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Abstract

We present a new measurement of the branching ratio $R$ of the decay $K_L \rightarrow \pi^\pm e^\mp \nu$, denoted as $K_{e3}$, relative to all charged $K_L$ decays with two tracks, based on data taken with the NA48 detector at the CERN SPS. We measure $R = 0.4978 \pm 0.0035$. From this we derive the $K_{e3}$ branching fraction and the weak coupling parameter $|V_{us}|$ in the CKM matrix. We obtain $|V_{us}|f_+(0) = 0.2146 \pm 0.0016$, where $f_+(0)$ is the vector form factor in the $K_{e3}$ decay.
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1 Introduction

The unitary condition for the first row of the CKM quark mixing matrix is at present fulfilled only at the 10% C. L. [1]. This has renewed interest in the measurement of the coupling constant $V_{us}$ for strangeness-changing weak transitions. The most precise information on $V_{us}$ comes from the decay $K_L \rightarrow \pi^\pm e^\mp \nu$, which is a vector transition, and therefore is protected from SU(3) breaking effects by the Ademollo-Gatto theorem [2]. We present here a new measurement with improved experimental precision.

2 Apparatus

The experiment was performed using the NA48 detector in a beam of long-lived neutral kaons produced at the 450 GeV proton synchrotron SPS at CERN. The neutral $K_L$ beam was derived at an angle of 2.4 mrad from an extracted proton beam hitting a beryllium target. The decay region starts at the exit face of the last of three collimators 126 m downstream of the target. The experiment was originally designed and used for the precision measurement of direct CP violation in kaon decays [3]. We report here on a study of semileptonic decays, for which data were taken in a pure $K_L$ beam in September 1999. The main elements of the detector relevant for this exposure are the following:

The magnetic spectrometer consists of four drift chambers (DCH), each with 8 planes of sense wires oriented along four projections, each one rotated by 45 degrees with respect to the previous one. The spatial resolution achieved per projection is $100 \mu m$, and the time resolution for an event is 0.7 ns. The volume between the chambers is filled with helium near atmospheric pressure. The spectrometer magnet is a dipole with a field integral of 0.883 Tm and is placed after the first two chambers. The distance between the first and the last chamber is 21.8 meters. The spectrometer is designed to measure the momenta of the charged particles with high precision - the momentum resolution is given by

\[ \frac{\sigma(p)}{p} = 0.48\% \oplus 0.009 \cdot p\% \]  

(1)

where $p$ is in GeV/c.

The hodoscope is placed downstream from the last drift chamber. It consists of GZ 616.360/2-IV GZ 616.363/2-VIII, and by the Austrian Science Foundation under contract P08929-PHY.
of two planes of scintillators segmented in horizontal and vertical strips and arranged in four quadrants. The signals are used for a fast coincidence of two charged particles in the trigger. The time resolution from the hodoscope is \( \approx 200 \) ps per track.

The electromagnetic calorimeter (Lkr) is a quasi-homogeneous calorimeter based on liquid krypton, with tower readout. The 13212 readout cells have cross sections of \( \approx 2 \times 2 \) cm\(^2\). The electrodes extend from the front to the back of the detector in a small angle accordion geometry. The Lkr calorimeter measures the \( e^\pm \) and \( \gamma \) energies by summing the ionization from their electromagnetic showers. The energy resolution is:

\[
\sigma(E)/E = 3.2\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 9.0\%/E \oplus 0.42\%
\]

where \( E \) is in GeV.

Charged decays were triggered with a two-level trigger system. The trigger requirements were two charged particles in the scintillator hodoscope or in the drift chambers coming from a vertex in the decay region.

A more detailed description of the NA48 setup can be found elsewhere [3].

