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ABSTRACT

We prove a general theorem about equal CP rate differences within pairs of U-spin related charmless $B$ and $B_s$ decays. Large deviations from equalities would be evidence for new physics. Six pairs of decays into two pseudoscalar mesons are identified where such relations hold. Ratios of corresponding rate differences and certain ratios of rates measure U-spin breaking. These processes provide useful information on the weak phase $\gamma = \text{Arg} V_{ub}^*$. Applications of U-spin symmetry to other decays are discussed.

Applying flavor symmetries of strong interactions to $B$ decays into two light pseudoscalar mesons ($B \to PP$) may provide useful information about phases of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. Using isospin symmetry, the three $B \to \pi\pi$ decays, $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$ and $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$, were shown to determine quite precisely the weak phase $\alpha = \text{Arg}(-V_{tb}V_{td}/V_{ub}V_{ud})$ (at least in principle, albeit a potential difficulty in measuring $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$). Within the approximation of flavor SU(3) symmetry, various aspects of $B \to K\pi$ decays were studied to learn the weak phase $\gamma = \text{Arg}(-V_{ub}V_{ud}/V_{cb}V_{cd})$. An accurate determination of $\gamma$ requires the knowledge of SU(3) breaking and rescattering effects which modify some of the amplitudes. Recently the important role of two $B_s$ decay processes, $B_s \to K^+K^-$ and $B_s \to K^-\pi^+$, was demonstrated in this framework. Here one makes explicit use only of a discrete U-spin symmetry transformation interchanging $d$ and $s$ quarks.

The purpose of this Letter is to reconsider more generally the implications of U-spin symmetry in charmless $B$ decays. First, we will look at a very general case of charmless $B^0$, $B^+$ and $B_s$ decays, comparing decays from and into any U-spin related states. We will prove as a general theorem that pairs of U-spin related processes involve CP rate differences which are equal in magnitude and are opposite in sign. This property applies not only to two-body and quasi-two-body decays, but in fact to any pair of U-spin
related processes including radiative decays. Then, by focusing on \( B \rightarrow PP \), we will find altogether twelve processes, arranged in six pairs, where in each pair the decay amplitude of one process is related to that of the other by U-spin symmetry, such that the CP rate differences in the two processes are equal in magnitude. Several processes lead to useful information on rescattering effects. A systematic study of U-spin breaking, achieved by comparing some of these processes to others, can lead to an accurate determination of \( \gamma \).

The implications of U-spin symmetry in all charmless \( B \) decays follow from the following general considerations. The low energy effective weak Hamiltonian describing \( \Delta S = 1 \) \( B \) decays is

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ V_{ub}^* V_{us} \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i Q_{us}^i + \sum_{i=3}^{10} c_i Q_{s}^i \right) + V_{cb}^* V_{cs} \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i Q_{cs}^i + \sum_{i=3}^{10} c_i Q_{s}^i \right) \right],
\]

where \( c_i \) are scale-dependent Wilson coefficients and the flavor structure of the various four-quark operators is \( Q_{us}^i, Q_{s}^i \sim \bar{b}q, Q_{cs}^i, Q_{s}^i \sim \bar{s} \sum \bar{q}q' \) (\( q' = u, d, s, c \)). Each of these operators represents an \( s \) component ("down") of a U-spin doublet, so that one can write in short

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = V_{ub}^* V_{us} U^s + V_{cb}^* V_{cs} C^s,
\]

where \( U \) and \( C \) are U-spin doublet operators. Similarly, the effective Hamiltonian responsible for \( \Delta S = 0 \) decays involves \( d \) components ("up" in U-spin) of corresponding operators multiplying CKM factors \( V_{ub}^* V_{ud} \) and \( V_{cb}^* V_{cd} \),

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = V_{ub}^* V_{ud} U^d + V_{cb}^* V_{cd} C^d.
\]

Now, assume for simplicity that one compares two decay processes, \( \Delta S = 1 \) and \( \Delta S = 0 \), in which the initial and final states are obtained from each other by a U-spin transformation, \( U : d \leftrightarrow s \). Eqs. (2) and (3) imply that if the \( \Delta S = 1 \) amplitude (for the process \( B \rightarrow f \)) is written as

\[
A(B \rightarrow f, \Delta S = 1) = V_{ub}^* V_{us} A_u + V_{cb}^* V_{cs} A_c,
\]

where \( A_u \) and \( A_c \) are complex amplitudes (involving CP-conserving phases), then the corresponding \( \Delta S = 0 \) amplitude (for \( UB \rightarrow Uf \)) is given by

\[
A(UB \rightarrow Uf, \Delta S = 0) = V_{ub}^* V_{ud} A_u + V_{cb}^* V_{cd} A_c.
\]

