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Abstract

Many new physics models predict resonances with masses in the TeV range which decay into a pair of top quarks. With its large cross section, \(tt\) production at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) offers an excellent opportunity to search for such particles. We present a detailed study of the discovery potential of the CERN Large Hadron Collider for Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations of the gluon in bulk Randall-Sundrum (RS) models in the \(tt\) ! \(b\bar{b}\) \(' = e; \) nal state. We utilize nal states with one or two tagged b-quarks, and two, three or four jets (including b-jets). Our calculations take into account the finite resolution of detectors, the energy loss due to b-quark decays, the expected reduced b-tagging efficiency at large \(tt\) invariant masses, and include the background originating from \(Wb\bar{b}\) \(+\) jets, \((Wb+ b)\) \(+\) jets, \(W+\) jets, and single top + jets production. We derive semi-realistic discovery limits on the for nine different KK gluon scenarios, and compare them with those for KK gravitons, and a \(Z_H\) boson in the Littlest Higgs model. We also analyze the capabilities of the LHC experiments to discriminate between different \(KK\) models and measure the couplings of \(KK\) gluons to quarks. We find that, for the parameters and models chosen, \(KK\) gluons with masses up to about 4 TeV can be discovered at the LHC. The ability of the LHC to discriminate between different bulk RS models, and to measure the couplings of the \(KK\) gluons is found to be highly model dependent.
I. INTRODUCTION

The first physics run of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is scheduled for 2008. Investigating jet, weak boson and top quark production are the prime goals of the 2008 run. Top pair production at the LHC, with a cross section which is about two orders of magnitude larger than at the Fermilab Tevatron, will make it possible to precisely determine the top quark properties [1]. It also offers an excellent opportunity to search for new physics in the early operational phase of the LHC. Once the LHC reaches design luminosity, tt production will provide access to new phenomena in the multi-TeV region. Many extensions of the Standard Model (SM) predict particles which decay into tt pairs, and thus show up as resonances in the tt invariant mass, m (tt), distribution. The masses of these particles are typically in the TeV range. For example, topcolor [2,3] and Little Higgs [4-8] models predict weakly coupled new vector bosons, models with extra dimensions [9-11] can have Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations of the graviton [12,13] the weak [11,14,15] and the strong gauge bosons [16-23] which couple to top quarks, while massive axial vector bosons appear in torsion gravity models [24]. Resonances in the tt channel also occur in technicolor [25,26], chiral color [27] and models with a strong SU(3) → SU(3) gauge symmetry [28,29]. In some models [12,16,19], the couplings of the new particles to light quarks and gluons is suppressed, and the tt final state becomes their main discovery channel. For a model independent approach to search for new physics in tt production, see Ref. [30].

Top quarks decay either hadronically, t'! W b! bq (q = u; d; s; c), or semileptonically, t'! W b! b' (t'! e; ! decays with leptons in the nal state are ignored here). Pair production of top quarks thus results in so-called "di-lepton+ jets" events, tt ! ! bbb, "lepton+ jets" events, tt ! bbq, or the "all-hadronic", tt ! bb+ 4 quarks, nal state. Although the di-lepton+ jets channel has the smallest background, it suffers from a small branching ratio (about 4.7%). Furthermore, the two neutrinos in the nal state make it impossible to reconstruct the tt invariant mass or the transverse momentum (pT) of the individual top quark. The all-hadronic nal state has the largest branching ratio (~46%) but also suffers from a very large background. The lepton+ jets channel, namely, has a substantial branching fraction (about 30%), while the background is moderate. Since the tt invariant mass can be reconstructed, albeit with a two-fold ambiguity, it is the premier search channel for new physics in tt production. To identify tt lepton+ jets events, the LHC experiments require an isolated charged lepton, missing transverse momentum, and at least four isolated hadronic jets. For events with more than four jets, the four leading (highest transverse momentum) jets are selected. Of these four jets two have to be tagged as b quarks [31,32].

Searching for tt resonances with masses in the TeV region is challenging for several reasons. For top quark transverse momentum larger than a few hundred GeV and tt invariant masses above 1 TeV, the top quark decay products are highly boosted and thus almost collinear. This frequently results in non-isolated leptons and/or merged or overlapping jets for lepton+ jets and all-hadronic tt events, i.e. the number of jets may be smaller than the number of nal state quarks. Furthermore, the b-tagging efficiency in the TeV region may be significantly smaller than at low energies [3,17,22].

Extending the selection criteria to include topologies with fewer jets and events with only one tagged b-quark is an obvious strategy for improving the selection efficiency for very energetic top quarks. On the other hand, this may significantly increase the background.
In Ref. [33] we presented a detailed analysis of the $t\bar{t}$ lepton+ jets states with 2, 3, or 4 jets and one or two tagged b-quarks. We showed that the $'+2$ jets and $'+3$ jets states with one or two b-tags significantly improve the chances for discovering new heavy particles in the $t\bar{t}$ channel at the LHC, although the background from $W +$ jets and single top production will be non-negligible in the TeV region, even after imposing suitable cuts.

In this paper we derive sem-realistic discovery limits for $t\bar{t}$ resonances in the lepton+ jets states using the results of Ref. [33]. We consider Kaluza-Klein excitations of the gluon in representative bulk Randall-Sundrum (RS) models, in particular those described in Refs. [18] and [19]. Taking into account the finite resolution of the LHC detectors, the energy loss due to b-quark decays, the expected reduced b-tagging efficiency at large $t\bar{t}$ invariant masses, and the background originating from $W b\bar{b}$+ jets, $(W b + W b) +$ jets, $W +$ jets, and single top + jets production, we derive 5 discovery limits and contrast them with those found for KK gravitons in bulk RS models [12] and the $Z_t$ boson of the Littlest Higgs model [6]. We also study how well the KK gluons of various bulk RS models can be discriminated and how well their couplings can be determined at the LHC. In Sec. II we present a brief overview of the couplings of the KK gluons we consider and give an outline of our calculation. Numerical results are presented in Sec. III. Sec. IV contains our conclusions.

II. KALUZA-KLEIN GLUONS: SIGNAL AND BACKGROUND

We concentrate on the search for the first excited state of the gluon, $G$, in variants of the RS model with the SM fields propagating in the bulk. Such models can incorporate Grand Unification of couplings [34], motivate the hierarchy of fermion masses [35], and incorporate a dark matter candidate [36]. Bulk RS models with large brane kinetic terms [37] or an expanded custodial symmetry [16, 38, 39] may be able to protect the $Z\phi$ vertex from large corrections [38{41]. Specifically, we consider the KK gluons of the models of Ref. [19] ($E_i$, $i = 1; \ldots ; 4$), the basic RS model with the SM in the bulk [17], and models with large brane kinetic terms with magnitude $r_{IR} = 5$ and $r_{IR} = 20$, and a model with a $SO(5) \times U(1)$ bulk gauge symmetry [41].

