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Abstract
Continuous monitoring of a cloud of antiprotons stored in a Penning trap for 405 days enables us to set an improved limit on the directly measured antiproton lifetime. From our measurements we extract a storage time of $3.15 \times 10^8$ equivalent antiproton-seconds, resulting in a lower lifetime limit of $\tau_p > 10.2$ a with a confidence level of 68%. This result improves the limit on charge-parity-time violation in antiproton decays based on direct observation by a factor of 7.

1. Introduction

As a consequence of the charge-parity-time reversal symmetry [1], it is required that the proton ($p$) and the antiproton ($\bar{p}$) lifetimes, $\tau_p$ and $\tau_{\bar{p}}$, are identical. Any asymmetry in $\tau_p$ and $\tau_{\bar{p}}$ would constitute a challenge to the Standard Model and contribute to our understanding of the universal baryon asymmetry. In the context of searches for baryon-number violation [2], the lifetime $\tau_p$ of the proton has been one of the subjects of investigation. In direct measurements stringent limits up to $2.1 \times 10^{29}$ a [3] have been derived, while in specific decay channels even constraints up to $1.6 \times 10^{29}$ a [4] were achieved. However, experimental limits on the antiproton lifetime $\tau_{\bar{p}}$ are much lower. For example, model-dependent estimates on the antiproton lifetime have been derived from comparisons of the measured cosmic-ray $\bar{p}$ flux, with models describing the production and propagation of antiprotons in the interstellar medium [5]. From these considerations the limit $\tau_{\bar{p}} > 8 \times 10^8$ a has been reported. Other $\bar{p}$ lifetime constraints have been derived from Fermilab’s storage-ring based APEX experiment [6], which placed limits on 13 charged leptonic antiproton decay modes. Depending on the considered decay channel, lifetimes in the bounds of $\tau/B(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \omega) > 2 \times 10^2$ a to $\tau/B(\bar{p} \rightarrow e^- \gamma) > 7 \times 10^5$ a are extracted [6]. However, some decay modes favoured by supersymmetric Grand Unified Theories [7, 8], such as $p \rightarrow \nu_e K^-$ have so far only been constrained experimentally by Penning-trap experiments which reported $\tau_p > 0.28$ a [9] and $\tau_{\bar{p}} > 1.56$ a [10], respectively.

Here we report on a 7-fold improved constraint on the directly measured antiproton lifetime obtained by continuous counting of antiprotons stored in the cryogenic Penning-trap system of CERN’s BASE collaboration. In our 2015/2016 experimental run a cloud of antiprotons was trapped for 405 days, to our knowledge antimatter trapping for such a long time period has never been reported before. Within the entire observation time we have not observed any antiproton decay or annihilation with residual gas. Based on the available data samples we extract $\tau_p > 10.2$ a at 68% confidence level. In addition, we discuss the feasibility of extending this demonstration to a dedicated trap-based antiproton lifetime measurement.
2. Methods

2.1. Apparatus

The BASE apparatus [11] is located at CERN’s Antiproton Decelerator (AD) facility in Geneva, Switzerland, and consists of four stacked Penning traps. A Penning trap is formed by the superposition of a homogeneous magnetic field in axial direction and a quadrupolar electrostatic field by applying appropriate voltages to trap electrodes of carefully chosen geometry [12]. The trajectories of trapped particles are composed of three independent harmonic oscillator modes [13]: the axial oscillation along the magnetic field lines $\nu_z$, and the two radial oscillation modes $\nu_\perp = \frac{1}{2}(\nu_c \pm \sqrt{\nu_c^2 - 2}\nu_p)$, where $\nu_c = (q_p B_0)/(2\pi m_p)$ is the free cyclotron frequency with the static magnetic field $B_0 = 1.946$ T and the antiproton charge-to-mass ratio $q_p/m_p$. In the traps of our apparatus, the axial mode has a frequency in the order of $\nu_z \approx 800$ kHz, the modified cyclotron mode $\nu_\perp \approx 30$ MHz, and the magnetron mode $\nu_\perp = \nu_c^2/2\nu_p \approx 10$ kHz, respectively. The traps are placed inside an indium-sealed copper cylinder with a volume of 1.2 l and cooled to about 6.2 K. Cryo-pumping of the hermetically-sealed trap cylinder is the key to provide the ultra-low pressure and consequently the long antiproton storage time.

2.2. Particle trapping, detection and manipulation techniques

The trap most relevant to the experiments described here is the reservoir trap (RT) [10, 11] shown in figure 1. The reservoir trap (RT) contains a cloud of antiprotons. Its central electrodes are biased by a highly stable DC source (UM), which is secured against power outages by uninterruptable power supplies (UPS). The other electrodes are grounded, except for the transport procedures during the particle extraction. The particle signal is detected with a sensitive image-current detection system consisting of a superconducting tuned circuit and a cryogenic low-noise amplifier. Furthermore, radiofrequency drives for particle manipulation are connected to the trap electrodes. These drives are generated by frequency generators (FG) and are bandpass-filtered and connected to ground whenever possible to prevent parasitic excitation of the particles.