The amplitudes of the corresponding charge-conjugate processes are

\[
A(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{f}, \Delta S = -1) = V_{ub}^* V_{us}^* A_u + V_{cb}^* V_{cs}^* A_c,
\]

and

\[
A(\bar{U}B \rightarrow \bar{U}f, \Delta S = 0) = V_{ub}^* V_{ud}^* A_u + V_{cb}^* V_{cd}^* A_c.
\]

To appreciate the powerful implication of U-spin symmetry in \( B \) and \( B_s \) decays, we note the following. The rates of the four processes (4)–(7) depend on four quantities,
\[ |V_{ub}^*V_{us}|, |V_{cb}^*V_{cs}|, \delta \equiv \text{Arg}(A_uA_u^*) \text{ and } \gamma \equiv \text{Arg}(-V_{ub}^*V_{ud}V_{cb}V_{cd}^*). \]

Naively it may seem possible to use the corresponding four rates for a determination of these four quantities including the weak phase \( \gamma \). However, there exists a general U-spin relation between CP rate differences

\[ |A(B \to f)|^2 - |A(\bar{B} \to \bar{f})|^2 = -|A(UB \to Uf)|^2 - |A(UB \to U\bar{f})|^2 . \] (8)

This equality, which follows from the CKM unitarity relation [5], prohibits a determination of \( \gamma \) from the four rates alone. Towards the end of this Letter we will return to the question of determining \( \gamma \) by using another input measurement.

Eq. (8), our general theorem for equal CP rate asymmetries, can be used to test the validity and accuracy of U-spin symmetry in all types of \( B \) decays. These include decays into two pseudoscalars (such as the pair \( B^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) and \( B_s \to \pi^+K^- \)), decays into a pseudoscalar and a vector meson (e.g. \( B^0 \to K^*+\pi^- \) and \( B_s \to \rho^+K^- \)), decays into two vector mesons (e.g. \( B^0 \to K^{*+}\rho^- \) and \( B_s \to \rho^+K^*- \)) and decays into multibody states (e.g. \( B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^- \) and \( B^+ \to \pi^+K^+K^- \)). Our theorem applies also to radiative decays (e.g. \( B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma \) and \( B^+ \to \rho^+\gamma \)) where the transition operators belong to a U-spin doublet and involve suitable CKM factors [7]. A similar equality, between inclusive \( b \to s\gamma \) and \( b \to d\gamma \) CP rate differences was proved some time ago [10]. U-spin symmetry implies equal and opposite sign CP rate differences within every pair of U-spin related processes. Consequently, in each pair the process with the smaller rate is expected to have a larger CP asymmetry. For instance, the CP asymmetries in \( B^+ \to \bar{K}^0K^+ \) (see discussion below) and \( B^+ \to \rho^+\gamma \) are expected to be much larger than in \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^+ \) and \( B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma \), respectively.

Of course, U-spin is only an approximate symmetry. Typically, U-spin breaking is expected to be given by ratios of form factors and decay constants (see discussion below). This does not lead to gross violation of the above relations. Large deviations from these equalities, and in particular wrong relative signs of CP asymmetries [11], would be evidence for new physics. Such physics would involve additional flavor changing \( b \to q \) (\( q = d, s \)) transition operators which do not have the U-spin and CKM structure of Eqs. (2) and (3).

In order to illustrate the consequences of this very general relation in particular cases, we proceed to study in detail the overall implications of U-spin symmetry in decays to two light pseudoscalar mesons. Among all sixteen measurable \( B \) meson decays [12] of the form \( B, B_s \to \pi\pi, K\pi, \bar{K}K \) one can identify a dozen processes which can be arranged in six U-spin related pairs:

1. \( B^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) vs. \( B_s \to \pi^+K^- \),
2. \( B_s \to K^+K^- \) vs. \( B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \),
3. \( B^0 \to K^0\pi^0 \) vs. \( B_s \to \bar{K}^0\pi^0 \),
4. \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^+ \) vs. \( B^+ \to \bar{K}^0K^+ \),
5. \( B^0 \to K^0\pi^+ \) vs. \( B_s \to \pi^0K^- \),
6. \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^- \) vs. \( B^0 \to \pi^-K^+ \).

Large deviations from these equalities, and in particular wrong relative signs of CP asymmetries [11], would be evidence for new physics.

Such physics would involve additional flavor changing \( b \to q \) (\( q = d, s \)) transition operators which do not have the U-spin and CKM structure of Eqs. (2) and (3).
5. $B_s \to K^0 \bar{K}^0$ vs. $B^0 \to \bar{K}^0 K^0$.
6. $B_s \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ vs. $B^0 \to K^+ K^-$.