The KK gluons of all models considered here couple uniformly to left-handed and right-handed light quarks $q = u; d; s; c$. The couplings and branching ratios to light, bottom and top quarks, $g_q^d, g_q^b$, and $g_q^t$, and the total width, $\Gamma$, in units of the KK gluon mass, $M_G$, are listed in Table I. They agree with the results given in Refs. [18] and [19]. Note that KK gluons do not couple vector-like to the quarks of the third generation. The partial width for the decay of a KK gluon into a quark-antiquark pair, $qq$, in the limit $M_G > m_q$, is given by

$$\Gamma (G \rightarrow qq) = \frac{M_G}{48} g_q^2 + g_q^2;$$

where $g_q$ ($g_q$) is the coupling of the left-handed (right-handed) quark to the KK gluon. At tree level, KK gluons do not couple to regular gluons. With the exception of models with a large brane kinetic term, the couplings of KK gluons to light quarks is suppressed, whereas those to top quarks are enhanced. In these models, $t\bar{t}$ production offers the best chance to discover KK gluons. In models with a large brane kinetic term, KK gluons may also be
TABLE I. The couplings, branching ratios, and the total width in units of the mass, \( g = M_G \), of KK gluons in various bulk RS models. \( g_s \) is the strong coupling constant. In order to calculate \( g \) we have assumed that \( \alpha = g_s^2 = 0.1 \). \( N \) is the number of the additional KK custodial partner quarks in the SO (5) model which are light enough that \( G \) can decay into them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>( g_1 )</th>
<th>( g_2 )</th>
<th>( g_3 )</th>
<th>( g_4 )</th>
<th>( p_0 )</th>
<th>BR (G ! qg)</th>
<th>BR (G ! bb)</th>
<th>BR (G ! tt)</th>
<th>( g \approx M_G )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic RS</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>g_s</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>4g_s</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{IR} = 5 )</td>
<td>0.4g_s</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>0.4g_s</td>
<td>0.4g_s</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{IR} = 20 )</td>
<td>0.8g_s</td>
<td>0.6g_s</td>
<td>0.8g_s</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO (5), ( N = 0 )</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>2.76g_s</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>0.07g_s</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO (5), ( N = 1 )</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>2.76g_s</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>0.07g_s</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_1</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>1.34g_s</td>
<td>0.55g_s</td>
<td>4.9g_s</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>91.4%</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_2</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>1.34g_s</td>
<td>3.04g_s</td>
<td>4.9g_s</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_3</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>1.34g_s</td>
<td>0.55g_s</td>
<td>3.25g_s</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_4</td>
<td>0.2g_s</td>
<td>1.34g_s</td>
<td>3.04g_s</td>
<td>3.25g_s</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

visible in di-jet production [18]. In all models, except those with a large brane kinetic term, the KK gluons are fairly broad resonances.

All cross sections in this paper are computed using CTEQ 6.1 [42] parton distribution functions (PDFs). For the CTEQ 6.1 PDFs, the strong coupling constant is evaluated at leading order with \( \alpha (M_Z^2) = 0.130 \). The factorization and renormalization scales for the calculation of the \( tt \) signal are set equal to \( M_t^2 + p_T^2 (t) \), where \( M_t = 173 \) GeV is the top quark mass. The value of the top quark mass chosen is consistent with the most recent experimental data [43]. The choice of factorization and renormalization scales of the background processes is discussed in more detail below. The SM parameters used in all tree-level calculations are [44]

\[
G = 1.16639 \quad 10^5 \text{ GeV}^2; \\
M_Z = 91.188 \text{ GeV}; \\
M_W = 80.419 \text{ GeV}; \\
\sin^2 \theta_W = 1 \quad \frac{M_Z^2}{M_W^2}; \\
g = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_f} \sin^2 \theta_W \quad M_W^2;
\]

where \( G_F \) is the Fermi constant, \( M_W \) and \( M_Z \) are the W and Z boson masses, \( \theta_W \) is the weak mixing angle, and \( g \) is the electromagnetic coupling constant in the G' scheme.

We calculate the \( tt \) \( b \bar{b} q \bar{q} \) cross section at leading order (LO), including the contributions from KK gluons and all decay correlations, using the helicity spinor technique described in Ref. [45]. Top quark and W decays are treated in the narrow width approximation. We require that at least one b-quark be tagged and that there are a total of two, three or four jets in the event. We sum over electron and muon final states and impose the following acceptance cuts on lepton+ jets events at the LHC (pp collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) TeV):

\[
p_T (\ell') > 20 \text{ GeV}; \\
p_T (j) > 30 \text{ GeV}; \\
p_T (j') < 25; \\
p_T (j) < 25; \\
p_T (j) + p_T (b) > 30 \text{ GeV}; \\
p_T (b) < 25; \\
p_T > 40 \text{ GeV};
\]
Here, \((y)\) is the pseudo-rapidity (rapidity), \(\gamma = e^y\), and \(p_T\) is the missing transverse momentum originating from the neutrino in the \(b'\) which escapes undetected. In addition, we impose an isolation cut on the charged lepton and jets by requiring the separation in pseudo-rapidity \(\Delta \phi\) to be larger than

\[ R = [(\Delta \phi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2]^{1/2} > 0.4; \]  

Light quark jets from \(W\) and \(b\)-quark jets are merged if

\[ R(i;j) < 0.4; \]  

If a \(b\)-quark jet and a light quark jet merge, their momenta are combined into a \(b\)-jet.

The cuts listed in Eqs. (5) to (8) are sufficient for the LHC operating at low luminosity, \(L \sim 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\). They should be tightened somewhat for luminosities closer to the design luminosity, \(L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\). However, this will have only a small effect on the cross section in the TeV region on which we concentrate in this paper.

We include in all detector effects via a Gaussian smearing of parton momenta according to ATLAS [31] expectations, and take into account the b-jet energy loss via a parametrized function for details of Ref. [33]). Charged leptons are assumed to be detected with an efficiency of \(\varepsilon = 0.85\).

At low energies, the LHC experiments are expected to tag b-jets with an efficiency of \(b = 0.6\) [31, 32]. However, for very energetic top quarks, the b-tagging efficiency is expected to degrade [17]. As we shall see in Sec. III, the range of m (tt) = 2.5 to 4.0 TeV will be of interest for KK gluon searches at the LHC. Preliminary ATLAS studies nd that, in this region, \(b\) is about a factor 3 smaller than at low energies [8, 22]. For realistic cross section estimates, a parametrization of \(b\) as a function of the b-quark energy or \(p_T\) is needed. Currently, these do not exist. Except for low energies, \(b\) is known only for a few selected values of m (tt) [8, 22]. In the following we therefore assume a constant b-tagging efficiency of \(b = 0.2\). Note that, for \(b = 0.2\), the cross section for final states with one b-tag is almost one order of magnitude larger than that for two tagged b-quarks.

New particles which decay into a pair of top quarks lead to resonances in the \(p_T\) invariant mass distribution and to a Jacobian distribution in the top quark transverse momentum distribution. In the following we therefore concentrate on these observables. The coupling of KK gluons to the top quark is encoded also in the \(p_T\) distribution of the charged lepton, which acts as an analyzer of the top polarization [17]. We do not study the \(p_T(\gamma)\) distribution here.

