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Abstract: We study the impact for leptogenesis of new U(1) gauge bosons coupled to the heavy Majorana neutrinos. They can significantly enhance the efficiency of thermal scenarios in the weak washout regime as long as the $Z^0$ masses are not much larger than the reheating temperature ($M_{Z^0} < 10 T_{rh}$), with the highest efficiencies obtained for $Z^0$ bosons considerably heavier than the heavy neutrinos ($M_{Z^0} \& 100 M_1$). We show how the allowed region of the parameter space is modified in the presence of a $Z^0$ and we also obtain the minimum reheating temperature that is required for these models to be successful.
1. Introduction

Leptogenesis is one of the most attractive known theories to explain the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe [1]. This is because it's based on a simple extension of the standard model (SM) which can also explain naturally why the neutrino masses are so tiny. In leptogenesis scenarios there are two well-different regimes according to the strength of the Yukawa interactions, which is parametrized by the effective mass $m_1$. The strong washout regime ($m_1 > 10^3$ eV) is characterized by small departures from equilibrium and a significant erasure of the asymmetries generated by the heavy neutrino decays. On the other hand, in the weak washout regime ($m_1 < 10^3$ eV) the neutrinos decay far out from equilibrium and the erasure of the asymmetry produced in the decay epoch is negligible. Although the observed values of the differences of the squared masses of the light neutrinos may suggest a high value for the effective mass, the weak washout regime is well consistent with observations. In fact, the only bound on $m_1$ coming from the light neutrino masses is $m_1 > 0$. Nevertheless, the generation of a lepton asymmetry in this regime faces some problems. If the SM is minimally extended adding only the heavy Majorana neutrinos and one considers them all leptogenesis, so that the heavy neutrinos are produced by inverse decays and scatterings in the thermal bath, the production of an asymmetry is limited by the small rate of production of the lightest heavy neutrino. In the traditional computation in which one includes scattering processes in the production of $N_1$ but only considers the $CP$ violation related to the decays, inverse decays and $s$-channel off-shell scatterings, the baryon asymmetry turns out to be approximately proportional to $m_1$, being hence strongly suppressed for very small $m_1$. On the other hand, the $CP$ violating asymmetry

\[ m_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m_1}{M_{1\beta}} \]

\[ M_{1\beta} \approx 8 \text{ eV}. \]
per decay, can become larger for increasing heavy neutrino masses [2] and therefore thermal scenarios in the weak washout limit \( m_1 \approx 10^3 \text{ eV} \) require large values of \( M_1 \) to be successful. This is represented by the dotted curve in Fig. 1 which delimits the region of the \( m_1 - M_1 \) space allowed by observations \( (n_b - s = (8.82 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{11}) \). But the situation is actually worse than usually stated because there is a cancellation between the asymmetry generated at early times during the production of \( N_1 \) and the asymmetry of opposite sign generated during the decays. This cancellation shows up only with the proper inclusion of the CP asymmetries in scatterings [5] and a nonsymmetric universe can be avoided only thanks to the action of the early washouts which erase some of the "wrong sign" asymmetry produced in the first stages. The weaker the early washouts are, the less asymmetry survives this cancellation, and taking this into account the "baryon" asymmetry is actually proportional to approximately \( m_1^2 \) and not just to \( m_1 \). The resulting lower bound on \( M_1 \) is represented by the solid curve of Fig. 1, which in the weak washout regime is clearly stricter than the traditionally quoted bound.

The high Majorana neutrino masses required to produce the observed asymmetry in the weak washout regime may be in conflict with the relatively low reheating temperature of many cosmological models and this is also a potential problem in supersymmetric scenarios affected by the possible overproduction of gravitinos.

The situation just described is quite different if the abundance of the heavy neutrinos at the beginning of the leptogenesis era is, for some reason, equal to that of equilibrium. In this case the final asymmetry in the weak washout regime is \( Y_{BL} = Y_{eq}(T, M_1) \) (where \( Y_1 = s \) and \( N \approx N_1 \)), i.e., the efficiency \( ^3 \) is approximately equal to unity and the allowed region in the \( m_1 - M_1 \) plane is greatly enlarged (dashed line in Fig. 1). One of the ways to reach an equilibrium density before the onset of leptogenesis is to have new interactions. In particular, the case for gauge interactions was considered in [7, 8]. Here we are going to extend and study in more detail the scenarios with \( Z^0 \) bosons. In section 2 we describe the model we are going to concentrate on, we then describe in section 3 the different effects induced by the presence of the \( Z^0 \) and in section 4 we study situations in which the reheating temperature after inflation is less than the mass of \( N_1 \) and determine the minimum reheating temperature compatible with successful leptogenesis. The conclusions are presented in section 5.

