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Abstract

The nucleon electromagnetic form factors are calculated in light cone QCD sum rules framework using the most general form of the nucleon interpolating current. Using two forms of the distribution amplitudes (DA’s), predictions for the form factors are presented and compared with existing experimental data. It is shown that our results describe remarkably well the existing experimental data.
1 Introduction

The nucleon electromagnetic (EM) form factors are the fundamental objects for understanding their internal structure. The internal structures of the nucleon are usually described in terms of the electromagnetic Dirac and Pauli form factors $F_1(q^2)$ and $F_2(q^2)$ or equivalently the electric and magnetic dipole Sachs form factors $G_E(q^2)$ and $G_M(q^2)$, respectively (for a recent status of experiments and phenomenology of the form factors see [1]).

Until a few years ago, the nucleon electromagnetic form factors are studied in unpolarized elastic electron-nucleon scattering through a virtual photon exchange. It is shown in the pioneering work [2] that the polarization effects, i.e., scattering of polarized electrons from polarized target, can play essential role for a more accurate determination of the nucleon electromagnetic form factors. The main result of [2] is that, unlike the unpolarized elastic cross section, which is proportional to the sum of squares of the form factors, the polarized cross section contains also interference terms of the form factors $G_E(q^2)$ and $G_M(q^2)$. Studying various polarization observables allows a more accurate determination of these form factors.

Recent developments in experimental instruments allow to produce polarized electron beams and polarized protons, which gives the opportunity for a more precise separation of the $G_E(q^2)$ and $G_M(q^2)$ form factors. The electron-proton scattering experiments, which are performed at Jefferson Laboratory using the polarized electrons and polarized proton, show strong deviation from the theoretical predictions [3,4,5,6], i.e., the ratio $F_2(q^2)/F_1(q^2)$ does not behave as is expected from previous experiments and as is predicted by the perturbative QCD (for review see [7] and references therein). For understanding this unexpected result, some model-independent non perturbative method is needed. Among all existing nonperturbative approaches QCD sum rule is more attractive and powerful, because it is based on the fundamental QCD Lagrangian.

The goal of our work is the calculation of the electromagnetic form factors of nucleon using the light cone QCD sum rule (LCQ SR) and most general form of the interpolating current for nucleon. In this approach the form factors of the nucleons are expressed in terms of distribution amplitudes of the nucleon. Note that, this problem is investigated for the low energy current in the framework of the LCQ SR in [8] and the traditional sum rules in [9]. In [10], an improved version of the ChernyakZhitnitsky current is used. The paper is organized in following way, in section 2, we present the result for
the nucleon electromagnetic form factors in the LCQ SR method. Section 3 is devoted to the numerical analysis, discussion and conclusion.

2 Electromagnetic form factors of nucleon in LCQ SR

In this section EM form factors of nucleon are calculated within the light cone QCD sum rules method. The electromagnetic form factors of nucleon are defined by the matrix element of the electromagnetic current $J^\text{el}$ between the initial and final nucleon states $N(p^0) J^\text{el} jN(p)i$. The most general form of this matrix element satisfying the Lorentz invariance and electromagnetic current conservation is

$$N(p^0) J^\text{el}(0) jN(p)i = N(p^0) F_1(Q^2) \frac{i}{2m_N} q F_2(Q^2) N(p);$$

where $Q^2 = -q^2$, is the negative of the square of the virtual photon momentum, $q = p - p^0$ and $F_1$ and $F_2$ are the Dirac and Pauli form factors, respectively.

Another set of nucleon form factors is the so-called Sachs form factors, which are defined in terms of the $F_1(Q^2)$ and $F_2(Q^2)$ as follows:

$$G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2);$$
$$G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) \frac{Q^2}{4m_N^2} F_2(Q^2);$$

At the static limit, values at $Q^2 = 0$ are $G_M^p(0) = 1, G_E^p(0) = 0, G_M^n(0) = 2.792847337(29)$ and $G_E^n(0) = 1.91304272(45)$, where $p$ and $n$ are the anomalous magnetic moments of the proton and neutron in units of the Bohr magneton.

