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The QCD sum rule approach is employed in order to study chiral properties of positive- and negative-parity nucleon resonances. It is pointed out that nucleons with an \"exotic\" chiral property, which can be represented by local ve-quark operators, can be paired with a standard nucleon forming a single chiral multiplet. The sum rules of the ve-quark operators, however, are shown not to couple strongly to chirally-\"exotic\" nucleon resonances at the mass region of less than 2 GeV.

I. INTRODUCTION

Chiral symmetry is one of the most important properties of low energy quantum chromodynamics (QCD). It is an almost-exact symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian, although not respected by the vacuum and the low-lying hadron spectrum. The QCD vacuum has a chirally-non-invariant condensate, \( h q q \), and thus the symmetry is spontaneously broken. The symmetry breaking is manifested as non-degenerate spectra of positive- and negative-parity hadrons, which would be identical if chiral symmetry were exact and not broken. The symmetry breaking is accommodated by a Nambu-Goldstone boson, i.e., the pion, whose mass is not exactly zero, but small enough so that it is consistent with chiral symmetry. Effects of chiral symmetry on the spectrum of the other mesons have been extensively studied. The scalar mesons are important ingredients of chiral symmetry, which form a chiral multiplet together with the pseudoscalar mesons. The vector mesons can be incorporated as hidden-gauge vector bosons, which obtain the masses by the Higgs mechanism.

In contrast, the baryons are not thoroughly studied in the context of chiral symmetry. One way of treating the baryon consistently with chiral symmetry is to regard the baryon as a soliton state, e.g., the Skyrme[1,2,3]. This picture is valid only for the large \( N_c \) limit and generally \( 1+N_c \) corrections are not negligible for \( N_c = 3 \). Another formulation is an effective theory approach in which the baryon is classified by linear or non-linear representations of chiral symmetry[4,5]. It is the linear representation which is useful in studying the chiral properties of baryons. In chiral SU(2) SU(2), the \( I=1/2 \) baryon (ex. nucleon) may belong to the fundamental representation of SU(2) and vice versa. This representation, called \"standard\", is identical to that of the fundamental quark.

A new formulation was proposed some time ago that involves two species of nucleons \(( J = 1/2 \text{ and } I = 1 ) \). There is one of them is standard, while the other is non-standard, belonging to the representation with the left and right reversed, i.e., \((0;1) = (1;0) \) \((0;1) \). This \"exotic\" nucleon state, the right-handed component is transformed as a fundamental representation of the left SU(2) and vice versa. The combination of a standard nucleon and an \"exotic\" nucleon leads to a possibility of pairing positive- and negative-parity nucleons into a single chiral representation (called chiral imirror pair), so that in the limit of chiral symmetry restoration they form a degenerate nucleon pair.

The purpose of this paper is to study the possibility of the chiral imirror pair of nucleons in the QCD sum rule technique, which has been proven useful in exploring hadron spectrum directly from QCD \[1,2,3,11\]. Lattice QCD is obviously a powerful tool in determining hadron masses from first principles, but it still has some limitations: unbound resonances are not easily accessible, chiral symmetry is expensive, and unquenched calculations are not yet fully available. The QCD sum rule is a powerful tool in several ways, such that it is good at treating non-perturbative aspects of chiral symmetry breaking, and that it gives direct relations between the hadron spectrum and the QCD vacuum properties. We concentrate on the \( N_f = 2 \) chiral symmetry and consider the chiral imirror pair of nucleons, one of which is chirally-\"exotic\", namely the left- and right-handed charges are reversedly assigned compared with that of the fundamental quark. We point out that such an assignment requires a ve-quark interpolating field operator. Construction of the sum rule for the ve-quark operator is the main part of the work.

In sect. II, we briefly review how the chiral imirror pair can be classified in chiral SU(2) \( SU(2) \). In sect. III, we consider the sum rule for the chirally-\"exotic\" nucleon and point out that it can be represented by ve-quark...
local operators. The sum rules are constructed for the ve-quark operators also in sect. III. Results of the numerical analyses of the sum rules are presented in sect. IV. Conclusions are given in sect. V.

