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I. INTRODUCTION

An important question in particle physics is the nature of new physics beyond the astoundingly successful standard model. One compelling scenario for this new physics is the weak scale supersymmetry which provides an answer to a number of puzzles of the standard model such as a solution to the gauge hierarchy problem, the origin of electroweak symmetry breaking as well as providing a candidate for dark matter of the universe. An added virtue is that it uniﬁes the disparate strengths of the weak, electromagnetic and strong forces at a scale of about $10^{16}$ GeV, opening up another rich landscape of new physics around this scale. This high scale new physics can only manifest itself at low energies via large radiative corrections which depend logarithmically on mass as well as through effects such as proton decay which are suppressed by this new high scale.

There is reason to believe that there might be a manifestation of this new physics effect in the domain of neutrinos. This arises from the consideration of seesaw mechanism which provides a very natural way to understand the extremely smallness of neutrino masses and which requires the existence of massive right-handed neutrinos with at least one having mass close to the GUT scale. It is therefore suggestive that both the seesaw scale and the GUT scale are one and the same. This connection becomes especially plausible in the context of models based on the grand uniﬁying groups such as SO (10) or SU (2), SU (2), SU (4) that predict the existence of the right-handed neutrino as part of the common fermion multiplet including the fermions of the standard model.

In a recent paper, this concept of uniﬁcation of GUT scale and seesaw scale was taken one step further by making the plausible hypothesis that quark and lepton mixing angles may be same at the seesaw scale due to quark lepton uniﬁcation whereas the observed large mixings at the weak scale are a consequence of radiative corrections. Using the form factors for renormalization group evolution for neutrino mass matrices, it was shown that this possibility can be realized if the neutrino mass matrices are quasi-degenerate and have same CP and M models based on SU (2), SU (2), SU (4) group were presented where both the quasi-degeneracy as well as mixing unification at the seesaw scale arose from the so-called type II seesaw formalism. Detailed renormalization group evolution of mixing angles then revealed that one indeed gets the desired bilinear mixing pattern at low energies which are in agreement with the solar and the atmospheric neutrino data. The third angle also undergoes radiative mixing, but remains small and well within the CHOOZ-Pal-Verde limit due to the smallness of the corresponding initial value which is the quark mixing,
\[ \sin \theta_3 = 0.0025. \]

Furthermore, our hypothesis has the interesting prediction that the common value for the quasi-degenerate neutrino mass is larger than 0.2 eV, which can be tested in the next round of the neutrinoless double beta decay experiments and is in the range claimed in Ref. [10]. It also overlaps with the range accessible to the KATRIN experiment [12] and consistent with the bound obtained from WMAP [13]. Threshold corrections at the low-energy SUSY scale have been found to improve agreement with the most recent data including KamLAND and SNO [15] for a particular choice of the SUSY particle spectrum.

As mentioned, two important ingredients that allow the radiative magnification to work are low-energy supersymmetry (SUSY) and large values of the tan \( \beta \) which contribute large logarithmic factors for radiative magnification via amplitudes values of Yukawa coupling.

Recently, a new unification scenario has been advocated [16] which is based on the observation that one can maintain gauge-coupling unification and neutrino dark matter without necessarily "buying" the entire machinery of weak scale supersymmetry but rather keeping only a subset of SUSY particles, e.g., the gauginos and the Higgsino at the weak scale and pushing the rest of the superpartners to high interleaved scales \( M_s \). This has been called split supersymmetry. This approach discards the naturalness requirement for the Higgs mass assignment in the weak scale supersymmetry - thus one has to fine tune the Higgs mass to every loop order. One also has no simple mechanism to understand the electroweak symmetry breaking. Despite these disadvantages, one might consider this an interesting minimal extension of the standard model that preserves gauge-coupling unification and provides a neutrino dark matter and discusses how neutrinos into it.

