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We propose an all optical, continuous variable, quantum teleportation scheme based on optical parametric amplifiers.

Quantum teleportation is a technique via which the quantum state of a system can be transmitted to a distant station through the direct transmission of only classical information. This remarkable effect is made possible by the sharing of a non-locally entangled state by the sender and receiver, constituting an indirect quantum channel. The original proposals were for the teleportation of single particles and experiment demonstrations with photons have been made, albeit with very low efficiency. More recently continuous variable schemes have been proposed which can teleport continuous multi-particle states. A high efficiency, experiment entailed on the teleportation of a single mode, coherent optical field has been made.

Teleportation has applications in quantum computing and general quantum information manipulation. Continuous variable teleportation can be applied both through continuous variable generalizations of discrete manipulation and continuous variable manipulations of discrete properties. Teleportation of optical fields holds great promise due to the power of the required optical tools and the maturity of relevant optical communications technology.

One drawback of the current schemes is the electro-optic manipulation of the classical information. The sender photo-detects the incoming field, sends the information electronically and the receiver reconstructs the state through electro-optic modulation. This imposes severe limitations on the bandwidth of the information that can be successfully teleported. An optical manipulation of the classical information would clearly broaden the potential applications. In this letter we propose such an all optical teleportation system which employs currently available technology.

Consider the "classical teleportation" scheme shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1(a) an idealized electro-optic scheme is depicted. The input light mode, with annihilation operator $a_{in}$, is split at a 50:50 beam splitter. The in-phase quadrature $(X_j = a_j + a^\dagger_j)$ of one beam and the out-of-phase quadrature $(Y_j = i(a_j - a^\dagger_j))$ of the other beam, are measured using ideal homodyne detectors and local oscillators (LO). The photocurrents of the in-phase and out-of-phase detectors are given by $A_j = K = 2(a_{in} + a_{in}^\dagger + v_1 + v_1^\dagger)$ and $A_{c} = iK = 2(a_{in} - a_{in}^\dagger - v_1 + v_1^\dagger)$ respectively. Here $K$ is a constant of proportionality and $v_1$ is the vacuum mode entering at the unused port of the beam splitter. The photo-currents can be combined to give the classical channel

$$A_{c} = A_{c}^\dagger = iA_{c}$$

$$= K (a_{in} + v_1^\dagger)$$

(1)

This photocurrent is sent to the receiver who uses it to displace the vacuum input $v_2$ via a standard Mach-Zender arrangement (note that a simpler direct modulation technique may be used for bright beam). The output field is $a_{out} = A_{c} v_2$ where $v_2$ is an adjustable gain proportional to the local oscillator intensity. If we set $K = 1$ we obtain the output field

$$a_{out} = a_{in} + v_1^\dagger v_2$$

(2)

The input is retrieved but is polluted by two independent vacuum modes. The presence of these vacuum modes is unavoidable and reduces both the information transferred from the input to the output beam and the correlation between the input and output beam. The result given by Eq. 2 sets an ideal limit to the similarity of the input
and output modes for classical teleportation. However in practice, due to the limitations of detectors, electronic amplifiers and modulators, Eq. 2 will only be approximately satisfied for a narrow range of RF frequencies.

An all-optical analogue of the preceding scheme is shown in Fig. 1(b). Now the input light mode, \( a_1 \), is sent through a linear optical amplifier by the sender. For an ideal linear amplifier the output will be given by

\[
a_{out} = G a_{in} + a_c
\]

where \( G \) is the amplifier gain and \( a_c \) is a vacuum noise input. If the gain is sufficiently large \( G \gg 1 \) then \( a_c \) can be regarded as a classical field. This is because the conjugate quadrature variables \( X'_c = a_c + a'_c \), and \( X_c = i(a_c - a'_c) \), both have uncertainties much greater than the quantum limit, i.e. \( \langle X_c \rangle^2 \gg 1 \). This means that simultaneous measurements of the conjugate quadratures can extract all the information carried by \( a_c \), with negligible penalty. The quantum noise added due to the simultaneous measurements will be negligible compared to the amplified quadrature uncertainties. Similarly, \( a_c \) can suffer propagation loss and compensating linear amplification without degradation.

Hence, effectively, this is a classical channel. Notice that in the limit \( G \gg 1 \), Eq. 3 and Eq. 2 are identical under the substitution \( K = \frac{1}{G} \).

The receiver attempts to retrieve the quantum state of the input by simply attenuating the beam with a beam splitter of transmission \( \frac{1}{G} \). The output field is \( a_{out} = a_{in} + \frac{1}{G} a_c \). For the vacuum mode incident on the unused port of the beam splitter, if \( G = 1 \) we obtain

\[
a_{out} = a_{in} + \frac{1}{G} (v'_1 v_2)
\]

where the approximate equality is for \( G \gg 1 \). This is identical to the result of Eq. 2, but here it has been achieved using only beam splitters and a linear amplifier, both of which can have a response over a large range of frequencies.