II. REPRESENTATIONS FOR BARYONS

Possible representations of the chiral SU (2)h, SU (2)l symmetry for three quark baryons are given by [12,13]:

\[ Q^2 \quad q^2 = \frac{1}{2} q^0 \quad q^2 = 0\frac{1}{2} \]

\[ = \frac{3}{2} q^0 \quad 0;\frac{3}{2} \quad 3 \quad \frac{1}{2} q^1 \quad 1;\frac{1}{2} \quad 5 \quad \frac{1}{2} q^0 \quad 0;\frac{1}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The first and second terms in r.h.s. correspond purely to isospin 3=2 and 1=2 states, respectively, while the second term gives a m mixture of isospin 1=2 and 3=2. The simplest choice for the nucleon is the \( \frac{1}{2};0 \quad 0;\frac{1}{2} \) representation. Under the chiral group operation, this multiplet transforms in the same way as the fundamental quark \( \bar{\psi} \psi \):

\[ iQ^2 \cdot N_{1r} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi, \quad iQ^2 \cdot N_{1l} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( N_{1r} \) and \( N_{1l} \) denote the right- and left-handed nucleon fields, respectively. \( Q^2 \cdot \bar{\psi} \) (a = 1;2;3) are the right- and left-SU (2) generators (charge operators) and \( \bar{\gamma} \) is the Pauli matrices. The representations \( \frac{1}{2} q^0 \quad 0;\frac{3}{2} \) and \( \frac{3}{2} q^1 \quad 1;\frac{1}{2} \) are candidates for the baryon resonance as both of them contain isospin I = \( \frac{1}{2} \) states. In a previous study [14], the representation \( \frac{1}{2} q^0 \quad 0;\frac{3}{2} \) is chosen for \( \bar{\psi} \). This choice is consistent with being a resonance strongly coupled to the N system, because \( \frac{3}{2} q^1 \quad 1;\frac{1}{2} \) does not contain \( \frac{1}{2} q^0 \). The physical baryons may well be mixed states of multiple representations, because chiral symmetry is broken in QCD. We concentrate on the simplest representation, \( \frac{1}{2} q^0 \quad 0;\frac{3}{2} \) for the nucleon here, assuming that this is the main component.

Now, let us consider two nucleon species, \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), later being assigned to positive and negative parity nucleon resonances. There are two ways to assign the chiral representations for \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \). In the first case (called naive assignment [12]), both \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are assigned as the same representation as that of Eq. (2), i.e.,

\[ iQ^2 \cdot N_{1r} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi, \quad iQ^2 \cdot N_{1l} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The second choice, called mirror assignment [13], is to give \( N_2 \) reversed charges as

\[ iQ^2 \cdot N_{2r} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi, \quad iQ^2 \cdot N_{2l} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Note that the right-handed \( N_{2r} \) transform under SU (2)h, while \( N_{2l} \) transform under SU (2)l. We call the transformation rules in Eq. (3) standard and in Eq. (4) exotic, respectively. In the four component representation, we note that the axial-vector coupling constant \( g_A \) of \( N_2 \) has the opposite sign to that of \( N_1 \) in the mirror assignment, i.e.,

\[ iQ^2 \cdot N_{1l} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi, \quad iQ^2 \cdot N_{2l} = i\bar{\psi}^T \bar{\gamma} \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The possibility of the mirror assignment was first pointed out by Lee [3]. Later, DifFar9 and Kunihiro [3] showed that the mirror model for the ground-state nucleon and its negative-parity excited state gives interesting features using the linear sign model formulation. The linear sign model Lagrangian for the mirror assignment is given by

\[ L_{\text{mirror}} = N_{1l} \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu N_{1l} \quad g_1 N_{1l} (+ i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \sim) N_{1l} \]

\[ + N_{2l} \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu N_{2l} \quad g_2 N_{2l} (+ i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \sim) N_{2l} \]

\[ m_0 N_{1l} s N_{2l} - N_{2l} s N_{1l} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

It should be noted that the last term of Eq. (6) gives a new mass term, which does not break chiral symmetry. The mass parameter \( m_0 \) is a chiral scalar, whose origin in QCD is very interesting. It should not be related to the
quark condensate, \( \eta q i \), which breaks chiral symmetry, but should be given by other mass scales, such as the gluon condensate \( \kappa G^2 i \).

When chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken by the \( \eta q i \) condensate, \( \eta = 0 \), the mass matrix of the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) can be diagonalized, giving the mixing angle of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) by

\[
\tan 2 \theta = \frac{2m_0}{s \left(g_1 + g_2\right)}; \quad (7)
\]

\[
m = m_0 + \frac{1}{4m_0^2} \left(g_1 + g_2\right)^2 \left(g_0^2 - g_1 g_2\right). \quad (8)
\]

One sees from Eq. (8) that the nucleons \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) have a non-zero mass \( m \) when chiral symmetry is restored \( \eta = 0 \). In contrast, for usual (Dirac) fermions chiral symmetry in the Wigner-Weyl mode restricts particles to be massless, hence they acquire their masses only via the coupling with the quark condensate of the vacuum.

An interesting feature of the \( \eta \) form assignmnt is the opposite signs of the axial-vector couplings of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \). As they are related to the pion-nucleon Yukawa coupling constants through the Goldberger-Treiman relation, they may be observed directly in pion-eta photo-production experiments.[20] After the mixing of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), this feature still remains as we see from the commutation relations,

\[
[Q^a_A; N_1] = t^a 5 \left(\cos 2 N_1 \sin 2 5 N\right); \quad (9)
\]

\[
[Q^a_A; N_2] = t^a 5 \left(\sin 2 N_1 \cos 2 5 N\right); \quad (10)
\]

giving the matrix expression

\[
Q_A = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos 2 & \sin 2 \\ 
\sin 2 & \cos 2
\end{pmatrix}; \quad (11)
\]

From this we see the diagonal components \( q^{a+}_A \) and \( q^{a-}_A \) have opposite signs as expected. The absolute values are smaller than unity.

It is interesting to see how the \( \eta \) form model works phenomenologically. If we assign the ground state \( N \) (939) and the first negative-parity excited state \( N \) (1535) for \( N \) and \( N^+ \), respectively, then we can use the model parameters, using \( m = 939, m = 1535 \) MeV, \( g = 93 \) MeV and \( g_{N,N} = 0.7 \). The last relation is extracted from the partial decay width of \( N \) (1535).[21] This choice of parameters results in the mixing angle \( \theta = 63 \), and the axial charges, \( q_A^+ = q_A^- = 0.98 \). This contradicts with experimental results for the nucleon, \( q_A = 0 \). It is, however, well known that the experimental value can be reproduced in the linear sigma model only when higher dimensional representations, such as \( (1;1;2) \), are introduced and superposed to the \( (1;2;0)+(0;1;2) \) representation [17]. Hence the mixing of the two states \( N_1, N_2 \) is not very large; the nucleon \( N \) (939) is dominated in this scheme by the standard chiral component, \( N_1 \), while \( N \) (1535) by the \( \eta \) form component, \( N_2 \).

III. ANALYSES OF \( \eta \) NUCLEONS IN QCD SUM RULES

It is extremely interesting to check in QCD whether the \( \eta \) form assignment is realized in the nucleon spectrum. If it is the case, we expect to find a nucleon resonance state which couples to the local operator transformed as in Eq. (4). In order to investigate the existence of the \( \eta \) nucleon states in the QCD sum rule technique, we start from constructing local interpolating fields with the relevant chiral properties, and then the sum rules are constructed and studied.

A. Interpolating fields for \( \eta \) nucleons

According to the PCAC relation, the pion corresponds to a local quark bilinear operator,

\[
\hat{q}(x) = \hat{q}(x) \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{q} & \bar{q} \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}_S \begin{pmatrix}
2m & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}_S \hat{q}(x) \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{q} & \bar{q} \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}_S; \quad (12)
\]

Here \( \begin{pmatrix}
q \bar{q}
\end{pmatrix}_S \) stands for the color-singlet \( (q \bar{q}) \). It is easy to see that this operator is transformed as the a-th component of a chiral SO(4) vector. The axial transformation gives

\[
\frac{Q^a_A; \begin{pmatrix}
q \bar{q} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}_S}{\begin{pmatrix}
q \bar{q}
\end{pmatrix}_S} = i \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
q \bar{q} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}_S; \quad (13)
\]
Here $[qg]_S$ is the fourth component of the $O(4)$ vector, which corresponds to a scalar isoscalar meson, i.e. $\psi$. Thus the set of operators,

$$
(q(x)i\gamma^5 q(x))_S \quad [q(x)q(x)]_S \quad \quad (^a;^b)
$$

belongs to a linear representation $(\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2})$ of the chiral $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ group.