Clearly, there is no inherent obstacle to implementing the seesaw mechanism and quark-lepton unification in these models. Only thing one has to keep in mind is that since the seesaw physics and quark-lepton unification generally tend to add new contributions to the gauge coupling evolution, if we want to retain the simple unification of the three standard model gauge couplings, the seesaw scale must be at or above the GUT scale. In this paper, we work within a framework of this type and see if we can understand the neutrino mass via the radiative magnification mechanism.

Below the high SUSY scale \( M_s \) in split supersymmetry, apart from the presence of gauginos and Higgsinos, the effective theory is governed by non-SUSY Standard Model with one light Higgs doublet. As the two important ingredients in the radiative unification scenario i.e., the long intervals of running in the presence of weak scale SUSY and the enhancement of parameters due to \( \tan \beta \) are missing in split supersymmetry below \( M_s \), a natural apprehension emerges about the validity of this mechanism [1, 2] for large neutrino mixings. Working within a model with quark-lepton unification based on the group SU(2)_L \( \times \) SU(2)_R \( \times \) SU(4) and degenerate masses for neutrinos obtained via the type II seesaw mechanism [8, 19] and the usual split SUSY spectrum, we show that this model does indeed lead to bilarge mixing pattern at low energies. The SU(2)_L \( \times \) SU(2)_R \( \times \) SU(4) symmetry guarantees a unique mixing pattern between the quark and the lepton sectors at the seesaw scale (i.e., \( \theta_3 (M_R) = \theta_2 (M_R) \)).

The new point which is different from the work of Ref[10] is that running of neutrino masses is now different. Furthermore, in order to maintain gauge coupling unification, we must have the seesaw scale equal to the GUT scale, as already noted. We carry out this running effect and show that despite the high split SUSY scale, the radiative magnification mechanism operates successfully to give the bilarge mixings at the weak scale provided that, in addition to the quasi-degenerate Majorana neutrino masses with sin \( \theta_2 \) at CP, we have the scalar SUSY partners with masses starting at least 2-3 orders below the seesaw scale. Whereas with low-energy SUSY, the radiative magnification occurs at 1 TeV, in split supersymmetry it occurs at the high value of the SUSY scale which may be any where between \( 10^3 \) GeV - \( 10^{15} \) GeV. Below this scale, the RGE running does not affect the neutrino mixing significantly. What is interesting is that radiative magnification occurs for the scalar SUSY partner masses close to even the scale \( 10^{15} \) GeV so that a major part of the running is nonsupersymmetric. Including all threshold effects needed to fit the details like the solar mass squared difference, the extrapolated low-energy values of \( m_3^2, m_2^2 \), and the mixing angles are in excellent agreement with the current solar, atmospheric, and reactor neutrino data.

This paper is organized as follows: In sec. 2 we discuss the relevant RGEs in the context of split supersymmetry. In sec. 3, we present allowed perturbative region of tan \( \beta \), radiative magnification of mixing angles at high SUSY scales and low energy extrapolation of masses and mixings. In sec. 4, we discuss threshold effects and their estimations. Discussion of the results and conclusions are presented in sec.5.
II. RENORMALIZATION GROUP EQUATIONS IN SPLIT SUPERSYMMETRY

In this section while discussing renormalization group equations (RGEs) for neutrino masses and mixings we point out some special features of split supersymmetry that contribute to radiative magnification of the mixings at high SUSY scales. Since we will follow the bottom-up approach to determine initial conditions at the seesaw scales for RG-evolutions of neutrino parameters in the top-down approach, we use the standard RGEs for the SUSY, split-supersymmetry, and nonSUSY cases as applicable in appropriate domains \[16,17,18\]. In the context of split supersymmetry where the scale \(M_S\) of the scalar superpartner masses could be much larger than the weak scale \(M_W\), the seesaw scale \(M_R\) is clearly always larger than the SUSY scale \(M_S\) i.e. \(M_R \gg M_S\). We assume the neutrino mass eigenstates to be quasi-degenerate and possess the same CP. We also ignore all CP violating phases in the mixing matrix, and adopt the mass ordering to be of type \(m_3 > m_2 > m_1\). Parametrizing the 3\( \times 3\) mixing matrix as