---

\(^2\)Since we are interested in the weak washout regime we take \( m_1 \approx 0 \), so that the bound [2, 4] on the CP asymmetry in \( N_1 \) decays becomes \( Y_{eq} \approx \frac{3}{16} \frac{M_1}{m_1} \), with \( m_1 \approx \sqrt{m_2^2} \approx 0.05 \text{ eV} \).

\(^3\)The efficiency is defined by \( Y_{BL} = Y_{eq}(T, M_1) \), where \( Y_{BL} \) is the nonB-L asymmetry.
Figure 1: Allowed regions in the $m_1 - M_1$ plane assuming a null initial $N_1$ density, not including the CP violation in scatterings (region above the dotted line) and including it (region above the solid line). The allowed region for the case of an equilibrium initial density is also represented (region above the dashed line). The lines are the values leading to $Y_B = 8.7 \times 10^{11}$ adopting the CP asymmetries saturating the Davidson-Ibarra bound [2].

2. The model and Boltzmann equations

In order to give numerical results we are going to work with a specific model, but the features that will be described are expected to be valid for different models that include a new neutral gauge boson. We will use the model described in [7] and here we give a brief summary of it, emphasizing the most relevant points for our work.

The gauge symmetry of the SM is extended to the group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$, which can arise as a step in the chain of spontaneous symmetry breaking from an unification gauge group like $SO(10)$ to the SM one. The covariant derivative is

$$D = \partial - i \gamma^i W_i - i \gamma^i B_i - i \gamma^i C_i Y_0;$$

where $W$, $B$, and $C$ are the $SU(2)_L$; $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_Y$ gauge fields respectively. Note that both abelian groups have the same gauge coupling constant ($g^0$) as a consequence of
themselves common origin in the larger group SO(10). It can also be shown that the U(1)$_Y$ and U(1)$_Y^\circ$ charges of a particle are related by $Y^0 = Y + \frac{5}{3}(B - L)$, which gives the coupling between the different fermions of the model and the U(1)$_Y^\circ$ gauge field in terms of the (known) weak hypercharges.

The scalar sector of the model consists of the SM Higgs and the eld responsible for the spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of U(1)$_Y$ at a scale $v^0 = 174$ GeV (where $v = 174$ GeV the vacuum expectation value of the SM Higgs). Due to the SSB of U(1)$_Y$ the right-handed neutrinos acquire a Majorana mass given by $M = y v^0$, with $y$ the matrix of Yukawa couplings between the right-handed neutrinos and the eld. The U(1)$_Y^\circ$ gauge field also becomes massive: $M_{Z^0} = \frac{5}{3}y v^0$, where $Z^0$ is the massive U(1)$_Y^\circ$ gauge boson. We will assume that $M_{Z^0}$ is larger than $M_1$, which is natural given that gauge couplings are usually larger than the Yukawa ones. As explained in [7], the Higgs boson associated to the SSB of U(1)$_Y^\circ$ can be neglected when studying processes that occur at temperatures below $M_{Z^0}$, in particular during the N$_1$ leptogenesis era.

The most relevant processes for the thermalisation of the heavy neutrinos are those mediated by $Z^0$, which produce or destroy the heavy Majorana neutrinos, i.e. $ff;hh \leftrightarrow N_jN_j$ (where $f$ is a SM fermion and $h$ is the SM Higgs). We have calculated the reduced cross section obtaining:

$$\bar{\sigma}^Z(s) = \frac{4225}{216} \cos^2 \theta \left(x \left(\frac{3}{4} y v^0\right) \frac{a_1}{(a_1 + a_2)^2} + a_2 c\right)$$

where all quantities with dimension of energy are normalised to the mass of the lightest heavy Majorana neutrino: $s = M_1^2; a_j = (M_j - M_1)^2; a_Z = (M_Z - M_1)^2$ and $c = \left(\frac{Z_0}{M_1}\right)^2$, with $Z_0$ the decay width of $Z^0$ which is given by [7]:

$$Z_0 = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{y} M_{Z^0} \frac{169}{144} + \frac{25}{18} \left(a_2 - 4a_1\right)^2 (a_2 - 4a_1)^{32}$$

The cross section given is summed over all the degrees of freedom of the particles involved (the initial particles considered are the SM Higgs and fermions).