The nucleon operator is supposed to consist of three quark operators:

$$
B(x) = (q^T(x)C \cdot \bar{s}(x))_{0-0} \quad \quad (CS)
$$

where $\alpha$ is the Dirac index of the nucleon and $C$ is the charge conjugation gamma matrix, $C = i \gamma^0$. The first two quarks in $(13)$ form a scalar diquark with $I = 0$, which commutes with $Q^{\alpha}_A$. Thus the nucleon operator itself behaves just like a quark field under chiral transform,

$$
[Q^{\alpha}_A ;B(x)] = s^\alpha B(x)
$$

This equation proves that $B$ is chirally-standard so that it belongs to the linear representation, $(\frac{1}{2};0) \quad (0;\frac{1}{2})$, with the axial charge $g_A = 1$.

There is an alternative choice for the nucleon operator,

$$
B'(x) = (q^T(x)C \cdot \bar{s}(x))_{0-0} \quad \quad (CS) :\quad \quad (17)
$$

It is easy to see that $B'$ also satisfies Eq. (16), and is standard. The most general local operator with no derivative (for the proton) is given explicitly by

$$
B_p(x) = ^{abc} (u^{aT}C \cdot d^b) \cdot u^c + t(u^{aT}C \cdot d^b)u^c ;
$$

where $a$, $b$, and $c$ stand for the color of the quarks, and $t$ is a parameter which controls the mixing of the two independent operators.

What is a possible local operator of quarks that transforms in the chirally-" exotic" way? The signature of the chirally-" exotic" nucleon is a negative axial charge, $g_A < 0$. We have seen that the three-quark nucleon operators, $(13)$, give $g_A = 1$, corresponding to the standard chiral representation. It turns out that the "exotic" nucleon requires more than three quarks if it is constructed as a local operator without derivatives.

We consider the color-singlet scalar/pseudoscalar bilinear operators, given by $(14)$, which are transformed as $(13)$. Then, the "exotic" nucleon can be represented by a local ve-quark operator,

$$
B = [qg]_S B + [q_5 B q_5 (i_5 B) ;
$$

where $B$ is the iso-doublet three-quark operators defined by Eq. (15). Using Eqs. (16) and (17), one easily computes

$$
[Q^{\alpha}_A ;B ] = s^\alpha B
$$

and thus $B$ has a negative axial charge $g_A = 1$. In constructing the sum rule, we employ $B$ for generating an "exotic" nucleon state, although the ve-quark local operator for the "exotic" nucleon is not unique.

**B. QCD sum rules for "exotic" nucleons**

In constructing QCD sum rules for "exotic" nucleons, we do not assume parity of the nucleon so that both the positive and negative-parity states with ve-quarks can contribute to the sum rule. If we obtain a negative-parity "exotic" nucleon, then it is considered to be paired with a positive-parity standard nucleon, while a positive-parity "exotic" nucleon should couple to a negative-parity standard nucleon state. Thus determining the parity of the obtained state is important.

It has been shown that the parity projection can be successfully performed for the neutron states using the retarded Green's function in the sum rule for the rest frame, $q = 0$, $(22)$

$$
(q_0) = \frac{1}{d^4 x e^{ip \cdot x} \delta(0)(x^0)B(x)B(0)j_1 ;
$$

$$
(21)
$$
This correlation function is analytic for \( \text{Im} \ q_0 > 0 \) and its imaginary part for real \( q_0 > 0 \) can be expressed in terms of the spectral functions as

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \ (q_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (q_0) + \frac{1}{2} (q_0) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ (q_0) + \frac{1}{2} (q_0) \right] \]

\[
= A(q_0) + B(q_0); \tag{22}
\]

where \( ( \cdot ) \) is the spectral function for the positive–(negative–) parity nucleon states at \( q_0 > 0 \), and

\[
A(q_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (q_0) + (q_0) \right] ; \quad B(q_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (q_0) - (q_0) \right] ; \tag{23}
\]
or equivalently, \( (q_0) = A(q_0) - B(q_0) \). It is important to note that the B term is responsible for every difference in the positive– and negative-parity spectral functions. In the following, we observe in the operator product expansion (OPE) that this term is proportional to (odd powers of) chiral odd condensates, such as \( \langle h g q \rangle \) or \( \langle h g q \rangle^2 \).

