Non-linear Preheating with Scalar Metric Perturbations
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We have studied preheating of field perturbations in a 3-dimensional lattice including the effect of scalar metric perturbations, in two generic models of inflation: chaotic inflation with a quartic potential, and standard hybrid inflation. We have prepared the initial state for the classical evolution of the system with vanishing vector and tensor metric perturbations, consistent with the constraint equations, the energy and momentum constraints. The non-linear evolution inevitably generates vector and tensor modes, and this affects how well the constraint equations are fulfilled during the evolution. The induced preheating of the scalar metric perturbations is not large enough to backreact onto the fields, but it could affect the evolution of vector and tensor modes. This is the case in hybrid inflation for some values of the coupling g and the height of potential $V_0$. For example, with $V_0 \approx 10^{15}$ GeV, preheating of scalar perturbations is such that their source term in the evolution equation for tensor and vector fluctuations becomes comparable to that of the field anisotropic stress.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmological observations, and in particular Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) measurements [1,2,4,5], are consistent with an early period of inflation, which among other things accounts for the inferred flatness of the Universe, and gives rise to the primordial curvature perturbation which would seed the large scale structure observed today. The value of the primordial power spectrum at large scales was measured by COBE experiment [6], and it has been confirmed by all subsequent CMB experiments. The spectrum is consistent with a Gaussian, and practically scale-invariant spectrum, although the latest data from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) [7] seem to prefer a red-tilted spectrum when no tensor and no other contributions are included, showing a positive correlation between the tensor-to-scalar ratio and the spectral index $n_s$. Nevertheless, present data sets at most imply an upper limit to the level of the tensor contribution and non-Gaussianity, which even if small, could still be observed by the next generation of CMB experiments, like ESA’s Planck surveyor satellite [8].

From the theoretical side, the quest now is for a realistic particle physics model of inflation, and a better understanding of the inflationary and post-inflationary dynamics. In order to allow for the conversion of the vacuum energy into radiation at the end of inflation, the inflaton should couple to other fields. In the standard picture, this process called reheating takes place through the perturbative decay of the inflaton into light degrees of freedom, which thermalize into radiation. Nevertheless, previous to the perturbative decay, the evolution of the system may be dominated by non-perturbative effects as those of preheating [8,9], i.e., parametric amplification of quantum field fluctuations in a background of oscillating fields. Through parametric resonance, field mode amplitudes grow exponentially within certain resonance bands in $k$ space, being this a more efficient and faster way of transferring vacuum energy into radiation than the standard reheating mechanism. This process and its consequences for the subsequent cosmological evolution have been extensively studied in the literature for different kinds of models [10,11,12,13]. The first stages of preheating can be studied within linear perturbation theory at first order [14], but soon after the resonance develops one would need to improve on the perturbative expansion in order to take into account backreaction and rescattering effects. Backreaction effects can be partially incorporated by using the Hartree-Fock approximation [14,15]. However, in order to take fully into account rescattering effects, i.e., mode-to-mode couplings, one has to resort to...
non-perturbative tools, like lattice calculations \cite{16,17,18,19,20}. Preheating, although a very fast process compared with the cosmological scales, lasting at most only a few e-folds of expansion, may lead to a very rich phenomenology having to do, among others, with production of massive relics \cite{21}, baryogenesis and leptogenesis \cite{22,23}, and black holes production \cite{24,25}.

In addition, in a cosmological framework metric perturbations might also be parametrized as primordial ones during preheating. This has been studied for example using linear perturbation theory \cite{26,27,28}, up to second order \cite{27,28}, and with the Hartree-Fock approximation \cite{29}. A relevant question is whether or not this non-adiabatic excitation of field fluctuations leads to the amplitudes of super-Hubble curvature fluctuations \cite{30,31,32}. This may happen in the presence of an entropy/wave-curvature perturbation mode not suppressed on large scales during inflation, which sources the curvature perturbation when parametrically amplitudes during preheating \cite{33}. Other approaches for the study of non-linear super-Hubble cosmological perturbations during inflation relies on a gradient expansion, by assuming that the spatial derivatives are small compared to the energy of the mode \cite{34,35}. And more recently it has been developed a covariant formalism which would allow to study the full non-linear evolution of cosmological perturbations. It was also shown that the non-linear covariant generalization stays constant on super-Hubble scales in the absence of a non-adiabatic source \cite{36}.

Besides the amplitude of the scalar perturbations, the non-linear nature of the preheating process can also induce some level of primordial non-gaussianity in the spectrum, to the level detectable by the Planck mission \cite{28,29}. In addition, it could enhance the tensor perturbations, giving rise to a stochastic background of gravitational waves within the reach of the future planned gravitational observatories \cite{33,34}.

Therefore, preheating after inflation can potentially alter the in stationary predictions. In many cases, like searching for non-gaussianity or gravitational waves, we are after very small effects resonantly enhanced during preheating. These are by default at least second order in perturbation theory, for which the mixing of different kinds of perturbations, scalar, vector and tensor, is unavoidable. For example, beyond linear perturbation, tensors are seeded not only by scalars but also by vectors \cite{40,41}, the latter in turn being generated by the scalars. Aside from preheating effects, it has been shown \cite{42} that secondary vector and tensor modes could induced a non-vanishing B-mode polarization in the CMB, and this effect becomes comparable or even dominant over that of primordial gravitational waves for a primordial tensor-to-scalar ratio $r \approx 0.1$. This is for example the predicted ratio for models with an in stationary energy scale of the order of $10^{15}$ GeV, i.e., one order of magnitude below the typical grand unification scale \cite{43}. Given the relevance, for in stationary model building, of the detection of a background of gravitational waves, it is important to take into account all possible generating and/or amplifying mechanisms of the B-mode polarization during the cosmological evolution. In particular, it could be interesting to include in the non-linear simulations of preheating the effect of scalar, vector and tensor metric perturbations all together. Although the backreaction effect on the eld $m$ might be quite small, as expected, they could interact backreacting onto each other, acting the non-tensor spectrum.