After these preliminary remarks, we proceed to calculate the electromagnetic form factors of nucleon in LCQ SR. The basic object of the LCQ SR is a suitably chosen correlation function. In this study, it is chosen as:

$$Z(p; q) = \int d^4x e^{ipx} J^\text{el}(0) J^\text{el}(x) g jN(p)i;$$

(3)
which describes the transition of the nucleon $N(p)$ to the nucleon $N(p'q)$
via the EM current. The interpolating current for the nucleon is chosen as

$$ J^N(x) = 2^{abc} \chi^2 \sum_{\tau=1}^X (u^\tau a(x) C A_1^\tau d^b(x)) A_2^\tau u^c(x); \quad (4) $$

where $A_1^1 = I, A_1^2 = A_2^1 = 5, A_2^2 = C$, and $C$ is the charge conjugation
operator, and $a, b, c$ are the color indices. The electromagnetic current is:

$$ J^{el}(x) = e_u u + e_d d; \quad (5) $$

and the choice $= 1$ corresponds to the Ie current. The main idea of the
LCQSR method is to calculate the correlation function in terms of the form
factors at hadron level, as well as in terms of the quark and gluon degrees
of freedom. Equating two representations of the correlation function and
performing a Borel transformation in order to suppress the contributions of
the higher states and continuum, we get sum rules for the EM form factors
of the nucleon.

Let us first calculate the physical part of the correlator $[3]$. The contribution of the nucleon to the correlation function $[3]$ is given by

$$ (p; q) = \sum_s h_0 j J^N(0) jN(p^0; s) hN(p^0; s) j J^{el}(0) jN(p)i; \quad (6) $$

The matrix element $h_0 j J^N(0) jN(p^0; s)i$ in $[3]$ is determined in the following way:

$$ h_0 j J^N(0) jN(p^0; s)i = N N(p^0; s); \quad (7) $$

where $N$ is the coupling constant of the nucleon to the current $J^N(0)$. The
matrix element $hN(p^0; s) j J^{el}(0) jN(p)i$ is parametrized in terms of the form
factors $F_1$ and $F_2$ via Eq. $[1]$. Summing over spins of the nucleons

$$ X \sum_s N(p^0; s) N(p^0; s) = \phi^0 + m_N; \quad (8) $$

and using Eqs. $[1], [3]$ and $[7]$, we obtain the following expression for the
contribution of nucleon to the correlation function

$$ (p; q) = \sum_{m_N}^N \frac{N}{m_N^2} \phi^0 + m_N) F_1(Q^2) \frac{1}{2m_N} q F_2(Q^2) N(p) + $$

(9)
where stand for the contributions to the correlation functions from the higher states and continuum. It follows from expression (9) that, the correlation function contains numerous structures and in principle all of them can be used in determination of the electromagnetic form factors of nucleons. In further analysis, we choose the independent structures containing $p$, and $p_6$ for obtaining $F_1$ and $F_2$, respectively.

The theoretical part of the correlator can be calculated in LCQSR in deep Euclidean region $p^2 = (p - q)^2 << 0$ in terms of the nucleon DA's. These nucleon DA's for all three quarks have been studied in great detail in [8,11,10]. Using the explicit expression for the currents and carrying out all contractions, the correlation function takes the form

$$ ( \ ) = \frac{i}{2} Z d^4e^{ i p x} x^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
e_\alpha (C A'_1)^\alpha A_{2\alpha}^\dagger S_{u} ( x ) i 4 \Delta_{fi} j^a (0) u^b (x) d^c (0) \mathcal{N} (p)i \\
e_\alpha (C A'_2)^\alpha (C A'_1)^\dagger S_{u} ( x ) i 4 \Delta_{fi} j^a (0) u^b (x) d^c (0) \mathcal{N} (p)i \\
e_\alpha (A'_1)^\alpha (C A'_1)^\dagger S_{d} ( x ) i 4 \Delta_{fi} j^a (0) u^b (0) d^c (x) \mathcal{N} (p)i \end{array} \right. ;$$

(10)

in x representation, where is a Lorentz index, and are spinor indices. $S (x)$ is the light cone expanded light quark full propagator [12] having the form:

$$ S (x) = \frac{i }{2} < q q > (1 + \frac{m^2_{0} x^2}{16}) \int_0^\infty dv \left[ \frac{i }{4} \frac{m^2_{0} x^2}{16} G \right]^{1/2} v x G \frac{i }{4} \frac{m^2_{0} x^2}{16} G \right]^{1/2}$$

