Magnetohydrodynamic Effects in Propagating Relativistic Jets: Reverse Shock and Magnetic Acceleration
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ABSTRACT

We solve the Riemann problem for the deceleration of an arbitrarily magnetized relativistic flow injected into a static unmagnetized medium in one dimension. We find that for the same initial Lorentz factor, the reverse shock becomes progressively weaker with increasing magnetization (the Poynting-to-kinetic energy flux ratio), and the shock becomes a rarefaction wave when exceeds a critical value, defined by the balance between the magnetic pressure in the flow and the thermal pressure in the forward shock. In the rarefaction wave regime, we find that the rarefied region is accelerated to a Lorentz factor that is significantly larger than the initial value. This acceleration mechanism is due to the strong magnetic pressure in the flow. We discuss the implications of these results for models of gamma-ray bursts and active galactic nuclei.

Subject headings: active galactic nuclei; gamma-rays: bursts (numerical) { MHD } { relativity}

1. Introduction

Relativistic jets are believed to exist in active galactic nuclei (AGNs), black hole binaries, and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), but their composition is still poorly understood. It has been

\textsuperscript{1}Center for Space Plasma and Aeronomy Research, University of Alabama in Huntsville, NSSTC, 320 Sparkman Drive, Huntsville, AL 35805, USA; mizuno@ospalab.alu.edu.

\textsuperscript{2}Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA.

\textsuperscript{3}Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut, Potsdam-Golm, Germany.

\textsuperscript{4}Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA.

\textsuperscript{5}Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto, Japan.

\textsuperscript{6}Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA.
argued that magnetic fields could play an important dynamic role in these jets (e.g., Lovelace 1976; Blandford 1976; Blandford & Znajek 1977; Blandford & Payne 1982; Usov 1992; Thompson 1994; Meszaros & Rees 1997; Lyutikov & Blandford 2003; Vlahakis & Koniogi 2003, 2004), but the degree of magnetization, quantified by the magnetization parameter (the ratio of electromagnetic to kinetic energy ux), is poorly constrained by observations. GRB afterglow modeling indicates that the ejecta are more magnetized than the ambient medium, suggesting a possibly important dynamic role for electromagnetic fields in GRB jets (Fan et al. 2002; Zhang, Kobayashi & Meszaros 2003; Kumar & Panaitescu 2003; Gomboc et al. 2008).

A useful diagnostic for the degree of jet-magnetization can be obtained from the interaction between the decelerating jet and the ambient medium. Added magnetic field pressure in the jet alters the condition for formation of a reverse shock (RS) as well as its strength (Kennel & Coroniti 1984). Analytical studies of the deceleration of a GRB rebball with arbitrary magnetization (Zhang & Kobayashi 2005, hereafter ZK 05; see also Fan et al. 2004 for 1) suggest novel behavior that does not exist in pure hydrodynamic (HD) ( = 0) models (Sari & Piran 1995; Kobayashi et al. 1999). However, consensus on the conditions required for the existence of the RS or how Poynting ux is transferred to kinetic ux in the interaction region has not yet been achieved (ZK 05; Lyutikov 2006; Giannios et al. 2008). We present a one-dimensional (1-D) study of the interaction between a magnetized relativistic flow and a static, unmagnetized external medium. A Riemann problem is solved both analytically and numerically over a broad range of .

2. The Riemann Problem

We consider a Riemann problem consisting of two uniform initial states (left and right) with discontinuous hydrodynamic properties specified by the rest-mass density , gas pressure , specific internal energy , specific enthalpy , normal velocity vN. The right state (the medium external to the jet) is assumed to be a cold fluid with constant density, at rest. Specifically, we select the initial conditions: \( R = 1 \Omega_{0}, \) \( p_{R} = 10^{-2} \Omega_{0}c^{2}, \) \( v_{N}^{R} = 0, \) where \( \Omega_{0} \) is an arbitrary normalization constant (our simulations are scale-free) and c is the speed of light. The left state (the propagating relativistic flow) is assumed to have a higher density and pressure than the right state, as well as a relativistic velocity. Specifically, \( L = 10^{2} \Omega_{0}, p_{L} = 1 \Omega_{0}c^{2}, \) and \( v_{N}^{L} = v_{L} = 0.995c (L = 10). \) The fluid is described by an adiabatic equation of state \( p/\rho = c_{s}^{2} \) with \( c_{s}^{2} = 4 = 3. \)