The imaginary part of the correlation function is evaluated at the asymptotic region, \( q_0^2 \rightarrow 1 \) (or \( q_0^2 \rightarrow 512 \)) using the operator product expansion (OPE) technique. We obtain

\[
A_{\text{OPE}}(q_0) = (90 + 36t + 90c_0^2) \frac{q_0^{11}}{2^{11} \cdot 5! \cdot 11!} + (41 + 14t + 41c_0^2) \frac{q_0^7}{2^{10} \cdot 4! \cdot 5! \cdot 6!} h_{\text{ps}} \cdot 1 G_{ij}^2
\]

\[
+ (111 + 42t + 153c_0^2) \frac{q_0^6}{3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \langle h q g q \rangle^2
\]

\[
+ (25 + 38t + 203c_0^2) \frac{q_0^3}{3^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3!} \langle h q h g q \rangle G_{ij}
\]

\[
+ (523 + 166t + 1219c_0^2) \frac{q_0^2}{3^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3!} \langle h q h g q \rangle \cdot 2 G_{ij}^2
\]

\[
+ (3539 + 1150t + 6853c_0^2) \frac{q_0}{3^3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3!} \langle h q h g q \rangle G_{ij}^2
\]

\[
(131 + 50t + 181c_0^2) \frac{q_0^2}{3^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3!} \langle h q g q \rangle^2 (q_0); \tag{24}
\]

\[
B_{\text{OPE}}(q_0) = (33 + 6t + 39c_0^2) \frac{q_0^6}{2^{10} \cdot 4! \cdot 5!} \langle h q g q \rangle
\]

\[
+ (13 + 7t + 20c_0^2) \frac{q_0^6}{3^3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3!} \langle h g q q \rangle G_{ij}
\]

\[
+ (28 + 5t + 23c_0^2) \frac{q_0^6}{3^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3!} \langle h q h q h \rangle G_{ij} G_{ij}
\]

\[
+ (37 + 14t + 45c_0^2) \frac{q_0^6}{2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 3!} \langle h q g q \rangle^3
\]

\[
+ (289 + 14t + 119c_0^2) \frac{1}{3} \langle h q h q h g q \rangle G_{ij} G_{ij} \tag{25}
\]

from the OPE up to the dimension 12 operators. We take the chiral limit for the up and down quarks, i.e., \( m_q = 0 \), where we use the symbol \( q \) for the up and down quarks.

A technical remark is made here on the QCD sum rule of ve-quark interpolating operators. In determining the validity of the constructed QCD sum rules, it is crucial to check the dominance of the pole contribution to the continuum contribution in the spectral function. In the case of ve-quark sum rules, the continuum contributions are potentially large, since the logarithmic terms appear in higher-order terms in the OPE. This stems from the higher mass dimension of the correlation function than the ordinary nucleon case owing to the larger number of quark flavors. To avoid this problem, the OPE should be calculated up to higher dimension terms. In the analysis of the pentaquark sum rules, the importance of the higher dimension contributions of the OPE is examined in detail [24].

The sum rule is obtained by comparing the OPE of the correlation function, Eq. 24, and the explicit form of the spectral functions at real positive \( q_0 \) via the analytic continuation. It is assumed that the spectral function can be expressed by a pole plus continuum contribution,

\[
\text{phen}(q_0) = j \int \left( q_0 + m \right) + \left( q_0 + \frac{P_{\text{sm}}}{\text{cont}}(q_0) \right); \tag{25}
\]
where \( j \) denotes the residue of the pole. The residue should be positive, which gives a condition to check the validity of the results obtained from the sum rule. The continuum part is further assumed to be identical to the corresponding OPE function at above the threshold \( t_{\text{sth}} \), \( \text{cont} = \text{OPE} \cdot A_{\text{OPE}} \cdot B_{\text{OPE}} \).

In order to enhance the pole part and also suppress the higher-dimensional terms of the OPE, we introduce a weight function, which is analogous to the Borel transformation, a popular technique in QCD sum rules, as

\[
W(q_p) = \exp \frac{q_p^2}{M_B^2},
\]

(26)

\( M_B \) is the relevant mass scale, which we call the Borel mass, and the sum rule is obtained from

\[
d_{\text{OPE}}(q_p) = \int d_{\text{OPE}}(q_p)_{\text{photon}}(q_p) = \int d_{\text{OPE}}(q_p)_{\text{OPE}}(q_p).
\]

(27)