3 Data analysis

3.1 Analysis strategy and events selection

The basic quantity measured in this experiment is the ratio \( R \) of decay rates of \( K_{e3} \) decays relative to all decays with two charged particles in the final state, mainly \( \pi\nu\nu, \pi\mu\nu \) (called \( K_{\mu3} \)), \( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \) (called \( K_{3\pi} \)), \( \pi^+\pi^- \) (called \( K_{2\pi} \)) and \( 3\pi^0 \) with Dalitz decay of one \( \pi^0 \), denoted as \( \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0e\gamma \) or \( \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0_D \). Since the neutral decay modes to \( 3\pi^0, 2\pi^0 \) and \( \gamma\gamma \) have been measured, and the correction for events with four tracks \( B(4T) \) is small, the sum of branching ratios of all \( K_L \) decay modes with two charged tracks \( B(2T) \) is experimentally known [1]

\[
B(2T) = 1 - \frac{\Gamma(K_L \to all \text{ neutral})}{\Gamma(K_L \to all)} - B(4T) \\
= 1 - B(3\pi^0) - B(2\pi^0) - B(\gamma\gamma) + B(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0_D) - B(4T) \\
= 1.0048 - B(3\pi^0) .
\]

(3)
Since contributions from $K_S$ meson or $\Lambda$ hyperon decays and from rare $K_L$ decays are negligible, less than $2 \times 10^{-5}$, we obtain the $K_{e3}$ branching ratio using $B(2T)$:

$$B(e3) = \frac{\Gamma(K_{e3})}{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow all)} = \frac{\Gamma(K_{e3})}{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow all \ 2\text{-track})} \times B(2T).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

In this experiment, we therefore measure the ratio of $K_{e3}$ events to all 2-track events $N_{2T}$ divided by their acceptances $a_e$ or $a_{2T}$ respectively:

$$R = \frac{N_e/a_e}{N_{2T}/a_{2T}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Both numbers, $N_e$ and $N_{2T}$ are extracted from the same sample of about 80 million recorded 2-track events. These were reconstructed and subjected to offline filtering.

In the basic selection, two tracks were required with opposite charge and a distance of closest approach below 3 cm. The vertex fiducial volume was defined to be between 8 m and 33 m from the final collimator, and within 3 cm of the beam-line. Events with high hit multiplicity were rejected by requiring that no overflow condition occurred in the drift chambers. An overflow is generated if more than seven hits in a plane were recorded within 100 ns. These cuts were passed by 48.795 million events.

Events were rejected if the time difference between the tracks exceeded 6 ns. Both tracks were required to be inside the detector acceptance and within the momentum interval 10 GeV/c to 120 GeV/c. In order to allow a clear separation of pion and electron showers, we required the distance between the entry points of the two tracks at the front face of the electromagnetic calorimeter to be larger than 25 cm.

The last selection criterion was applied to a measure of the kaon momentum, to avoid the region below 50 GeV/c which is simulated inadequately. We used the sum of the moduli of the two momenta $P = P_1 + P_2$ for all decays. As a result 12.592 million events with $P > 60$ GeV/c remained. For the denominator, no identification of individual decay modes was applied, and the average acceptance $a_{2T}$ applies to the requirements listed up to this point.

For the numerator $N_e$, the $K_{e3}$ signal was selected by a single additional criterion that at least one track should be consistent with an electron. This was done by requiring that the ratio $E/p$ exceed 0.93, where $E$ is the measured energy in the calorimeter and $p$ is the measured momentum in the magnetic spectrometer. 6.759 million events were accepted. The quantity $E/p$ is shown in Fig. 1 for all tracks of these $K_{e3}$ events.
3.2 Corrections for electron identification

The number of $K_{e3}$ events was corrected for the inefficiency of the electron identification (electrons with $E/p < 0.93$) and background coming from $K_{\mu3}$ and $K_{3\pi}$ decays (pions with $E/p > 0.93$). Both inefficiency and background were measured from the data.

For the background determination a sample of events was selected having one track with $E/p > 1.0$, clearly classifying it as an electron. The background probability for pions $W(\pi \rightarrow e)$ was then determined from the $E/p$ spectrum of the other (i.e. pion) track (see Fig. 2) to be

$$W(\pi \rightarrow e) = (0.576 \pm 0.005(stat.)) \%.$$

As a cross check the probability was also derived from the $E/p$ spectrum of $K_{3\pi}$ events, giving a consistent result within errors. Background from the decay $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0_D$ was completely removed by the cut on $P$.