In most of the previous studies of preheating, elds are evolved in a background metric, i.e., neglecting the effect of metric perturbations themselves on the evolution of the elds. It is argued that the backreaction effect of these perturbations on the eld evolution is small, and the approximate solution should hold to a good extent when dealing with scalar perturbations. Other studies take into account metric variables in a one dimensional system \cite{44}, or reducing the system to a one dimensional system by the use of symmetries, either planar \cite{44} or radial \cite{45}. These one-dimensional studies also focus on scalar metric fluctuations, without vectors or tensors, integrating the system in a lattice with $N$ sites. While using lattice techniques allows for a full non-linear treatment of the problem, the procedure has its own limitations when dealing with an expanding universe. By discretizing the space and putting the system in a box of length $L$ one considers both a comoving ultraviolet and infrared cutoff in the system. Due to the expansion of the Universe, the physical comoving length is redshifted and the resonance bands during preheating move towards higher values of the comoving wavenumber. Therefore, in order to keep the comoving ultraviolet cutoff larger than the eective cutoff for preheating we need a large ratio $N \ll L$. On the other hand, if we were interested in super-Hubble perturbations, the infrared cutoff would have to be smaller than the Hubble rate of expansion at least at the beginning of the evolution, which implies taking $L$ as large as possible. However, simulations on computer memory and CPU resources prevent one from working with too big lattices. The advantage of eectively reducing the problem to a one-dimensional system lies on the possibility of considering large enough lattices, and therefore super-Hubble modes, with less computational time cost. We have extended these eectively one-dimensional studies to a 3-dimensional system, without using any explicit symmetry. We are going to consider preheating of in atomic fluctuations including scalar and vector perturbations in a cubic 3-dimensonal spatial lattice with $N^3$ sites, and length $L$. By considering $L$ large enough, we allow to include super-Hubble modes, but at the expense of quickly losing the resonance bands during the evolution; unless we increment $N$ accordingly, which is not viable in our case. Therefore, as a first step we focus on the possible effect of sub-Hubble metric perturbations during preheating.

In writing down the evolution equations for the scalar eld in general relativity, we need to choose a coordinate space-time $(t; x)$. The choice of coordinates determines a threading of space-time $d(x; x)$ and a slicing into hypersurfaces
(since t). In the ADM formalism \[46\], the spacetime line element is given by:

\[
ds^2 = g_{ab} \, dx^a \, dx^b = N^2 \, dt^2 - \eta_{ij} \,(dx^i + \xi^i \, dt)(dx^j + \xi^j \, dt);
\]

with N the lapse function, N^i the shift vector, \(\eta_{ij}\) and \(\eta_{ij}\) the spatial metric. A choice of N and N^i fixes the gauge. Einstein equations plus the conservation of the stress energy tensor can be split into a set of four constraint equations, the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints, and a set of evolution equations for the spatial metric and matter fields.

The initial conditions for matter and metric variables must satisfy the constraint equations, and cannot be freely specified. This is the well known initial-value problem in general relativity \[43\]. Once the constraint equations are imposed on the initial slice at t = 0, they are filled at any time \(t\) by the evolved quantities. We will work in the synchronous gauge, which means null shift vector \(N^i = 0\) and lapse function \(N = 1\). As a first step, we will only follow the evolution of scalar metric perturbations, neglecting vector and tensor modes. That is, we will choose initial conditions to ensure that the initial vectors vanishes and the tensors are negligible, and later check to which extent they are generated by the non-linear evolution, i.e., to which extent the constraint equations are fulfilled.

Of the matter side, we have studied two different and characteristic types of inflationary models with scalar fields minimally coupled to gravity: chaotic models with a quartic potential \[4\], and standard supersymmetric hybrid model. Preheating in both of them has been extensively studied in the literature, including lattice simulations. For the chaotic quartic model the resonance starts in a single, narrow resonance band \[14\] at a fixed value of the comoving wavenumber, but later on due to rescattering the resonance spreads to several bands in momentum space, until finally the spectrum is smoothed out \[13\]. In hybrid models due to the tachyonic instability at the end of inflation \[13\] the lower momentum modes are quickly amplified, and in a few oscillations of the background fields the whole process ends \[13, 16\].

In section II we give the set of equations for metric and field variables to be integrated in the lattice, and discuss the issue of the initial conditions. In section III we present the results for the chaotic model with a quartic potential; results for the hybrid model are given in section IV. In both cases, we can compare the evolution with and without scalar metric perturbations. The summary of our results is given in section V.

II. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS AND INITIAL CONDITIONS

Our choice of gauge reduces the metric given in Eq. \[1\] to the line element:

\[
ds^2 = e^{2\,\ln\, t} \, dt^2 - e^{2\,\ln\, t} \, \eta_{ij} \, dx^i \, dx^j;
\]

where we have defined the lapse function \(N = e^{\ln\, t}\) and the spatial metric \(\eta_{ij} = e^{\ln\, t} \, \delta_{ij}\), with \(\det(\eta) = 1\). Although we are going to work in the synchronous gauge and later set \(N = e = 1\), we will keep the dependence on the lapse function explicit in this section for the sake of generality. The matter content is given in general by a set of scalar fields \(\phi_i(t;x)\), with the Lagrangian and stress-energy tensor:

