Nucleon resonances in the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold
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We investigate the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold within an effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model. Various nucleon resonances caused by the $\pi$ and $\eta$ meson exchanges and background contributions are considered. It is shown that the contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance caused by the $\eta$ meson exchange plays the predominant role. Hence, this reaction provides a good new platform to study the $N^*(1535)$ resonance. The predicted total cross section and specific features of angular distributions can be tested by future experiments.


I. INTRODUCTION

The study of nucleon resonances in meson production reactions is an interesting topic in light hadron physics. Among these resonances, the negative parity nucleon excited state, $N^*(1535)$ (spin-parity $J^P = 1/2^-$), has been a controversial resonance for many years. In the traditional three-quark constituent model, it should be the lowest spatially excited nucleon state with one quark in a $p$-wave [1,2]. However, the $N^*(1440)$ ($J^P = 1/2^-$) has in fact a much lower mass, despite requiring two units of excitation energy. This is the long-standing mass inversion problem of the nucleon resonance spectrum.

Furthermore, the $N^*(1535)$ resonance couples strongly to those strangeness channels [3]. Besides the $\eta N$ channel [1], it also couples strongly to $q'N$ [4,5], $\phi N$ [6,8], and $K\Lambda$ [9,10] channels. Moreover, it is found that the $N^*(1535)$ state is dynamically generated within a chiral unitary coupled channel approach, with its mass, width, and branching ratios in fair agreement with the experimental results [11,14]. This approach shows that the couplings of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the $K\Sigma$, $\eta N$, and $K\Lambda$ channels could be large compared to that for the $\pi N$ channel.

The mass inversion problem could be understood if there were significant five-quark ($uudss$) components in the wave function of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance [15,16], which would also provide a natural explanation of the large couplings of the the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the strangeness $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$, $N\eta'$, and $N\phi$ channels. It would furthermore lead to an improvement in the description of the $N^*(1535)$ helicity amplitudes [17,18]. In this paper, we wish to argue that the $N^*(1535)$ resonance might play an important role in the associated strangeness production of the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction. Since this reaction needs to create two $s\bar{s}$ quark pairs from the vacuum, its total cross sections should be small, which is why not much attention has been paid to it on either the theoretical or experimental sides. However, because of the weak interactions of $\phi K^+$ and $\phi \Lambda$, the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold provides a good new platform to study the $N^*(1535)$ resonance decaying to $K^+\Lambda$.

The couplings of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the $K\Lambda$ and $\eta N$ channels and the ratio of $g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}$ to $g_{\eta N N^*(1535)}$, $R \equiv |g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}|/|g_{\eta N N^*(1535)}|$, have been intensively studied within various theoretical approaches. By analyzing the $J/\psi \to pK^+\Lambda$ and $J/\psi \to p\eta p$ experimental data, Ref. [6] gives $R = 1.3 \pm 0.3$. From the latest and largest photoproduction database by using the isobar model, Ref. [10] gives $R = 0.46 \pm 0.172$. From the $J/\psi$ decays within the chiral unitary approach, Ref. [20] gives $R = 0.5 \sim 0.7$. Based on the partial wave analysis of kaon photoproduction, Ref. [21] gives $R = 0.42 \sim 0.73$. The result of the $s$-wave $\pi N$ scattering analysis within a unitarized chiral effective Lagrangian indicates $|g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}|^2 > |g_{\eta N N^*(1535)}|^2$ [22]. The coupled-channels calculation predicts $R = 0.8 \sim 2.6$ [23]. Study on the partial decay widths of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the pseudoscalar mesons and octet baryons within a chiral constituent quark model shows $R = 0.85 \pm 0.06$ [24]. In a very recent analysis of the $\pi p \to K^0\Lambda$ reaction, a value $R = 0.71 \pm 0.10$ is obtained [25]. Obviously, theoretical predictions on $R$ are not completely consistent with each other, thus, it is still worth studying the coupling constant $g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}$ in different ways.

In the present work, we investigate the role of nucleon resonances in the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold in the framework of an effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model. Initial interaction between incoming photons and protons is modeled by an effective Lagrangian which is based on the exchange of the $\pi$, $\eta$, and kaon mesons. The $K^+\Lambda$ production proceeds via excita-
tion of the $N^\ast(1535)$, $N^\ast(1650)$, $N^\ast(1710)$, and $N^\ast(1720)$ intermediate nucleon resonances which have appreciable branching ratios for the decay into the $K^+\Lambda$ channel.

This article is organized as follows. In the next section, we will present the formalism and ingredients for present calculations, then numerical results and discussions are given in Sect. III. A short summary is given in the last section.