The electron ID inefficiency $W(e \rightarrow \pi)$ was determined in a similar way (see Fig. 3) by requiring one track with $E/p < 0.7$, classifying it as a pion. The $E/p$ distribution for the other track then consists mainly of electrons, with a small contribution from pions, especially below 0.7. We subtracted this pion component by using the previously determined pion distribution, normalized in the range $0.2 < E/p < 0.6$. From this we then obtained the probability for losing an electron by the condition $E/p > 0.93$:

$$W(e \rightarrow \pi) = (0.487 \pm 0.004(stat.)) \%.$$
3.3 Monte Carlo Simulation

To reproduce the detector response, a GEANT-based simulation of the NA48 apparatus was employed for the five decay modes $\pi e\nu$, $\pi \mu\nu$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^D$. Radiative corrections were included for the $K_{e3}$ mode. We used the PHOTOS program package [4] to simulate bremsstrahlung, and added the calculations from [5] on virtual photons and electrons. Some comparisons between data and MC for identified $K_{e3}$ events are shown in Fig. 4 (z-vertex) and Fig. 5 (x- and y-coordinates of the tracks in the first drift chamber).
We obtain the individual acceptances $a_i$ as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>decay mode</th>
<th>acceptance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_{e3}$</td>
<td>0.2599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\mu3}$</td>
<td>0.2849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{3\pi}$</td>
<td>0.0975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{2\pi}$</td>
<td>0.5229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{3\pi_0}$</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Detector acceptances for the charged decay modes.

The average 2-track acceptance $a_{2T}$ was obtained from a weighted mean of the individual acceptances $a_i$ which depends only on ratios of decay rates measured in other experiments:

$$a_{2T} = \frac{B_e a_e + B_\mu a_\mu + B_{3\pi} a_{3\pi} + B_{2\pi} a_{2\pi} + B_D a_D}{B_e + B_\mu + B_{3\pi} + B_{2\pi} + B_D}$$

$$= \frac{a_e (1 + \frac{B_\mu a_\mu}{B_e a_e} + \frac{B_{3\pi} a_{3\pi}}{B_e a_e} + \frac{B_{2\pi} a_{2\pi}}{B_e a_e} + \frac{B_D a_D}{B_e a_e})}{(1 + \frac{B_\mu}{B_e} + \frac{B_{3\pi}}{B_e} + \frac{B_{2\pi}}{B_e} + \frac{B_D}{B_e})}. \quad (6)$$

Here $B_i$ are the branching ratios for the decay channels ($i = e : K_{e3}$; $i = \mu : K_{\mu3}$; $i = 3\pi : \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$; $i = 2\pi : \pi^+\pi^-$; $i = D : \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0_D$). The acceptance for channel $i$ is $a_i$. For the branching ratios we used a weighted average of the 2004 PDG values [1] and the new KTeV measurement [11]. The uncertainty was enlarged according to PDG rules for averaging inconsistent data.
Fig. 5. Transverse positions (horizontal x and vertical y) of tracks in the first drift chamber from $K_{e3}$ events: data and MC (left) and ratio of data over Monte Carlo simulation (right).

\begin{align*}
B_{\mu}/B_e &= 0.666 \pm 0.011 \\
B_{3\pi}/B_e &= 0.309 \pm 0.004 \\
B_{2\pi}/B_e &= (4.90 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3} \\
B_D/B_e &= (1.96 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}
\end{align*}

Varying the constraints given by Eq. (7) to (10) within their errors we get a relative variation of the acceptance of 0.16 %, and $a_{2T} = 0.2412 \pm 0.0004$.