Since the neutrino escapes undetected, m (tt) cannot be directly reconstructed. However, assuming that the charged lepton and the missing transverse momentum come from a W boson with a fixed invariant mass \(M_W\), it is possible to reconstruct the longitudinal momentum of the neutrino, \(p_L\), albeit with a twofold ambiguity. In our calculations of the m (tt) distribution in the lepton+jets final state, we reconstruct the \(p_T\) invariant mass using both solutions for \(p_L\) with equal weight. Jets are counted and used in the reconstruction of m (tt) if they satisfy Eqs. (6) and (7) after merging. The energy loss of the b-quarks slightly distorts the \(p_T\) distribution. As a result, the quadratic equation for \(p_L(\tau)\) does not always have a solution. Events for which this is the case are discarded in our analysis. This results in a 10% reduction of the \(p_T\) cross section in the m (tt) distribution. More advanced
algorithm s [46] improve the reconstruction of the mass of the new physics signal; however, they have little effect on the shape of the SM m (t) distribution. For the background processes, the m (t) distribution is replaced by the reconstructed W bb+ m jets and W bj+ m jets invariant mass distribution.

In order to reconstruct the t or t transverse m on entum one has to correctly assign the b and b m on en ta to the parent top or anti-top quark. Since it is im possible to determine the b-charge on an event-by-event basis, and we do only require one b-tag in the event, we combine p_T (‘), and the transverse m on entum of the jet with the smallest separation from the charged lepton to form the transverse m on entum of the sem leptonically decaying top quark. The p_T's of the remaining jet(s) form the transverse m on entum of the hadronically decaying top. We nd that the reconstructed and true top quark transverse m on entum distributions are virtually identical except for transverse m on en ta below 50 GeV where deviations at the few percent level are observed.

The main background processes contributing to the ‘ + n jet nal states with n = 2; 3; 4 are W bb+ m jets, (W b + W b)j + m jets, and W jj + m jets production, (t b + tb) + m jets, (t+ tj + m jets production with t! b, and W b t W t and W j t production with t! b jj. For each process, m = 0; 1; 2, and j represents a light quark or gluon jet, or a c-jet. W t production only contributes to the 2 jet and 3 jet nal states. The (W b + W b)j + m jets (t+ tj + m jets) background originates from W bbj + m jets ((tb+ tb) + m jets) production where one of the b-quarks is not detected. We calculate these processes in the b-quark structure function approximation. We have veri ed that, for m = 0, the di erential cross sections for pp! W bj ((t+ tj) and pp! W bbj ((tb+ tb)jj) where one b-jet is not detected are very similar. All background cross sections are consistently calculated at LO. To calculate pp! W bb + m jets and pp! W jj + m jets we use ALPGEN [44]. All other background processes are calculated using MadEvent [48].

Background processes, such as pp! W jj + m jets, where one or two jets are m isidenti ed as b-jets are calculated using a m isidenti cation probability of P_{q! b} = P_{j! b} = 100 (q = u; d; s) for light jets, and P_{c! b} = 100 for charm quarks. Preliminary ATLAS studies [22,31] have found these values to be appropriate in the tt invariant mass region around 3 TeV which is the range on which this paper concentrates. Ideally, one would like to know P_{q! b} and P_{c! b} as functions of the jet transverse m on entum. Unfortunately, these parametrizations are presently not available.

W jj + m jets production in ALPGEN includes c-jets in the nal state. Since P_{c! b} is considerably larger than P_{q! b}, this underestimated the background from W + charm production. However, this cross section of W + charm nal states is only a tiny fraction of the full W jj + m jets rate, resulting in an error which is much smaller than the uncertainty on the background from other sources. One can also estimate the W + charm cross section from that of pp! W bb + m jets and pp! (W b + W b) + m jets. For the phase space cuts imposed, quark mass e ects are irrelevant. Using the values of P_{q! b} given inRefs. [8,22,31], we nd that the (W c + W c)j + m jets (W c c+ m jets) cross section is a factor 2 10 (5 100) smaller than the (W b + W b)j + m jets (W bb + m jets) rate for the p_T and invariant mass range considered here.

1Alternatively, one could select the combination of jets which minimizes j (jets) m ej [47].
bb+ m jets production where one b-quark decays semileptonically also contributes to the background. Once a lepton isolation cut has been imposed, this background is known to be small for standard lepton+ jets cuts [49]. For bb+ m jets events to mimic tt production with very energetic top quarks, the b-quarks also have to be very energetic. This will make the lepton isolation cut even more efficient. We therefore ignore the bb+ m jets background here.

The renormalization and factorization scales, $\mu_r$ and $\mu_f$, of background processes involving top quarks are set to $m_t$; for all other background processes we choose the W mass. Since our calculations are performed at tree level, the cross section of any background processes exhibits a considerable scale dependence. However, uncertainties on the current b-tagging efficiencies and the light jet miss tag probability at high energies introduce an uncertainty which may well be larger. Our choice of $\mu_r$ and $\mu_f$ leads to a rather conservative estimate of the background cross sections; other (reasonable) choices such as $\frac{\mu_r}{\mu_f} = \frac{\mu_f}{\mu_r} = M_W^2 + E_T \sum_{i} \Delta R (|j_i|)^2$, where $i$ runs over all jets, lead to smaller cross sections, especially at high energies.

Without further cuts, the background turns out to be much larger than the signal for tt invariant m masses in the TeV region [33]. The signal to background ratio, however, can be improved significantly by imposing a cut

$$j_n (j_{\text{in}}^\prime) \quad m_n j < 20 \text{ GeV}$$

on the cluster transverse mass, $m_{T}$, and a cut

$$j_n (t! \; nj) \quad m_n j < 20 \text{ GeV}$$

on invariant mass of the n = 1; 2 or 3 remaining jets which are assumed to originate from the hadronically decaying top quark. The cluster transverse mass in Eq. (11) is defined by

$$m_{T}^2 (j_{\text{in}}^\prime) = \sqrt{p_T^2 (j_{\text{in}}^\prime) + m^2 (j_{\text{in}}^\prime) + p_T^2 - p_T (j_{\text{in}}^\prime) + p_T^2}$$

where $p_T (j_{\text{in}}^\prime)$ and $m (j_{\text{in}}^\prime)$ are the transverse momentum and invariant mass of the $j_{\text{in}}^\prime$ system, respectively, and $j_{\text{in}}$ is the jet with the smallest separation from the charged lepton. $m_{T}$ sharply peaks at the top mass. The invariant mass resolution for jet systems with a mass near $m_t$ is approximately 7–10 GeV for jets with energies above 200 GeV. The invariant mass window chosen in Eq. (12) thus will capture most of the tt signal. On the other hand, it is sufficiently narrow to reject a large portion of the background.

In case of only two jets in the final state, we impose Eq. (12) on the jet with the larger separation from the charged lepton. In order to estimate the effect of a jet invariant mass cut on the W jj and (t+ t)j background, we convolute the differential cross sections obtained from AMEGEN and MadEvent with $P_{\mu} (m (j_{\text{op}}); p_T (j_{\text{op}}))$ where $j_{\text{op}}$ is the jet with the larger separation from the charged lepton (i.e., the "t-jet" candidate) [33]. A cut on m ($j_{\text{op}}$) is then imposed (see below). $P_{\mu} (m (j); p_T (j))$ is the two-dimensional probability density that a jet with transverse momentum $p_T (j)$ has an invariant mass $m (j)$. We calculate $P (m (j); p_T (j))$ by generating $10^6 W +$ jets events in PYTHIA [50] and passing them through PGS4 [51], which simulates the response of a generic high-energy physics collider detector with a tracking system, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, and muon system. Jets are reconstructed in the cone [52] and kT algorithm [53] as implemented in PGS4, using a cone
size (D parameter) of $R = 0.5$ ($D = 0.5$) in the cone ($k_T$) algorithm. Since it is infrared safe, the $k_T$ algorithm is the theoretically preferred algorithm. For a discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of the two algorithms at hadron colliders, see Ref. [54]. The cone size (D parameter) is deliberately chosen to be slightly larger than in our parton level studies to avoid drawing conclusions which are too optimistic. The probability density function, $P$, for the $k_T$ algorithm has a much longer tail at large jet invariant masses than for the cone algorithm, resulting in a significantly higher background in the $m (tt)$ distribution [33]. In order to be conservative, we therefore use the $k_T$ algorithm when estimating the background in the ‘$+$ 2 jets’ state.