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \, t^r \, \partial_i \phi_j \, V(\phi);
\]

\[
T = \, \partial_i \phi_j \, \frac{1}{2} \, g_{ij} \, \partial^r \phi^l \, + \, 2V(\phi);
\]

where the subindex \(\phi^m\) counts the no. of fields and summation is understood over repeated index; \(V(\phi)\) is the potential for the fields considered, and \(\partial^r\) the covariant derivative for the metric \(g^{ij}\). With this choice, the evolution equation for each scalar is given by:

\[
\partial^0 \phi^i + 3 \, \partial^0 \phi_j \, D_i D^j \phi^i + V_i \, D_i D^i \phi^i = 0;
\]

where now \(D_i\) is the spatial covariant derivative given by the spatial metric \(\eta_{ij}\), and we have defined:

\[
\partial^0 \phi = e \, \partial^0 \phi_t \quad \partial^0 \phi = e \, \partial^0 \phi_t ;
\]

In the covariant form \[49\], these are the covariant time derivative along the unit four velocity \(u = dx = ds = (e \, \phi^0; 0; 0)\), with \(f^0 = u \cdot f\) for any scalar quantity. The volume expansion is then given by \(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial t} = 3 \, f^0\), and the

---

1 Although the discretization procedure will invariably introduce deviations from the constraints during the evolution \[46\].
acceleration vector by \( \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{u} \), \( \mathbf{u} = (0; \mathbf{D}^i) \). Given the four vector \( \mathbf{u} \), the stress-energy tensor can be decomposed as:

\[
T = ( + P)\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} + g \mathbf{P} + q \mathbf{u} + q \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{i} \tag{7}
\]

where \( P, q, \) and \( \mathbf{i} \) are respectively the energy density, pressure, momentum and an isotropic stress in the frame defined by \( \mathbf{u} \), and given by:

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{D}^i \mathbf{D}_i + V(\mathbf{1})
\tag{8}
\]

\[
P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{D}^i \mathbf{D}_i + V(\mathbf{1})
\tag{9}
\]

\[
\mathbf{q}_i = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\mathbf{i} D_i \\
\mathbf{i} D_i \\
\mathbf{i} D_i
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{10}
\]

\[
\mathbf{i}_j = \mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{D}_j + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{D}^k + \mathbf{i} 
\tag{11}
\]

Einstein equations give the evolution of the spatial metric components, plus 2 constraint equations. With our choice of gauge, the evolution equation for \( \mathbf{i} \) reduces to:

\[
3 \, \mathbf{D}^0 + 3 \mathbf{D}^0 + \mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{D}^j = \frac{1}{2} (- + 3P) \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i 
\tag{12}
\]

where \( G = 4 \pi m_\text{P}^2, \) with \( m_\text{P} = 2 \times 10^{18} \) GeV the reduced Planck mass, and \( \mathbf{A}_i \) is the traceless part of the extrinsic curvature \( K^i_j \) [48], which in our case is given by

\[
K^i_j = \frac{e}{2} i_k \Theta_{k}^{i} = \mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{A}_i 
\tag{13}
\]

where \( A^i_j = -i_i k_{j} \). The latter contains the vector, tensors and the traceless scalar mode, while \( \mathbf{i} \) gives the local expansion rate. The equation for \( A^i_j \) reads:

\[
A^0 + 3 \, A^i_0 + \mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{D}^j = \frac{1}{3} ( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i ) + \frac{1}{3} ( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i ) + \frac{1}{3} ( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i ) + \frac{1}{3} ( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i ) 
\tag{14}
\]

where \( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i \), \( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i \), \( \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{A}_i \) are the Ricci tensor and scalar with respect to the spatial metric. For example, at spatial metric with an inhomogeneous scale factor, \( i_j = e^2 i_j \), we have:

\[
\mathbf{R}^i_j + \frac{i}{3} \mathbf{A}_j = e^2 \mathbf{A}_j 
\tag{15}
\]

while the \( \mathbf{i} \)-source term is given by:

\[
\mathbf{i} = e^2 \mathbf{A}_j 
\tag{16}
\]

The Hamiltonian and the momentum constraint are given respectively by:

\[
6 \mathbf{D}^0 \mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{A}_i = 2 \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i 
\tag{17}
\]

\[
2 \mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{A}_i = 0 \mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{D}_j 
\tag{18}
\]

The full set of equations [6, 12, 14] have been integrated in a lattice in Ref. [54] for a chaotic in action model, where it was shown the viability of in action starting with inhomogeneous initial conditions. We will instead follow the evolution starting just at the end of action. However, due to the complexity of the problem, as a first step we will only keep the effect of the scalar metric variable, assuming that vector and tensors are subdominant and negligible. A forward we will cross-check the consistency of this approximation. Therefore, keeping only the dependent terms the naive set of equations to be integrated is given by:

\[
0 + 3 \mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{A}_i + V_1 \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j + \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j + \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j 
\tag{19}
\]

\[
3 \mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j + \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j + \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j \mathbf{i}_j 
\tag{20}
\]
The initial conditions for \( \phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}^{m} \) must be compatible with the constraints. For the \( \phi_{k} \) we follow the standard procedure, with the quantum \( \phi_{k} \) being replaced by an equivalent classical \( \phi_{k} \). Equivalent in the sense that the expectation values of quantum variables are equal to the average values of the classical ones. The classical to quantum transition takes place during in action, when interactions of the quantum \( \phi_{k} \) can be neglected. Therefore, the initial conditions for the subsequent classical evolution can be obtained from the linear theory of quantum-to-classical transition [31].

