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In an attempt to contribute further insight into the discrepancy between the Lamb shift and elastic scattering determinations of the proton charge radius, a new experiment at MAMI is underway, aimed at measuring proton form-factors at very low momentum transfers by using a new technique based on initial state radiation. This paper first summarizes the results of past experiments and describes the idea behind the new MAMI experiment. Then it reports on the conclusions of the pilot measurement performed in 2010, whose main goal was to check the feasibility of the proposed experiment and to recognize and overcome any obstacles before running the full experiment. The modifications to the experimental apparatus are explained which significantly improved the quality of data collected in the full scale experiment, which was successfully executed in August 2013. At the end first findings of the new experiment are discussed.
1. Introduction

The proton has been scrutinized since the early days of experimental hadronic physics [1]. Its radius has been determined by various electron scattering experiments and many atomic Lamb shift measurements (see Figure 1). Both approaches gave consistent results. Unfortunately their average does not agree with the findings of recent very precise Lamb shift measurements in muonic-hydrogen [2, 3], which report a new value for the proton charge radius which is $7\sigma$ away from the previously accepted value. This discrepancy, known as the proton radius puzzle, is controversial and represents a burning problem of today’s nuclear physics. After more than 50 years of research the radius of a basic constituent of matter is still not understood.

This discrepancy created a great excitement in the physics community [5], because it rigorously tests the theory of quantum electrodynamics and our understanding of nuclear physics. Since the observation of the discrepancy in 2010, various explanations for the problem have been offered [6, 7], ranging from trivial experimental mistakes to those that suggest the need for physics beyond the Standard model. Some of the explanations have already been rejected, while the intriguing ideas, like the introduction of a new mediator particle, still need to be tested. Therefore, several new experiments [6, 8, 9] have been proposed that will provide new constraints to the existing interpretations. Among them is also an ongoing scattering experiment at MAMI, which aims to measure proton charge form factor at $Q^2$ as low as $10^{-4}\text{GeV}^2/c^2$.

In a typical scattering experiment the radius of a proton is determined indirectly by measuring the cross-section for elastic scattering of electrons on hydrogen [10]. The measured cross-section depends on the electric and magnetic form-factors $G_E^p$ and $G_M^p$, which carry information about the charge and magnetization distribution in the proton and are extracted from the measured data via Rosenbluth separation. The charge radius is extracted from the slope of the electric form-factor at
\[ Q^2 = 0: \]
\[ \langle r_E^2 \rangle = -6\hbar^2 \left. \frac{d}{dQ^2} G_E(Q^2) \right|_{Q^2=0}, \]

where \( Q^2 \) represents the square of the momentum transfer four-vector. Unfortunately, the data for \( Q^2 < 0.005 (\text{GeV}/c)^2 \) that would allow for a reliable and precise determination of this slope do not yet exist (See Figure 2). Therefore, an extrapolation of available \( G_E^p \) points to \( Q^2 \to 0 \) is used to estimate \( \langle r_E^2 \rangle \). The extracted value of \( \langle r_E^2 \rangle \) is extremely sensitive to the details of this extrapolation, which in turn strongly depends on the precision and accuracy of the values of \( G_E^p \) themselves.

To avoid such uncertainties, measurements of \( G_E^p \) at \( Q^2 < 0.005 (\text{GeV}/c)^2 \) are needed. Efforts to do this are limited by the minimal accessible \( Q^2 \), which is determined by the utilized experimental apparatus. In particular, the three-spectrometer facility at MAMI [11] is on one hand bounded by the minimal scattering angle to which spectrometers can be positioned, while on the other hand it is constrained by the minimal applicable beam energy (See Figure 3). To evade these limitations, the presented MAMI experiment tries to exploit information stored inside the radiative tail of the elastic peak in order to reach the form-factors at smaller \( Q^2 \).

2. Initial state radiation

The radiative tail of an elastic peak is dominated by contributions of two Bethe-Heitler diagrams [18] shown in Figure 4. The initial state radiation diagram (BH i) describes the process where the incident electron emits a real photon before interacting with the proton. Since the emitted photon carries away part of the incident energy, the momentum transferred to the proton \( Q_{\text{Vertex}}^2 \) is decreased. Hence, this process opens the possibility to probe the proton structure at \( Q_{\text{Det}}^2 = Q_{\text{Vertex}}^2 \).
that are smaller than the value fixed by the experimental kinematics. On the other hand, the final state radiation diagram (BH f) corresponds to the reaction where the real photon is emitted only after the interaction with the nucleon. Consequently, $Q^2_{\text{Vertex}}$ at the vertex remains constant, while the detected $Q^2_{\text{Det}} \leq Q^2_{\text{Vertex}}$ changes. Figure 5 shows the results of a full Monte-Carlo simulation that properly considers inelastic Feynman diagrams. For each of the kinematics the elastic peak and its two radiative tails are clearly visible. The diagonal tail corresponds to initial state radiation, while the horizontal one belongs to final state radiation. In an experiment only $Q^2_{\text{Det}}$ can be measured, which means that looking only at data, initial state radiation processes can not be distinguished from the final state radiation. To reach information corresponding only to the initial state radiation, measurements need to be studied together with results of a Monte-Carlo simulation. This is the basic idea of the discussed MAMI experiment, which aims to extract $G_E^p$ in the range $10^{-4} \leq Q^2 \leq 0.005 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$.