(11)

where $m^2_{0} = (0.8 \ 0.2) \text{GeV}^2$ and G is the gluon field strength tensor. The term proportional to the gluon strength tensor can give contribution to four- and five-particle distribution functions but they are expected to be very small [8,11,10] and for this reason we will neglect these amplitudes in further analysis. The term proportional to $< q q >$ can also be omitted because Borel transformation eliminates these terms and hence only the first term in Eq. (11) is relevant for our discussion. It follows from Eq. (10) that
for the calculation of \((p; q)\) we need to know the matrix element

\[
h_0 j^4 \Delta u^a (a_1 x) u^b (a_2 x) d^c (a_3 x) \gamma N (p)i, \quad (12)
\]

It is shown in [11] that the general Lorentz decomposition of this matrix element is symmetric with respect to interchange of the momentum fractions of the u-quarks:

\[
h_0 j^4 \Delta u^a (a_1 x) u^b (a_2 x) d^c (a_3 x) \gamma N (p)i = \frac{X}{K} (2 N (p)), \quad (13)
\]

where \(N (p)\) on the right is the nucleon spinor, \(i, j\) are certain Dirac structures over which the sum is carried out, \(a_i\) are positive numbers which satisfy \(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1\), and \(K\) are the distribution amplitudes, depending on eight nonperturbative parameters. Explicit expressions of all \(DA' S\) and the values of eight nonperturbative parameters can be found in [3, 11, 10, 13].

Omitting the details of calculations of the theoretical part, choosing the coefficients of the structures \(p\), and \(p W\), equating both representation of the correlation function and applying the Borel transformation with respect to the variable \(p^2 = (p - q)^2\), which suppress the contributions of the higher states and continuum, we obtain the following sum rules for the form factors \(F_1\) and \(F_2\):

\[
F_1 (Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} Z_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 e^{s(x_1) + s(x_2) + M_N^2} 2H_{17} (x_1) (1 + ) + 4 (H_{17} (x_1) + 2 H_{19} (x_1)) (1 + ) + e_u m_N \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_2 \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \sum_{t_0}^{t_3} e^{s(x_1) + s(x_2) + M_N^2} 2H_{20, 18} (x_1) (1 + ) + 2 H_{20, 18} (x_1) (1 + ) + \sum_{t_0}^{t_3} e^{s(x_1) + s(x_2) + M_N^2} 2H_{20, 18} (x_1) (1 + ) \frac{(Q^2 + s_0 + m_N^2)}{t_0}\]

where \(\sum_{t_0}^{t_3}\) is defined as the sum of the distribution amplitudes over the three nucleon spinors. For the evaluation of \(F_2\) a similar expression is obtained.
\[ F_2(Q^2) = \frac{m_N}{e^{m_N^2 + M_B^2} - e_B} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} s_1 \ e \ s(t_1, Q^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} H_8(\phi)_{1,0} (x_1) \]

\[ \frac{1}{M_B^2} H_{18,20}(x_1) + 2(H_{18,20}(x_1) + 2H_{21,22}(x_1) + 4H_{23}(x_1))(1 + ) \]

\[ \frac{4}{M_B^2 t_1} H_{22}(x_1)(1 + ) + 2(H_{18,20}(x_1) + 2H_{21,22}(x_1) + 4H_{23}(x_1))(1 + ) t_0 + 4H_{22}(x_1)(1 + ) x_2 \]

\[ + e_2 \frac{1}{2}(Q^2; ) + e_3 \frac{1}{2}(Q^2; ) ; \]

(14)

where

\[ F(x_1) = F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4); \]

\[ F(x_0) = F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4); \]

\[ s(y; Q^2) = (1 + y) m_N^2 + \frac{(1 + y)}{y} Q^2; \]

(16)

with \( t_0 (s_0, Q^2) \) being solution of the equation \( s(t_0, Q^2) = s_0 \), and

\[ + e_1 \frac{1}{2}(Q^2; ) + e_2 \frac{1}{2}(Q^2; ) ; \]

where

\[ \begin{align*}
F(x_1) &= F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4); \\
F(x_0) &= F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4); \\
s(y; Q^2) &= (1 + y) m_N^2 + \frac{(1 + y)}{y} Q^2; \\
\end{align*} \]