The \( \phi_{k} \) is expanded in Fourier modes in a spatial lattice of volume \( L^{3} \) with periodic boundary conditions. The zero mode corresponds to the homogeneous value of the \( \phi_{k} \) given by its average value in the lattice, \((t) = h(t)_{0} \). The non-zero modes are expanded in \( k \)-space as:

\[
\phi_{i}(t;x) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k} \phi_{i}(t;k)e^{ikx};
\]

\[
\theta_{i}(t;x) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k} \theta_{i}(t;k)e^{ikx};
\]

The initial vacuum state \( q(0;k) \) corresponds to a complex Gaussian distribution with a random phase for each mode \( k \), and root mean squared

\[
\langle \phi^{2} \rangle_{0} = \frac{1}{2V_{k}};
\]

where \( \langle \phi^{2} \rangle_{0} \) is the initial frequency of each \( k \)-mode. The initial time derivative for the \( \phi_{k} \) is then given by \( \theta_{k}(0;k) = i\omega_{k}(0;k) \).

Having set the initial \( \phi_{k} \)'s values, we have to deal now with the initial conditions for the vector variable, such that the constraint equations are fulfilled at \( t = 0 \). These are given by:

\[
3 \dot{g} + e^{-2 \theta_{k}} = 2 \dot{\theta_{k}} + 2 \theta_{k} e^{-2 \theta_{k}};
\]

\[
2 \dot{\theta_{i}} + \theta_{i} = 0;
\]

The main restriction comes from the momentum constraint: without including metric vector variables, the RHS of Eq. (23) must reduce to a gradient term at least at \( t = 0 \). However, this is not the case in the synchronous gauge when studying single \( \phi_{k} \) models with initial conditions for the \( \phi_{k} \) as given in Eqs. (21) and (22). In order to be consistent with our assumption of negligible vector and tensor perturbations, we can take instead an homogenous initial profile for the \( \phi_{k} \) velocity, given by its background value, \( \phi_{k}^{0} = h\delta_{k}(0;x) \). This will set our choice of initial conditions for the chaotic model studied in section III. A nother option often used in the literature could be to add an extra, non-interacting scalar \( \phi_{k}^{4} \). Its initial velocity can be adjusted such that the momentum constraint is trivially satisfied [52]. The energy density of the non-interacting extra \( \phi_{k}^{4} \) would behave as radiation due to the gradient contribution in Eq. (8), and setting \( \dot{\phi}_{k}^{0} = 0 \) at \( t = 0 \), it would remain so during the evolution. We will use instead this choice for the hybrid model in section IV, where the role of the scalar \( \phi_{k}^{4} \) is played by the waterfall \( \phi_{k} \) already present in the model.

Having adjusted the initial \( \phi_{k} \) velocities in order to fill the momentum constraint, Eqs. (24) and (25) provide the initial values of \( \phi_{k} \) and \( \theta_{k} \) at \( t = 0 \). For a one-dimensional system (or effectively one-dimensional) this procedure is consistent with neglecting vector and tensor perturbations, and the constraints are preserved by the evolution. However, in general this is not the case in 3 dimensions without additional symmetries, and vectors and tensors will be induced during the non-linear evolution. Therefore, the departure from zero of the momentum constraint during the evolution may give us an estimation of the amplitude for example of the vector perturbations generated during preheating. On the other hand, the scalar perturbations we consider will contribute to the source term for tensor perturbations, i.e., the RHS of Eq. (23), and we can compare this to the pure anisotropic scalar \( \phi_{k}^{4} \) source term, as those considered in [39], and check whether or not they may become comparable during preheating.

### III. Chaotic Model

We first study the chaotic in action model with potential \( V = -\theta_{k}^{4} \). In this model, in action takes place for values of the background \( \phi_{k} \) larger than \( m_{P} \), and ends approximately when \( \theta(t) = h(t)_{0} \)

\[
\dot{3} = 4 \implies \quad 3m_{P} \cdot \quad \text{Following}
\]

\[\text{Tensor perturbations, being transverse and traceless, do not contribute to the momentum constraint.}\]
Ref. [16], we start solving the field when oscillations begin, at a slightly smaller value of Eq. (23) by a factor of modest amplitude of the spectrum has frozen around the time of the primordial spectrum with the observed value.

The initial values for the field fluctuations are given by Eqs. (21) and (23), and we adjust the initial value of the field velocity in order to fulfill the momentum constraint at $t = 0$, such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = H(0)i = \frac{p}{3m_p}.$$

Finally, the initial profiles for the local scale factor $a$ and expansion rate $\dot{a}$ are obtained from Eqs. (24) and (25), with $a(0) = 1$.

We have run the simulations in a lattice with $N = 64$ and $L = 10$ and periodic boundary conditions for the field. In program units, the smaller comoving wavenumber is $k = 0.2$, and the initial value of the average expansion rate $H_0 = h'(0)i = 1.2$. That means that at the beginning of the simulation there are a few field modes that have crossed the horizon before the simulation ends, with $k < a_0 H_0$, with $a_0 = h(0)i$, and have not yet reentered. For those modes the amplitude of the spectrum has frozen around the time $k = a H$, and therefore the initial value would be slightly larger than Eq. (23) by a factor $a_0 = a(0)$, given by

$$k \frac{a_0}{a} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{a_0}{a} = 1;$$

with $k$ given in program units. In Fig. 1a is shown the spectrum of field fluctuations $a(t) k j$ at different times, where $a(t) = h(0)i$ is the averaged scale factor. We compare the results obtained when including scalar metric fluctuations (solid lines) with those obtained by evolving the field with a background metric (dashed lines). At low values of the comoving wavenumber $k$, it can be seen the initial enhancement of the amplitude for the superhorizon modes, which is further amplified by the parametric resonance. But the main features of the resonance in this model are unchanged by the inclusion of superhorizon modes and/or metric fluctuations, and have been well established in the literature [10, 11, 13, 52]. First, field fluctuations grow in a narrow resonance region, with the resonance peak
located at $k' = 1.27$. At later times, rescattering effects lead to the appearance of multiple peaks, until finally the spectrum becomes smooth at small momentum, with an ultraviolet cut-off increasing in time. It would be followed by a long stage of thermalization, during which the transfer of power from low to high momentum continues in the free turbulence regime $[54]$. However, this stage is difficult to study numerically because of the limitations of the lattice cut-off, set by the choice of the lattice size, which soon becomes smaller than the physical cut-off which is increasing with the scale factor.