II. FORMALISM AND INGREDIENTS

We study the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold within an effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model, which has been extensively applied to the study of scattering processes [26, 33]. The basic tree level Feynman diagrams for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction are depicted in Fig. 1. It is assumed that the $K\Lambda$ final state is produced by the decay of the intermediate nucleon resonances as the result of the $\pi$ and $\eta$ meson exchange (Fig. 1(a)). Moreover, the background contributions including the $s$-channel nucleon pole, $t$-channel $K$ exchange (Fig. 1(b)), and contact term (Fig. 1(c)) are also considered.

To compute the amplitudes of these diagrams shown in Fig. 1 the effective Lagrangian densities for relevant interaction vertices are needed. We use the commonly employed Lagrangian densities for $\pi NN$, $\eta NN$, and $\Lambda\Lambda N$ as follows [38]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NN} = \frac{g_{\pi NN}}{m_N} \bar{N} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \vec{\pi} \cdot \partial^\mu \vec{N},$$  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NN} = \frac{g_{\eta NN}}{m_N} \bar{N} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu \eta N,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda \Lambda N} = \frac{g_{\Lambda \Lambda N}}{m_N + m_\Lambda} \bar{N} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu \Lambda N + h.c..$$

The coupling constants in the above Lagrangian densities are taken as $\text{Re} \{g_{\pi NN} = 13.45, g_{\Lambda \Lambda N} = -13.98, g_{\eta NN} = 2.24\}$. For the $\phi$ meson and photon couplings, we use the interaction Lagrangian densities as used in Refs. [37, 39],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi \gamma \pi} = \frac{g_{\phi \gamma \pi}}{m_\phi} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu A_\alpha A_\beta \pi,$$  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi \eta \eta} = \frac{g_{\phi \eta \eta}}{m_\phi} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu A_\alpha \eta,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi KK} = \frac{-ie}{m_\phi} (\partial^\mu K^+ - \partial^\mu K^-) A_\mu,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi KK} = \frac{-ie}{m_\phi} (\partial^\mu K^+ - \partial^\mu K^-) \phi_\mu,$$

where $\epsilon = \sqrt{4\pi \alpha}$ ($\alpha = 1/137.036$ is the fine-structure constant), and $A_\mu$ is the photon field.

We also need the interaction Lagrangian densities involving nucleon resonances ($\equiv R$) [40],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NR} = ig_{\pi NR} \bar{N} \gamma_\mu \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\pi} + h.c.,$$  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NR} = ig_{\eta NR} \bar{N} \eta N + h.c.,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda KR} = ig_{\Lambda KR} \bar{N} \Lambda K + h.c.,$$

for $J^P(1^-_2)$ nucleon resonances $N^\ast(1535)$ and $N^\ast(1650)$, while

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NR} = -\frac{g_{\pi NR}}{m_N + m_R} \bar{N} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \vec{p} \cdot \partial^\mu \vec{N} + h.c.,$$  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NR} = -\frac{g_{\eta NR}}{m_N + m_R} \bar{N} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu \eta N + h.c.,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda KR} = -\frac{g_{\Lambda KR}}{m_\Lambda + m_R} \bar{N} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu \Lambda K + h.c.,$$

for $J^P(1^-_2)$ nucleon resonance $N^\ast(1710)$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NR} = -\frac{g_{\pi NR}}{m_\pi} \bar{N} \gamma_\mu \vec{p} \cdot \partial^\mu \vec{N} + h.c.,$$  
$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NR} = -\frac{g_{\eta NR}}{m_\eta} \bar{N} \partial^\mu \eta N + h.c.,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda KR} = -\frac{g_{\Lambda KR}}{m_\Lambda} \bar{N} \partial^\mu \Lambda K + h.c.,$$

for $J^P(4^+_2)$ nucleon resonance $N^\ast(1720)$.