To investigate the effects of magnetic fields, we consider a perpendicular field component in the jet with \( B_{z} = 316.23, 1000, 316.23, \) and 447.21 in units of \( (4 \Omega_{0}c^{2})^{1/2} \) measured in...
the laboratory frame, corresponding to \( B_\text{L}^2 = 4 \times 10 \), \( B_\text{R}^2 = 4 \times 10 \), \( c_\text{L}^2 = 0.1, 1.0, 10.0 \), and \( 20.0 \), respectively. This is motivated by the predicted toroidal field domination at the deceleration radius for GRB outflows (e.g., Spruit et al. 2001; ZK 05). Increasing increases the total (kinetic plus magnetic) energy density of the left (jet) state.

3. Results

We calculate exact solutions of this problem, using the code of Giacomazzo & Rezzolla (2006), in the region \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \) with an initial discontinuity at \( x = 1.0 \), where \( x \) is in arbitrary units.

3.1. Flow-Medium Interaction

The exact solutions are presented in Figure 1. The four panels display profiles of the gas density, gas (and magnetic) pressure, magnetic field strength \( B \), and the Lorentz factor at time \( t = 0.1 \). Different colors represent different values: 0.1 (black), 1.0 (red), 2.7 (yellow), 10.0 (green) and 20.0 (blue). The initial Lorentz factor of the left state (jet) is \( \gamma = 10 \).

For \( \gamma = 0.1 \) (black), the solution shows a right-moving fast shock (FS: forward shock; \( S_1 \)), a left-moving fast shock (RS: reverse shock; \( S \)) relative to the contact discontinuity (C). In the laboratory frame, the contact discontinuity and the two shocks move to the right.

For \( \gamma = 1.0 \) (red), the solution shows similar profiles (SCS) as for \( \gamma = 0.1 \). The FS is stronger (due to a higher jump in pressure) and slower (more deceleration relative to the frame of the contact discontinuity), while the RS is weaker but faster. These features are expected from analytical work (ZK 05; Giannios et al. 2008), and agree with 1-D relativistic MHD simulations (Mimica et al. 2007, 2008).

When the magneticization of the flow exceeds \( \gamma > 2.7 \), the shock profiles change drastically (the significance of this particular value of \( \gamma \) is discussed below). For \( \gamma = 10.0 \) (green) and \( \gamma = 20.0 \) (blue), a prominent left-going rarefaction wave (\( R \)) is observed, instead of a left-going shock (see also Romero et al. 2005; Mimica et al. 2007). When the rarefaction wave propagates into the jet, the density and gas pressure decrease, and the ow velocity

\(^{1}\text{Here } t \text{ is in units of } x=c \text{ with } c=1.\)
Fig. 1. Profiles of (a) density, (b) gas pressure (solid lines) and magnetic pressure (dotted lines), (c) magnetic field \(B_y\), and (d) Lorentz factor \(\gamma\) of \(\sigma = 0.1\) (black), \(1.0\) (red), \(10.0\) (green), \(20.0\) (blue) cases at time \(t = 0.16\). Other parameters: \(L = 10.0\), \(R = 1.0\), \(L = 10.0\). The critical value, \(\sigma' = 2.7\) case is shown as yellow lines. Close-up forward shock regions are inserted. Arrows indicate four physically distinct regions: (1) unshocked medium, (2) shocked medium, (3) shocked ow and (4) unshocked ow corresponding to the \(\sigma = 0.1\) case.
increases. The term in the Lorentz factor of the left (jet) state and the FS region reaches 14 for \( \gamma = 10 \) and \( \gamma > 16 \) for \( \gamma = 20 \). This magnetic acceleration mechanism stems from the magnetic pressure in the \( \text{ow}\).

This magnetic acceleration mechanism is solely a MHD effect and requires the magnetic field to generate a rarefaction wave. This is different from the HD/MHD boost mechanism proposed by Aloy & Rezzolla (2006), and further investigated by Mizuno et al. (2008) and Aloy & Mimica (2008). The HD/MHD mechanism is a purely relativistic mechanism, which invokes a relativistic ow perpendicular to the propagation direction of the rarefaction wave. The mechanism discussed here occurs even in the Newtonian case, and acts parallel to the propagation direction of the rarefaction wave. In general, the acceleration efficiency is smaller than that of the HD/MHD boost mechanism (see section 3.3 for more discussions).

