Selected inversion as key to a stable Langevin evolution across the QCD phase boundary
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Abstract. We present new results of full QCD at nonzero chemical potential. In PRD 92, 094516 (2015) the complex Langevin method was shown to break down when the inverse coupling decreases and enters the transition region from the deconfined to the confined phase. We found that the stochastic technique used to estimate the drift term can be very unstable for indefinite matrices. This may be avoided by using the full inverse of the Dirac operator, which is, however, too costly for four-dimensional lattices. The major breakthrough in this work was achieved by realizing that the inverse elements necessary for the drift term can be computed efficiently using the selected inversion technique provided by the parallel sparse direct solver package PARDISO. In our new study we show that no breakdown of the complex Langevin method is encountered and that simulations can be performed across the phase boundary.

1 Introduction

The lattice simulations of QCD at nonzero quark chemical potential are strongly hampered by the sign problem, caused by the complex fermion determinant. The complex Langevin (CL) method has drawn a lot of attention in recent years as a potential solution to this problem [1]. Nevertheless, careful studies have shown that the method can break down or, even worse, can converge to the wrong solution, if the trajectories make excursions too far into the SL(3,\mathbb{C}) plane or come too close to a singularity of the drift [2, 3]. Although conditions were derived that have to be satisfied for the CL solutions to be valid, the matching of these conditions can only be verified a posteriori. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that the violation of the validity condition is due to numerical inaccuracies rather than to a theoretical deficiency of the method for the model being considered.

The first successful application of the CL method to QCD was made in the heavy dense approximation [4]. For full QCD, the method was shown to work correctly in the deconfined phase, when the inverse coupling $\beta$ is large enough; however, it breaks down when $\beta$ gets smaller and the system crosses the phase boundary, such that no solutions are found in the confined phase [5]. Other studies at larger $\beta$ and larger volumes also seem to converge to incorrect solutions [6]. Recently there were suggestions to modify the CL evolutions through dynamical stabilization [7] or deformation [8], but the extrapolations needed to recover the original theory are not yet well controlled.
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Figure 1. Sketch of the QCD phase diagram as a function of baryon chemical potential and temperature. The simulations of [5] are performed at $\mu/T = 1$ with decreasing $\beta$, which follows the gray arrow across the roof of the phase transition.

Figure 1 gives a sketch of the QCD phase diagram as a function of temperature and chemical potential. The simulations reported by Fodor et al. [5] follow the gray arrow through the roof of the phase transition; however, the CL simulations break down when crossing the phase boundary, and no results were found inside the confined phase. In these simulations, the temperature was lowered by decreasing $\beta$ on an $8^3 \times 4$ lattice with $\mu/T = 1$ and $m = 0.05$. For these parameter values the critical temperature corresponds to $\beta_c \approx 5.04$ at $\mu = 0$. The results for the Polyakov loop and its inverse, the temporal and spatial plaquettes, the chiral condensate, and the quark number density published in [5] are reproduced in Fig. 2. In these plots the CL results are compared with data reweighted from the $\mu = 0$ ensemble, however, CL results are only available for $\beta \geq 5.1$ as the CL simulations became unstable below this value.

In this presentation we will show that the breakdown observed in [5] can be cured and stable CL solutions can be found for smaller $\beta$ when the drift is computed exactly, rather than being estimated with stochastic techniques.

2 Complex Langevin for QCD

The lattice QCD partition function is given by

$$Z = \left[ \prod_{x=1}^{V} \prod_{\nu=1}^{d} \int dU_{xy} \right] \exp[-S_g] \det D(m; \mu)$$

with Wilson gauge action $S_g$, staggered Dirac operator $D$, and links

$$U_{xy} = \exp \left[ i \sum_{a=1}^{8} z_{axy} \lambda_a \right]$$

with Gell-Mann matrices $\lambda_a$ and link parameters $z_{axy}$. After discretization of the Langevin time, the CL evolution of the links in SL(3, $\mathbb{C}$) is described by

$$U_{xy}(t + 1) = R_{xy}(t) U_{xy}(t),$$

where, in the stochastic Euler discretization,

$$R_{xy} = \exp \left[ i \sum_{a} \lambda_a ( \epsilon K_{axy} + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta_{axy} ) \right] \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C}),$$
with Langevin step $\epsilon$ and Gaussian noise $\eta_{axv}$. The evolution is driven by the drift

$$K_{axv} = -\partial_{axv} S = K_{axv}^g + K_{axv}^f$$

with complex action $S = S_g - \log \det D$. In the following we will focus on the fermionic drift,

$$K_{axv}^f = \text{Tr}[D^{-1} \partial_{axv} D],$$

where $\partial_{axv} D$ is the partial derivative of $D$ wrt the variables $z_{axv}$.