(16)
\[ + 2(2H_{22}(x_1)H_{24}(x_1)m_{2N}^2(1 + )x_3) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{M_B^2}m_{2N}^2fH_{12,5}(6\rho(x_1)(1) + (H_{18}; 2\rho_{24,21}(x_1) + 2H_{20}; 2H_{22,23}(x_1))(1 + )g \]

\[ + \frac{1}{t_1}H_{12,5}(x_1)(1) + H_{18,20}(x_1)(1 + ) + \frac{1}{t_0}dx_3\]

\[ + \frac{1}{t_0}(Q^2 + m_{2N}^2)(1 + )t_0^2(Q^2 + s_0 + m_{2N}^2(1 + 2t_0))(t_0 + x_3) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{(Q^2 + m_{2N}^2)^3}H_{22}(x_1)m_{4N}^2(1 + )t_0^4(Q^2 + s_0 + m_{2N}^2(1 + 2t_0))(2t_0 + x_3) \]

\[ + m_{2N}^2(2t_0(1 + 2t_0 + x_3) + x_3)) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{t_0}(Q^2 + m_{2N}^2)^3H_{22}(x_1)m_{2N}^2(1 + )t_0^4x_3 + \frac{1}{2(Q^2 + m_{2N}^2)^2} \]

\[ H_{20}(x_1)(1 + )t_0^3(Q^2 + s_0) + m_{2N}^2(3 + 4t_0)g + 2H_{6,2}(x_1)(1 + )Q^2 + s_0 \]

\[ + m_{2N}^2(1 + t_0)t_0 + 2H_{24}(x_1)m_{2N}^2(1 + )t_3(t_0 + x_3) \]

\[ + 2H_{18}(x_1)(1 + )t_0^2(Q^2 + s_0 + m_{2N}^2(1 + 2t_0)) \]

\[ + 2m_{2N}^2H_{15}(x_1)(1 + )t_0^2 + 2m_{2N}^2(H_{4}; 2\rho_{21}(x_1) + 2H_{23,22}(x_1))(1 + )t_0^2 ; \]

\[ 2(Q^2; \ ) = \frac{\partial^n_1dx_3}{t_0^2}dx_1dx_3_{i}e^{s(x_3;2)}m_{3N}^2\]
and $g(Q^2; i)$, $(i = 1, 2)$ are obtained from $g(Q^2; j)$ by replacing $x_3$ with $x_2$ and replacing $F(x_i)$ with $F(x_0)$ in the integrals. In the above equations, we have used the short hand notations for the functions $H_{i; j; m; n} = H_i \ H_j; mn$, and $H_i$ are defined in terms of the distribution amplitudes as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_1 &= S_1 \\
H_2 &= S_1; 2 \\
H_3 &= P_1 \\
H_4 &= P_1; 2 \\
H_5 &= V_1 \\
H_6 &= V_1; 2; 3 \\
H_7 &= V_3 \\
H_8 &= 2V_1; 5 + V_3 \beta \\
H_9 &= V_4; 3 \\
H_{10} &= V_3; 2; 3; 4; 5 \beta \\
H_{11} &= A_1 \\
H_{12} &= A_1; 2 \beta \\
H_{13} &= A_3 \\
H_{14} &= 2A_1; 5 \ A_3 \beta \\
H_{15} &= A_3; 4 \\
H_{16} &= A_1; 2 \beta; 4 \beta \\
H_{17} &= T_1 \\
H_{18} &= T_1; 2T_3 \\
H_{19} &= T_7 \\
H_{20} &= T_1; 2 \ 2T_7 \\
H_{21} &= T_1; 5 + 2T_8 \\
H_{23} &= T_7; 8
\end{align*}
\]

where for any distribution amplitudes, $X_{i; j; m; n} = X_i \ X_j; mn$ are also used.