Figure 3: Kinematic boundaries of the three spectrometer facility at MAMI. The minimal accessible $Q^2$ is constrained by the minimal available beam energy and the minimal scattering angle accessible by the spectrometers.

Figure 4: First order Feynman diagrams for inelastic scattering. In the Bethe-Heitler process (BH i, BH f) the photon is emitted by the electron; in Born processes (Born i, Born f) it is emitted by the proton.
3. Monte-Carlo simulation

In a detailed analysis, Feynman diagrams corresponding to Born terms (see Figure 4), where initial and final proton emit real photons, and various vertex corrections should also be considered [19, 20, 21]. These additional diagrams camouflage the form factors and make their direct extraction from cross-section measurements impossible. Therefore, an alternative approach is being employed in which data are directly compared to the simulation.

To simulate $H(e,e'\gamma)p$ processes the Monte-Carlo simulation Simul++ is utilized, which employs a sophisticated event generator [18] that exactly calculates amplitudes for first order diagrams shown in Figure 4. Only in the next order, effective corrections to the cross-section are used. This gives Simul++ an ability to mimic real data very precisely.

In the analysis the simulation will be run for different parameterizations of form-factors. The best value for $G_E^p$ at each $Q^2$ will then be determined via $\chi^2$-minimization of difference between the data and the simulation. The contribution of $G_M^p$ will be considered only in the estimation of the systematic uncertainty.

![Figure 5: Results of Monte-Carlo simulation for experimental settings with beam energies 195MeV, 330MeV and 495MeV. The plot shows the detected rates as a function of $Q^2$ at the vertex and $Q^2$ at the detector, or energy of scattered electron which are directly measured by the spectrometer. Diagonal and horizontal tails in each setting are caused by initial state and final state radiation, respectively.](image)

4. Pilot measurement

First measurements have been performed in 2010 using the three spectrometer setup [11] of A1 Collaboration (see Figure 6). Rastered electron beam with energies of 195MeV, 330MeV and 495MeV was used in combination with a liquid Hydrogen target. For cross-section measurements spectrometer B was employed. It was positioned at a fixed angle of 15.25°, while its momentum settings were being adjusted to scan the complete radiative tail for each energy setting. Kinematic settings were chosen such that the radiative tails of all three setting overlap (see Figure 5). This
enables us to test our experimental technique in the region where form-factors are well known, i.e. $G_E^p$ extracted from the radiative tail can be compared to the value obtained from the elastic peak at the coinciding $Q^2$. In total, 60 different setups were devised, resulting in three weeks of data taking: two weeks for production measurements and one week for empty-cell measurements, needed for proper background subtraction.

Figure 6: Three spectrometer setup at MAMI [11]. Spectrometer A is employed as luminosity monitor, while spectrometer B is used for cross-section measurements. Beam current is measured with non-invasive Förster probe and invasive pA-meter, both located inside the MAMI accelerator. Beam position is determined with two beam position monitors (BPMs) mounted right in front of the target. In the 2013 experiment a secondary electron emission monitor (SEM) was mounted in front of the beam dump as a supplementary beam current monitor.

Beam currents were between 10nA and 1µA, depending on the setup and were measured by two probes: the non-invasive Förster probe and the invasive pA-meter. Unfortunately at low beam currents and low beam energies neither of the probes is accurate enough. Hence, spectrometer A, positioned at a fixed setting, was employed for precise monitoring of beam luminosity.

The purpose of these measurements was to test the feasibility of the proposed experiment scheduled for late 2013. The analysis of the data revealed that optical matrices needed for the reconstruction of the particle coordinates at the target from the directly measured detector variables were not optimal and need to be improved. Hence, a two week beam time was held right before the full experiment, which was dedicated to optics calibration of the spectrometers. Using these data, new optics matrices have been generated, which are going to endow spectra with best possible resolutions (see Figures 7 and 8).

