uncertainties on their theoretical cross sections. We allow for an additional signal contribution, modeled assuming a Gaussian distribution, centered at 145 GeV/$c^2$ with an rms width of 14.3 GeV/$c^2$, in accordance with Ref. 1. To be consistent with the cross section reported in Ref. 2, we model the signal acceptance from simulated Higgs boson production in association with a $W$ or $Z$ boson for a Higgs boson mass of 150 GeV/$c^2$ to extract cross section limits. As the relative production rate of $WX$ and $ZX$ varies among theoretical models, we set upper limits on combined production ($\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_{WX} + \sigma_{ZX}$) for three scenarios: (1) $\sigma_{WX} = 100\%$ and $\sigma_{ZX} = 0\%$, (2) $\sigma_{WX} = 76\%$ and $\sigma_{ZX} = 24\%$, and (3) $\sigma_{WX} = 61\%$ and $\sigma_{ZX} = 39\%$. The second and third scenarios correspond approximately to the relative SM rates for $WZ/ZZ$ and $WH/ZH$ production, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal scenario</th>
<th>Expected upper limit</th>
<th>Observed upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{WX}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 1.00$, $\sigma_{ZX}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 0.00$</td>
<td>1.31 pb</td>
<td>2.20 pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{WX}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 0.76$, $\sigma_{ZX}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 0.24$</td>
<td>1.02 pb</td>
<td>1.72 pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{WX}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 0.61$, $\sigma_{ZX}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 0.39$</td>
<td>0.90 pb</td>
<td>1.52 pb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The observed and median expected, assuming the background only hypothesis, 95% C.L. upper limits on the combined $WX+ZX$ cross section ($\sigma_{\text{tot}}$) under various hypotheses for the relative magnitudes of $\sigma_{WX}$ and $\sigma_{ZX}$.
FIG. 5: Observed and median expected, assuming the background only hypothesis, 95% C.L. upper limits on the combined WX+ZX cross section ($\sigma_{\text{tot}}$) divided by the most likely value extrapolated from the excess reported in Ref. [1] for each of the three signal scenarios. The measurement uncertainty associated with the WX cross section [2] assigned to the excess described in Ref. [1] is indicated by the light (red) dashed lines.

FIG. 6: Dijet invariant mass distribution with fit results overlaid for events passing all selection criteria (top) and the same background-subtracted distribution with the fitted contributions from diboson and combined WX/ZX production overlaid (bottom). The last bin includes overflow events with $m_{jj} > 400$ GeV/c$^2$.

We study the dijet invariant mass distribution in events with energetic jets and large missing transverse energy using the full CDF II data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 9.1 fb$^{-1}$. A fit of the observed distribution to modeled distributions for the expected contributions of SM production processes gives a measured cross section for combined diboson production of $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow VV) = 13.8^{+3.0}_{-2.7}$ pb, in good agreement with the SM prediction of $16.8^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$ pb. In the absence of a significant deviation from the background expectation in the dijet invariant mass spectrum, we set 95% C.L. upper limits on the combined cross section for the production of a new particle X in association with a W or Z boson, under several hypotheses for the relative production rates of WX and ZX. For each of these hypotheses, we exclude at 95% C.L. the most likely value of the combined WX+ZX cross section corresponding to the excess observed in Ref. [1].
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[8] CDF uses a cylindrical coordinate system with the z axis along the proton beam axis. Pseudorapidity is \( \eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2)) \), where \( \theta \) is the polar angle relative to the proton beam direction, and \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle while \( p_T \) and \( E_T \) are defined as \( p_T = |p| \sin \theta \), \( E_T = E \sin \theta \).
[12] Missing transverse energy, \( E_T \), is defined as the magnitude of the vector \( \vec{E}_T = -\sum_i E^i_T \vec{n}_i \), where \( E^i_T \) is the magnitude of transverse energy contained in each calorimeter tower \( i \), and \( \vec{n}_i \) is the unit vector from the interaction vertex to the tower in the transverse \((x, y)\) plane.
[13] Jet transverse energy, \( E_{T, jet} \), is defined as \( \sum_{i=0}^{N} E_i \sin \theta_{jet} \) where \( E_i \) is the energy deposited in each of \( N \) calorimeter towers, and \( \theta \) is the polar angle of the reconstructed jet cluster.