In case 3 the $\Delta U = 1/2$ transition operators lead to $U = 1$ final states to which only the $U = 0$ component of the $\pi^0$ contributes. (The two pseudoscalar mesons are in an S-wave implying that they are in a symmetric U-spin state).

Including $B_s \to \pi^0 \pi^0$, which is related by isospin to $B_s \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, this consists of all but the following three $B \to PP$ ($P = \pi, K$) decays: $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$, $B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$ and $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$. As our theorem Eq. (8) states, in the U-spin symmetry limit the two CP rate differences within each of the above six pairs of processes are equal in magnitude and have opposite signs. Deviations from equal asymmetries measure U-spin breaking.

For a more detailed study of these processes it is convenient to apply a diagramatic approach to SU(3), describing amplitudes in terms of SU(3) flavor flow topologies [12]. In this description, the two amplitudes of a pair of U-spin related processes have similar expressions, differing only by their CKM factors. This proves that corresponding CP rate differences have equal magnitudes and opposite signs [13]. Strangeness changing decay amplitudes are denoted by primes, while strangeness conserving processes involve no primes. For instance,

\[ A(B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-) = -P' - T' - \frac{2}{3} P_{EW}^c , \]
\[ A(B_s \to \pi^+ K^-) = -P - T - \frac{2}{3} P_{EW}^c . \] (10)

Thus, we write only expressions for $\Delta S = 1$ processes [12]:

\[ A(B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-) = -P' - T' - \frac{2}{3} P_{EW}^c , \]
\[ A(B_s \to K^+ K^-) = -P' - T' - \frac{2}{3} P_{EW}^c - PA' - E' , \]
\[ \sqrt{2} A(B^0 \to K^0 \pi^0) = P' - \frac{1}{3} P_{EW}^c - P_{EW}' - C' , \]
\[ A(B^+ \to K^0 \pi^+) = P' - \frac{1}{3} P_{EW}^c + A' , \]
\[ A(B_s \to K^0 \bar{K}^0) = P' - \frac{1}{3} P_{EW}^c + PA' , \]
\[ A(B_s \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = -PA' - E' . \] (11)

In the convention of Eqs. (1)–(6) the above amplitudes are decomposed into two sets of terms containing $V_{cb}^* V_{cs}$ and $V_{ub}^* V_{us}$. (Correspondingly, amplitudes in the second Eq. (10) involve $V_{cb}^* V_{cd}$ and $V_{ub}^* V_{ud}$). The first set consists of a QCD penguin $P'$, an electroweak penguin $P_{EW}'$, a color-suppressed electroweak penguin $P_{EW}^c$ and a penguin annihilation term $PA'$, while the second set contains a tree $T'$, color-suppressed $C'$, annihilation $A'$ and exchange $E'$ amplitude. Amplitudes in the first set carry each a weak phase $\text{Arg}(V_{cb}^* V_{cs}) = 0$, while the other four terms have a phase $\gamma$.

Let us discuss briefly the magnitudes of various terms. The amplitudes obey the following hierarchy relations

\[ |P'| \gg |T'| \sim |P_{EW}'| \gg |C'| \sim |P_{EW}^c| , \] (12)
The rates of these four processes can be used not only to determine the U-spin breaking effect in the interaction, are usually assumed to be small [12] (see also [17, 18]), unless strongly amplified by final state rescattering [19].

The dominant term in the amplitudes (11) is \( P' \langle 0 \rangle \), occurring in the first five processes. These decays are expected to have comparable branching ratios of order \( 10^{-5} \), as measured for \( B^0 \to K^+\pi^- \), \( B^0 \to K^0\pi^0 \) and \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^+ \). The decay \( B_s \to \pi^+\pi^- \) and the U-spin related process \( B^0 \to K^+K^- \) involve only the much smaller combination \( PA' + E' \), and are anticipated to have much lower rates. Neglecting rescattering, one estimates for \( B^0 \to K^+K^- \) a branching ratio of order \( 10^{-8} \) [17]. The present experimental upper limit [13] is two orders of magnitude higher. Lowering this limit by one or two orders of magnitude would settle the question of little rescattering. A way of bounding the rescattering amplitude \( A' \) in \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^+ \) (or, similarly, of bounding \( PA' \) in \( B_s \to K^0\bar{K}^0 \)) was discussed in [20].

Assuming in the following that \( PA' + E' \) can indeed be neglected, one has

\[
A(B_s \to K^+K^-) \approx A(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-) \approx A(B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-). \tag{13}
\]

Once one obtains stringent limits on \( PA' + E' \) through \( B^0 \to K^+K^- \) and \( B_s \to \pi^+\pi^- \), these two relations provide tests for U-spin symmetry acting on the spectator quarks [21]. They imply, of course, that the CP rate differences of all four processes are equal. Equal CP rate differences in \( B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \) and \( B^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) (assuming \( PA' + E' = 0 \)) were discussed in [22].