The most critical problem exposed by the data analysis is related to the cryogenic deposition on the target cell. It consists mostly of residual nitrogen and oxygen present in the scattering chamber due to insufficient vacuum conditions ($\approx 10^{-4}$ mbar). Furthermore, it was observed that the thickness of the deposited layer changes with time [22]. The accumulated layer does not affect only particle energy losses, but changes also the detection rates and skews the luminosity measurements. To overcome this problem, a higher vacuum inside the scattering chamber had to be ensured.
Figure 7: The results of the optics calibration for spectrometer B using the $^{181}$Ta(e, e$'$)$^{181}$Ta data. [Top Left] The measured missing mass spectrum. The obtained width of the elastic peak corresponds to a relative (FWHM) momentum resolution of $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$. [Top Right] The reconstructed vertex position obtained with a stack of three $^{181}$Ta targets. [Bottom] The angular resolution of the spectrometer. The events are projected to the $\theta_0$-axis (left) and $\phi_0$-axis (right), respectively. The vertical lines demonstrate the expected values of the peaks. The obtained resolutions are not as good as in [11], due to the energy losses and multiplescattering effects in the mylar windows and in the air between the scattering chamber and the spectrometer.

Figure 8: For the calibration of the angular coordinates $\phi_0$ and $\theta_0$ measurements with a sieve collimator were performed. The collimator consists of 93 small holes and two larger ones for removing ambiguities in the horizontal and vertical orientation [11]. Plot shows the reconstructed sieve pattern obtained from such measurements. The most right column of holes is outside the nominal acceptance and was not considered in the calibration.
This was achieved by replacing gaskets and Kapton foils in the target windows. Additionally, the foils were enforced with an additional layer of Aramid foil, which allowed us to establish two order of magnitude better vacuum ($\approx 10^{-6}$ mbar) inside the scattering chamber. This has significantly improved the measured spectra. During the full experiment, the effects of cryogens accumulating on the target walls were still visible, but their contributions to the rates were on the order of few percent.

5. Full scale experiment

The full experiment of the proton charge form-factor at MAMI was successfully performed in August 2013. The experimental setup was very similar to the one used in the 2010 pilot experiment (see Section 4). Except for some minor issues related to the apparatus at the beginning of the experiment, very stable running conditions were established, which provided us with high quality data. Figure 9 shows the results of on-line analysis together with the first comparison to the simulated spectra.

**Figure 9:** Top: Distributions of events as functions of energy of scattered electrons ($E'$). At the beam energy of 495 MeV data were taken for eight different kinematic points shown on the plot with different colors. The measured spectrum was normalized to 1.0 mC and consists of a hydrogen elastic peak and its radiative tail. A smaller elastic peak on its right corresponds to events coming from the walls and cryogens. The dashed line shows the theoretical predictions obtained by Simul++. The gray band demonstrates the contribution of the pion production processes determined with MAID [23]. Bottom: The relative differences between data and simulation for each kinematic setting. Dark and light gray bands denote 5% and 10% difference, respectively.

The measured momentum distributions belonging to different kinematic settings are consistent and together form a continuous radiative tail. A coarse structure observed on top of the distributions
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is related to a changing detection efficiency and can be corrected by using calibration data. The small elastic peak on the right hand side of the main hydrogen peak corresponds to events coming from the target walls after all acceptance cuts were applied. The presence of such peak indicates the need for better vertex resolution, i.e. improved optics matrices.

In order to obtain a faithful comparison of the data to the simulation, pion production processes were also considered in the Simul++ via MAID model [23]. They contribute up to 10% of the statistics in the lowest energy bins. With the inclusion of these corrections a reasonable agreement between data and simulation, with a relative difference smaller than 10% was achieved already by the on-line analysis. This suggests that Simul++ can satisfactorily mimic real data and that proposed approach can be successfully utilized to extract proton charged form-factor information at $Q^2 \approx 10^{-4}\,(\text{GeV}/c)^2$.

6. Conclusions and Outlook

The proton radius puzzle is a conspicuous open question of nuclear physics which demands further theoretical and experimental research. Therefore, a new experiment is underway at MAMI, which will provide a new prospect into this matter, by measuring $G_E^p$ at very low $Q^2$. For that purpose a new technique is being considered, which exploits the information hidden inside the radiative tail, to determine $G_E^p$ for $Q^2$ as low as $10^{-4}\,(\text{GeV}/c)^2$. For the experiment two sets of measurements have been made by using the three spectrometer facility of the A1-Collaboration. A pilot measurement was performed in 2010, which revealed problems mostly related to the magnetic optics of the spectrometers and to the accumulation of residual cryogenic gases around the target walls. All these handicaps were investigated and addressed before the full scale experiment, which ran in August 2013. Since then data analysis is underway and it is foreseen that the first preliminary results will be available in late 2014.
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