Two-particle correlations in Au+Au collisions at 10.8 GeV/c per nucleon


(E877 Collaboration)

(1) Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973
(2) Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany
(3) Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID 83415
(4) McGill University, Montreal, Canada
(5) State University of New York at Stony Brook, NY 11794
(6) University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260
(7) University of São Paulo, Brazil
(8) Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202

Two-particle correlation functions for positive and negative pions have been measured in Au+Au collisions at 10.8 GeV/c per nucleon. The data were analyzed using one- and three-dimensional correlation functions. From the results of the three-dimensional fit the phase space density of pions and three-dimensional correlation functions. From the results the two-pion correlation function (pion source) in a nuclear collision (for a review see [1]). The two-pion correlation function C(p1, p2), defined as the ratio between the two-particle density and the product of single particle densities, shows a peak at q = p2 - p1 = 0. The width of this peak is inversely proportional to the size of the pion source. Analysis of C as a function of different components of the relative momentum yields information about the source dimensions in different directions. The relation between S and C is, under realistic conditions, complicated by effects like three- (and more) particle correlations, and the distortion of the single-particle spectra by the two-particle correlations. The correlation must also be corrected for the Coulomb interaction between the two pions.

In this Letter we present results of the correlation analysis of pions produced in central Au+Au collisions at 10.8 GeV/c per nucleon. The data were taken in Fall 1993 at the AGS. The central trigger at the level of 10% of the geometrical cross section was used. The apparatus allowed a simultaneous measurement of positive and negative pions with a momentum resolution of ∆p/p ≈ 3%. With two field polarities used, the overall acceptance for positive and negative pion pairs were similar: 2 < y < 4 and 0 < p_t < 0.5 GeV/c with ⟨y⟩ = 3.1 and ⟨p_t⟩ = 0.1 GeV/c. The analyzed data sample consists of one million central events and the total number of analyzed π⁺π⁺, π⁻π⁻, and π⁺π⁻ pairs is 130 k, 210 k, and 340 k, respectively. The particle identification (PID) quality was tested by varying the PID cuts in order to deliberately accept background particles. No significant influence on the results was observed implying that particle misidentification does not contribute significantly to the overall systematic uncertainty. A more detailed description of the experiment and of the data analysis can be found [3].

Experimentally, the correlation function C(q) is defined as the number of pion pairs in a q-bin (signal) divided by the number of such pairs obtained by event mixing (background). The variables q_out, q_side, and q_long, used in the three-dimensional 'out-side-long' analysis, are the components of q in the beam rapidity frame y_{beam} = 3.14. Here q_long is the component parallel to the beam, q_side is perpendicular to the beam and to the average pair momentum, and q_out is perpendicular to q_long and q_side [4-5]. In our one-dimensional analysis we analyzed two-pion correlations as a function of q = |q| calculated in the pair c.m. frame. For equal mass particles q is equal to Q_{inv} which is defined as \sqrt{(p_2 - p_1)^2 - (E_2 - E_1)^2}.

The background pairs were weighted with the Coulomb correction factor to remove the effect of the Coulomb interaction between the two pions from the correlation. It is calculated by taking the square of the non-relativistic wave function describing a particle in a Coulomb field [10-17].

\[ H(k, r) = \frac{2 \pi \eta}{e^{2 \pi \eta} - 1} \left| F(-i\eta; 1; ik(r - \frac{r \cdot k}{k}) \right|^2, \] (1)

where F is the confluent hypergeometric function, k is
the asymptotic momentum of a pion in the pair c.m.s. \((k = Q_{\text{inv}}/2)\), and \(r\) is the relative distance of the two pions at freeze-out. The relative velocity enters through
\[\eta = Z_1 Z_2 m_\pi c_\alpha / Q_{\text{inv}}\]
where \(m_\pi\) is the pion mass and \(\alpha\) is the fine structure constant. For pions emitted from a point-like source \(F\) is equal to unity and the Coulomb correction becomes the well known Gamow factor [10] (dot-dashed line in Fig. 1). For a finite size source, the Coulomb correction factor can be obtained numerically by averaging \(H(k, r)\) over \(r\). The correction \(H\) calculated this way for a Gaussian source with \(\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma_z = 5\) fm and \(\sigma_t = 0\) is shown as a dashed curve in Fig. 1. In addition, since it would be incorrect to divide data which have been measured with a finite resolution \(\Delta p\) by a correction calculated assuming \(\Delta p = 0\), we folded \(H\) with the momentum resolution of the spectrometer. The resulting correction \(H_{\Delta p}\) is shown as a solid line in Fig. 1.

Since the \(\pi^+\pi^-\) correlation is dominated by the mutual Coulomb interaction, we can use it to test the quality of the Coulomb correction. This correlation function, uncorrected, corrected by the Gamow, and by \(H_{\Delta p}\) is shown in the right hand panels of Fig. 2. The properly corrected correlation should be equal to unity. The Gamow correction is obviously inappropriate. This is in agreement with several theoretical studies [11,18,19] as well as previous experimental observations [20,21]. The by \(H_{\Delta p}\) corrected \(\pi^+\pi^-\) correlation is close to unity. We therefore use the same method to correct the \(\pi^+\pi^+\) and \(\pi^\pm\pi^\mp\) correlation functions.