The main difference when including metric fluctuations can be seen at small momentum during the first stages of the resonance. The initial superhorizon modes tend to be amplified at the same time than the main resonance peak, and this effect is enhanced by the metric fluctuations. This was already observed in Ref. $[44]$ in the $1+1$ dimensional system. Our simulations show that the effect prevails in $3+1$ dimensions. Nevertheless, at later times the tendency seems to be reversed, and the amplitude of the long wavelengths modes starts to be smaller than when integrating the system with a background metric. This might be an indication that due to the initial increase, the transfer of power from long to short wavelengths starts before and it reaches sooner the stage of free turbulence.

The spectrum of the scalar metric fluctuation $j_k j^k$ is shown in Fig. 2(a). The initial spectrum (top solid line) behaves like

$$j_k(0) j^k = \frac{1}{k^4} j_k j^k;$$

(28)

for $k > 1$, showing the same enhancement at low $k$ (superhorizon) than that of the $\bar{\kappa}$. With time, the spectrum is redshifted like the inverse squared of the average scale factor $a(t)$, but it retains the resonance peak structure of the $\bar{\kappa}$. The initial spectrum (dot-dash-dashed line) is given by the initial spectrum redshifted by a factor $a(t)^{-2}$, but with the initial power at low $k$ smeared out, and showing the same cut-off at large $k$. The $\bar{\kappa}$ amplitude in this model is redshifted like $a(t)^{-1}$, but the rescaled $\bar{\kappa}$ mode $a(t)^{-1}$ exponential increase due to parametric resonance. This is not the case for the metric fluctuation, where the fluctuation is not really parametrically amplified.

When looking at the averaged values of $\bar{\kappa}$, energies and variance, the inclusion of scalar metric fluctuations has a negligible effect. In Fig. 2(a) is shown the average total energy density $\bar{h}$ with respect to the average scale factor. The system behaves as radiation with $\bar{h} / a(t)^4$, and the average value is the same than that obtained without metric fluctuations. There is at the beginning a slight difference in the gradient contribution of the $\bar{\kappa}$ with the $\bar{\kappa}$, but it practically disappears once the resonance broadens due to rescattering effects at around $a(t) \sim 50$. The gradient

\[3\] For comparison we used the times at which the power spectrum is plotted, we have the standard time dependence of a radiation dominated universe, with $a(t)^{-4}$.
term of the metric fluctuation he \( h^0_{i j} \) initially follows that of the eik, redshifted as \( a^4 \), but the resonance in the eik is not strong enough to keep this tendency and soon it starts decreasing faster as \( a^6 \). Side by side Fig. (3b) we have included the variance of the eik \( h_{i j} h^{12} \) (solid and dashed lines) and metric fluctuation \( h_{i j} h^{12} \) (solid line). Although the variance is initially larger with an inhomogeneous scale factor, the difference again practically disappears when the resonance develops. The dispersion in \( C_M \) is larger at the beginning than that of the eik, but again it is less affected by rescattering effects.

Finally, we have checked to which extent metric vectors fluctuations can be neglected in the eik and scalar metric evolution, by checking the momentum constraint Eq. (25). Although initially we set the vectors and tensor fluctuations to zero, they are sourced by scalar perturbations. The failure to satisfy this constraint during the evolution can be interpreted as an indication of their presence. This can be seen in Fig. (3), where we have plotted, as a function of the scale factor, \( C_M \) defined by:

\[
C_M = h \left( \frac{a^0_{ij}}{2} - \frac{a^0_{ij} h^0_{i j}}{a(t)} \right) \quad (29)
\]

which shows to which extend Eq. (25) is verified. This will accounts for the terms neglected in Eq. (18), such that

\[
C_M = h \left( \frac{a^0_{ij}}{2} A^1_{ij} h^0_{i j} \right) \quad (30)
\]

We include for comparison also the result without scalar metric fluctuations, i.e., with an homogeneous scale factor. Although when including the constraint \( C_M \) seems to be better fulfilled by and order of magnitude with less dispersion, in either case it just follows the behavior of the eik fluctuation, with \( C_M \) decreasing initially like \( h^{00}_{i j} / a(t)^3 \) until the exponential increase due to the resonance of the eik. Indeed the behavior of \( C_M \) indicates that also the gradient of the local Hubble parameter follows that of the eik, with \( h^{00}_{i j} / a(t)^3 \) instead of being redshifted like \( a(t)^2 \). We have checked that the behavior of \( C_M \) is not a numerical artifact due to discretization by integrating the system for other choices of \( L \) and \( N \).