The coupling constants in the above Lagrangian densities can be determined from the partial decay widths,

$$\Gamma[\phi \to \pi\pi] = \frac{e^2 g_{\phi \gamma \pi}^2 |\vec{p}_N|^3}{12\pi m_\phi^2},$$  
$$\Gamma[\phi \to \eta\eta] = \frac{e^2 g_{\phi \eta \eta}^2 |\vec{p}_N|^3}{12\pi m_\phi^2},$$
$$\Gamma[\phi \to K^+K^-] = \frac{g_{\phi KK}^2 |\vec{p}_KK-|^3}{6\pi m_\phi^2},$$

for the $\phi$ meson,

$$\Gamma[R \to N\pi] = \frac{3g_{\pi NR}^2 (E_N + m_N)}{4\pi m_R} |\vec{p}_N|^3,$$
$$\Gamma[R \to N\eta] = \frac{g_{\eta NR}^2 (E_N + m_N)}{4\pi m_R} |\vec{p}_N|^3,$$
$$\Gamma[R \to \Lambda K] = \frac{g_{\Lambda KR}^2 (E_\Lambda + m_\Lambda)}{4\pi m_R} |\vec{p}_\Lambda|^3,$$

for $J^P(1^-_2)$ nucleon resonances $N^\ast(1535)$ and $N^\ast(1650)$,

$$\Gamma[R \to N\pi] = \frac{3g_{\pi NR}^2 (E_N - m_N)}{4\pi (m_R + m_\pi)} |\vec{p}_N|^3,$$
$$\Gamma[R \to N\eta] = \frac{g_{\eta NR}^2 (E_N - m_N)}{4\pi m_R(m_R + m_\pi)} |\vec{p}_N|^3,$$
$$\Gamma[R \to \Lambda K] = \frac{g_{\Lambda KR}^2 (E_\Lambda + m_\Lambda)}{4\pi m_R(m_R + m_\Lambda)} |\vec{p}_\Lambda|^3,$$

for $J^P(4^+_2)$ nucleon resonance $N^\ast(1710)$, and

$$\Gamma[R \to N\pi] = \frac{g_{\pi NR}^2 (E_N + m_N)}{4\pi m_R m_\pi^2} |\vec{p}_N|^3,$$
$$\Gamma[R \to N\eta] = \frac{g_{\eta NR}^2 (E_N + m_N)}{12\pi m_R m_\eta^2} |\vec{p}_N|^3,$$
$$\Gamma[R \to \Lambda K] = \frac{g_{\Lambda KR}^2 (E_\Lambda + m_\Lambda)}{12\pi m_R m_\Lambda^2} |\vec{p}_\Lambda|^3,$$
for \(J^P(\frac{3}{2}^+)\) nucleon resonance \(N^*(1720)\), where
\[
|\vec{p}_{f_1 f_2}| = \frac{\lambda^2 (m_i^2, m_{f_1}^2, m_{f_2}^2)}{2m_i},
\]
(29)
where \(m_i\) denotes the mass of the initial state, \(m_{f_1}\) and \(m_{f_2}\) are the masses of two final states, and \(\lambda\) is the Källen function with \(\lambda(x, y, z) = (x - y - z)^2 - 4yz\). The obtained results for the coupling constants are listed in Tab. I while the coupling constant \(g_{KAN^*(1535)}\) will be discussed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Width (MeV)</th>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>Adopted g²/4π</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\phi)</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>(\pi\gamma)</td>
<td>(1.27 \times 10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta\gamma)</td>
<td>1.31 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>(K^+) (\pi^-)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N^*(1535))</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(N\pi)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N^*(1650))</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(N\pi)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N^*(1710))</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(N\pi)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N^*(1720))</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>(N\pi)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the hadrons are not point-like particles, the form factors are also needed. We adopt the dipole form factor of \(\phi\) and \(\eta\) mesons \[7, 41, 42\),

\[
F_M(q^2, M_{ex}) = \frac{(\lambda^2 - M_{ex}^2)}{\Lambda^2 - M_{ex}^2}. \tag{30}
\]

The form factor for the exchanged baryons is taken as \[15, 14\],

\[
F_B(q^2, M_{ex}) = \frac{\Lambda_B^4}{\Lambda_B^4 + (q^2 - M_{ex}^2)^2}, \tag{31}
\]
where the \(q^2\) and \(M_{ex}\) are the four-momentum and the mass of the exchanged hadron, respectively. In our present calculation, we use the cut off parameters \(\Lambda_{\pi} = 1.3\) GeV for \(\pi\) and \(\eta\) mesons \[7\], \(\Lambda_K = 0.8\) GeV for \(K\) meson \[41, 42\], and \(\Lambda_N = \Lambda_{N^*}(1535) = \Lambda_{N^*}(1560) = \Lambda_{N^*}(1710) = \Lambda_{N^*}(1720) = 2.0\) GeV for baryons \[7\].