![Figure 1. The reservoir trap (RT) contains a cloud of antiprotons. Its central electrodes are biased by a highly stable DC source (UM), which is secured against power outages by uninterruptable power supplies (UPS). The other electrodes are grounded, except for the transport procedures during the particle extraction. The particle signal is detected with a sensitive image-current detection system consisting of a superconducting tuned circuit and a cryogenic low-noise amplifier. Furthermore, radiofrequency drives for particle manipulation are connected to the trap electrodes. These drives are generated by frequency generators (FG) and are bandpass-filtered and connected to ground whenever possible to prevent parasitic excitation of the particles.]
2.3. Particle–detector interaction

Once the axial energy $E_z = k_B T_z, p$ of the trapped antiprotons is cooled to thermal equilibrium with the detector, $T_{e, p} = T_z$, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T_z$ is the temperature of the detector system. The equivalent particle impedance shorts the thermal noise $u_\alpha = \sqrt{4k_B T_z \Re(Z(\nu))}$ produced by the real part $\Re(Z(\nu))$ of the detector’s impedance. In this case a notch occurs in the frequency spectrum of $u_\alpha$ [16]. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the time transient of such an axial frequency signal is shown in figure 2. Due to incoherent averaging of the thermally uncorrelated trapped particles, the width $\Delta \nu_z$ of the observed frequency dip is proportional to the number $N$ of trapped antiprotons $\Delta \nu_z = N/(2\pi T_z)$. Here, $\tau_z = (D/f_0^2/m_p/R_p) \approx 43 \text{ ms}$ is the cooling time, and $D \approx 10 \text{ mm}$ is a trap-specific length. From fits to the measured spectra we extract $\Delta \nu_z$.

For an FFT averaging time $t$, the rms scatter of $\Delta \nu_z$ extracted by our fitting routine is a linear function

$$\sigma(\Delta \nu_z) = \alpha \Delta \nu_z / \sqrt{t} = \alpha N \Delta \nu_{z,1} / \sqrt{t}.$$ 

Here, $\Delta \nu_{z,1}$ is the single-particle dip width, and the parameter $\alpha$ is a function of the parameters of the detector, such as quality factor and signal-to-noise ratio, stability of the power supply biasing the trap electrodes, settings of the FFT analyser and also of FFT overlapping and weighting algorithms in the fitting routine. Consequently, the time required to achieve single-particle resolution at 68% confidence level is $t = (\alpha N)^2$. For the current parameters of our experiment, $\alpha \approx 0.04 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

2.4. Calibration of the particle number

To derive limits on the lifetime of the antiproton from such measurements, the RT time transients $u_\alpha$ are recorded continuously, and a frequency spectrum is computed typically every 60 s. When the main experiment requires particles, we extract a single antiproton from the RT and shuttle it to the adjacent precision trap (PT). Consequently, the width of the frequency dip in the RT is reduced and the extracted particle appears on the detector spectrum of the PT. Figure 3(a) shows results of one of these extraction sequences. Such measurements allow us to perform a careful calibration of the width $\Delta \nu_z$ of the axial frequency dip in the RT as function of the number $N$ of trapped antiprotons. Figure 3(b) shows results of this calibration, which was obtained by sequentially reducing the number of trapped antiprotons from the RT and extracting $\Delta \nu_z$. A straight-line fit to the data yields the calibration $\Delta \nu_z(N) = N \cdot \Delta \nu_{z,1}$ with $\Delta \nu_{z,1} = 3.66(4) \text{ Hz}$. 

Figure 2. Fast-Fourier transform of a time transient signal of the axial detection system with a cloud of 18 antiprotons tuned to the centre of the detector. The width of the short in the noise resonance is proportional to the number of trapped particles. Measured data is shown in blue, the black curve represents the fit to the data. For more information, see text.
3. Results

The data set which contributes most to the antiproton lifetime limit derived here is shown in figure 4. It is a consistent sample of continuously-recorded axial frequency dip widths $\Delta \nu_z$, with particles which were initially trapped in November 2015. Continuous data logging started in January 2016 with 18 trapped particles and was concluded in December 2016 with a single particle, collecting about $3.5 \times 10^5$ data points. Within the entire data collection period we have not observed any antiproton decay or annihilation due to interaction with residual gas. All the observed steps $\Delta N$ can be unambiguously correlated to particle extraction from the reservoir. Extractions are caused by particle losses in the precision Penning-trap cycle of the experiment, which are either related to experiment operation or to tracked errors in the experiment control. Periods of high particle consumption (see figure 4) are linked with the development of experiment routines, whereas continuous measurement periods, such as [20], have a low consumption rate.