Therefore, vectors (and by extension tensors) could be generated with an initial amplitude similar to that of scalar fluctuations. However, due to the behavior of the gradient term for in Fig. (2a), the source term in their evolution equation (14) will be soon dominated by the contribution of the scalar eik. Given that the resonance is not strong enough to be fully transferred from the eik to the scalar metric fluctuations, we might anticipate that the same would happen for the other kinds of perturbations. Nevertheless, scalar metric fluctuations act the eik spectrum at the start, although their effects are quickly redshifted, and vectors and tensors may lead to a similar effect. It would be interesting to study to which extent metric fluctuations apart from the scalar ones help to enhance the power of the lower modes, whether this process last longer or just speed up the transfer of power through the spectrum, but this is beyond the scope of the present work.
IV. HYBRID MODEL

Preheating effects strongly depend on the model considered, and models with an stronger resonance will lead to different conclusion also among models. Because of that, we study now a different kind of model, a standard supersymmetric hybrid model of inflation, where it is known that the false vacuum is strongly driven in what is called the tachyonic regime [12,13]. The potential is given by:

\[ V = V_0 + \frac{g^2}{4} N^4 + g^2 \left( c_1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} m^2 N^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \right); \]  

(31)

where as before \( m^2 = m^2 \), and \( N \) is the waterfall which triggers the phase transition at the end of inflation. In inflation takes place in the false vacuum, with \( N \), and \( V' \). When the field overcomes the critical value, the squared field dependent mass for \( N \) becomes negative, due to the tachyonic instability. The field energy will shift the background values of the fields at the global minimum, with the evolution of fields and field fluctuations. The vacuum energy \( V_0 \) driving inflation is adjusted such that the potential energy vanished at the global minimum, i.e., \( V_0 + g^2 N^2 = 0 \). As before, in order to eliminate the coupling from the evolution equations we rescale the fields by \( \frac{c}{c} \), the potential and energy density by \( \frac{g^2}{c} \), and we will be given in units of \( c = (g_0) \), and we have

\[ \frac{0}{0} \, \text{Hubble scale in units of } m_p^2 \text{.} \]  

(32)

with \( m^2 = (3H^2) \) one of the slow-roll parameters, which also gives the tilt of the spectrum with the spectral index \( n_s \) and \( 1 + 2 \). Depending on the values of the parameters in the potential, the coupling \( g \) and the mass \( m^2 \), the scale of hybrid inflation can range from the unit length scale, with \( \frac{g^2}{c} \), to \( \frac{10^{15} \text{GeV}}{c} \), down to the supersymmetric breaking scale \( 1 \text{GeV} \). Numerical, too low value of the scale means a lower value of the Hubble rate of expansion and requires more integration time until the fields start oscillating. Therefore we will work with models near the GUT scale, and start with \( 0 = 0.01 \text{GeV} \), \( 10^5 \text{GeV} \), \( g = 0.01 \), \( 10^5 \text{GeV} \). With these values of the parameters we have \( \frac{H}{c} \), and a very small tensor-to-scalar ratio \( r \) of 10^-10^6.

We begin the evolution of the system just before the end of inflation, i.e., some fraction of the field \( N \) before the end with the value of the background field at the tachyonic just above the critical value \( h(0) = \frac{c}{c} \). We use the slow-roll condition to set the initial value of the background velocity given by \( \frac{h(0)}{c} \). The background value of the waterfall \( c_1 \) would be around zero, although fluctuations very quickly drives this to a non-zero value. Numerically, it does not make much difference if we take a non-vanishing initial value, and we have set \( c(0) = 0 \). The initial values of the inflaton fluctuations are given by Eqs. (21), (22) and (28). But now, in order to fulfill the momentum constraint at \( t = 0 \), we adjust the initial value of the \( N \) fluctuations, identifying this \( c_1 \) as the extra \( \text{e} \) considered in [24]. Therefore, we have taken:

\[ N(0) = (0) \quad h(0) + \text{HN}(0) \quad (33) \]

\[ N_(0) = h(-0) \quad -0 \quad (34) \]

and the momentum constraint at \( t = 0 \) is given by:

\[ \frac{2h(-0)}{0} = h(0) \text{H}(0) \quad (35) \]

With the choice of parameters given above, the Hubble rate of expansion is rather small and practically the expansion effects are going to be negligible during the tachyonic resonance. In particular, in program units we have \( H(0) \)

---

1 Strictly speaking we also have a blue tilted spectrum with a rather large tilt, \( n_s \), excluded by observations [3,4] when the tensor contribution is negligible. We could always take a smaller value both the coupling and satisfy all constraints. Our choice of this value of the inflationary scale is motivated by numerical considerations in order to shorten the first stage of the integration from the end of inflation to the point when fluctuations starts growing.
...being hardened. But this is not the case for the scalar metric fluctuations, for which all modes are preheated. The initial scalar metric spectrum is derived from the energy constraint Eq. (23), and therefore \( j_k f / (10^3 M_P)^2 \). The exponential growth is not enough, being only slightly larger than that of the eld, to make the scalar metric contribution in the evolution equations comparable to that of the elds. In particular in the evolution equation for the traceless metric components, Eq. (14), the source term due to the scalar metric fluctuations would be of the order...

**FIG. 4:** (a) Left hand plot: average total energy density \( \rho \), potential \( N/\delta \), kinetic \( h^2 + N^2 = 2 \), and gradient \( h^2 \) (solid lines). The average gradient contribution of the scalar metric fluctuations \( h^2 \) (solid grey/green line), scaled by a factor of 100, is also shown. The dashed lines show the contributions without metric perturbations, total energy density, potential and eld gradient energy density. Field quantities are given in units of the initial energy density \( \rho_0 \), that of the eld in units of \( \rho_0 \). (b) Right hand plot: Variance of the elds (solid lines), and scalar metric fluctuations scaled by a factor of 100 (solid grey/green bottom line). The eld variance without metric fluctuations (dashed lines) is also shown for comparison. The dot-dashed lines are the average eld oscillations scaled by a factor of 10. Field quantities are given in units of \( \rho_0 \), that of the metric variable in units of \( \rho_0 (10^3 M_P)^2 \). We have taken:

- \( \rho_0 = 0.005 M_P \), \( \epsilon = 0.01 \), \( \delta = 0.05 \).