The overlap amplitude of the nucleon interpolating current with nucleon is
determined from sum rule and its expression is [14]

\[ N = \frac{e^{m_b^2 x_0^2}}{256} \frac{M_b^6}{\#} E_2(x)(5 + 2 + 2) + \frac{<uu>}{6} \frac{M_b^2}{24M_b^2 \#} 12(1 + 2) <dd> \\
+ (1 + 2)^2 <uu> + \frac{m_0^2}{24M_b^2 \#} <uu> 6(1 + 2) <dd> \\
+ (1 + 2)^2 <uu> : \\
\] (20)

where \( x = s_0 = M_b^2 \) and the function

\[ E_n(x) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n x^k}{k!} \] (21)

corresponds to the continuum subtraction.

3 Numerical results

It follows from explicit expressions of the sum rules for the nucleon electromagnetic form factors that, the nucleon DA's are the principal input parameters, whose explicit expressions can be found in [8]. These DA's contain non-perturbative parameters which should be determined in some framework. In the present work, we consider two different determination of these input parameters: a) All eight nonperturbative parameters \( f_N, f_1^V, A_1^V, f_1^d, f_1^u \) and \( f_2^d \) are estimated within QCD sum rules method [8,11,10] (set1), b) The condition that the next to leading conformal spin contributions vanish, xes the values of all nonperturbative parameters are (see [8]):

\[ f_N = (5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3} \; \text{GeV}^2; \]
\[ f_1 = (2.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2} \; \text{GeV}^2; \]
\[ f_2 = (5 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-2} \; \text{GeV}^2; \] (22)
The continuum threshold that appears in the continuum subtraction is determined from the mass sum rules as $s_0 = 2.25 \text{ GeV}^2$. There are two auxiliary parameters of the sum rules: the Borel parameter $M_B^2$ and the parameter $\theta$. The Borel mass square $M_B^2$ is the artificial parameter of the sum rules and therefore we need to find a region of $M_B^2$, where physically measurable quantities, in our case electromagnetic form factors, are independent of $M_B^2$. Lower bound of $M_B^2$ is determined from the condition that contribution from higher states and continuum in the correlator should be enough small, upper bound of $M_B^2$ is determined from condition that series of the light cone expansion with increasing twist should be convergent. Our numerical analysis shows that both conditions are satisfied in the region $1 \text{ GeV}^2 < M_B^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, which we will use in numerical analysis.

The other auxiliary parameter is chosen in a region such that, the predictions are independent of the precise value of $\theta$ in that region. In our analysis, it is shown that in the region $0.5 < \cos \theta < 0.5$ the form factors are practically insensitive to the variation of $\theta$, where is defined as $\tan \theta = \frac{Q^2}{s_0}$. Note that the analysis of mass sum rules and magnetic moments of octet baryons \cite{14} leads to the very close region for $\cos \theta$, i.e., $0.6 < \cos \theta < 0.3$. Also, it is observed in \cite{15} that the optimal value of $\theta = 1.2(\cos \theta = 0.64)$, which follows from the Monte Carlo analysis.

In Fig. 1, we present the dependence of the proton magnetic form factor $G_M^p = \mu_G$ on $Q^2$ at $s_0 = 2.25 \text{ GeV}^2$, $M_B^2 = 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ for two sets of DA's, at fixed values of parameter $\theta$. In this figure, we also present the experimental results \cite{16,17,18}. From this figure, we see that the $Q^2$ dependencies, as well as the magnitude of proton magnetic form factor are rather in good agreement with the experimental data, especially for the set 1 of DA’s and to e current ($\theta = 1$). The dependence of the ratio of the proton electric
from factor to the magnetic form factor $pG_D^M = pG_M^D$ on $Q^2$ at $s_0 = 2.25$ GeV$^2$, $M^2 = 1.2$ GeV$^2$ for two sets of DA's, at fixed values of parameter is depicted in Fig. 2. From this figure it follows that, practically, both sets of DA's well describe the existing experimental results, except for $Q^2 = 5$ and $Q^2 = 1$ of set 1. For large values of $Q^2$, $Q^2 > 4$ GeV$^2$, the experimental results obtained in [4] and in [17,18] are not in agreement. Whereas $Q^2 = 1$ describes better the data in [4], larger values of $j$ describe better the data in [19].

The LCQ SR results for the neutron magnetic (normalized to the dipole form factor) and electric form factors are given in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. From Fig. 3, we see that the magnetic form factor of neutron reproduce experimental data very well at $Q^2 = 1$ for both sets of DA's. Neutron electric form factor is in a good agreement with the experimental result for all cases.