To obtain the equivalent single-particle exposure time from this dataset, we integrate $N(t)\, dt$, the result being represented by the red line in figure 4. The integrated single-particle equivalent exposure extracted from this sample is $T_{\text{exp},1} = 5.77\, \text{a}$. In addition we keep a record on the particles in the other traps, from which we obtain an equivalent exposure of $T_{\text{exp},2} = 1.72\, \text{a}$. We add to these two main data sets results from experiments.
carried out in the 2015 antiproton run, which were recorded before 01/01/2016, \( T_{\text{exp},3} \approx 2.61 \) a, as well as the previously published storage time from our 2014 run \([10]\), with \( T_{\text{exp},4} \approx 1.56 \) a. By summing up these results we obtain the total integrated single-particle equivalent exposure of \( T_{\text{exp}} = 11.66 \) a, as summarised in table 1.

By modelling the decay as a Poisson process \( f(n; \lambda) = \lambda^n \exp(-\lambda)/n! \) with \( \lambda = T_{\text{exp}}/\tau_{\text{lower}} \) and \( n_0 = 0 \) events, we extract the lower lifetime limit for a chosen confidence level CL by solving following equation for \( \tau_{\text{lower}} \):

\[
\text{CL} = 1 - \epsilon = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} f(n; \frac{T_{\text{exp}}}{\tau_{\text{lower}}})
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \epsilon = \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} f(n; \frac{T_{\text{exp}}}{\tau_{\text{lower}}})
\]

Based on this approach and for an equivalent one-particle exposure of \( T_{\text{exp}} = 11.66 \) a, we extract a lower limit on the directly measured antiproton lifetime of \( \tau_{\text{p,lower}} = 10.2 \) a at 68% confidence level and \( \tau_{\text{p,lower}} = 5.0 \) a at 90% confidence level. Based on this result we can derive upper limits of the partial pressures of hydrogen \( p_{\text{upper},\text{H}} \) and helium \( p_{\text{upper},\text{He}} \) in the cryopumped trap can. We follow the approach of \([21]\) and obtain \( p_{\text{upper},\text{H}} < 1.2 \times 10^{-18} \) mbar and \( p_{\text{upper},\text{He}} < 2.7 \times 10^{-18} \) mbar at 68% confidence level.

4. Discussion

This demonstration experiment to derive antiproton lifetime limits based on the continuous, non-destructive direct observation of individual trapped antiprotons was carried out in the BASE Penning traps. The number of 18 trapped particles which were initially stored was deemed to be sufficient to reach the goal of operating BASE experiments independently of the accelerator for a shutdown period of six months—eventually experiment operation of even more than 405 days was demonstrated successfully. The number of particles was sequentially reduced to supply the adjacent precision Penning traps. The derived value for \( \tau_0 \) is limited by the small number of initially trapped particles and the particle consumption by the main experiment. Our measurement technique is an extension of one described in \([10]\), with sophisticated data accumulation and analysis, and significantly different from the lifetime measurement described in \([9]\), where the number of trapped antiprotons was not determined on the single-particle level, but measured destructively by their annihilation signal on a scintillator. However, both measurements are sensitive to particle disappearance decay channels.

With an explicitly dedicated experiment, a much more stringent limit on directly measured antiproton lifetime could be derived. Here, a second trap with a cloud of continuously-monitored highly-charged ions, located in the same volume as the antiproton trap, could be used as highly-sensitive in-situ pressure gauge. This helps to disentangle whether potentially observed antiproton losses are related to intrinsic decays or caused by annihilations with background gas. For highly-charged ions of charge \( Z \) the sensitivity to background gas is enhanced by \( Z \), compared to antiprotons. Loading and charge breeding of e.g. \( ^{28}\text{Si}^{3+} \) in a closed cryogenic trap can has been demonstrated \([22]\), and the implementation of such a highly-charged ion co-trap is feasible \([20]\). In the ideal case, and with the apparatus used here, in which we operate experiments at inter-particle correlation lengths \( l_c \) above the Debye length \( \lambda_D \), for example, about 120 days of data taking would be required to achieve with a cloud of 10 000 trapped particles the required single particle resolution at 68% confidence level. Given the characterised stability of our experiment and the fact that 405 days of continuous antiproton storage has been demonstrated here, we consider it feasible to reach trap-based lifetime limits of order \( 10^4 \) a–\( 10^6 \) a. Larger numbers of trapped antiprotons can be achieved by stacking, which has been demonstrated by other AD collaborations. Using optimised degrader structures, values of orders up to several \( 10^7 \) are reported \([23, 24]\). Further extension to experiments operating in the plasma range \( l_c < \lambda_D \) might be possible, however a detailed feasibility discussion of this case requires additional experimental studies.
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