As before, we integrate the system in a cubic lattice with \( N^3 = 64^3 \) sites and \( L = 10 \), and periodic boundary conditions for the elds. Preheating in this model proceeds through the exponential growth of the waterfall eld fluctuations due to the tachyonic instability in the potential, which makes those of the in atom to grow at the same rate \( 10^3 \), and those of any other eld coupled to it \( 10^3 \). This lasts only a few oscillations of the elds, depending on parameter values, during which the energy density ends more or less equally distributed among potential, kinetic and the gradient contribution of the elds. The tachyonic instability ends when the eld fluctuations of and render the eective squared mass for N always positive during the oscillation, i.e. when the variances of the elds become 0 (\( \delta_0 \)). Following this there would be a period of bubble collisions \( 0 \), and then a stage of turbulence with the transfer of momentum towards the ultra-violet, and finally the thermalization of the system. As with the previous chaotic model, we consider here in the first two stages without really following the system into the turbulence regime. These can be seen in Figs. 3, where in the LHS we have plotted the total energy density, potential, kinetic and gradient, and in the RHS the variance of the elds. All quantities are given in program units, i.e., energy densities are normalized to the initial vacuum energy \( V_0 \), and the variances to the eld value \( \rho_0 \). We include for comparison the results with no metric perturbations. There is no qualitative difference between both cases, except for a slight shift in time. In the RHS plot we have also included the averaged gradient term for the scalar metric perturbation \( h^2 \) (solid grey/green line), rescaled by a factor of 100. This term is always negligible with respect to the eld contributions, and therefore metric perturbations have little or no effect on the evolution of the elds. However, in this case the metric fluctuations are also exponentially amplified, following the same resonance pattern than that of the elds. This can be seen in Fig. 3. On the LHS it is shown the evolution with time of the waterfall eld spectrum \( N \times f \), and in the RHS that of \( j_k f \). Both spectra behave during the resonance as \( e^{2 k} \), with a growth index \( k < 0.3 \) for the lowest modes, slightly larger for \( k \). In addition, the size of our lattice \( L = 10 \) is small enough to keep the physical ultraviolet cut-off in m cm enu for the elds, with the largest cm enu mode kept outside the resonance band and being hardly enhanced. But this is not the case for the scalar metric fluctuations, for which all modes are preheated. The initial scalar metric spectrum is derived from the energy constraint Eq. (23), and therefore \( j_k f / (10^3 M_P)^2 \). The exponential growth is not enough, being only slightly larger than that of the eld, to make the scalar metric contribution in the evolution equations comparable to that of the elds. In particular in the evolution equation for the traceless metric components, Eq. (14), the source term due to the scalar metric fluctuations would be of the order...
O (e^2 \cdot j^2), and therefore suppressed by a factor O ((j_0 = m_0)^2) with respect to the eld source term. For the same reason, the traceless components A^\perp would be of the order e^2 \cdot j^2 \cdot O ((j_0 = m_0)^2), and their contribution to the evolution of elds (12), and scalar metric fluctuation (12), negligible.

However, given that the main suppression of the scalar metric term with respect to those of the elds is due to factors of the order of O ((j_0 = m_0)^2), we have checked whether this scenario changes when increasing the rate of expansion, and/or decreasing the value of \( \beta \) and the coupling \( g \). In particular, we want to check whether or not the source term due to metric perturbations in the evolution equation for the traceless modes Eq. (13) can be comparable to that of the elds. In Fig. (3) we have plotted the averaged value of the eld’s gradient and that of the scalar metric variable, normalized by the initial vacuum energy density, for different choices of the parameters. In all of these we have increased the rate of expansion by and order of magnitude, with \( j_0 = m_0 = 0.05 \) and then \( H_0 = 10^2 \) in program units. Keeping the other two parameters the same as in the previous plots, \( g = 0.05 \) and \( \beta = 0.01 \), we have already that the scalar metric contribution becomes comparable to that of the elds (solid lines). In this case, due to the larger Hubble expansion rate, tachyonic preheating ends during the first oscillation of the elds. Decreasing the value of \( \beta \), i.e., making the in atom potential steeper, slightly delays the beginning of the oscillations and the start of the resonance (dashed lines), but qualitatively the behavior of the gradient term remains the same. Same when decreasing the value of the coupling, with \( \beta = 0.01 \) and \( \beta = 0.01 \) (dot-dashed lines). By lowering the value of the coupling we decrease the initial value (relative to the total energy density) of the fluctuations. Until the elds start oscillating, they behave approximately like massless elds, and the gradient term \( s \) is redshifted like a(t)^2, with the effective scale factor \( a(t) \) being larger with decreasing \( \beta \), and decreasing (dot-dashed lines), the initial value for the gradient term \( s \) is further decreased, but the relative enhancement during the resonance remains the same. However in this case the gradient of the elds decreases faster after the tachyonic resonance than that of \( \beta \), such that the latter soon dominates after the resonance.

Nevertheless, when increasing the scale of inflation we loose the physical cut-off in our lattice, in the sense that the highest momentum mode is further enhanced once tachyonic reheating ends. In this case, it just take less than one oscillation for the variance of the elds to grow enough to render the effective squared mass of the waterfall eld positive. From the numerical simulations we have that for \( g = 0.01 \) and \( \beta = 0.05 \) this happens when \( a(t) = 2 \gamma \); for \( g = 0.01 \) and \( \beta = 0.01 \) when \( a(t) = 3 \gamma \); and for \( g = 0.01 \) and \( \beta = 0.01 \) when \( a(t) = 5 \gamma \). Therefore, practically all modes have been redshifted inside the resonance band by the time the elds hit the global minimum. In order to check the dependence of the results on the lattice cut-off, we have run a simulation with \( N = 128 \) and \( L = 10 \), i.e., doubling the maximum value of the comoving momentum, when \( g = 0.001 \) and \( \beta = 0.01 \), such that still at the end of the resonance there are modes left outside the resonance band. This is shown in Fig. (4) by the dotted lines. The values of the gradients behave the same as before, and they do not differ by more than a factor O (2).