\[
\begin{align*}
U & = 4 \begin{pmatrix} c_3 c_2 & c_3 s_2 & c_1 s_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 c_3 & -s_2 c_3 \\ s_1 & s_2 c_3 & c_2 s_3 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\
& = (g_{ij} c_3 s_2 + s_3) \begin{pmatrix} c_3 c_2 & c_3 s_2 & c_1 s_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 c_3 & -s_2 c_3 \\ s_1 & s_2 c_3 & c_2 s_3 \\ \end{pmatrix} \quad (i,j = 1,2,3),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}\) and \(s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}\). The RGEs for the mass eigenvalues and mixing angles can be written as \[2,8\]

\[
\frac{\mathrm{d} m_1}{\mathrm{d} t} = 2F m_1 U^2 \quad m_1 F_U = b_1^{(m)} m_i; (i = 1,2,3); \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\mathrm{d} s_{23}}{\mathrm{d} t} = F c_{23} \left( g_2 U D_{31} + c_2 U D_{23} \right); \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\mathrm{d} s_{13}}{\mathrm{d} t} = F c_{13} c_{23} \left( g_1 U D_{31} + s_2 U D_{23} \right); \quad (4)
\]

\[
\frac{\mathrm{d} s_{12}}{\mathrm{d} t} = F c_{12} \left( g_3 s_3 U D_{13} - 3 s_2 U D_{23} \right) + U D_{12} D_{23}; \quad (5)
\]

Here \(D_{ij} = (m_{i} + m_{j}) = (m_{i}, m_{j})\) and, for MSSM with \(M_S\),

\[
F = 16 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_W \\
\frac{1}{6} g_1 + \frac{1}{6} g_2 + \frac{1}{6} g_3^2 \\
\frac{h_w^2}{\sin^2 \theta_W} \\
\end{array} \right); \quad (6)
\]

but, for \(M_S\),

\[
F = 3 h_W^2 = 32 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_W \\
\frac{1}{6} g_1 + \frac{1}{6} g_2 + \frac{1}{6} g_3^2 \\
\frac{h_w^2}{\sin^2 \theta_W} \\
\end{array} \right); \quad (7)
\]

with the definitions of the couplings at \(M_S\),

\[
g_0 (M_S) = g_2 (M_S) \sin \theta_W; \quad g_4 (M_S) = g_2 (M_S) \cos \theta_W; \quad g_0 (M_S) = (3 = 5)^{1/2} g_1 (M_S) \sin \theta_W; \quad g_4 (M_S) = (3 = 5)^{1/2} g_3 (M_S) \cos \theta_W; \quad (8)
\]

The additional term present in eq. (7) which is specific to the split SUSY below \(M_S\) and the Higgs quartic coupling are,

\[
T = \frac{3}{2} g_0^2 + g_4^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 + g_3^2; \quad (M_S) = \frac{g_0^2 (M_S) + (3 = 5)^{1/2} g_3 (M_S)}{4} \cos^2 \theta_W; \quad (9)
\]
The basic mechanism responsible for radiative agni cation of weak eigenvalues of quasi-degenerate neutrinos with same CPT in MSSM which has been pointed out earlier [3,4] is also applicable with split SUSY but with high value of $M_S$. Since $m_j$ and $m_j$ are scale dependent, the initial difference existing between them at $= M_R$ is narrowed down during the course of RG evolution as we approach the SUSY scale. This causes $D_{ij}$ large, and hence large ang transmission to the mixing angle due to radiative effects through eqs. (3)-(5). A nother major factor contributing to radiative a c ation is due to an mplied negative value of $F$ in eqs. (3)-(5) in the presence of SUSY by a factor $\tan^2$. In the large tan region.