### 3.2. Conditions for Reverse Shock or Magnetic Acceleration

The magnetic pressure profiles (dotted lines) in Fig. 1(b) reveal the physical condition required for the transition from a reverse shock to a rarefaction wave. It is evident that the magnetic pressure increases as increases. In the reverse shock cases \( \gamma = 0;1 \), the upstream magnetic pressure is lower than the gas pressure in the forward shock, while in the rarefaction wave cases \( \gamma = 10;20 \), the upstream magnetic pressure exceeds the gas pressure in the FS. Thus, the balance between the upstream magnetic pressure in the unshocked ow region and the FS gas pressure in the shocked medium (ZK05; Romero et al. 2005) provides the condition separating the two regimes. This condition can be derived analytically (see also ZK05). For the interaction between a relativistic ow and an external medium, there exist four physically distinct regions: (1) unshocked medium, (2) shocked medium, (3) shocked ow, and (4) unshocked ow. Hereafter, \( Q_i \) denotes the value of a quantity \( Q \) in region \( i \). From the relativistic shock jump conditions with \( \gamma = 4=3 \), one can write \( u_2 = \sqrt{\gamma} c^2 = (\sqrt{\gamma} 1)'' \) and \( \gamma = 1 = 4 + 3 = 4 \). A constant speed across the contact discontinuity requires \( \gamma = 3 \), and the relation between the gas pressure and the internal energy gives \( p_2 = u_2 = 3 \). Thus, the thermal pressure generated in the FS region is \( p_2 = (1=3)(\sqrt{\gamma} 1)(4 + 3) \gamma c^2 = (4=3)\gamma 2 c^2 \). The pressure balance condition is \( B^2_4 = 2^2 \frac{\gamma}{4} \gamma \), because in pressure balance there is no reverse shock or rarefaction wave, so that region 4 and region 3 are matched as \( B_4 = B_3 \), and \( 4 = 2 \). Using the definition

\[ Q_0 \]

We note that Romero et al. (2005) and Mimica et al. (2007) also discovered the rarefaction wave regime discussed in this paper, but did not investigate the magnetic acceleration mechanism and its astrophysical implications in detail.
\[ 4 = B 2^2 = 4, \quad 2^4 c^2, \text{one can derive a critical } c \text{ value} \]

\[ c = \frac{2}{3} (4 - 1)(4 + 3) \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{8}{3} \frac{2}{4} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{2}{4} \frac{R}{L} \]  \tag{1}

The condition for the existence of a reverse shock is \(< c\), which is Eq. (31) of ZK 05. The condition for a rarefaction wave and magnetic acceleration is \(> c\). We adopted \(1 = R = 10, \quad 4 = L = 10^2, \text{ and } 4 = L = 10^2\), so that the critical value is \(c' = 2.7\).

Our calculations indicate that \(c\) marks the transition point where neither a reverse shock nor a rarefaction wave is established (yellow lines in Fig. 1). To verify this for a larger parameter space, we investigate the \(\gamma\)-dependences of various quantities in detail. Fig. 2(a) shows the gas pressure in the region through which the reverse shock/rarefaction wave has propagated. Initial Lorentz factors are \(L = 5, 10, \text{ and } 20\), respectively. For all cases, we increased the ow density at \(L = 10^2\) and increase \(B\) (hence \(c\)). The total initial energy density of the ow increases with \(L\). In all cases, the gas pressure decreases smoothly without a sharp transition from the RS regime (solid lines) to the reverse rarefaction wave regime (dotted lines). The critical magnetization parameters are \(c' = 0.7; 2.7; 10.6\) for \(L = 5; 10; 20\), respectively, derived from the analytical solution Eq. (1). We notice that in the RS regime, the strength of the shock decreases rapidly with increasing \(L\). The critical magnetization parameter \(c\) increases with \(L\), so that a RS can exist in the high- regime if \(L\) is sufficiently large (see also ZK 05).