### 3.4 Systematic uncertainties

Given the large number of events, the uncertainties of this measurement are purely of systematic nature. Simulation shows that most of these systematics
will induce a dependence of the result on the lower cut on the sum of the two moduli of the two momenta \( P = P_1 + P_2 \). Since the three decay modes have different neutral energy, which is either invisible as a neutrino or not used in this analysis, events with a given value of \( P \) originate from different average kaon energies, so a possible imperfection of the kaon energy spectrum (which is fairly well known for energies above 50 GeV) will induce a dependence of the result on \( P \).

In Fig. 6 we show a comparison of the energy spectra for identified \( K_{3\pi} \) and \( K_{2\pi} \) events, where we can fully reconstruct the energy. Both comparisons show a small slope but with opposite signs, demonstrating that the kaon energy spectrum in the MC is a good compromise between different decay modes.

Fig. 7 compares the momenta of the electrons and pions in identified \( K_{e3} \) events between data and MC. Fig. 8 shows the same comparison for the sum of track momenta in the range between 60 GeV/c and 130 GeV/c, which contains 95%
of the data. With radiative corrections applied, we still observe a slight slope in $P$ of half the size of the slopes of the fully reconstructed events in Fig. 6. This is the dominant source of experimental uncertainty, and may be due to imperfections of the radiative corrections as well as limitations in the detailed event simulation.

Fig. 7. Comparison between data and MC (including radiative corrections) for the momenta of electrons and pions in identified $K_{e3}$ events. Errors are statistical only.

Fig. 8. Comparison between data and MC for the sum of track momenta. The region between 60 GeV/c and 130 GeV/c contains 95% of the data. Errors are statistical only.

To get a conservative estimate of this dependence, we varied the lower cut on the value of $P$ from 50 GeV/c to 80 GeV/c. This is a large range of variation, considering that the analysis used data above 60 GeV/c, and that a cut at 80 GeV/c removes 70% of the events. The resulting relative uncertainty of the ratio $R$ in Eq. (5) is 0.67%. In addition, a second independent analysis was
performed using different selection criteria and a different kaon momentum spectrum, which was weighted such as to reproduce exactly the kaon momentum spectrum of $K_{e3}$ events. The value of $R$ differed from the one in the first analysis by 0.2%, well below the estimated systematic uncertainty.

To estimate the uncertainty coming from the $E/p$ cut to select $K_{e3}$ events, we varied the cut value between $E/p > 0.90$ and $E/p > 0.96$. As a result, inefficiency and background due to this criteria vary significantly, leading to different net corrections of $K_{e3}$ event numbers (Table 2). Applying these corrections, however, we get almost the same number of events, thus demonstrating the correctness of this selection principle. It appears that with $E/p > 0.93$, both inefficiency and background are very small and nearly cancel. The resulting relative uncertainty on $R$ is $\Delta R/R = 0.05\%$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E/p &gt; 0.90$</th>
<th>$E/p &gt; 0.93$</th>
<th>$E/p &gt; 0.96$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inefficiency [%]</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>1.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>background [%]</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{e3}$ event number after $E/p$ cut</td>
<td>6796461</td>
<td>6759184</td>
<td>6673114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>net $K_{e3}$ correction</td>
<td>-42624</td>
<td>-5705</td>
<td>77182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corrected $K_{e3}$ event number</td>
<td>6753836</td>
<td>6753478</td>
<td>6750296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Variation of the $E/p$ cut to select $K_{e3}$ events.

The data used in this analysis originate from two different triggers ($Q2 + Q1/20 \times 2trk$), where $Q2$ requires two quadrants of the hodoscope counter to be hit, while $Q1\times2trk$ requires at least one hodoscope quadrant plus two tracks from the drift chamber trigger system. $Q1$ is prescaled by a factor of 20. By selecting one trigger, the efficiency of the other can be measured, taking into account the different downscaling. The trigger efficiencies for 2-track and $K_{e3}$ events differ slightly for the $Q2$ trigger ( $(97.38 \pm 0.02)$ % for 2-track events, $(97.49 \pm 0.03)$ % for $K_{e3}$ events). As a check, the analysis was repeated for the $Q1 \times 2trk$ trigger alone, which was measured to be equally efficient for all events. The relative uncertainty due to different trigger efficiencies is very small: $\Delta R/R = 0.05\%$.