The reconstructed $m (tt)$ distribution after imposing the cuts listed in Eqs. (5) to (12) is shown in Fig. 1 for the range $M_G \geq m (tt) \geq 1$ TeV. We show the results for the combined $tt \! + \! n$ jets state with $n = 2; 3; 4$ and one or two tagged b-quarks, assuming $b = 0.2$ and $\gamma = 0.85$. The curves are for SM $tt$ production (solid black line), the combined background (blue histogram), and KK gluon production with $M_G = 3$ TeV for the models listed in Table I. The transverse momentum distribution of the semileptonically decaying top quark is shown in Fig. 2. To avoid overburdening the figures, we show the $E_j (i = 1; \ldots; 4)$ KK gluon resonances in Fig. 1a and Fig. 2a, and all others in Fig. 1b and Fig. 2b, respectively. For comparison, the magenta line in Figs. 1b and 2b shows the result for a $Z_H$ boson in the Littlest Higgs model with $M_{Z_H} = 3$ TeV and $\cot \theta = 1$ (see Ref. [6]), where $\theta$ is a mixing angle. The $Z_H$ vector boson couples purely left-handed and universally to quarks and leptons.

For all models, except that with $r_{IR} = 20$, the sign of the coupling of the KK gluon to light quarks is opposite to that of the larger coupling to the top quark. As a result, in those cases, interference effects are positive (negative) below (above) the resonance. Since the width of KK gluons in models with a large brane kinetic term is relatively small, the resonance curves for these particles are significantly more pronounced than those for other KK gluons. For such rather narrow resonances detector resolution effects become important. These effects are included in Figs. 1 and 2 through the smearing of particle momentum according to the ATLAS resolution.

As evident from Fig. 2, the SM non-$tt$ background is significantly smaller in the $p_T (t \! + \! b')$ distribution than in the $tt$ invariant mass spectrum. Furthermore, the top quark transverse momentum distribution does not suffer from the ambiguity associated with the reconstruction of the longitudinal momentum of the neutrino. On the other hand, the transverse momentum distribution only reflects information encoded in the transverse degrees of freedom.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We now derive discovery limits for the KK gluon states discussed in Sec. II. We also investigate, for $M_G = 3$ TeV, how well the KK gluon states can be distinguished, and how well the couplings of these states can be measured at the LHC, and a luminosity upgraded LHC (SLHC) with a total integrated luminosity of 3000 $fb^{-1}$.

As the statistical tool of choice we adopt a log likelihood test. Our expression for the log-likelihood function is
Figure 1. The LO differential cross section of the combined SM $\bar{t}t$ ($' + n$ jets ($n = 2; 3; 4$)) signal (black line), the combined background (blue histogram), and a bulk RS KK gluon, $G$, with $M_G = 3$ TeV as a function of the reconstructed $\bar{t}t$ invariant mass. One or two of the jets are assumed to be $b$-tagged. Part a) of the figure shows the results for $E_i$ ($i = 1; \ldots; 4$) KK gluons, and b) shows the resonance curves for the remaining KK gluon scenarios summarized in Table I. For comparison, the magenta line in b) shows the result for a $Z_H$ boson in the Littlest Higgs model with a mass of 3 TeV and $\cot \theta = 1$ (see Ref. [6]). The cuts imposed are discussed in the text.
FIG. 2. The LO differential cross section of the combined SM \( t\bar{t} \) \( + n \) jets \( (n = 2; 3; 4) \) signal (black line), the combined background (blue histogram), and a bulk RS KK gluon, \( G \), with \( M_G = 3 \) TeV as a function of the reconstructed transverse momentum of the semileptonically decaying top quark. One or two of the jets are assumed to be b-tagged. Part a) of the figure shows the results for \( E_i \) \( (i = 1; \ldots; 4) \) KK gluons, part b) shows the resonance curves for the remaining KK gluon scenarios summarized in Table I. For comparison, the magenta line in b) shows the result for a \( Z_H \) boson in the Littlest Higgs model with a mass of 3 TeV and \( \cot \theta = 1 \) (see Ref. [6]). The cuts imposed are discussed in the text.
\[ 2 \log L = 2 \sum_i \left( f_S S_i + f_B B_i + n_{0i} \log(f_S S_i + f_B B_i) + \log(n_{0i}) \right) + \frac{(f_S f_S)}{(f_S)^2} + \frac{(f_B f_B)}{(f_B)^2}. \]

The sum extends over the number of bins, \( S_i \) and \( B_i \) are the number of signal and background events in the \( i \)th bin, and \( n_{0i} \) is the number of reference (eg. SM) events in the \( i \)th bin. The uncertainties on the signal and background normalizations are taken into account via two multiplicative factors, \( f_S \) and \( f_B \), which are allowed to vary but are constrained within the relative uncertainties of the signal and background cross sections, \( f_S \) and \( f_B \), respectively. The background consists of SM \( t \bar{t} \) production, and SM non-\( t \bar{t} \) background as discussed in Sec. II.

Since both the \( m(t \bar{t}) \) and the \( p_T(t!b') \) distributions have advantages and disadvantages, we use both in deriving discovery and sensitivity limits for the couplings of KK gluons. As we do not take into account common systematic uncertainties in our analysis, this procedure will lead to somewhat optimistic limits. If we use only the distribution which yields the tightest individual bounds, the results presented in this Section worsen by 10 - 20%.

Except for the SM \( t \bar{t} \) cross section, and the backgrounds contributing to the ‘ + 2 jets’ final states, cross sections are only known to leading order in QCD and thus depend significantly on the renormalization and factorization scales used. In the following, we assume that QCD corrections do not significantly change the shape of the distributions analyzed in the region which contributes most to the statistical significance. Furthermore, we assume that the uncertainties for signal and background from the unknown QCD corrections are approximately equal, \( f_S = f_B = f \). In this case, \( \log L \) can be minimized analytically and one finds the minimum of \( \log L \) to occur at

\[ f = \frac{1}{2} \left( f \right)^N + \frac{q}{(f \right)^N + 4(f)^N N_0}; \]

where

\[ N = \sum_i (S_i + B_i) \]

is the total number of events,

\[ N_0 = \sum_i n_{0i} \]