Analysis of the experimental results (for review see [7] and references therein) lead that the magnetic form factors of the nucleon are very well described by the dipole formula

$$G_M^n(Q^2) = \frac{n^p}{1 + \left(\frac{Q^2}{0.1 \text{ GeV}^2}\right)^2} \approx n^p G_D :$$

The measured values of the electric form factors of the neutron are given in [20,21].

In [22,23], the following large $Q^2$ behavior of the electromagnetic form factors is obtained

$$\frac{F_2(Q^2)}{F_1(Q^2)} = \ln^2(Q^2 = 2)$$

where $Q^2 = 300$ MeV. In Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, we present the logarithmic scale prediction, i.e. $(1=15)\ln^2(Q^2 = 2)Q^2 F_2(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2)$ for the proton (neutron), with available experimental data [24] at fixed values of for two sets of DA's. From these graphs, we see that our prediction for the proton for $\ln^2(Q^2 = 2)Q^2 F_2(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2)$ is in good agreement with experimental data except for $Q^2 = 1$ case for both DA's, and $Q^2 = 5$ case for set 1. For the neutron case on set 1 for $Q^2 = 1$ describes quite successfully the existing experimental data.

Finally, in Fig. 7, as an example on the dependence of the predictions on $\cos \theta$, we present the dependence of proton magnetic form factor normalized to the dipole form factor $G_M^n = pG_D$ on $\cos \theta$, for both sets of DA's at two fixed
values of $Q^2$. It follows from this graph that, in the chosen region of $Q^2$, i.e. in the region $0.5 \cos 0.5$, the form factor $G^p_M$ is practically insensitive to the variation of $Q^2$.

In conclusion, in present work, we calculate the nucleon electromagnetic form factors using the most general form of the nucleon interpolating current in the light cone QCD sum rules. The sum rules for these form factors are obtained. Using two forms of the DA's, we calculate sum rules predictions for these form factors and compare them with existing experimental data. We obtain that our results are in a good agreement with the existing experimental data. More precisely, at different values of $Q^2$, our results for the form factors reproduce the experimental data. Finally, we obtained the working region for $Q^2$.

Our final remark is that in order to answer to the question which is more preferable, both theoretical and experimental studies have to be refined. From theoretical part on $O(s)$ corrections to the distributions amplitudes and more accurate determination of the DA's are needed. From experimental data, the discrepancies between various data has to be eliminated.
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Figure 1: The dependence of $G_M^P = P G_D$ on $Q^2$ at $s_0 = 2.25 \text{ GeV}^2$; $M_{\beta}^2 = 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ for $\beta = 1$; 5 and 5. The boxes correspond to experimental data in [16], the diamonds to [17] and the up-triangles to [18]. The lines with circles correspond to set 1 and the lines without any circles correspond to the asymptotic DA's.
Figure 2: The same as Fig. 1, but for $P G_E^P = G_M^P$. The boxes/diamonds/up-triangles/down-triangles/right-triangles/left-triangles correspond to experimental data given in \cite{16}/\cite{17}/\cite{6}/\cite{4}/\cite{5}/\cite{3} respectively.
Figure 3: The same as Fig. 1 but for $G_n^M = n G_D$. The boxes correspond to experimental data ([19]).
Figure 4: The same as Fig. 1 but for $G^n_E$. The boxes are correspond to experimental data ([19]).
Figure 5: The same as Fig. 1 but for $Q^2 \ln \left( \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)$ where $\Lambda = 300$ MeV. The boxes correspond to experimental data (24).
Figure 6: The dependence of $\frac{1}{15} Q^2 \ln^2 (\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}) F_2^n / F_1^n$ on $Q^2$ at $s_0 = 2.25 \text{ GeV}^2; M^2 = 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2; = 300 \text{ MeV}$. The boxes correspond to experimental data (22).
Figure 7: The dependence of $G^P_M = g G_D$ on $\cos \theta$ at $s_0 = 2.25 \text{ GeV}^2$; $M_B^2 = 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ for two different values of $Q^2$, i.e. $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$. The lines with circles correspond to set 1 and the lines without any circles correspond to the asymptotic wavefunctions.