A n approximate estimation of values needed for radiative agni cation in split SUSY is possible by noting that the agni cation has been realized in MSSM with weak-scale SUSY for tan $= 50$ and $55$ and in that case there major factor controlling the agnation is due to an mplied cation of $\nu$ Yukawa coupling [6,7]. Expecting similar mplied cation in split SUSY gives the approximate relation,

$$h^0 \tan \left[ \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{M_R}{M_S} \right) \right] = (\tan \theta)^2 \log \left( \frac{M_R}{M_S} \right);$$

(10)

where quantities with zero superscript without superscript refer to weak-scale (split) supersymmetry. Noting that the ratio of the two Yukawa couplings in eq. (10) is $0(1)$, and using tan $= 50$ and $55$, and $M_{S} = M_{R} = M_{U} = 2 \times 10^{6}$ GeV, the above relation gives the approximate requirement for split supersymmetry case as tan $= 75$, and $110$ for $M_{S} = 10^{5}$ GeV, and $10^{13}$ GeV, respectively. In fact, it has been noted that split SUSY perm its larger values of tan than the MSSM with weak-scale SUSY [20].

It would be shown in Sec.3 that the allowed perturbative upper limit of tan increases with increase of the SUSY scale. Thus even though the supersymmetry part of the running of neutrino parameters is much less than in the weak-scale supersymmetry case, larger values of tan beta increases the value of $F$ and this in turn leads to the desired enhancement of the radiative agni cation effect on the mixing angles.

In addition to the above, here we point out that there is a specific mechanism which operates in the allowed parameter space of split SUSY that causes mass eigenvalues to approach one another faster and thus, drives radiative agni cation even if the SUSY scale is any orders larger than the weak scale. Noting that the $-\beta$-function coefficients for the evolution of mass eigenvalue $m_i$ in eq. (2) is $b_i^{(m)} = 2 F U^2 i F$, near the seesaw scale $U^2 < 0$, for $i = 1, 2$ because of high-scale mixing unification constraint, but $U^2 < 1$. Therefore, the evolution of the third mass eigenvalue would be different from the first two. Further, since supersymmetry $F$ is negative for $> M_S$ and, depending upon the allowed values of the gauge and the Yukawa coupling constants contributing to $F$ in eq. (6) above the high SUSY scale, the $-\beta$-function coefficients may be positive or negative thus resulting in decreasing or increasing behavior of the mass-eigenvalue below the seesaw scale down to $M_S$. In particular with split supersymmetry, $F$ may be positive due to domination of gauge couplings over the top-Yukawa coupling resulting in negative values of $b_i^{(m)}$ for $> M_S$. But since $F$ is negative, the positive value of the first term, $2 F U^2$, may partly or largely cancel with the second term leading to a large or an all positive value of $b_i^{(m)}$. As a result, the two mass eigenvalues may be expected to increase but the third may decrease, rapidly or slowly from their input values at $M_R$. This increasing behavior of some mass eigenvalues $m_1, m_2$ in the region $M_S < M_R$ would be in sharp contrast to that in MSSM with weak-scale SUSY where the allowed high-scale values of the gauge and the Yukawa and the mixing unification constraint are found to make all the three $-\beta$-function coefficients positive resulting in the decreasing behavior of the three mass eigenvalues below the seesaw scale although with different rated [6,7]. Thus, with split SUSY the allowed values of the parameter space may cause three mass eigenvalues to approach split susy the large case closely to one another even after much shorter interval of running. This may occur at high values of $M_S$, which may even be only $2 \times 2$ orders smaller than $M_R$. Then the functions $D_{ij}$ are large near $M_S$ causing agnification of the mixing angles.

On the otherhand for $< M_S$, the Yukawa coupling has negligible contribution and the $-\beta$-function coefficients are nearly the same for all the three eigenvalues and approximately equal to $2F$. From eq. (7) it is clear that in the presence of nonSUSY SM, $F_U$ is negative below $M_S$ resulting in positive $\beta$-function coefficients for all mass eigenvalues causing them to decrease approximately in the same manner down to $M_S$. It is easy to check, using boundary conditions given in eqs. (8)-(9), that $F_U$ is negative at the starting point $M_S$ of the nonSUSY theory, with $16 F_U (M_S) = \frac{1}{2} g^2 (M_S) - 2 (M_S) - 6 (M_S)$ A though this feature is also common to MSSM with the weak-scale SUSY, in split SUSY the running interval for non-supersymmetry is much larger.