the total number of reference events, and \( f \) is the uncertainty of the reference cross section. In the following we take \( f = 0.3 \). The results which we present below only minimally depend on the choice of \( f \), restating that the normalization of the background can be obtained from the low energy part of the \( m(t \bar{t}) \) and \( p_T(t!b') \) distributions. Uncertainties from parton distribution functions, and from varying the factorization and renormalization scales are ignored in our calculation. Uncertainties from the poorly known b-tagging efficiency and light quark/glueon jet misidentification probability are likely to be larger and difficult to quantify without actual LHC data or more accurate simulations.
TABLE II. Approximate discovery limits for the KK gluons introduced in Sec. II for 100 fb$^{-1}$ and 300 fb$^{-1}$ of data at the LHC. For comparison, we also show discovery limits for a Z$_{HI}$ boson in the Littlest Higgs model with cot  = 1, and a bulk RS KK graviton with $M_4 L = 1$ and $\tau_R = 1$. See text for more details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>lim $\pm$ 100 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>lim $\pm$ 300 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>lim $\pm$ 100 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>lim $\pm$ 300 fb$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic RS</td>
<td>3.8 TeV</td>
<td>4.3 TeV</td>
<td>E$_1$</td>
<td>3.9 TeV</td>
<td>4.4 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{IR} = 5$</td>
<td>3.4 TeV</td>
<td>3.9 TeV</td>
<td>E$_2$</td>
<td>3.6 TeV</td>
<td>4.2 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{IR} = 20$</td>
<td>3.5 TeV</td>
<td>4.1 TeV</td>
<td>E$_3$</td>
<td>3.8 TeV</td>
<td>4.2 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO (5), N = 0</td>
<td>3.4 TeV</td>
<td>4.0 TeV</td>
<td>E$_4$</td>
<td>3.4 TeV</td>
<td>4.2 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO (5), N = 1</td>
<td>2.4 TeV</td>
<td>3.0 TeV</td>
<td>$Z_H$</td>
<td>2.6 TeV</td>
<td>2.8 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KK graviton</td>
<td>1.3 TeV</td>
<td>1.4 TeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Discovery limits

In order to derive discovery limits for the KK gluons introduced in Sec. II at the LHC we require a 5 standard deviation significance

$$2 \log L > 25$$ (18)

from the SM prediction in the combined reconstructed $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass, and $p_T$ ($t'$, $b'$) distribution. Results for 100 fb$^{-1}$ and 300 fb$^{-1}$ of data are shown in Table II. For comparison, we also list the 5 discovery limits for a Z$_{HI}$ boson in the Littlest Higgs model with cot  = 1, and for a bulk RS KK graviton, $G_r$, which is dominantly produced via gluon fusion [12].

To calculate the cross section for $G_r$ production via gluon fusion in the $t\bar{t}$ channel we use the formulas of Ref. [12] with $M_4 L = 1$ and $\tau_R = 1$. Here $M_4$ is the Planck scale, $L$ is the inverse of the AdS curvature scale, and $\tau_R$ is a parameter related to the bulk mass for fermion fields. The $gg \rightarrow G_r$, $tt$ cross section scales like $(M_4 L)^4$ and $(1 + 2 \tau_R)^2$.

The discovery limits for KK gluons in all models considered are, except for the SO (5) model with $N = 1$, in the range $3 M_4 < 39$ TeV ($3.9 < 4 M_4$ TeV) for 100 fb$^{-1}$ (300 fb$^{-1}$). In the SO (5) model with $N = 1$, the first KK excitations of the fermions are assumed to be sufficiently light so that KK gluons can decay into those. As a result, the KK gluon in this model is a very broad resonance (see Table I) which makes it considerably more difficult to detect. Our discovery limits for the basic RS case are in general agreement with those obtained in Ref. [16]. Note that KK gluons in models with a large bulk kinetic term ($r_{IR} = 5$ and $r_{IR} = 20$) couple more strongly to light quarks than top quarks and thus can be searched for in di-jet production [18]; however, no quantitative discovery limits for this channel have been derived yet. Precision electroweak data allow KK gluons with mass as low as 2 – 3 TeV [55]. The LHC thus should be able to significantly constrain bulk RS models.

We do not list discovery limits for an upgraded LHC with 10 times the integrated luminosity of the LHC (SLHC). Using a b-tagging efficiency of $b = 0.2$, which is appropriate for $t\bar{t}$ invariant masses of 0 (3 TeV), we obtain 5 discovery limits of $M_G > 5$ TeV for KK gluons with an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb$^{-1}$. However, some caution is in order because a b-tagging efficiency of $b = 0.2$ may well be too optimistic at such huge invariant masses. Unfortunately, currently no estimates exist for $b$ at the SLHC in the vicinity of $m(t\bar{t}) = 5$ TeV.
The $Z_H$ boson couples with weak coupling strength to fermions. It is therefore not surprising that the discovery limits for a $Z_H$ boson in the $t\bar{t}$ channel are substantially weaker than those for most $KK$ gluons. Since the $Z_H$ boson also couples to charged leptons, the $\tau^+$ state is an obvious channel to search for such a particle. It should be possible to find a $Z_H$ with mass up to 5 TeV in di-lepton production at the LHC with 300 fb$^{-1}$ [5].

The discovery limits for bulk RS KK gravitons are about a factor 3 weaker than those for $KK$ gluons due to the strongly suppressed $G_{\tau g}$ coupling. However, the limits listed for bulk RS KK gravitons in Table II are likely conservative. The $b$-tagging efficiency in the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass range of 1–1.5 TeV is estimated to be a factor 1.5–2 higher than what we have used in our calculation [8,22]. This will increase the discovery limits for bulk RS KK gravitons by approximately 100–200 GeV. For $t_R < 1$, the $ZZ$ [56] and $WW$ [57] channels may offer better chances to discover bulk RS KK gravitons.

In Ref. [12] $G_{\tau}$ discovery limits were derived as a function of the top quark detection efficiency, without taking into account the non-$$t\bar{t}$$ background. Our calculation attempts to provide a more quantitative estimate, taking into account the non-$$t\bar{t}$$ background, and the reduced $b$-tagging efficiency at large invariant masses.

B. Discriminating $KK$ gluon models

Once a resonance in the $t\bar{t}$ channel has been discovered, it becomes important to determine its properties in order to pin down the underlying new physics. The spin of the new particle can be determined by measuring the angular distribution of the top quarks: a scalar particle leads to an isotropic distribution, a vector boson to a distribution which is proportional to $(1 + \cos^2 \theta)$, whereas the angular distribution for spin 2 particle will have a $(1 - \cos^2 \theta)$ dependence [12,58]. Here, $\theta$ is the scattering angle of the top quark. In both cases, the $b$-tagging efficiency can also be obtained from other final states in which the same resonance has been observed.

For the following discussion we assume that a spin 1 resonance has been found in the $t\bar{t}$ channel, however, has not been observed elsewhere. In such a situation, $KK$ gluons in bulk RS models become natural candidates for the state observed and it becomes interesting whether a measurement of the resonance curve in the $m_{t\bar{t}}$ and the $p_T$ ($t!b'$) distribution will be able to discriminate between different bulk RS models.

In order to address this question, we pursue two approaches. In this Section, we calculate the "discrimination matrix" for $KK$ gluons in the nine bulk RS models we are considering. In Section IIIIC, we derive 68.3% confidence level (CL) bounds for the couplings of $KK$ gluons. For our case study, we assume a mass of $M_G = 3$ TeV for the $KK$ gluon. This guarantees that the LHC will be able to detect such a particle with a significance of more than 5 in all models studied here, except the SO (5), $N = 1$ case.

The discrimination matrix is constructed by performing a log likelihood test for each pair of bulk RS models, assuming that one is correct and finding the significance of the other model as a test. The results for $M_G = 3$ TeV and an integrated luminosity of 100 fb$^{-1}$ are presented in Table III. For smaller (larger) $KK$ gluon masses higher (lower) significance are expected.