Another commonly invoked RS-condition states that the shock speed in the uid frame (region 4) is higher than the speed of the Alfv\'en wave, i.e. \(0_{RSR} > 0_A\), where \(0_{RSR} = (4 = RR_{RS} + RR_{RR} = 4)_2 = 2, \quad 0_A = (1 + 1)^2 = 2, \text{ and } RS_{RR} \text{ is the Lorentz factor of the reverse shock or reverse rarefaction wave in the laboratory frame which can be calculated using the exact solution of Giacomazzo \\& Rezzolla (2006). Giannios et al. (2008) claim that this condition is different from the pressure balance condition. However, in Figures 2(b) and 2(c), we present the ratios of gas pressure in the FS region to magnetic pressure in the ow, \(p_{FS} = p_b\), and \(0_{RSR} = 0_A\), and nd that both ratios reach unity at the same critical value \(c\). This suggests that the two reverse shock conditions have the same physical origin, at least for the 1-D model studied here.

3.3. Terminal Lorentz Factor and Magnetic Acceleration Efficiency

To better understand the magnetic acceleration mechanism, we plot the Lorentz factor as a function of in Fig. 2(d). For the magnetic acceleration case, this is the terminal Lorentz factor after acceleration. Because of the dependence of \(c\) on \(L\), a higher \(L\) is needed to achieve acceleration for a higher \(L\). The terminal Lorentz factor can be estimated...
Fig. 2. | The -dependences of (a) gas pressure in the region through which the reverse shock (RS; solid lines)/rarefaction wave (RR; dotted lines) has propagated; (b) the ratio of the gas pressure in the forward shock region to the magnetic pressure in the ow; (c) the ratio of the Lorentz factor of the propagating reverse shock/rarefaction wave to the Alfvén Lorentz factor in the rest frame of the uid; (d) the maximum Lorentz factor in the shocked region, in the exact solution. Different initial Lorentz factors have been calculated: \( \gamma_L = 5 \) (black), 10 (red), and 20 (blue). Crosses are the values of the estimated terminal Lorentz factor in the \( \gamma_L = 20 \) case according to Eq.(2). A constant ow density is adopted, so that the total initial energy density of the ow increases with .
analytically by requiring that the thermal pressure in the FS region balances the magnetic pressure in the region through which the rarefaction wave has propagated. For the term inal Lorentz factor $\gamma_i$, this condition can be expressed (roughly) as $B_3^2 = 8 \gamma_i^2 = (1+3)(4+3) c^2 (4+3) c^2$. From the definition of with $B_4 = B_3$, this becomes

$$t' = \frac{3}{4} \frac{4}{4}^{1=4}$$

Crosses in Fig. 2(d) denote values of term inal Lorentz factors calculated from Eq. (2) for model parameters, $4 = L = 20, 1 = R = 10, and 4 = L = 10^2$, in good agreement with the exact solution of the Riemann problem in the reverse rarefaction wave regime.

To investigate the acceleration efficiency, we present in Fig. 3 the term inal Lorentz factor $\gamma_i$, and its ratio to the initial Lorentz factor ($t = 1$) as a function of the initial orw Lorentz factor. While a ow with a higher initial Lorentz factor reaches a higher term inal Lorentz factor, a lower initial Lorentz factor implies a higher acceleration efficiency. From Eq. (2) it follows that $t = 4'$ $(3 = 8 \gamma_i^1=4 4 = \gamma_i^2$, in good agreement with the exact solution of the Riemann problem in relativistic regime.
4. Summary and Discussion

We solved the 1-D Riemann problem for the deceleration of an arbitrarily magnetized relativistic ow in a static, unmagnetized medium. For the same initial Lorentz factor, the reverse shock becomes progressively weaker with increasing , turning into a rarefaction wave when \( c_r \), at which point the magnetic pressure in the ow is balanced by the thermal pressure in the forward shock. In the rarefaction wave regime, material in the FS region is accelerated due to the strong magnetic pressure in the ow. This magnetic acceleration mechanism may thus play an important role in the dynamics of strongly magnetized, relativistic ows.