Physical quantities are to be independent of the choice of \( M_B \) ideally, but in practice, the truncation in the OPE and the incompleteness of the pole plus continuum assumption lead to mild dependence. We have to choose a reasonable range of \( M_B \) carefully to evaluate the physical quantities. Finally, we obtain the sum rules for the positive- and negative-parity nucleons,

\[
L^+(M_B^2, s_{\text{th}}^2) = j^+ j \exp \frac{m_j^2}{M_B^2} = \int \frac{Z^2}{s_{\text{th}}} d\phi_{\text{OPE}}(q_p) \exp \frac{q_p^2}{M_B^2},
\]

(28)

\[
I^0(M_B^2, s_{\text{th}}^2) = j^i j \exp \frac{m_j^2}{M_B^2} = \int \frac{Z^2}{s_{\text{th}}} d\phi_{\text{OPE}}(q_p) \exp \frac{q_p^2}{M_B^2}.
\]

(29)

The masses of the positive- and negative-parity states are obtained by taking the logarithmic derivative of Eqs. (28) and (29):

\[
m^2(M_B^2, s_{\text{th}}^2) = d \log L = d(1 - M_B^2).
\]

(30)

IV. RESULTS

A. The sum rules for \( B \)

The OPE of the correlators expressed in terms of several parameters in QCD, such as the quark masses and the vacuum condensates. We take the chiral limit for the up and down quarks, i.e., \( m_q = 0 \), for simplicity, because the nine light-quark masses (except strangeness) hardly change the results. The employed values of the other parameters are summarized in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Lambda ) (GeV)</th>
<th>( m_{\text{c}} ) (GeV)</th>
<th>( m_{\text{b}} ) (GeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.23 G eV \text{~}</td>
<td>0.8 G eV \text{~}</td>
<td>0.5 G eV \text{~}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spectral functions are parametrized as Eq. (25) with a few physical parameters, the masses, \( m \), and the residues, \( j \), of the designated pole states, and the threshold parameters, \( s_{\text{th}} \). The choice of the operator contains another parameter \( t \), defined in Eq. (13). We determine \( t \) so that the operator couples to the positive- and negative-parity states strongly and also that the contributions of higher-dimensional terms in the OPE are small. Fig. 1 shows the behavior of higher-dimensional terms normalized by the total OPE as a function of \( t \). \( M_B = 12 \text{ G eV} \) and \( t_{\text{sth}} = 1 \text{ G eV} \) are used. We find that around \( t = 1 \) and \( t = 1 \), the higher-dimensional terms' positive-parity nucleon sum rule is suppressed. In view of the convergence of the OPE, such a \( t \) is suitable for the sum rules. The three-quark part of the \( t = 1 \) operator is nothing but the "pole current" operator, which is known to work well in the nucleon sum rule (as well as the lattice QCD) calculations.

On the other hand, because the magnitude of the total OPE for the negative-parity nucleon \( B^- \) is smaller than that of \( B^+ \), the higher-dimensional terms give relatively large contribution for the \( B^- \) sum rule. The region \( t > 1 \) shows a fairly good convergence for the OPE of the negative-parity nucleon.
FIG. 1: Relative contributions of the dim. 11 and 12 terms of the OPE as functions of $t$ with $M_B = 1.2$ GeV and $P_{th} = 15$ GeV. The left panel is for $t$ around unity and the right is for $t$ around 1.

FIG. 2: The Borel mass dependences of the masses of $B^+$ and $B^-$. 

FIG. 3: The pole contributions defined by Eq. (31) for $B^+$ and $B^-$ as functions of the Borel mass, $M_B$. 
A necessary check is to see whether the sum rule is consistent with the positive pole residues, \( j \neq f \). Some estimates of the sum rule give spurious poles that do not correspond to physical states with positive pole residues. The results may include some spurious solutions which yield negative residues. In our case, we find that there is no physical solution at \( t < 0 \) for \( B^+ \).

After the above considerations, we construct sum rules with \( t = 1 \) for positive-parity states and with \( t = 1d \) for negative-parity states. Then we determine the pole parameters as well as the threshold values, \( \beta_{\text{OPE}} \), from the sum rules under the condition that the Borel mass dependences are suppressed. Fig. 2 shows the Borel mass dependences of the masses of \( B^+ \) and \( B^- \). One sees that the Borel stability is fairly well satisfied.