Our numerical solutions to masses and mixing angles reported in Sec.3 with split SUSY are found to corroborate these properties of the RG - evolution.
In this section we discuss the realization of radiative magnification of neutrino mixing angles at high SUSY scales and low energy extrapolations of mass eigenvalues and mixing angles for comparison with the available experimental data.

III.1. Perturbative limit on \( \tan \beta \)

The fact that grand unification with split supersymmetry allows larger values of \( \tan \beta \) than those with weak-scale SUSY has been also noted in ref.\[23\]. Since the value of \( \tan \beta \) is an important ingredient, at first we estimate the maximun allowed values of \( \tan \beta \) for every value of \( M = 10^3 \text{ GeV} - 10^{15} \text{ GeV} \). For this purpose we use the standard PDG values of mass and coupling[23] at \( M = m_Z \) and follow the bottom-up approach scanning the values of the three gauge couplings and the third generation Yukawa couplings while varying input values of \( \tan \beta \) over a wide range at all values of up to the GUT-scale using the RGEs in the appropriate regions[16,17]. The lowest allowed value of \( \tan \beta \) is determined when the top-quark Yukawa coupling reaches the perturbative limit \( (\lambda_t = 354) \). Similarly the upper limit it is determined by noting that the lepton Yukawa coupling attains its perturbative limit at the GUT-scale. These are shown in Fig.1. It is clear that the upper limit on \( \tan \beta \) is largely compared to the weak-scale SUSY model and this limit also increases quite significantly with increasing value of \( M \) in split supersymmetry. For example with \( M = 10^7 \text{ GeV}, 10^9 \text{ GeV}, 10^{13} \text{ GeV}, \) and \( 10^{15} \text{ GeV}, \) the upper limits are found to be \( \tan \beta = 85; 100; 132, \) and 160, respectively. Through this bottom-up approach we also noted the values of different coupling constants and CKM mixing at different values of the seesaw scales starting from their low-energy values. Some of the coupling constants at the seesaw scales are presented in Table 1 where the factors like sin\( \beta \) or cos\( \beta \) are included in the values of SUSY Yukawa couplings. With large values of \( \tan \beta \) allowed near the upper limit it, the Higgs mass prediction in split SUSY tend to be independent of this parameter and the two Yukawa couplings, \( g_3 \) and \( g_8 \), have negligible effects below \( M_S \).

III.2. Radiative magnification of mixing angles.

The values of gauge and Yukawa couplings, the CKM mixing angles obtained at the seesaw scale from the bottom-up approach and tuned values of light neutrino mass(m\( ^0_i; i = 1; 2; 3 \)) are used as inputs to obtain solutions from the neutrino RGEs in the top-down approach. These input parameters are given in Table 1. The unknown parameters m\( ^0_1 \) are determined in such a way that the magnified values of mixing angles are at first obtained at \( M_S \) along with the mass eigenvalues. These are further extrapolated down to \( M = m_Z \) through the corresponding RGEs to give closest agreement with the experimental data:

\[
m_1^2 = (5.8) \times 10^3 \text{ eV}^2; \quad m_2^2 = (12.3) \times 10^3 \text{ eV}^2;
\]

\[
\sin 23 = 0.07; \quad 0.0707; \quad \sin 12 = 0.5 \quad 0.5; \quad \sin 13 \quad 0.616:
\]