Table III shows that the $E_1$ models can only be distinguished at the 1.5 level. However, the remaining models can be discriminated with a significance of 4.1. The
TABLE III. Discrimination matrix for the KK gluons introduced in Sec. II for $M_\sigma = 3$ TeV and an integrated luminosity of 100 fb$^{-1}$ at the LHC. The model in each column is assumed to be the correct, measured model, and is tested against the hypothesis in each row. Since the discrimination matrix is symmetric in the limit of large statistics, we only show the entries above the diagonal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>basic RS</th>
<th>$r_{IR} = 5$</th>
<th>$r_{IR} = 20$</th>
<th>SO (5), $N = 0$</th>
<th>SO (5), $N = 1$</th>
<th>$E_1$</th>
<th>$E_2$</th>
<th>$E_3$</th>
<th>$E_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>basic RS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{IR} = 5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{IR} = 20$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO (5), $N = 0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO (5), $N = 1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each model and non-E$_1$ models, nally, can be separated at the 2.11 level, except for the basic RS and the E$_3$ model which will be very hard to discriminate through a measurement of the resonance curve for the mass and the integrated luminosity chosen. This can be easily understood. At the resonance peak, $m(t\bar{t}) = M_\sigma$, the $qq'gg'$ cross section is proportional to $B_r(G!qq)B_r(G!t\bar{t})$, where $q = u;d;s;c$ denotes a light quark. For a KK gluon in the basic RS and the E$_3$ model, the product of the two branching fractions accidentally agrees within 15%, making it very difficult to discriminate between the two models. Nevertheless, Table III demonstrates that a measurement of the resonance curve with a luminosity of 100 fb$^{-1}$ may well be able to eliminate a number of bulk RS models. At a luminosity upgraded LHC it should be possible to measure the couplings of a KK gluon candidate rather well, and perhaps, uniquely identify the underlying bulk RS model nature one has chosen.

C. KK gluon coupling analysis

The interactions of KK gluons in the E$_1$ models and models with a large brane kinetic term $r_{IR}$ are characterized by four couplings, $g^d, g^b, g^c_R$, and $g^b_R$. In all other models considered here, $g^b_R = g^b$, and there are only three independent couplings. A precise measurement of the Breit-Wigner resonance curve of KK gluons should make it possible to determine at least some of the couplings of KK gluons. Since the b-quark parton densities are much smaller than those of the light quarks, $Hb!g'g'$ $tt$ contributes little to the KK gluon cross section, even when $g^b_R$ is much larger than the SM strong coupling constant (e.g. in the $E_2$ and $E_4$ models). This makes it essentially impossible to directly measure $g^b_R$. However, $g^d, g^c$, and $g^c_R$ can, in principle, be measured.

The dependence of the $tt$ cross section on the KK gluon couplings is of Breit-Wigner form. Since the width of the KK gluons depends on the coupling constants, the dependence of the $tt$ cross section on the KK gluon couplings is sufficiently complicated to make the numerical extraction of sensitivity bounds very CPU time consuming when all three couplings are
varied simultaneously. We do not attempt such a general analysis here. Instead, in order to get a general idea of how well the couplings of a spin 1 resonance in the tt channel may be determined at the LHC, we derive sensitivity limits for the following two limiting cases which are of interest for the models discussed here, and which greatly simplify the numerical analysis.

1. The total width of the resonance (see Eq. (1)) is dominated by one coupling. Models which fall into this category are the basic RS, $E_1$ and $E_3$ models where $g^K_1$ dominates the width, the SO (5), $N = 0$, model where $g^K_L$ dominates, and the models with a large brane kinetic term $r_{IR}$ where the width is dominated by $g^0$. Since the contributions of the other two couplings to the total width is negligible, the cross section is approximately bi-linear in these couplings. This makes it possible to analytically solve for the coefficients multiplying these couplings in each bin of the distributions which are analyzed, provided that the coupling which dominates the width is treated as a constant. These coefficients are valid for arbitrary values of those couplings which are varied, even in regions where the dependence of the total width on those couplings can no longer be neglected. As a result, it becomes straightforward to derive one- and two-dimensional sensitivity bounds for these couplings. In order to ensure that our results remain valid for large deviations of the couplings from their predicted values, we do take into account the dependence of the width on the couplings when deriving limits. Whenever we derive bounds for those couplings which have a negligible impact on the total width of the KK gluon, we assume that the third coupling (which dominates the width) has the default value predicted by the model considered.

Naively, one may think that the cross section should be most sensitive to the coupling which dominates the total width, $g^{dom}$. However, this is not the case. Most of the sensitivity comes from the immediate vicinity of the resonance, $m \,(tt) = M_g$. At the resonance peak, the dependence of the numerator and the denominator on $g^{dom}$ in the square of the KK gluon amplitude approximately cancels. In addition, the interference term between the $KK$ gluon and the SM amplitude vanishes for $m \,(tt) = M_g$. As a result, the cross section is quite insensitive to the coupling which dominates the total width.

In the following, we will derive sensitivity limits for $g^{dom}$, assuming that the two other couplings are fixed to the values characteristic for the model under consideration.

2. In the remaining models, each coupling, unless it grossly deviates from its predicted value, has only a small effect on the total width. In this case we follow the approach outlined above for such couplings and derive one- and two-dimensional sensitivity limits.

In the following we present 68.3% confidence level (CL) limits for $g^b$, $g^L$, $g^K_1$, and $g^K_2$, and $M_g = 3$ TeV. A KK gluon with a mass of 3 TeV can be discovered with a 5 significance or better in all models considered here, except the SO (5) model with $N = 1$. We derive limits for integrated luminosities of 100 fb$^{-1}$ and 300 fb$^{-1}$ at the LHC, and 3000 fb$^{-1}$ at the SLHC. As before, we combine information from the $m \,(tt)$ and the $p_T \,(t!\,b')$ distributions. For smaller (larger) KK gluon masses, more (less) stringent limits on the couplings are obtained.
TABLE IV. 68.3% CL limits for the couplings of a KK gluon with mass $M_G = 3$ TeV for various integrated luminosities at the LHC and SLHC. Results are shown for the basic RS model, the SO (5) model with $N = 0$ and $N = 1$, and two models with a large brane kinetic term $r_{IR}$. Only one coupling at a time is varied. All limits are given in units of the QCD coupling constant $g_s$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_{IR}$</th>
<th>$g^d$</th>
<th>$g_L^c$</th>
<th>$g_R^c$</th>
<th>$g^d$</th>
<th>$g_L^c$</th>
<th>$g_R^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+0.14</td>
<td>+0.09</td>
<td>+0.03</td>
<td>+0.18</td>
<td>+0.09</td>
<td>+0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+0.05</td>
<td>+0.03</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>+0.05</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
<td>+0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity limits for the case when only one coupling at a time is varied are presented in Tables IV and V. In all models, except those with a large brane kinetic term $r_{IR}$, the coupling to light quarks can be measured with a precision of 10% for 100 fb$^{-1}$, and to 5% or better for 3000 fb$^{-1}$. In models with a large brane kinetic term $r_{IR}$, decays into light quarks dominate the width (see Table I), and $g^d$ can only be determined with an accuracy of 35% for 100 fb$^{-1}$ (3000 fb$^{-1}$). Note that, in addition to the allowed range for $g^d$ listed in Tables IV and V, an interval around $g^d = 0$ cannot be excluded.