The processes $ff;hh \leftrightarrow N_jN_j$ don't violate lepton number, so they only enter in the Boltzmann equation for the evolution of $Y_N$ which becomes:

$$\frac{dy}{dz} = \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{Y_N}{Y_N^{eq}} 1 \left(D + 2 S_8 + 4 S_t\right) + \frac{Y_N^{eq}}{Y_N} \frac{1}{z} \ ;$$

with $z = M_1 T$. The quantities $D; S_8; S_t$ are the density responses for decay, scattering involving the top quark which are mediated by the Higgs in the s(t) channel and annihilation of N$_1$ pairs respectively. Note that, since $Z_0$ doesn't depend on the Yukawa couplings of the heavy Majorana neutrinos, the corresponding term in the Boltzmann equation has a different dependence on the parameters of the model than the other three terms: while these last are proportional to $m_1$, the $Z_0$ term is inversely proportional to $M_1$, so that for fixed values of $M_{Z^0}$ and $m_1$ the $Z_0$ terms diminish for increasing values of $M_1$.

We end up this section with some comments about the conditions under which the Boltzmann annihilation equations will be solved. Since we want to concentrate on the effects of the $Z_0$...
bosons we will consider a simpleavor structure \[9,10\], assuming that the is the only relevant leptonavor. Anyway, avors have a limited impact in the weak washout regime which is the most relevant one for this work. It's also necessary to specify the fast processes that, while not entering directly in the Boltzmann ann equations for \(Y_H\) or \(Y_{B-L}\), have in uence on the generation of the matter-antimatter asymmetry by redistributing the generated asymmetry among the di erent particles of the thermal bath \[11,12\]. The set of spectator processes that are active depends mainly on the value of \(M_1\) since this detemines the typical temeratures of the leptogenesis epoch and to a smaller extent on \(m_1\) because it establishes the duration of this epoch. Nevertheless, we will always include the same set of spectator processes, namely, that corresponding to the temerature range \(10^{11} \text{ GeV} \leq \text{T} \leq 10^{12} \text{ GeV} \) \[12\], independently of the value of \(M_1\) and \(m_1\), since these processes modify the nal baryon asymmetry by only some tens of percent, which is not important for our study of the \(Z^0\) ects. Finally, we will also ignore the temerature corrections to the particle masses and couplings \[13\].

3. The ects of \(Z^0\) in the weak washout regime

The coupling of \(N_1\) with the \(Z^0\) boson allows the production of the heavy neutrinos without generating a CP asymmetry (contrary to the case of production via the Yukawa couplings). This can help to solve the problem related with the production of the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the weak washout regime, since the cancellation mentioned in the introduction may no longer be enforced. But on the other hand, the neutrinos can also be destroyed by these interactions without generating an asymmetry, and this last eect can reduce the eciency of the production of a cosmic baryon asymmetry.

Two important energy scales in the study of leptogenesis are the reheating temerature \(T_{\text{rh}}\), which detemines the initial time at which the heavy neutrinos start to be produced in temeral scenarios, and the heavy neutrino mass \(M_1\) which establishes the temerature at which the equilibrium distribution of the heavy neutrinos starts to become Boltzmann suppressed. The ects of the \(Z^0\) bosons depend on the value of its mass relative to these two scales. To study quantitatively these ects let's rst \(xT_{\text{rh}} = 100M_1\), corresponding to a situation in which the temeral history of the universe starts well before the leptogenesis era. In g. 2 we depict the region in the \(m_1-M_1\) space that may lead to a su cient generation of a baryon asymmetry for di erent values of \(M_{Z^0}\).

Three di erent situations can be distinguished:

(i) \(M_{Z^0} > 10T_{\text{rh}}\): The \(Z^0\) boson is too heavy relative to the reheating temerature of the universe so that the associated cross section is very small and the ects of the \(Z^0\) are hence negligible (the curve in g. 2 corresponding to \(M_{Z^0} = 20T_{\text{rh}}\) is similar to the solid line in g.1, which ignored the ects of new gauge bosons).

(ii) \(100M_1 < M_{Z^0} < 10T_{\text{rh}}\): An equilibrium population of \(N_1\) is produced due to the new gauge interactions and these last depart from equilibrium before the \(N_1\) become non-relativistic. This situation is optimal for the generation of a baryon asymmetry and the highest eciencies are obtained.
Figure 2: The regions allowed by observations in the $m_1 - M_1$ parameter space for different values of the Z$^0$ mass and $T_{rh} = 100 M_1$. The regions allowed are those above the dotted line (for $M_{Z^0} = 20 T_{rh}$), the dash-dotted line (for $M_{Z^0} = 15 T_{rh}$), the solid line (for $M_{Z^0} = 10 T_{rh}$), the long dashed line (for $M_{Z^0} = 10 M_1$) and the short dashed line (for $M_{Z^0} = 5 M_1$). The points labeled (a) to (d) correspond to the panels of fig. 3, where the evolution of the B L asymmetry is represented.