As noted in Sec.2 the signs and values of the three -function for the mass eigenvalues at the respective seesaw scales are easily checked from the initial values of couplings given in Table 1 using eq.(2). For example for the case with \( M = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV}, M = 10^8 \text{ GeV}, \tan \beta = 90, \) the values of coupling constants given in Table 1 yield the -function coefficients at \( M = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV}, \) to be \( b_2 = 0.0016, b_3 = 0.01135 \), which, as per the predictions of Sec.2, are responsible for the slow decrease of \( m_1 \) and rather faster increase of \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) below the seesaw scale. These features are clearly displayed in Fig.2. Similarly for \( M = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV}, M = 10^8 \text{ GeV}, \tan \beta = 90, \) these coefficients are \( b_2 = 0.0015, b_3 = 0.01165 \) at the GUT-seesaw scale. It is quite interesting to note that the mutual differences are narrowed down by the increase of the first and the second mass eigenvalues and simultaneous decrease of the third eigenvalue leading to large \( D_{ij} \) and the magnification at \( M_S \). This may be contrasted with the low-energy SUSY case where all the three mass eigenvalues are decreasing behavior although with different rates[5]. This increasing behavior of neutrino mass \( m_{12} \) in contrast to the decreasing behavior in low-energy SUSY is due to different relative values of the gauge and Yukawa couplings at higher scales in the large \( \tan \beta \)-region in split SUSY where the three mass eigenvalues in this region are discussed in Sec.2. The solutions shown in the Fig.4 and Fig.5 clearly exhibit radiative magnification to large mixing at the high values of \( M_S = 10^9 \text{ GeV}, \) and \( M_S = 10^{13} \text{ GeV} \) corresponding to the evolutions of mass eigenvalues as shown in Fig.2, and Fig.3, respectively.
For the seesaw scale at \( M_R = M_{PL} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \) the magnitude of mass splittings takes place even at such high SUSY scale as \( M_S = 10^{15} \text{ GeV} \).

It is clear that due to larger allowed values of \( \tan \beta \) and also due to opposite signs of function coefficients of mass eigenvalues at high scales, the radiative magnitudes of neutrino mass splittings is possible in split SUSY at high SUSY scales even if the SUSY scale is only 2 to 3 orders smaller than the seesaw scale. We find that although enhancement due to RG evolution occurs in the \( e \) sector also, the predicted low energy value remains at \( \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.08 \) 0.1 which is well within the CHOOZ-Palo Verde bound[1] and can be tested in the planned search experiments.

**IV. Threshold Effects**

In the previous section we considered the mass squared differences obtained at lower energies purely by RG-evolution and these, as shown in Table 1, are in agreement with gross features of the experimental data on atmospheric neutrinos but falls somewhat on the higher side of solar neutrino data. Since threshold effects have been shown to make significant contributions on quasi-degenerate neutrinos[14,21,22,23] in this section we estimate them to supplement our RG-solutions of Sec. 3. In particular we note that the loop factors at the high-SUSY scale threshold \( (M_2) \), where the heavy superpartners are located, assume a very simple form. The threshold loop factor for neutrino mass at the electroweak scale is similar to the SM [22].

In contrast to the weak-scale SUSY where the superpartners contribute dominantly near \( M_2 \), in split SUSY the dominant threshold corrections to neutrino masses occur near high-SUSY scale threshold \( M_0, M_S \). Since the mixing angles in the radiative mass generation scenario are very nearly the same at both the thresholds, it is quite convenient to use the approximation for the PMNS matrix \( U_1(M_S), U_1(M_Z) \) and evaluate them in the limit \( \theta_{13} \rightarrow 0 \) and \( \delta \rightarrow 4 \) which are very closely consistent with our solutions[14].