In any case, during the short period of the resonance all long wavelengths modes are excited, and immediately after it ceases to start a very effective transfer of energy on from the infrared to the ultraviolet. This can be seen in Fig. (4), where as an example we have plotted the spectrum of the waterfall eld \( N \cdot j_1 \) (LHS plot) and that of \( j_1 \cdot j_1 \) (RHS plot), for \( g = 0.05 \) m, \( g = 0.01 \), \( \beta = 0.01 \). On the latter, it can be seen more clearly the point at which the tachyonic
resonance ends at around \( t' = 30 \) when the highest modes stop to be exponentially amplified, and the restart of the enhancement of the highest modes at around \( t' = 40 \). Same happens for the other model parameters considered in Fig. 5, at different time intervals. Given that the growth of the gradients practically ends with the tachyonic resonance as expected, we do not expect their evolution to be much affected by the lack of high momentum modes. As in the standard case without metric fluctuations, the resonance will be followed by the turbulence regime, which study we do not pursue here but it would be necessary in order to see the scaling followed by the metric perturbation terms there. In Fig. 5 the terms decay as fast as that of the exi, if not slower, but this tendency remains to be further checked. But we would like to stress that, even if non-linear metric perturbations are not preheated enough to affect the evolution of the exi, they may become comparable to the exi source term in their own evolution equation, depending mainly on the scale of inflation. Our rst study here indicates that this is the case when \( \sigma = m_p > 0.05 \), which sets the effective rate of expansion during the numerical evolution, and it does not depend much on the value of the coupling \( g \), neither on that of the scale of inflation. For example a hybrid model with \( \sigma = m_p = 0.05 \) and \( \beta = 0.01 \) we will get the right amplitude of the primordial spectrum with \( g' = 10^{-4} \), which means a scale of inflation \( V_0^{-1/4} = 10^{15} \text{ GeV} \). The same scale is obtained with \( g = 0.01 \) and \( \eta = 0.005 \), but in the former model we may expect preheating of the metric perturbations to be non-negligible, whereas in the latter we have checked that this is not the case.

V. SUMMARY

We have studied the rst stages of preheating following inflation in a 3-dimensional spatial lattice, including both exi and metric perturbations. This extends previous one-dimensional studies of the problem as those of Refs. [43, 44, 45]. We have worked in the synchronous gauge, starting the evolution of the system immediately after inflation. The set of evolution equations for exi and metric modes are given by Eqs. 1, 12 and 14. During inflation, vector modes decay, and tensor modes are subdominant with respect to the scalar ones. Thus we have taken them, vectors and tensors, initially to vanish. This means that we have to take initial profiles for the exi and exi velocity consistent with the momentum constraint Eq. 16. The non-linear evolution of the system couples all kinds of modes to each other, and any of them will be inevitably produced. Nevertheless, as a rst step we have only followed the evolution of the scalar metric perturbations and their efect on the exi fluctuations. We have studied two different kinds of modes of inflation, a chaotic model of inflation and a standard hybrid one, which are representative of different patterns for the parametric resonance. In the former, the resonance starts in the narrow region of space with exi mode fluctuations produced in a narrow range of comoving wavenumber. Later on, rescattering efects...
re-distribute the resonance among several bands, for higher and lower comoving momentum values \cite{44,45}. In the hybrid model the resonance is driven by the tachyonic instability in the waterfall edl, and due to that it results in a more explosive and faster production of particles \cite{12,18,19}. In both cases, scalar metric perturbations hardly affect the evolution of edl fluctuations, and the evolution of the edl resonance is practically unchanged. For the chaotic model of Section II, however, there is an initial enhancement of the edl power at lower wavenumbers, that was also observed in Ref. \cite{44}. In addition, we have also included the effect of superhorizon modes in our simulations with larger initial amplitudes. Looking at the end of the integration range in time, there might be also some indication that this initial transfer of power towards lower modes helps in entering earlier the stage of free turbulence, where the effect is reverse. But given the size of our numerical simulations we cannot follow the system fully into that regime, and further studies would be required in order to confirm this tendency.

Although the edl resonance does not feel the presence of metric fluctuations, even in the non-linear regime, the scalar metric perturbations follow the same resonance pattern than the edl, as seen in Fig. (b) for the chaotic model, and Fig. (5b) for the hybrid model. In the case of the chaotic model the amplitude of metric perturbations is not really amplified above the initial value, and the power spectrum of the metric fluctuations simply reproduces the peak structure seen for the edl. This means that for the chaotic model, metric fluctuations are not really enhanced by the parametric resonance, they do not become comparable to the edl source term in the evolution equations, and we can safely neglect them. On the contrary, in the hybrid model the scalar metric perturbations clearly feel the tachyonic resonance of the waterfall edl, in a similar way to any other edl coupled to it like the in atom edl. The scalar metric initial amplitude is amplified by approximately the same factor \( e^t \) than the amplitude of the edl fluctuation, with \( k \) being the growth index. Although metric fluctuations never become large enough to affect the edl evolution, they may give rise to a source term comparable to that of the edl in the evolution equation for the traceless modes, Eq. (13), depending on the model parameters \( g \) and \( \varphi = m_p \), with \( V_0^{1/4} = P \eta g \). For example, this is the case for \( g = 10^4 \) and \( \varphi = m_p = 0.5 \), i.e., for a scale of in atom \( V_0^{1/4} \sim 10^{15} \) GeV. Whether this term helps to enhance or not for example the production of gravitational waves during preheating \cite{35,36}, requires the study of the full set of evolution equations for the metric perturbations, which is beyond the scope of this paper and is left for further study.
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