### 3 Fermionic drift term and selection inversion

When looking at the breakdown of the CL method in [5] it is not immediately clear if the violation of the CL validity conditions is genuine or rather due to numerical approximations.

The traces in the fermionic drift (6) involve the inverse Dirac operator. Computing the full inverse, for example, with Lapack, is too expensive for four-dimensional QCD, both in terms of CPU time and storage space. Until now, the explicit computation of $D^{-1}$ was avoided by using stochastic estimators for the traces,

$$\text{Tr}[D^{-1} \partial_{axv} D] \approx \eta^\dagger D^{-1} \partial_{axv} D \eta.$$  

Although the merit of this technique is undisputed for positive-definite matrices, it can be problematic when applied to indefinite matrices, as the number of noise vectors needed to get a good and stable estimate may be extremely large. As current CL algorithms typically estimate traces using a single noise vector, they rely on choosing $\epsilon$ tiny, such that consecutive CL steps are highly correlated and effectively provide an improved estimator to the trace after many Langevin steps. A potential danger is that this strategy may destabilize the discrete time evolution beyond repair.

To clarify this situation we decided to investigate how using exact traces affects the stability of the CL evolution. As already mentioned, the exact traces require the inverse of the Dirac matrix, which is too costly to compute in full. Below we will analyze the drift term further and show that a relatively new numerical method, called selected inversion, can be used to compute the drift exactly, while saving CPU time and storage space. This method also allows us to use a larger $\epsilon$, as the very small $\epsilon$ values used before were only needed to stabilize the drift when using the stochastic technique.

Consider the fermionic drift $K_{axv}^f$ of Eq. (6). The derivative matrix $\partial_{axv} D$ is zero except for two $3 \times 3$ blocks at the positions of the link $U_{axv}$, so that the drift looks like...
\[ K^f_{\alpha x\nu} = \text{Tr} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{dense} \\ D^{-1} \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \downarrow \\ x + \hat{\nu} \end{array} \right) \left( E \right) \quad \leftarrow x \]

\[ K^f_{\alpha x\nu} = \text{Tr} \left( \begin{array}{c} C_x \hspace{1cm} C_{x+\hat{\nu}} \\ D^{-1} \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \downarrow \\ x + \hat{\nu} \end{array} \right) \left( E \right) \quad \leftarrow x \]

In the matrix product the sparse derivative matrix effectively selects out the two corresponding columns of \( D^{-1} \) such that this can be rewritten as

\[ K^f_{\alpha x\nu} = \text{Tr} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ D^{-1} \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \downarrow \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right) \left( E \right) \quad \leftarrow x \]

where \( C_{x+\hat{\nu}} \times B \) and \( C_x \times F \), respectively, give the columns \( x \) and \( x + \hat{\nu} \) of \( D^{-1} \partial_{\alpha x\nu} D \). Only two \( 3 \times 3 \) blocks of \( D^{-1} \) contribute to the trace of this matrix product, so this simplifies further to

\[ K^f_{\alpha x\nu} = \text{Tr} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ D^{-1} \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \downarrow \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right) \left( E \right) \quad \leftarrow x \]

Each drift term can thus be written as

\[ K^f_{\alpha x\nu} = \text{Tr}(P \cdot B) + \text{Tr}(Q \cdot F) \quad (8) \]

and, hence, the computation of the drift only requires elements of \( D^{-1} \) where \( D \) itself is nonzero. Note that, the same statement holds for the computation of the fermionic observables.
This observation is what brought us to consider the selected inversion technique to compute the

4 Results

4.1 CL evolution for varying $\beta$

In this section we present the first results of the CL method using the selected inversion. The simu-

lations were performed on the Xeon cluster at the ICS, Lugano. We performed the same study as in

[5] and investigate QCD across the phase boundary as sketched in Fig. 1, decreasing the temperature

from the deconfined to the confined phase by varying $\beta$ for constant $\mu/T = 1$ on an $8^3 \times 4$ lattice
with $m = 0.05$ ($\beta_c \approx 5.04$ at $\mu = 0$). Whereas the CL method broke down below $\beta = 5.1$ in the
original study, we are now able to generate stable CL trajectories for all investigated $\beta$ values without
any further tuning. Moreover, this was done using $\epsilon = 0.001$, which is much larger than before. The
length of the trajectories is 30 Langevin time (Lt) of which $5 \text{ Lt}$ are discarded as thermalization.
From the results, shown in Fig. 4, we see that the simulations perform well across the phase boundary, and
the complete range from $\beta = 5.45$ to $\beta = 4.6$ can be simulated without any problem. The numerical
implementation includes gauge cooling, to avoid excessive excursions in SL(3,C), and an adaptive
step size such that the continuum trajectory is properly followed, even when the drift is large.