Deviations from equalities in (13) (once \( PA' + E' \) is sufficiently bounded) measure U-spin symmetry breaking. In the approximation of factorized hadronic amplitudes [17, 18], U-spin breaking is introduced through the ratio of corresponding form factors, \( f = F_{B_sK}(m_K^2)/F_{B\pi}(m_K^2) \approx F_{B_sK}(m_s^2)/F_{B\pi}(m_s^2) \),

\[
A(B_s \to K^+K^-) = f A(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-),
\]

\[
A(B_s \to K^-\pi^+) = f A(B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-). \tag{14}
\]

The rates of these four processes can be used not only to determine the U-spin breaking factor \( f \), but also to check the factorization assumption by finding equal ratios of amplitudes in the two cases.

A similar U-spin equality in the absence of large rescattering effects (i.e., neglecting \( PA' - A' \)) holds between the amplitudes of \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^+ \) and \( B_s \to K^0\bar{K}^0 \), and between the corresponding U-spin related processes, \( B^+ \to \bar{K}^0K^+ \) and \( B^0 \to \bar{K}^0K^0 \). Again, the rates of these four processes can be used to test U-spin symmetry and to measure U-spin symmetry breaking.

A method of determining the weak phase \( \gamma \), using \( B^+ \to K^0\pi^+ \) and the U-spin related processes \( B^0 \to K^+\pi^- \) and \( B_s \to \pi^+K^- \), was discussed in [23]. Here we reiterate...
the most important features of this suggestion, which can be explained simply in terms of our general U-spin considerations leading to Eqs. (4)−(7). As noted, the rates of the four processes, in our case \( B^0 \to K^+\pi^- \), \( B_s \to \pi^+K^- \) and their charge-conjugates, depend on the magnitudes of two hadronic amplitudes, \( P' + (2/3)P'_{\text{EW}} \) and \( T' \), and on their relative strong phase and weak phase \( \gamma \). (Note that \( |P + (2/3)P'_{\text{EW}}| = \tan \theta_c |P' + (2/3)P'_{\text{EW}}| \) while \( |T| = \tan^{-1} \theta_c |T'| \)). The four rates obey a U-spin equality (8) between the two rate asymmetries in \( B^0 \to K^\pm\pi^\mp \) and \( B_s \to \pi^\pm K^\mp \). Thus, to solve for \( \gamma \) one needs one more input. This input is provided by the charge-averaged rate of \( B^\pm \to K^0\pi^\pm \) in which rescattering is neglected, as can be further justified by improving bounds on \( B^0 \to K^+K^- \) and \( B_s \to \pi^+\pi^- \).

This method can be generalized to other pairs of U-spin related decays. For instance, one may use \( B^0 \to K^*\pi^- \), \( B_s \to \rho^+K^- \) and their charge-conjugates, complemented by information on the charge-averaged rate of \( B^\pm \to K^{*0}\pi^\pm \). As we have shown, U-spin breaking effects can be measured by comparing rates and asymmetries of corresponding processes. Including such effects in the analysis would result in a more precise determination of \( \gamma \).

Another suggested method [3], based on comparing time-dependent CP asymmetries in \( B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \) and in \( B_s \to K^+K^- \) (for which one must tag the flavor of the neutral mesons at time of production), can also be generalized to other U-spin related decays. This includes comparing \( B^0 \to K_S\pi^0 \) with \( B_s \to K_S\pi^0 \) and comparing \( B^0 \to K_SK_S \) with \( B_s \to K_SK_S \). One measures for both channels a \( \cos \Delta m t \) and a \( \sin \Delta m t \) term, or alternatively an oscillation amplitude and an oscillation phase. These four quantities determine four unknowns: the ratio of two hadronic amplitudes involving \( V_{ub}V_{us} \) and \( V_{cb}V_{cs} \), a strong final-state phase between the two amplitudes, and two weak phases \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \). U-spin breaking effects, represented in the factorization approximation by certain ratios of form factors and decay constants, cancel one another in the asymmetries.

To conclude, we pointed out several interesting properties of pairs of U-spin related hadronic and radiative charmless \( B^0 \), \( B^+ \) and \( B_s \) decays. We have shown that in the U-spin symmetry limit every two such processes involve CP rate differences which have equal magnitudes and opposite signs. Observing large deviations from this prediction would be evidence for new physics. We found six such pairs among decays to two light pseudoscalars, from which information can be obtained about final state rescattering effects and U-spin breaking. This information is required for an accurate determination of the weak phase \( \gamma \).
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