FIG. 1. Coulomb correction factor for two-pion correlation functions. The dot-dashed line \(G\) represents a correction assuming a point-like pion source (Gamow factor). The dashed line \(H\) was calculated for a Gaussian source with a realistic size. The same curve folded with the spectrometer momentum resolution is shown as a solid line. This is the correction used in the data analysis.

FIG. 2. One-dimensional \(\pi^+\pi^+, \pi^-\pi^-,\) and \(\pi^\pm\pi^\mp\) correlation functions. Presented are from top to bottom: raw and Gamow corrected correlations, and correlations corrected by \(H_{\Delta p}\). The solid line is the Gaussian fit.

The Gamow correction would lead to a significantly different shape of the corrected correlation (see Fig. 2 and Table I).

\[C(Q_{\text{inv}}) = 1 + \lambda \exp(-R^2 Q_{\text{inv}}^2)\]  \(\text{(2)}\)
with \( h = 1 \). The results of a maximum likelihood fit are shown as the solid line in Fig. 2. The fit parameters are given in Table I. Fitting Coulomb uncorrected correlations gives the same \( R \) but a lower \( \lambda \) value of 0.32. We estimate the uncertainty of \( \lambda \) because of the assumptions entering the Coulomb correction to be half of the difference, i.e. 18%.

In the ‘out-side-long’ analysis, which was performed in the beam rapidity frame \( y_{\text{anal}} = 3.14 \), the three-dimensional correlation functions were fitted by

\[
C(q_{\text{out}}, q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{long}}) = 1 + \lambda \exp(-R_{\text{out}}^2 q_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2 q_{\text{side}}^2 - R_{\text{long}}^2 q_{\text{long}}^2 - 2|R_{\text{ol}}|q_{\text{ol}}q_{\text{out}}q_{\text{long}}).
\]

The projections of the correlation and of the fit, obtained by averaging over the two other components of \( q \) in the range from -50 MeV/c to 50 MeV/c with a weighting factor equal to the number of background pairs, are shown in Fig. 3.
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**Figure 3**

Projections of the three-dimensional \( \pi^+\pi^+ \) and \( \pi^-\pi^- \) correlation functions. Solid lines represent projections of the fit.

The particles were labeled such that \( q_{\text{long}}>0 \). The asymmetric shape of the projection \( C(q_{\text{out}}) \), well reproduced by the fit, is caused by the acceptance and the finite width of the projection window. The parameters obtained from the fit are given in Table II. The values were corrected for the momentum resolution of the spectrometer using the results of the Monte Carlo simulation.

Similarly as in the one-dimensional fit, the \( \pi^+ \) and \( \pi^- \) sources apparently differ in size. We attribute this to the distortion of the correlation function caused by the Coulomb interaction between each of the pions and the rest of the system \([12]\).

The parameter \( R_{\text{side}} \) is most directly related to the transverse size of the pion source. In order to compare it to the projectile size we first translated the r.m.s. radius of a gold nucleus \( R_{\text{rms}} = 5.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ fm} \)\([23]\) to an equivalent Gaussian radius \( R_G = 1/\sqrt{3}R_{\text{rms}} = 3.08 \pm 0.06 \text{ fm} \). The ratio \( R_{\text{side}}/R_G \) is 1.3±0.3 for \( \pi^+ \) and 1.8±0.2 for \( \pi^- \).

This increase of the transverse size, combined with the recent finding of transverse flow velocities from analysis of particle spectra \([24,25]\), may be used to restrict the expansion dynamics.

It has been shown recently that the two-meson correlation function is related to the meson phase space density (defined as the number of particles per unit volume \( h^3 \) in six-dimensional phase space) at freeze-out \([26]\). Using the results from \([26]\) we obtained the following formula:

\[
\langle f \rangle_p = \frac{d^3n}{d^3p} \frac{\sqrt{\pi^3 \lambda}}{R_{\text{side}} \sqrt{R_{\text{out}}^2 R_{\text{long}}^2 - R_{\text{ol}}^4}},
\]

where \( \langle f \rangle_p \) is the phase space density of pions with momentum \( p \), averaged over the spatial coordinates, \( d^3n/d^3p \) is the differential pion multiplicity, and \( \lambda, R_{\text{out}}, R_{\text{side}}, R_{\text{long}}, \) and \( R_{\text{ol}} \) are the numbers obtained from the ‘out-side-long’ fit to the correlation function. This phase space density can be compared to a theoretical prediction assuming local equilibrium, i.e. to the Bose-Einstein distribution function. However, pions from long-lived resonances, which result in \( \lambda < 1 \) \([12]\), decrease the mean phase space density. This component of the source function is not thermalized and should be left out from the comparison. Since for a case without long-lived resonances \( \lambda = 1 \), and since the actual fraction of pions coming from the core is \( \sqrt{\lambda} \), the following formula describes the average phase space density in the core:

\[
\langle f \rangle_{\text{core}} = \frac{d^3n}{d^3p} \frac{\sqrt{\pi^3 \sqrt{\lambda}}}{R_{\text{side}} \sqrt{R_{\text{out}}^2 R_{\text{long}}^2 - R_{\text{ol}}^4}}.
\]