In about 5% of the events the drift chambers record multiple hits in one layer which lead to an overflow condition. This could be more likely for electrons than for minimal ionizing pions or muons. Comparing the results with or without cutting on the overflow condition shows that the effect on $R$ is almost negligible: $\Delta R/R = 0.05\%$.

Using a data set of monochromatic single pions or electrons from a test run, it has been checked that the efficiencies to record and reconstruct pions and
electrons are equal within 0.05\%.

In order to be independent of potential asymmetries in the setup, about half of the data were recorded with positive polarity and half with negative polarity of the spectrometer magnet. We analyzed the data separately for both polarities, but found an almost negligible difference, resulting in an uncertainty of $\Delta R/R = 0.07\%$.

As a further systematic check the analysis was repeated, broadening a number of detector resolutions in Monte Carlo. Energy, momentum and vertex positions were convoluted with gaussian distributions, the chosen standard deviations being half of the experimental resolution. The number of selected events changed only by the order of $10^{-5}$, proving that the result does not depend on resolution effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>relative uncertainty [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>experimental normalization (energy spectrum)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normalization error from input ratios</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E/p$ cut</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trigger efficiency</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCH overflows</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnet polarity</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Summary of systematic uncertainties on the ratio $R$.

We summarize the systematic uncertainties on $R$ in Table 3. Using the acceptances given in Table 1, the ratios of branching fractions from Eq. (7) to (10) and the above evaluation of the systematic uncertainties, we obtain as average two track acceptance $a_{2T} = 0.2412 \pm 0.0004$, and a systematic uncertainty in the ratio $a_e/a_{2T}$ of 0.68\%.

4 Results

The electron identification inefficiency increases the number of $K_{e3}$ decays by 0.49\%, while background from misidentified $K_{\mu3}$ and $K_{3\pi}$ decays reduces the number by 0.58\%, leading to a net correction of -5705 events. This gives:

$$ R = \frac{B(K_L \rightarrow \pi e \nu)}{B(K_L \rightarrow all\ 2\text{-track})} = \frac{6753478/0.2599}{12592096/0.2412} = 0.4978 \pm 0.0035. \quad (11) $$
As mentioned previously, a second independent analysis resulted in a value of \( R \) which differs by less than 0.001 from the value in Eq. (11).

For the branching ratio of the \( 3\pi^0 \) decay, the current experimental situation is unsatisfactory. We use a weighted mean of the PDG2004 value \((21.05 \pm 0.28)\% \) [1] and the recent measurement of the KTeV collaboration, \((19.45 \pm 0.18)\% \) [11], and obtain \((19.92 \pm 0.70)\% \), where the error is enlarged because of the poor agreement of the measurements. Therefore the branching ratio for all 2-track events is \( B(2T) = (80.56 \pm 0.70)\% \) and

\[
B(e3) = \frac{\Gamma(K_L \to \pi e \nu)}{\Gamma(K_L \to \text{all})} = R \times B(2T) = 0.4010 \pm 0.0028 \pm 0.0035, \quad (12)
\]

with the first error being the complete experimental error and the second the external error from the normalization, to be combined to

\[
B(e3) = 0.4010 \pm 0.0045. \quad (13)
\]

This measurement depends on three other measurements of ratios of partial \( K_{e3} \) decay widths. This dependence is given by:

\[
\Delta B(e3) = \left( \frac{\Gamma(\mu3)}{\Gamma(e3)} - 0.666 \right) \times 0.077 - \left( \frac{\Gamma(3\pi)}{\Gamma(e3)} - 0.309 \right) \times 0.075 - \left( \frac{\Gamma(3\pi^0)}{\Gamma(e3)} - 0.515 \right) \times 0.151. \quad (14)
\]