Using the loop factors \( T_{ij} \) in the mass basis with \( (i,j) = 1;2;3 \), the threshold effects on mass eigenvalues at the threshold \( M_0 \) are expressed as \[ \tilde{m}_{ij}(0) = m_{ij}(0) + m_{ij}(0)T_{ij}(0) + m_{ij}(0)T_{ij}(0); \quad (0 = M_0 + \mu S) \] (12)

Transforming the loop factors in terms of those in the flavor basis, \( T_{ij}(0; e_i; \mu) \), it is straightforward to evaluate the effects in the limiting case and for quasi-degenerate neutrinos with slightly different values of the common mass \( m_{ij}(0) \) at \( M_0 = M_S, M_Z \) leading to the formula[14]

\[
\begin{align*}
(m^2_{12})_{th}(0) & = 4m^2(0)\cos^2\theta_{12}[T_0(0) + (T(0) + T(0));
(m^2_{23})_{th}(0) & = 4m^2(0)\sin^2\theta_{12}[T_0(0) + (T(0) + T(0));
\end{align*}
\] (13)
(14)

When corrections at both the thresholds are included along with the contribution due to RG-evolution, the analytical formula for the mass squared differences is expressed as,

\[
(m^2_{ij}(M_Z)) = (m^2_{ij}(M_S)) + (m^2_{ij})(M_S); (i > j) = 1;2;3;
\] (15)

where the first term in the RHS of eq.(15) has been already estimated from RG-evolution and the second and the third terms are estimated through eqs.(13)-(14). As the RG-evolution effects estimated in Sec. 3 have already been given approximately the correct values of \( m^2_{32} \) for atmospheric neutrino data and \( m^2_{21} \) only 4.5 larger than the KamLAND and SNO data, small and simpler threshold effects might be sufficient to account for these deviations. In split supersymmetry such contributions can easily arise from the high-scale SUSY threshold effects at \( M_0 = M_S \).

Since \( M_0 = M_S \) in split supersymmetry, left-handed charged sleptons and sneutrinos have almost identical masses. Denoting the masses of the left-handed sleptons by \( M_{\tilde{L}} \) and the right-handed charged sleptons mass by \( M_{\tilde{R}} \), we obtain a simple formula for the loop factors at the high-SUSY scale(\( M_0 = M_S \)):

\[
16^2 T(M_S) = (3+8)(g_1^2 (M_S) + g_2^2 (M_S)) \ln (M^2_{32} = M^2_{21}) \quad l=2
+ (1+4)h^2 (M_S)(1 + \tan^2 \theta) \ln (M^2_{32} = M^2_{21}) \quad l=2.
\] (16)
neutrino values of models still remain the common mass for neutrinos above 0 GeV, in split supersymmetry.
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V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this section we discuss the implications of our results briefly and state our conclusions. Our solutions require quasi-degenerate neutrino masses in the range 0.15 eV < m_1(M_Z) < 0.5 eV leading to the effective mass in neutrinoless double beta decay given by \( j < m_{\text{ee}} > j - j m_1(U^2_{\nu j}) \approx 0.15 \text{ eV} - 0.5 \text{ eV} \). This is accessible to all the experiments being planned to search for the neutrinoless double beta decay. Furthermore, this range of neutrino masses also overlaps with the range accessible to the KATRIN tritium beta decay experiment. The prediction \( U_{e3} = 0.08 \) is also accessible to several planned long-baseline neutrino experiments as well as the planned reactor experiments. As discussed in ref. 7, the range of eigenvalues of neutrino masses is consistent with WMAP observations and also with the combined analysis of WMAP + 2dF GRS data.13.