Numerical MHD simulations (e.g., Koida et al. 1999, 2000; Nishikawa et al. 2005; Mizuno et al. 2007) are essential to understand magnetized relativistic jets. We performed 1-D special relativistic MHD simulations of a relativistic ow propagating in an external medium using the RAISHIN code (Mizuno et al. 2006). The simulation results are in good agreement with the exact solution (Giacolazzo & Rezzolla 2006), serving as a test of the RAISHIN code. As MHD simulations can tackle problems for which an exact solution is not known, we plan to utilize RAISHIN to solve more realistic configurations (e.g., relativistic shells with a finite width and conical geometry, as often envisaged in the GRB problem).

The magnetic acceleration mechanism discussed here also applies in the Newtonian MHD limit. The transition point from a reverse shock to a rarefaction wave is then also given by the pressure balance condition, and the terminal velocity of the ow can be estimated from the Newtonian shock jump condition as \( v_t = \frac{c_{s1}(p_2=p_1)}{2} = \frac{(1+1)(p_2=p_1)+ (1)}{2}, \) where \( c_{s1} = (p_1^{-1})^{1/2} \) is the sound speed in the upstream medium (see also Hawley et al. 1984). Defining \( (B_4^2=8) = (v_4^2=2) \) in the Newtonian limit, one can derive the terminal velocity of the ow, \( v_t \). Determined by balance between the magnetic pressure and the pressure in the forward shock region, \( p_2 = B_3^2=8 \) \( B_4^2=8 \) \( p_4 v_4^2=2. \) For a strong shock, \( (p_2=p_1) \), the terminal velocity can be approximated as \( v_t = \frac{(1+1)}{4}v_4 \). For \( v_t = v_4 \), one derives \( c_2 = (1+1) = 4. \) For \( = 5=3 \), typical for non-relativistic shocks, this expression is consistent with Eq. (1) for \( L = 1 \). Although the general physics is the same, the dependence of the terminal velocity \( (v_t=c)_t \) is different in the Newtonian and relativistic case.

Our results have implications for understanding deceleration of strongly magnetized ows, possibly present in GRBs and AGNs. Exact solutions indicate that the condition for the existence of a reverse shock is \( < c_r \), as suggested by ZK 05 (cf. Glianas et al. 2008). The paucity of bright optical ashes in GRBs (e.g., Roming et al. 2006) may, among other interpretations, be attributed to highly magnetized ows. Furthermore, the magnetic acceleration mechanism discussed here suggests that and are not independent parameters at the deceleration radius. For high- ow ows, the ejecta would experience magnetic
acceleration at small radii, before reaching the coasting regime, so that the coasting Lorentz factor (i.e., the initial Lorentz factor for the afterglow) is at least the terminal Lorentz factor defined by Eq. (2). As a result, the high- and low- part of parameter space is suppressed. This implies that some region in the parameter space of GRB models (ZK05; Giannios et al. 2008) is suppressed as well. Here we only focus on 1-D models with Cartesian geometry. Implications for GRB models will be discussed in more detail when this Riemann problem is solved in conical jet geometry.

Variable emission (down to minute timescales) observed in some TeV blazars suggests very high Lorentz factors in AGN jets (Aharony et al. 2007). Models for the production of TeV emission appeal to high Lorentz factor jet cores surrounded by lower Lorentz factor sheaths (e.g., Ghisellini et al. 2005) or rapid jet deceleration (Georganopoulos et al. 2005) in order to reconcile the required jet Lorentz factors with lower Lorentz factors suggested by proper motion studies. Our results suggest the possibility of magnetic acceleration occurring where highly magnetized jet material overtakes more weakly magnetized jet material. In this case the magnetically accelerated Lorentz factor behind the forward shock can significantly exceed the Lorentz factor of the overtaken jet material.

In this study we considered a static, unmagnetized medium, but for a weakly magnetized medium, our conclusions hold as well. However, the conclusions will not apply in the very large regime when the underlying MHD approximation breaks down, e.g., several 100s in the problem of GRBs (Spruit et al. 2001; Zhang & Meszaros 2002).
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\[^3\] is defined as \( r_{\text{dec}} = r_s \) (Sari & Piran 1995), where \( r_{\text{dec}} \) is the deceleration radius where the ejecta accumulate from the external medium at mass \( \frac{1}{4} \) times their own mass, and \( r_s \) is the spreading radius where the width of the ejected shell starts to increase due to propagation of a sound wave.
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