Similarly, the coupling to left-handed top quarks can be measured with a precision of 10% for 100 fb$^{-1}$ (3000 fb$^{-1}$) except in the model with $r_{IR} = 5$ where more than 300 fb$^{-1}$ are needed in order to rule out a vanishing of $g_L^c$. Similar accuracies are achievable for $g_R^c$, except in the SO (5) model where $g_R^c$ almost vanishes and it will be impossible to establish a non-vanishing coupling of the KK gluons to right-handed top quarks even at the SLHC.

The bounds on $g_{L,R}^c$ are in many cases significantly weaker than those for $g^d$. In many of the models considered here, $g_L^c = g_R^c$ with $g_L^c$ being the coupling which dominates the width, or $g_L^c = g_R^c$ and $g_L^c$ dominates the KK gluon width. Since the differential cross section contains terms proportional to $g_{L,R}^c g_{L,R}^c$ and $g_{L,R}^c g_{L,R}^c$, it is obvious that the sensitivity to $g_{L,R}^c$ is significantly reduced in such models.
By varying only one coupling at a time, we ignore correlations between different couplings. These correlations are expected to be particularly pronounced between \( g_R \) and \( g_L \). This is easy to understand: the shape of the resonance curve may not change appreciably if the magnitude of \( g_R \) decreases, and that of the top quark coupling increases by a corresponding amount. Exam- ples of two-dimensional sensitivity limits in the \( g^2 g^L \) plane are shown in Fig. 3 for \( M_G = 3 \text{ TeV} \).

As expected, strong correlations are observed between \( g^L \) and \( g_R^L \). In some cases, the limits weaken so much when both couplings are varied simultaneously that \( g = M_G \) becomes of O (1), and one has to worry about matrix unitarity being violated. In this region, the bounds on \( g^L \) and \( g_R^L \), of course, become unreliable. The region in which \( g = M_G > 0.5 \) is indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 3. Note that the correlations between the couplings become progressively smaller with increasing integrated luminosity.

The results shown for the SO (5) model with \( N = 1 \) deserve further discussion. Figure 3b shows that it will be possible to place an upper bound on \( g_R^L \). Even with 3000 fb \(^{-1} \), a very narrow funnel remains where it is not possible to distinguish \( g_R^L \) and \( g^L \) from the SO (5) model with \( N = 1 \). However, much of that funnel lies in the region where possible unitarity violations cast doubt on the reliability of our results. The peculiar shape of the contour limit in the SO (5) model with \( N = 1 \) can be easily understood by recalling that the coupling of the KK gluon to right-handed top quarks almost vanishes in this model (see Table I). In the limit where \( g_R^L = 0 \) and \( g \) does not change appreciably when \( g^L \) and \( g_R^L \) are varied, the Breit-Wigner resonance curve does not change as long as the product \( g^L g_R^L \) remains invariant. The line of constant \( g^L g_R^L \) is indicated by the magenta line in Fig. 3b. In practice, the small but non-zero value of \( g_R^L = 0.07 \), and the variation of \( g \) are responsible for the deviation.
FIG. 3. Projected 68.3% CL bounds on the couplings of KK gluons with $M_G = 3$ TeV to light quarks, $g^q$, and left-handed top quarks, $g^t_L$, in a) the basic RS, b) the SO (5) with $N = 1$, c) the $E_2$ and d) the $E_1$ model at the LHC with an integrated luminosity of 100 fb$^{-1}$ (black lines), 300 fb$^{-1}$ (red lines), and 3000 fb$^{-1}$ (blue lines). The coupling of the KK gluon to right-handed top quarks is assumed to have the default value of the model considered (see Table I). The bounds are obtained from a log-likelihood analysis which combines information from the $m(tt)$ and $p_T(t!b')$ distributions. $g^q$ and $g^t_L$ are the deviations from the default values of the coupling constants predicted by the model considered. The magenta line in part b) indicates those couplings for which the product $g^q g^t_L$ is equal to the value predicted for the SO (5) model with $N = 1$.

of the allowed coupling parameters from the line of constant $g^q g^t_L$. The extremely strong correlations between $g^q$ and $g^t_L$ make it very difficult to pin down these couplings in the SO (5) model with $N = 1$. Correlations between $g^q$ and $g^t_R$, and $g^t_L$ and $g^t_R$, however, are small in this model.

In Fig. 4 we compare the limits which can be achieved for $g^q$ and $g^t_L$ with 100 fb$^{-1}$ in the $E_1$ and $E_2$ models, and the $E_2$ and $E_4$ models, respectively. KK gluons in the $E_1$ and $E_2$ models differ only by their coupling to the right-handed $b$ quarks, and the total width. Similarly, in the $E_2$ and $E_4$ models, only the coupling of the KK gluons to right-handed top
Fig. 4. Projected 68.3% CL bounds at the LHC on the couplings of KK gluons to light quarks, $g^q$, and left-handed top quarks, $g_L^t$, in a) the $E_1$ and $E_2$ models, and b) the $E_2$ and $E_4$ models. Results are shown for $M_G = 3$ TeV and 100 fb$^{-1}$. The coupling of the KK gluon to right-handed top quarks is assumed to have the default value of the respective model (see Table I). The bounds are obtained from a log-likelihood analysis which combines information from the $m(tt)$ and $p_T(t!b')$ distributions. $g^q$ and $g_L^t$ are the deviations from the default values of the coupling constants predicted by the model considered.
quarks and the total width differ. Figure 4 demonstrates that the sensitivity limits for $g_t^L$ and $g_t^R$ in the $E_6$ models depend only modestly on other coupling parameters. Qualitatively similar results are obtained for $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ and $3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

Strong correlations may also occur between $g_t^L$ and $g_t^R$. As an example, we show the two-dimensional 68.3% CL sensitivity limits in the $g_t^L$-$g_t^R$ plane for the $E_2$ and $E_4$ models and $M_G = 3 \text{ TeV}$ in Fig. 5. In order to pin down $g_t^L$ with a precision of 0 (10%) in these models, a luminosity upgrade of the LHC is needed. Similar correlations are observed between $g_t^L$ and $g_t^R$ in the two models (see Fig. 4). On the other hand, $g_t^L$ and $g_t^R$ display little correlation.

However, strong correlations between couplings are not only observed between $g_t^L$ and $g_t^R$, but also between the couplings of KK gluons to left- and right-handed top quarks. Figure 6 shows 68.3% CL limits for $g_t^L$ and $g_t^R$ in two models with a large brane kinetic term $f_{IR}$.

Figures 3–6 demonstrate that one-dimensional limits on the couplings of KK gluons may be totally misleading. Although the correlations between couplings become progressively smaller with increasing integrated luminosity, they may still significantly weaken sensitivity limits at the SLHC, in some cases by up to a factor 3. Although we have not studied the correlations for cases where one of the couplings dominates the KK gluon width, we expect that strong correlations may also occur there. While it will only be possible to obtain a limited amount of information on the couplings of KK gluons at the LHC with $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ or less of data when correlations are included, it will be possible to measure them with a precision of 50% at the SLHC.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Many New Physics models predict the existence of new particles decaying into a $t\bar{t}$ pair with masses in the TeV region. They lead to a peak in the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass distribution and a Jacobian peak in the $p_T(t)$ differential cross section. In this paper we specifically studied the production of KK gluons in bulk RS models in the $t\bar{t}$ channel at the LHC. Since the couplings of KK gluons to top quarks is suppressed in many bulk RS models, the $t\bar{t}$ final state becomes their main discovery channel. The lepton+ jets final state offers a good opportunity to search for such particles.