In order to examine how well these sum rules work, we consider the pole contribution denoted by

\[
Z P_{\text{PE}}(q^2) e^{-q^2/\lambda^2} , \quad Z_0 P_{\text{OPE}}(q^2) e^{-q^2/\lambda^2} : \quad (31)
\]

Fig. 3 shows the ratios for the positive- and negative-parity sum rules. We choose the Borel window as the region where the pole contribution is more than 30%. This constraint is somewhat weaker than the standard criterion used in the literature [12], but we have confirmed that the results are not sensitive to the choice of this value. Then the chosen Borel window is at \( M_B < 1.3 \) GeV. The predicted masses of the \( B^+ \) and \( B^- \) are about \( 0.8 \) 1.6 GeV and 1.6 1.6 GeV, respectively. The amplitudes due to the Borel mass dependences and also from the ambiguities in determining the threshold masses, which are not determined precisely because the Borel stability is not sensitive to the choice of the threshold, as is seen in Fig. 2.

B. The sum rules for the \("pure"\) \( \eta \)-quark operator

Although the above results seem to indicate the existence of the \("exotic"\) \( \eta \) quark, it is noted that the predicted mass of \( B^+ \) is very low. We suspect that it corresponds to the \( \eta \) quark in the nucleon ground state (\( N (939) \)), which is known to be produced by a three-quark operator very well. Indeed, the interpolating \( \eta \) \( B^+ \) happens to contain a component which is equivalent to the standard three-quark operator after contracting the \( h q q \) condensate. Then, the above results may just reproduce those obtained from the three-quark operator.

In order to investigate the above possibility, we separate the three-quark operator by taking all the possible contractions of \( h q q \) and obtain

\[
B^+ (x) = B^0 (x) + 2hqq B^0 (x) : \quad (32)
\]

Here, the interpolating \( \eta \) \( B^+ (x) \) is the standard three-quark operator, Eq. [13]. Then we expect that the interpolating \( \eta \) \( B^+ (x) \) is a genuine \( \eta \) quark operator in which the three-quark component is subtracted.

The interpolating \( \eta \) \( B^+ (x) \) has been employed in standard QCD sum rule analyses of positive- and negative-parity nucleon states [15,22]. (The operator \( B^3 \)(x), of course, gives the identical sum rule.) We indeed find that the \( B^+ \) (or \( B^3 \)) sum rules give results quite similar to those obtained from the \( B^+ \) sum rule. Namely, the above results are nothing but the readdition of the containting \( B^3 \) (x) operator in the \( \eta \)-quark operator. We thus conclude that the obtained masses of the positive- and negative-parity nucleons are those of the states with standard chiral property, not a candidates for the \("exotic"\) one.

Expecting that the interpolating \( \eta \) \( B^0 \) as the pure \( \eta \)-quark component should couple strongly to the \("exotic"\) state, we perform the sum rule analysis of \( B^0 \). From Eqs. [23] and [33], the OPE side of the sum rule for \( B^0 \) is given by

\[
A_{\text{OPE}}(q^2) = + (90 + 36t + 90t^2) \frac{q_{11}^7}{211} G\frac{1}{i} G + (41 + 14t + 41t^2) \frac{q_{12}^7}{210} G\frac{1}{i} G + (3 \frac{2t + 11t^2}{212} h q q \frac{1}{i} G) + (25 + 38t + 203t^2) \frac{q_{23}^1}{211} G h q q \frac{1}{i} G + (197 \frac{12t + 499t^2}{212} q_{23}^1) \frac{1}{i} G h q q \frac{1}{i} G
\]
\[ \mathcal{B}_{\text{OPE}}(\mathcal{B}_s) = + (33 \times 35 + 39 t + 1) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}}^2 h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}}^2 \approx G q_1^2 \]

\[ + (11 \times 26 + 11 t^2) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}} h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}}^* G q_1 \]

\[ + (11 \times 26 + 11 t^2) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}}^* h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}} \approx 1 G^2 i \]

\[ + (28 + 5 t + 11 t^2) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}}^2 h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}} \approx G q_1 \]

(33)

\[ B_{\text{OPE}}(\mathcal{B}) = + (33 \times 35 + 39 t + 1) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}}^2 h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}}^2 \approx G q_1^2 \]

\[ + (11 \times 26 + 11 t^2) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}} h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}}^* G q_1 \]

\[ + (11 \times 26 + 11 t^2) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}}^* h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}} \approx 1 G^2 i \]

\[ + (28 + 5 t + 11 t^2) \left( \frac{q_0^2}{3} + \frac{q_1^2}{6} \right) h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{s}}^2 h_{\text{g} \text{i} \text{h}} \approx G q_1 \]

(33)

**Fig. 4:** Relative contributions of the dim. 11 and 12 terms of the OPE for $B_s^*$ as functions of $t$ with $M_B = 12$ GeV and $p_{\text{th}} = 15$ GeV. The left panel is for $t$ around unity and the right is for $t$ around 1.