In summary, we have shown previously that in the MSSM with weak-scale SUSY,14 the hypothesis of quark-lepton mixing unification and quasi-degenerate neutrino spectrum at the seesaw scale successfully explains the observed mixing pattern for neutrinos i.e., two large mixings needed for \( e \) and small mixing for \( U_{e3} \) at low energies. In this paper we have extended this discussion to the case of split supersymmetry where we find that, despite the absence of low-energy SUSY and the corresponding absence of RG-running with an amplified value of \( \lambda \) Yukawa coupling over a considerable mass interval (M_2-M_Z), radiative mass generation of neutrino mixings does occur. These effects seem to be adequately compensated by the larger values of \( \lambda \) allowed in this case and the positive and negative values of Yukawa coupling coefficients for the running of mass eigenvalues at high scales. The key tests of the model still remain the common mass of neutrinos above 0.15 eV and \( U_{e3} \) between 0.08–0.1, as in 7.
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TABLE I: Radiative magneto to bilarge neutrino mixings for input values of $m_i^0 (i = 1, 2, 3)$, $\sin \theta_{13} = 0.038$, $\sin \theta_{23} = 0.025$, and $\sin \theta_{12} = 0.022$ at the high scale $M_R$. The initial values of SUSY Yukawa couplings at seesaw scales include factors $\sin\theta$ or $\cos\theta$ as applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$ (GeV)</th>
<th>2 $10^{14}$</th>
<th>2 $10^{15}$</th>
<th>2 $10^{16}$</th>
<th>2 $10^{17}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_\tau$ (GeV)</td>
<td>$10^{13}$</td>
<td>$10^{13}$</td>
<td>$10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tan\beta$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_1^0$</td>
<td>0.6206</td>
<td>0.6200</td>
<td>0.6518</td>
<td>0.6540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_2^0$</td>
<td>0.6203</td>
<td>0.6198</td>
<td>0.6522</td>
<td>0.6542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_3^0$</td>
<td>0.6262</td>
<td>0.6260</td>
<td>0.6565</td>
<td>0.6593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\tau}^0$</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.9035</td>
<td>0.9450</td>
<td>0.9625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\nu}^0$</td>
<td>0.5325</td>
<td>0.9627</td>
<td>0.9052</td>
<td>0.9399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{\nu}^0$</td>
<td>1.0181</td>
<td>2.2676</td>
<td>1.7592</td>
<td>2.5684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_\gamma^0$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.4483</td>
<td>0.2965</td>
<td>0.2267</td>
<td>0.3648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_2^0$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.4500</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.2300</td>
<td>0.2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_3^0$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.4911</td>
<td>0.2965</td>
<td>0.3188</td>
<td>0.5060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_1$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.2934</td>
<td>0.1938</td>
<td>0.1766</td>
<td>0.2301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_2$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.2937</td>
<td>0.1944</td>
<td>0.1773</td>
<td>0.2310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_3$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.2956</td>
<td>0.1983</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.2364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{12}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>$1.593 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.28 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.91 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.96 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{13}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.55 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.53 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{23}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{12}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>$2.84 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.48 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.51 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.16 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{13}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{23}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(m_{13}^2)$ (eV²)</td>
<td>$1.49 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.82 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.45 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.34 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin \theta_{12}$</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.5474</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin \theta_{13}$</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin \theta_{13}$</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 2:** Renormalization group evolution of light Majorana neutrino mass eigenvalues showing both the increasing and decreasing behaviors between SU(5) scale $M_S = 10^7$ GeV and the GUT-seesaw scale $M_R = 10^{16}$ GeV in split supersymmetry where $t = \log(M)$ and $\mu$ is in unit of GeV. The input values are given in the fourth column of Table 1.
FIG. 3: Same as Fig. 2 but with $M_R = 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV and SUSY scale $M_S = 10^{13}$ GeV. The input values and low energy extrapolations are given in the third column of Table 1.

FIG. 4: Evolution of small quark-like mixings at the GUT-seesaw scale, $M_R = 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV to bilarge neutrino mixings at the SUSY scale $M_S = 10^9$ GeV, and extrapolation to low energies for the input and output mass-eigen values and mixing angles given in the fourth column of Table 1. The solid, long-dashed, and short-dashed lines represent the sines of neutrino mixing angles $\sin \theta_{23}$, $\sin \theta_{13}$, and $\sin \theta_{12}$, respectively, as defined in the text. Almost horizontal lines originating from the seesaw scale represent the sines of corresponding CKM mixing angles in the quark sector. The mass scale is in GeV.

FIG. 5: Same as Fig. 4 but with $M_R = 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV and $M_S = 10^{13}$ GeV with input and output masses and mixing angles as given in the third column of Table 1.