The fact that a stable solution is found does not necessarily mean that it is correct, as the CL
method can converge to the wrong solution in some instances. In order to validate the CL results we
show the histograms for the chiral condensate and the Polyakov loop for $\beta = 5.4$ and $\beta = 4.8$, in
Fig. 5. The CL results are only to be trusted if the tails of the histograms decay exponentially. For
the chiral condensate it seems that the validity might be problematic for $\beta = 4.8$, as the tails of the
histogram are somewhat broad, but even for $\beta = 5.4$ the exponential decay in the tails is not so clear,
even though the results agree with the reweighting results. The histograms for the Polyakov loop look
fine so far. From these data we conclude that the results may be incorrect below the phase transition, even though the histograms do not give a clear cut way to validate or invalidate CL measurements.

4.2 CL evolution for varying $\mu$

As we found stable CL evolutions when decreasing $\beta$ through the phase boundary, we also performed a partial study of the phase diagram and investigated QCD for varying $\mu$ at two values of the temperature. We chose $\beta = 5.0$ and $m = 0.05$ for which the pion mass is $am_\pi = 0.5588 \pm 0.0002$ and $a = (0.3045 \pm 0.0001)$ fm, such that $m_\pi \approx 362$ MeV. We work on an $8^3 \times N_t$ lattice and consider temporal extents $N_t = 4$ and $N_t = 8$, corresponding to $T = 161.74$ MeV and $T = 80.87$ MeV, respectively.

In Fig. 6 we show the results for the various observables as a function of $\mu$ for both temperatures. Although the results do not show any conspicuous behavior, there are no other results to compare with when the sign problem becomes large at nonzero $\mu$. One value that can easily be checked is the value at $\mu = 0$ as it can be computed using standard importance sampling method. From the figure it is clear that the chiral condensate and even the plaquette measured from the CL simulations is incorrect for $\mu = 0$, even though they result from a convergent CL evolution. For $\mu = 0$ the Langevin evolution should be real, and the wrong result is merely due to numerical inaccuracies. This is in fact easily remedied by reunitarizing the links after each Langevin step; however, we decided against this to be consistent with the $\mu \neq 0$ simulations. It is interesting to note that the measurements at nonzero chemical potential smoothly connect to the wrong $\mu = 0$ value, and so we expect all of them to be incorrect. This argument is further supported through the lack of Silver Blaze phenomenon, as one would have expected a very slow $\mu$-evolution of the observables up to the phase transition. The validity of the CL results can again be verified using the histograms of the measured observables. The histograms are very similar to those of the bottom row of Fig. 5: that of the chiral condensate does not seem to have the required exponential falloff, while that of the Polyakov loop does not show a problem. Still, the histograms are not what one would brand as extremely broad, and so the decision of the validity is a difficult call, even though the chiral condensate at $\mu = 0$ is wrong by a factor three.
5 Summary and outlook

In this presentation we have argued that the breakdown of the CL method at the QCD phase boundary observed in [5] is in fact a numerical artifact due to the stochastic estimation of the drift. To compute the drift exactly, one needs the exact inverse of the Dirac operator, which cannot be computed in full with standard direct methods because it is too expensive in terms of both computer time and storage. However, we showed that the drift and the fermionic observables only require those elements of the inverse Dirac operator at the positions where the Dirac operator itself is filled, and, therefore, the selected inversion method, implemented in the sparse direct solver library PARDISO, can be applied. This allows for the exact computation of the drift term in a much faster way, using little storage space.

We observed that the Langevin evolution became stable and convergent when the exact drift term was used. This allowed us to study the QCD phase transition across the roof of the phase diagram, i.e., when decreasing temperature from the deconfined to the confined phase at constant $\mu/T = 1$. Moreover, we were able to measure QCD observables as a function of $\mu$ at two values of the temperature below the deconfinement temperature.

Although these are preliminary results, there are clear indications that the CL results obtained at small mass in the confined region are incorrect, even though they are stable. There is therefore a need for further investigation of these CL results to understand if the validity problems are of a fundamental or numerical nature. The fact that we now get stable CL trajectories should allow us to dig deeper into this problem and to search for improved methods.
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