**Fig. 5:** The Borel mass dependence of the mass of $B_s^*$ for various $p_{\text{th}}$.

In Fig. 4, we show the convergence of higher-dimensional contributions for $B_s^*$ and $B_s$. We find no good OPE convergence in the region $2 < t < 2$ due to cancellation among the OPE terms. In particular, the dimension 11 contribution is larger than the total OPE. This bad convergence makes the sum rule results less valid. All the solutions for the negative-parity nucleon $B_s^*$ are found to be spurious because the pole residue is negative. Therefore
we conclude that the interpolating \( e^x B^\pm \) (x) does not support the picture that the negative-parity nucleon \( B^\pm \) transforms under the \textit{exotic} chiral transformation rule.

For the positive-parity nucleon \( B^\pm \), we find a physical solution, which has a positive residue at around \( t = 0.3 \). In Figs. 5 and 6, the masses and the pole contribution for the positive-parity nucleon \( B^\pm \) are plotted, respectively. A fairly good Borel stability is observed, and with the predicted mass, about 1.4 - 1.6 GeV. However, because of the bad convergence of the OPE and the small pole contribution, we have to conclude that the validity for the solution of the positive-parity nucleon \( B^\pm \) is poor and that the sum rule cannot confirm the \( s\)-quark exotic nucleon state.

V. CONCLUSION

We have studied the nucleon sum rules using the \( s\)-quark operator which is transformed in an \textit{exotic} way under the chiral transformation. The operator has been shown to have negative axial charge, \( q_A = 1 \), and thus may couple to a nucleon resonance which forms a chiral mirror pair with a standard nucleon state.

Using the \( s\)-quark interpolating \( e^x \) operator, \( B^- \) (x), defined in Eq. (13), we have constructed the sum rule. We have employed the parity-projected sum rule approach and examined the positive- and negative-parity nucleon resonances separately. After checking the reliability of the sum rules, we have obtained the signal of the positive-parity nucleon at the mass around 0.8 - 1.0 GeV. The negative-parity nucleon is also obtained at the mass around 1.6 - 1.8 GeV. It is, however, argued that these signals come not from the \( s\)-quark nucleon states, but the ordinary three-quark nucleons due to the contamination of three-quark operators in \( B^- \) (x).

We then have extracted the genuine \( s\)-quark operator \( B^\pm \) (x) by subtracting the three-quark operator multiplied by the quark condensate. The sum rules for the positive- and negative-parity nucleons are constructed from \( B^\pm \) (x), which may couple strongly to the \textit{exotic} nucleons. No negative-parity nucleon state is found in the energy region below 2 GeV, which can be concluded from the fact that the analysis results in negative pole residues. A possible physical solution of the positive-parity nucleon state is obtained for the operator with \( t = 0.3 \), where \( t \) is the parameter in the interpolating \( e^x \) operator representing the mixing of two possible nucleon operators. The predicted mass is about 1.4 - 1.6 GeV, but the pole contribution and the convergence of the OPE are not sufficient to conclude that the positive-parity \textit{exotic} nucleon exists.

If the positive-parity state \( B^\pm \) observed for the interpolating \( e^x B^\pm \) is a physical state, it is possible that this state is assigned to the first positive-parity nucleon resonance, \( N(1440) \), and that \( N(1440) \) is the state with the \textit{exotic} chiral property. In such a case, there are, at least, two candidates for its chiral mirror partner, i.e., two \( 1^- \) states, \( N(1535) \) and \( N(1650) \). This conjecture is consistent with the recent claim that both of them are considered to be three-quark-like nucleon resonances [22].

Unfortunately, as is mentioned above, the validity of our sum rule conclusion is not high. One possibility to prove the situation is to use other choices of the interpolating \( e^x \) operator. In the present case, the choice of the \( s\)-quark operator with \textit{exotic} chiral property is not unique, but there are some independent operators which satisfy the commutation relation Eq. (20). Further study is desired using other operators or possible linear combinations.
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