The propagators for exchanged \(\pi, \eta\) and \(K\) meson used in our calculation is,

\[
G_{\pi,\eta,K}(q) = \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{\pi,\eta,K}^2}. \tag{32}
\]

For the propagator of spin-1/2 baryon, we use

\[
G_{\frac{1}{2}}(q) = \frac{i(q + M)}{q^2 - M^2 + i\Gamma M}. \tag{33}
\]

For the propagator of spin-3/2 baryon, it can be taken as,

\[
G^{\mu\nu}_{\frac{3}{2}}(q) = \frac{i(q + M)P^{\mu\nu}(q)}{q^2 - M^2 + i\Gamma M}, \tag{34}
\]
with

\[
P^{\mu\nu}(q) = -q^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{3}\gamma^\nu q^{\mu} - \gamma^\mu q^{\nu}) + \frac{2}{3M^2}q^{\mu}q^{\nu}, \tag{35}
\]

where \(q, M,\) and \(\Gamma\) stand for the four-momentum, mass, and total width of the intermediate nucleon resonance, respectively.

From the above effective Lagrangian densities, the scattering amplitudes for the \(\gamma p \rightarrow \phi K^+\Lambda\) reaction can be obtained straightforwardly. For nucleon pole due to the \(\pi\) exchange can be written as,

\[
\mathcal{M}_N = \frac{ig_{KAN}g_{\pi NN}g_{\pi N\pi}F_\pi(q_{ex}^2, m_{\pi})F_N(q_{N^*}^2, m_N)}{2m_N(m_{N^*} + m_{\Lambda})m_\phi} \times \bar{u}(p_5, s_5)\gamma_\mu p_5 G_{1/2}(q_{N^*})\gamma_5 \delta_\pi u(p_2, s_2)G_\pi(q_{ex}) \times \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}p_3\epsilon^{\sigma}_{\rho}(p_3, s_3)p_1\epsilon_\mu(p_1, s_1). \tag{36}
\]
\[ M_R = \frac{-ig_{K\Lambda}g_{\eta NN}g_{\phi NN}F_{\pi}(q_F^{2}, m_{\pi})F_{\eta}(q_F^{2}, m_{\eta})}{m_{\phi}} \times \bar{u}(p_5, s_5)\sigma \cdot q_{5} p_{5}(q_5, v_{5}) u(p_2, s_2)G_{\pi}(q_{\pi}) \times \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} p_{3\mu} \epsilon_{\nu}(p_3, s_3)\varepsilon_{\alpha}(p_1, s_1), \]

For \[ J^P(\frac{1}{2}^-) \] nucleon resonances \[ N^*(1535) \] and \[ N^*(1650), \]
\[ M_R = \frac{-ig_{K\Lambda}g_{\eta NN}g_{\phi NN}F_{\pi}(q_F^{2}, m_{\pi})F_{\eta}(q_F^{2}, m_{\eta})}{m_{\phi}} \times \bar{u}(p_5, s_5)\gamma_{5\pi} G_{1/2}(q_5) u(p_2, s_2)G_{\pi}(q_{\pi}) \times \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} p_{3\mu} \epsilon_{\nu}(p_3, s_3)\varepsilon_{\alpha}(p_1, s_1), \]

where \[ p_5 \] is the four-momentum for photon, proton, \( \phi \), \( K^+ \), and \( \Lambda \), respectively, and \( s_5 \) is the spin projection of photon, proton, \( \phi \), and \( \Lambda \). The amplitudes due to the \( \eta \) exchange are similar, and can be obtained by changing \( \pi \) to \( \eta \) from the above equations.

We also give the amplitudes of the \( K \) exchanged Feynman diagram shown in Fig. 4(b) as follows,
\[ M_K = \frac{\bar{g}_{K\Lambda}g_{\phi NN}g_{NN\phi}}{m_{\pi} + m_{\Lambda}} F_{K}(q_F^{2}, m_{K^+})F_{\Lambda}(q_F^{2}, m_{\Lambda}) \times \bar{u}(p_5, s_5)\gamma_{5\pi} G_{1/2}(q_5) \times (q_{K^+} - q_{K^-}) \times \varepsilon_{\nu}(p_3, s_3)G_{K}(q_{K^-}) \times \varepsilon_{\nu}(p_1, s_1), \]

where \( q_{K^-} = p_1 - p_3 \) is the four-momentum for exchanged \( K^- \) meson, and \( q_{K^+} = p_2 - p_5 \) is the four-momentum for exchanged \( K^+ \) meson.