The search for resonances in the $t\bar{t}$ channel with masses in the TeV region requires the reconstruction of very energetic top quarks which faces two major difficulties. Firstly, very energetic top quarks are strongly boosted, and their decay products are highly collimated. This leads to overlapping and merging jets from hadronically decaying top quarks. Secondly, the tagging efficiency for b-quarks in $t\bar{t}$ events with very energetic top quarks may be up to a factor 3 smaller, and the misidentification probability of light quark or gluon jets may be up to a factor of 3 higher, than at low energies. This reduces the number of $t\bar{t}$ events which can be identified, and increases the background.

As we have shown in Ref. [33], these problems can be partially overcome by considering the $t\bar{t} + n$ jets final states with one or two tagged b-quarks and $n = 2; 3; 4$ instead of the canonical $t\bar{t} + 4$ jets final state with two b-tags, and by imposing suitable invariant mass and cluster transverse mass cuts. Using the results of Ref. [33], we calculated discovery limits for KK gluons in nine different bulk RS models by combining information from the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass, and the $p_T(t + b')$ distribution. Although information on the
FIG. 5. Projected 68.3% CL bounds at the LHC on the couplings of KK gluons to light quarks, $g^q$, and right-handed top quarks, $g^t_R$, in a) the $E_2$ model and b) the $E_4$ model, with an integrated luminosity of 100 fb$^{-1}$ (black lines), 300 fb$^{-1}$ (red lines), and 3000 fb$^{-1}$ (blue lines). The coupling of the KK gluon to left-handed top quarks is assumed to have the default value of the model considered (see Table I). The bounds are obtained from a log-likelihood analysis which combines information from the $m(t\bar{t})$ and $p_T(t^\tau$ b') distributions. $g^q$ and $g^t_R$ are the deviations from the default values of the coupling constants predicted by the model considered.
FIG. 6. Projected 68.3% CL bounds at the LHC on the couplings of KK gluons to left- and right-handed top quarks, $g^t_L$ and $g^t_R$ in two models with a large brane kinetic term $\kappa_{IR}$. Results are shown for 100 fb$^{-1}$ (black lines), 300 fb$^{-1}$ (red lines), and 3000 fb$^{-1}$ (blue lines). The mass of the KK gluon is fixed to $M_G = 3$ TeV. The coupling of the KK gluon to light quarks is assumed to have the default value of the model considered (see Table I). The bounds are obtained from a log-likelihood analysis which combines information from the $m(tt)$ and $p_T(t^\pm b^\mp)$ distributions. $g^t_L$ and $g^t_R$ are the deviations from the default values of the coupling constants predicted by the model considered.
longitudinal degree of freedom is lost in the $p_T (t/b')$ distribution, it has the advantage of a substantially smaller SM non-$t\bar{t}$ background. Our calculation takes into account the typical momentum resolution of an LHC experiment, particle identification efficiencies, and the energy loss due to b-quark decay.

Assuming a $b$-tagging efficiency of $b = 0.2$ and a light quark/gluon jet mistagging probability of $P_{j\rightarrow b} = 1 = 30$, as suggested by preliminary ATLAS simulations [31,32], we found that, in most models considered, KK gluons with a mass of up to $3.5$ TeV (4.45 TeV) can be discovered at the LHC with an integrated luminosity of $100$ fb$^{-1}$ ($300$ fb$^{-1}$). For comparison, electroweak precision measurements require KK gluons in bulk RS models to be heavier than $2.3$ TeV [55]. The LHC should therefore be able to considerably constrain such models.

For comparison, we also listed the discovery limits for the $Z_H$ boson in the Littlest Higgs model, and the KK graviton in bulk RS models in the $t\bar{t}$ channel. The discovery limits for the $Z_H$ boson are about a factor 1.5, and those for the KK graviton are more than a factor 2, weaker than those for KK gluons. In both cases, other final states may offer a better chance to search for these particles: the $Z_H$ boson can be discovered in Drell-Yan production with masses up to $5$ TeV, whereas a KK graviton in bulk RS models can be found in the $WW$ final state with masses up to $3.5$ TeV.

We also investigated, for the example of a KK gluon with mass $M_G = 3$ TeV, how well different bulk RS models can be distinguished through a measurement of the KK gluon resonance curve. We found that, for $100$ fb$^{-1}$, the $E_1$ models can only be distinguished at the 1.5σ level. However, the remaining models can be discriminated with a significance of up to 10σ. The $E_1$ models and non-$E_1$ models, finally, can be separated at the 2σ level, except for the basic RS and the $E_3$ model which will be very hard to discriminate from a measurement of the KK gluon resonance curve. The conclusion to draw from this investigation is that the Breit-Wigner resonance curve in the $t\bar{t}$ final state does have some analyzing power, and thus may be helpful in discriminating new physics models.

Finally, we studied how well the KK gluon couplings can be measured at the LHC and SLHC. In the $E_i$, $i = 1; \ldots; 4$ models, the coupling to the right-handed $b$-quark is an independent parameter. Since $b$-quark fusion contributes only little to the KK gluon cross section, it will be impossible to determine the $G_{t\bar{t}b'}$ coupling from the shape of the KK gluon resonance curve in the $t\bar{t}$ final state. The remaining three couplings, $g_t^b, g_{t\bar{t}}^b = g_t^b$, and $g_{t\bar{t}}^{b'}$, however, can be constrained from an analysis of the $m(t\bar{t})$ and $p_T (t/b')$ distributions. We presented one- and two-dimensional $68.3\%$ CL limits for these couplings. In several models, one coupling completely dominates the KK gluon width. Since interference effects vanish, and the dependence on the coupling which dominates the width approximately cancels, at the peak position of the Breit-Wigner resonance where most KK gluon events are concentrated, it will be difficult to precisely measure this coupling. We also found that correlations between couplings may strongly affect the sensitivity bounds which can be achieved. Nevertheless, at the SLHC, it should be possible to determine the couplings of a KK gluon resonance with a mass of up to $3$ TeV with a precision of $50\%$ in most models.

Our results are subject to a number of uncertainties and thus should be interpreted with care. For example, since most background processes are not known at NLO, all our signal and background calculations have been carried out at LO, and thus are subject to substantial renormalization and factorization uncertainties. A perhaps even larger uncertainty originates
from the b-tagging efficiency and the light quark and gluon jet misidentification probability at large tt invariant masses, which is only poorly known at present. PDF uncertainties, on the other hand, appear to be relatively small [59].

The numerical results presented here were obtained by combining information from the $m(t\bar{t})$ and the $p_t(t'\! b')$ distributions. Since we ignore correlated systematic uncertainties, our results are somewhat optimistic. On the other hand, our background estimate has been deliberately conservative. Furthermore, in future studies one may include additional distributions in the analysis such as the transverse momentum distribution of the charged lepton which is sensitive to the chirality of the coupling of the KK gluon to the top quark. This could potentially improve the accuracy on the KK gluon couplings which may be obtained at the LHC and SLHC.
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