Erratum: Bounds on Invisible Higgs boson Decays from $t\bar{t}H$ Production
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Bounds on invisible decays of the Higgs boson from $t\bar{t}H$ production were inferred from a CMS search for stop quarks decaying to $t\bar{t} + E_T^{\text{miss}}$. Limits on the production of $t\bar{t}H$ relied on the efficiency of the CMS selection for $t\bar{t}H$, as measured in a simulated sample. An error in the generation of the simulated sample lead to a significant overestimate of the selection efficiency. Corrected results are presented.

PACS numbers:

In a recent Letter [1], we recast a CMS search for supersymmetric stop quarks ($\tilde{t} \to bW + E_T^{\text{miss}}$) [2] to set bounds on $t\bar{t}H$ production where the Higgs boson decays invisibly.

The result relies on the measurement of the efficiency of the CMS selection for $t\bar{t}H$ events, as measured in simulated samples generated with Madgraph5 [3], showering and hadronization with Pythia [4] and detector response simulated by Delphes [5]. The event generation used a model which included a $H\chi\bar{\chi}$ vertex to describe the invisible decay $H \to \chi\bar{\chi}$. Inadvertently, the contribution from diagrams containing a $ggH$ effective vertex was overestimated. These diagrams tend to give larger transverse momentum to the Higgs boson, leading to larger measured $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and a significant overestimate of the selection efficiency. Figure 1 shows a comparison of the original flawed stop sample and a new, correct sample. Figure 2 shows a comparison of the kinematics of the corrected $t\bar{t}H$ sample to the primary sources of background, top quark pair production.

For the corrected sample, we again apply the results of the CMS $\tilde{t}$ search to invisible Higgs boson decays by calculating the expected yield of $t\bar{t}H$ in each of the signal regions. We calculate upper bounds on $\sigma(t\bar{t}H) \times BF(H \to \text{inv.})$ using a one-sided profile likelihood and the CLs technique [6,7], evaluated using the asymptotic approximation [8]. For each of the sixteen signal regions, we calculate the median expected limit on $\sigma(t\bar{t}H) \times BF(H \to \text{inv.})$. As with the original sample, the region with the strongest expected limit is that targeting $\tilde{t} \to t\chi$ in the high-$\Delta M$ regime, with $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 250$ GeV. This region has the additional requirements of $\min(\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j)) > 0.8$, $M_{T2}^{W} > 200$ GeV and $\chi^2_{\text{had}} < 5.0$. The expected background is reported to be $9.5 \pm 2.8$. With our simulated sample, we calculate an expected $t\bar{t}H$ yield of 2.1 events if $BF(H \to \text{inv.}) = 1.0$ (compare to 11.4 events for the incorrect sample). The

![FIG. 1: Distribution of missing transverse momentum $t\bar{t}H$ with $H \to \text{invisible}$ for the simulated sample in the original paper as well as the corrected simulated sample. Distributions are shown after requiring exactly one lepton, at least four jets and one $b$-tag.](image1)

![FIG. 2: Kinematics of $t\bar{t}H$ with $H \to \text{invisible}$ and all $t\bar{t}$ decay modes, compared to the two dominant backgrounds, SM top quark production with either single lepton ($\ell\nu b\ell$) or di-lepton ($\ell\nu\ell\nu$) decay modes. Distributions are shown of $M_{T}$, the transverse mass, $M_{T2}^{W}$, as defined in Ref. [10]; $\chi^2_{\text{had}}$, the consistency of the $jjb\ell$ system with a top quark hadronic decay; and $\min(\Delta\phi(MET, jet))$, the minimum angle between the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and any jet. Distributions are shown after requiring exactly one lepton, at least four jets and one $b$-tag.](image2)
efficiency of this selection for $t\bar{t}H \to t\bar{t}\chi\bar{\chi}$ events with $m_H = 125$ GeV is 0.085% (compare to 0.45% for the incorrect sample).

In this particular signal region, the data have fluctuated quite low, $N_{\text{obs}} = 3$ events, giving an observed upper bound considerably stronger than the median expected results; see Fig. 3. Dividing by the predicted rate of $t\bar{t}H$ production in the SM gives a limit on $\text{BF}(H \to \text{inv})$; the observed (expected) result is $< 1.9 (3.0)$ at 95% CL for $m_H = 125$ GeV.

This result is significantly weaker than the previous. For this reason, we do not perform a combination with other channels nor provide an interpretation in terms of the Higgs portal model.