The contact term is required to keep the full amplitude gauge invariant, and can be written as
\[ M_c = \frac{\bar{g}_{K\Lambda}g_{\phi NN}g_{NN\phi}}{m_{\pi} + m_{\Lambda}} F_{K}(q_F^{2}, m_{K^+})F_{\Lambda}(q_F^{2}, m_{\Lambda}) \times \bar{u}(p_5, s_5)\gamma_{5\pi} G_{1/2}(q_5) \times \varepsilon_{\nu}(p_3, s_3)G_{K}(q_{K^-}) G_{K}(q_{K^+}) \times \varepsilon_{\nu}(p_1, s_1), \]

Then the calculations of the differential and total cross sections for \( \gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda \) reaction are straightforward,
\[ d\sigma(\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda) = \frac{1}{8E_\gamma} \sum_{s_1} |M|^2 \times \]
\[ \frac{d^3p_3 d^3p_4 m_\Lambda d^3p_5}{E_\gamma} \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4 - p_5). \]
It is worthy mentioning that the contribution from the $K$ exchange and contact term is small, but it increases rapidly and depends much on the value of the cut off parameter $\Lambda_K$. To see how much it depends on the cut off parameter, we also show in Fig. 2 the theoretical results with $\Lambda_K = 1.0$ GeV.

The relative importance of the contributions of each intermediate resonance to the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+ \Lambda$ reaction is demonstrated in Fig. 3, where the contributions of $N^*(1535)$, $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, $N^*(1720)$, and background are shown by red-dashed, green-dotted, blue-dashed-dotted, and pink-dotted-dotted and yellow-dotted-dotted-dashed lines stand for contributions from $N^*(1535)$, $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, $N^*(1720)$ and background, respectively. Their sum is shown by the solid line.

The contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance be proportional to $R^2$. If $R$ varies in the range of about $0.5 \sim 2.6$ as mentioned in Sec. I from Fig. 3 one can see that near the threshold of the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+ \Lambda$ reaction, the contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance remains dominant.

When the $\eta$ exchange is dominant as shown in Fig. 2 these facts, i.e., the $N^*(1535)$ resonance couples to $\eta N$ and $KA$ channels in the $S$ wave, the $N^*(1710)$ and $N^*(1720)$ resonances couple to $\eta N$ and $KA$ channels in $P$ wave, and the $N^*(1650)$ couples weaker to $\eta N$ channel, could account for the fact that the contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance is dominant, while the contributions from the $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, and $N^*(1720)$ are suppressed at the beam energy closed to the reaction threshold.

In addition to the total cross sections, the differential distributions for $\gamma p \to \phi K^+ \Lambda$ reaction are also calculated. The corresponding momentum of $\phi$ meson, the angular distributions of $\phi$ and $K^+$ meson, and the $KA$ invariant mass spectrum at beam energy $E_\gamma = 3.3$, and $3.7$ GeV are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 respectively. The dashed lines are pure phase space distributions and the solid lines stand for our theoretical results.

From Figs. 4 and 5 one can see the difference between the momentum distribution of $\phi$ meson and phase space distribution is slight at $E_\gamma = 3.3$ GeV but apparent at $E_\gamma = 3.7$ GeV. Also, the special features of the angular distributions of $\phi$ and $K^+$ mesons, i.e., the forward contribution for $\phi$ meson and the backward contribution for $K^+$ meson, exist at both beam energies. For the $KA$ invariant mass spectrum, the enhancement near threshold is from the contribution of $N^*(1535)$ resonance and the other bump appears at $E_\gamma = 3.7$ GeV is produced by the $N^*(1710)$ resonance.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we have studied the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+ \Lambda$ reaction near threshold within an effective Lagrangian approach. In addition to the background contributions from the $s$-channel nucleon pole, $K$ exchange, and contact term, the intermediate nucleon resonances due to the $\pi$ and $\eta$ meson exchanges are also investigated. The total and differential cross sections are predicted. Our results show that the contribution from $N^*(1535)$ resonance due to
FIG. 4: Differential distributions for the $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction at the beam energy $E_\gamma = 3.3$ GeV. The solid curves stand for our theoretical predictions while the dashed lines represent the pure phase space distributions.

FIG. 5: As in Fig. 4 but at the beam energy $E_\gamma = 3.7$ GeV.

\eta exchange play the dominant role near threshold, while other resonances and background contributions are small and can be ignored. Thus, this reaction provides a good chance to study the coupling of $K\Lambda N^*(1535)$ interaction. It is also found that the $\phi$ meson has the forward angular distribution, while $K^+$ meson gives the backward contribution. These specific features of angular distributions, together with the total cross section which is in the magnitude of $0.2$ nb at photon energy $E_\gamma = 3.3 \sim 3.4$ GeV can be tested future experiments. An experiment with a precision about $0.1$ nb will be enough to check our model. The future experiment in the JLab 12 GeV upgrade with large luminosity promise to reach such a requirement.
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