The decay rate of \( K_L \to \pi e \nu \) is obtained by using the \( K_L \) lifetime \( \tau(K_L) = (5.15 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-8} \) s [1]:

\[
\Gamma(K_{e3}) = B(e3)/\tau(K_L) = (7.79 \pm 0.11) \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (15)
\]

5 Value of \( |V_{us}| \)

The CKM matrix element \( |V_{us}| \) can be extracted from the \( K_{e3}^0 \) decay parameters by ref. [7]

\[
|V_{us}| = \sqrt{\frac{128\pi^3 \Gamma(K_{e3}^0)}{G_F^2 M_{K^0}^2 S_{EW} I_{K^0} f_{\pi}^2}} \frac{1}{f_{K^0 \pi^-}} \quad (16)
\]
Three quantities in this equation are taken from theory. $S_{EW}$ is the short distance enhancement factor, $I_{K^0}$ is the phase space integral and $f_{+}^{K^0\pi^-}$ is the form factor.

To determine $|V_{us}|$ we follow the prescription and use the numerical results in ref. [7], where a detailed numerical study of the $K_{e3}$ decays to $O(p^6)$ in chiral perturbation theory with virtual photons and leptons is presented. The integrals given therein correspond to the specific prescription to accept only those radiative events which have pion and electron energies within the whole $K_{e3}$ Dalitz plot. From a Monte Carlo simulation we obtain this correction to be small

$$\frac{\text{Number of } K_{e3(\gamma)} \text{ events inside Dalitz plot}}{\text{Number of all } K_{e3(\gamma)} \text{ events}} = 0.99423.$$ (17)

Using equations (15) and (17), $S_{EW} = 1.0232$, $I_{K^0} = 0.10339 \pm 0.00063$ we obtain a value for the product of the CKM matrix element $|V_{us}|$ and the vector form factor $f_{+}^{K^0\pi^-}$,

$$|V_{us}|f_{+}(0) = 0.2146 \pm 0.0016.$$ (18)

For the vector form factor, different theoretical calculations have been published recently. Chiral models including the corrections to the order $p^6$ give $f_{+}(0) = 0.981 \pm 0.010$ [7], $f_{+}(0) = 0.976 \pm 0.010$ [8] and $f_{+}(0) = 0.974 \pm 0.011$ [9], to be compared with the older value $f_{+}(0) = 0.961 \pm 0.010$ [6]. Lattice calculations in the quenched fermion approximation give $f_{+}(0) = 0.961 \pm 0.009$ [10], but this value does not include electromagnetic corrections. Taking the value from [7], which takes into account chiral corrections to the order $p^6$, isospin corrections and electromagnetic corrections, we obtain the CKM element to be

$$|V_{us}| = 0.2187 \pm 0.0028.$$ (19)

The error on $|V_{us}|$ is dominated by the theoretical uncertainties, the error on $f_{+}^{K^0\pi^-}$ alone contributing $\pm 0.0023$.

6 Conclusions

We have made a direct measurement of the ratio of $K_{e3}^0$ to all $K_L^0$ decays with two charged tracks,
\[ R = \frac{B(K_L \rightarrow \pi e\nu)}{B(K_L \rightarrow \text{all 2-track})} = 0.4978 \pm 0.0035. \tag{20} \]

Using the current experimental knowledge of the $3\pi^0$ branching ratio, this leads to a branching ratio $B(e3) = 0.4010 \pm 0.0045$. This exceeds the PDG value by $(3.3 \pm 1.3)\%$, or 2.5 standard deviations. It leads to $|V_{us}|f_+(0) = 0.2146 \pm 0.0016$, in good agreement with the recent KTeV result [11], but larger than the PDG value [1]. Inferring the most recent theoretical evaluation of $f_+(0) = 0.981 \pm 0.010$ [7], the coupling constant comes out to be $|V_{us}| = 0.2187 \pm 0.0028$, where the dominant uncertainty is theoretical. This is still 2.4 sigma lower than required by the 3-generation unitarity of the CKM matrix.
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