The current proposals and demonstrations of quantum teleportation use the basic set-up of Fig. 1(a) but replace the independent vacuum modes, \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), with non-locally entangled inputs. From the formal equivalence of Fig. 1(a) and 1(b), it is clear that a similar trick should be possible with the all-optical scheme. Hence consider the all-optical quantum teleportation scheme shown in Fig. 2. The arrangement is the same as for the all-optical classical scheme except that now the two vacuum inputs have been replaced by Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) entangled beam states, \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \). Such beams have the very strong correlation property that both their difference amplitudes and quadrature variances, \( (X_{b1} - X_{b2})^2 \), and their sum phase quadrature variances, \( (X_{b1} + X_{b2})^2 \), are less than the quantum limit \( = \frac{1}{4} \). Such beams can be generated by non-degenerate parametric amplification or by the mixing of independent squeezed states. For non-degenerate parametric amplification these beams can be represented by

\[
b_1 = H v_1 + \frac{H}{G} v'_1 v_2
\]

\[
b_2 = H v_2 + \frac{H}{G} v'_1 v_2
\]

where \( H \) is the parametric gain and \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are vacuum inputs. The calculation proceeds formally as before, with the amplified field \( a_{out} \) still clearly a classical field, and the output of the beam splitter (with \( G = 1 \)) given by

\[
a_{out} = a_{in} + b'_1 b_2
\]

However now, because of the EPR correlation between \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \), this reduces to

\[
a_{out} = a_{in} + (H\frac{H}{G} (v'_1 v_2)
\]

and in the limit of very high parametric gain \( (H \gg 1) \) the output becomes identical to the input \( a_{out} = a_{in} \). This is quantum teleportation as the only direct link between the input and output is the classical field \( a_c \). Yet perfect reconstruction of the output state is, in principle, possible with a sufficiently strong EPR correlation. The uncertainty principle is not compromised because the variances of each of the quadratures of \( b_2 \) are then sufficient. Thus the information about \( a_c \) carried on the classical field is buried in this noise and cannot be extracted by looking at the classical field alone.

The question remains as to how the linear amplifier in Fig. 2 could be constructed. This is not trivial as in standard optical amplifiers the source of the vacuum mode is not available for modification. For example, in a laser
amplitude, or the physical origin of the vacuum input is collisionally or phonon-induced dipole fluctuations of the gain medium. One solution is shown schematically in Fig. 3. The input beam is mixed with the EPR beam, $b_i$, at a 50:50 beam splitter. The output beams are

$$
c = \frac{1}{2} (a_i + b_i)
$$

$$
d = \frac{1}{2} (a_i - b_i)
$$

(8)

The beams are amplified by degenerate parametric amplifiers of equal gains but with a phase shift between the pump ($E$) phases. This results in the outputs

$$
c' = \frac{1}{2} G a_i + \frac{1}{2} G b_i
$$

$$
d' = \frac{1}{2} G a_i - \frac{1}{2} G b_i
$$

(9)

Recombinating these beams on a beam splitter then produces the desired output:

$$
a_c = \frac{P}{G} a_i + \frac{P}{G} b_i
$$

The importance of these results is both practical and fundamental. As we noted in the introduction, all-optical transitions relax the bandwidth limitations inherent in opto-electronic manipulations. In fact, parametric amplification has such a broad gain profile that one could envisage teleporting multiple temporal modes of an optical pulse with this set-up. This would be quite practical using electro-optic means. The ability to perform optical manipulations on the classical channel may be important for quantum information applications. The experimental setup depicted in Figs. 2 and 3, though not trivial, is clearly within the reach of present technology. Its great complexity over an electro-optical set-up may be warranted by its improved versatility.

From a more fundamental point of view, this system illustrates explicitly that teleportation does not involve intrinsically irreversible processes. Beam splitters and parametric amplifiers are time symmetric devices unlike the detectors and modulators in the standard schemes. The irreversibility of the entire process comes from the wasting of information, firstly in the amplification process when beam $e$ is discarded (see Fig. 3) and secondly in the reconstruction process when beam $f$ is discarded (see Fig. 2). Further study of all-optical systems like this one may bring new insights into the fundamental processes at work.

We thank C. M. Savage for useful discussions. T. C. Ralph is an ARC Postdoctoral Fellow.
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Fig. 1. Schematics of classical teleportation; (a) electro-optic and (b) all-optical. The following symbols are used: LO - Local oscillator; HD+ - homodyne detection of the amplitude quadrature; HD− - homodyne detection of the phase quadrature; PM - phase modulation; -PM - phase modulation with a phase shift.

Fig. 2. Schematic of all-optical quantum teleportation.
Fig. 3. Schematic of linear amplifier with controllable noise penalty. The following symbols are used: PA parametric amplifier; E parametric amplifier pump amplitude.

\[
\sqrt{G} a_{in} + \sqrt{G-1} b_1^\dagger
\]