Taking the correlation parameters from Table II (with the error matrix properly taken into account) and using experimental \( d^3n/d^3p \) from Ref. \([28]\), we calculated \( \langle f \rangle_{\text{core}} \) for several points in the \((p_t, y)\) plane (Table III). We assume that the correlation parameters do not change significantly between the points and thus the entire momentum dependence comes from \( d^3n/d^3p \); a more correct approach would require a separate correlation analysis for every \((p_t, y)\) bin.

In the absence of transverse flow and neglecting spectral changes due to resonance decay, the phase space density of pions in thermal equilibrium would follow the Bose-Einstein distribution (for each pion species):

\[
f^{\text{BE}}(p) = \frac{1}{\exp(m_t \cosh(y - y_S)/T) - 1}
\]

with the pion transverse mass \( m_t \) and rapidity \( y \), and the source rapidity \( y_S \). Since transverse momentum spectra of pions and protons at these rapidities have similar
slopes [28,29], the neglect of transverse flow seems to be appropriate. Nevertheless, to estimate an upper limit for the influence of flow effects we found by Monte Carlo simulation that transverse flow with $\beta=0.3$ results in a phase space density lower by 20% than the one given by Eq.(3). Deviations of the spectral shape from thermal distribution due to $\Delta$ decays could be handled best if the analysis was done in bins of $p_t$ and $y$. This is planned for the high-statistics data sets taken in the '94 and '95 runs of E877.

Under these assumptions, we can test the thermalization of pions by comparing the experimental phase space density to that given by Eq. (4). The comparison is simplified by the fact that the experimental differential multiplicity can also be parametrized by the Bose-Einstein function:

$$\frac{d^3n}{d^3p} = \frac{A}{\exp(mt/T_{\text{eff}}) - 1}$$

with two fit parameters: effective transverse temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ and normalization $A$. We assume that $T_{\text{eff}} = T/\cosh(y - y_S)$. Consequently, the comparison between $\langle f_p^{\text{core}} \rangle$ and $f_{\text{BE}}(p)$ is reduced to the comparison between the parameters of the two-pion correlation function and the normalization of the pion spectra A:

$$\frac{\langle f_p^{\text{core}} \rangle}{f_{\text{BE}}(p)} = A \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2} \sqrt{\lambda}}{R_{\text{side}} \sqrt{R_{\text{out}}^2 R_{\text{long}}^2 - R_{\text{ol}}^4}} \quad \text{(8)}$$

Since the $p_t$-spectra have a somewhat concave shape, $A$ depends on the range of the fit: low (high) $p_t$'s yield high (low) $A$. Using $A$ obtained from a fit to the entire measured transverse momentum spectra $0 < p_t < 0.6$ GeV/c in the rapidity range 3.0–3.3 and the correlation parameters from Table II, we evaluated the experimental-to-thermal density ratio of $0.97 \pm 0.23$ and $1.02 \pm 0.18$ for $\pi^+$ and $\pi^-$, respectively. Thus the experimental pion phase space density is consistent with the presence of local equilibrium.

Summarizing, the multi-dimensional pion source parameters have been determined in the Au+Au system at the AGS. The observed source is significantly larger than a gold nucleus. The extracted pion phase space density at freeze-out indicates local equilibrium.
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TABLE II. Parameters of the fit to three-dimensional correlation functions $C(q_{out}, q_{side}, q_{long}) = 1 + \lambda \exp(-R_{out}^2 q_{out}^2 - R_{side}^2 q_{side}^2 + -R_{long}^2 q_{long}^2 -2|R_{ol}|R_{ol} q_{out} q_{long})$. The fit results are corrected for momentum resolution. That is why $\lambda$'s differ from the values in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$R_{out}$ (fm)</th>
<th>$R_{side}$ (fm)</th>
<th>$R_{long}$ (fm)</th>
<th>$R_{ol}$ (fm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\pi^+\pi^+$</td>
<td>0.62±0.06</td>
<td>5.8±0.5</td>
<td>3.9±0.8</td>
<td>5.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi^-\pi^-$</td>
<td>0.62±0.05</td>
<td>6.5±0.5</td>
<td>5.6±0.7</td>
<td>5.8±0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III. Pion phase space density at freeze-out as a function of $p_t$ and $y$. The statistical errors from $d^3n/d^3p$ are about 2%. The systematic error from $d^3n/d^3p$, combined with the error from the correlation analysis, is 24% for $\pi^+$ and 18% for $\pi^-$. (This error acts on all numbers in the Table collectively.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_t$ (GeV/c)</th>
<th>$y=3.05$</th>
<th>$y=3.15$</th>
<th>$y=3.25$</th>
<th>$y=3.05$</th>
<th>$y=3.15$</th>
<th>$y=3.25$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>