As can be seen in fig. 2, the change from regime (i) to (ii) takes place abruptly for $M_{Z^0}$ in the range $(10 \rightarrow 20) T_{rh}$ due to the fact that for large $Z^0$ masses $\gamma_{Z^0} / M_{Z^0}^4$. Although the reheating temperature in fig. 2 was fixed to $T_{rh} = 100 M_1$, a similar change is also found for other values of $T_{rh}$.

(iii) $M_{Z^0} = 100 M_1$: The new gauge interactions are still in equilibrium when the heavy neutrinos become non-relativistic, so the $N_1$ have a significant probability of disappearing without producing an asymmetry. For $M_{Z^0} \leq 3 M_1$ it's clear that the lighter the $Z^0$ is, the later the gauge interactions fall out of equilibrium and the less asymmetry is then produced. However, for $Z^0$ masses close to $M_1$ the analysis has to be done more carefully. The point is that, independently of the $Z^0$ mass, this suppression effect is limited because the annihilation involves two heavy neutrinos and hence the corresponding reaction density is suppressed by two Boltzmann factors. On the other hand, when $Z^0$ is close to $2 M_1$ the reaction density is enhanced at $T = M_1$ because
Figure 3: The evolution of $Y_{N}^{\text{eq}}$ (solid line), $Y_{N}$ (dash-dotted line) and $Y_{B-L} = \text{ }$ (dashed line) as a function of $z$ for different values of $M_{Z}$. For comparison, the evolution of the $N_{1}$ density assuming that the Yukawa interactions are null is also depicted (dotted curve). The values of $M_{Z}$, $M_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ for each of the four panels (a), (b), (c) and (d) are those corresponding to the equally named points in g.2.

The $Z^{0}$ that mediates the annihilation can be produced resonantly, so the suppression effect induced by the $U(1)_{Y}$ gauge interaction is maximum for $M_{Z} = 2M_{1}$. It must also be noted that when the $Z^{0}$ bosons are light, the effects of the Higgs field should also be taken into account.

These results can be understood as the combination of two stages. In the first one the gauge interactions dominate over the Yukawa interactions basically until they depart from equilibrium at a temperature $T_{f_{0}}$, leaving a relic density of $N_{1}$ which will be similar to that of a massless degree of freedom in equilibrium if $100M_{1} < M_{Z} < 10T_{f_{0}}$ while it will be Boltzmann suppressed like the usual cold relics, with density $Y_{N}^{\text{relic}} / \exp(M_{1} = T_{f_{0}})$, if $M_{Z} < 100M_{1}$. In the second stage, the neutrinos decay via their Yukawa interactions producing a final asymmetry $Y_{B-L}^{\text{relic}}$.

As can be seen from g.3 this picture explains the results very well except when the $Z^{0}$ is not very heavy and $m_{1}$ approaches the equilibrium mass $m_{\text{relic}} \approx 10^{-3}$ eV (g.3(d)),

If there were also charged gauge bosons $W_{R}$ associated to a right handed $SU(2)$ symmetry, the suppression effect on leptogenesis would be highly enhanced because of the existence of scatterings involving a single heavy neutrino and new $N_{1}$ decay channels which are CP symmetric [14],[15].
since in this case the Yukawa interactions begin to dominate over the gauge interactions before these last depart from equilibrium. Note that in g. 3(a), which corresponds to a case in which $M_2 > 10T_{rh}$ but still the $Z^0$ eects are in important, the nal asymmetry is also given by $Y_{BL}^f N_{relic}$ but here $Y_{N}^{relic} Y_{N}^{eq}(T=M_1)$ since the cross section for pair production of $N_1$ mediated by the $Z^0$ bosons is too small after reheating to populate the universe with an equilibrium density of heavy neutrinos.

4. The reheating temperature

The existence of $Z^0$ bosons coupled to the heavy neutrinos also has an impact on the lowest reheating temperature compatible with successful leptogenesis. When $Z^0$ bosons are absent, it has been shown that the reheating temperature can be several times smaller than $M_1$ in the strong washout regime [16]. This is due to the fact that the Yukawa interactions, being strong in this regime, can produce a considerable amount of $N_1$ even if they begin to act when $T < M_1$. On the other hand, the minimum reheating temperature for a given value of $m_1$ in the weak washout regime is approximately equal to the lower bound on $M_1$ for that value of $m_1$.

The situation changes when the heavy neutrinos can also be produced via gauge interactions. If the $Z^0$ bosons are very massive (cases labelled (i) and (ii) in the previous section), the gauge interactions are already out of equilibrium at $T = M_1$ and therefore the reheating temperature has to be greater than $M_1$ in order to enhance the efficiency of leptogenesis by means of the $Z^0$ induced production of $N_1$ (see g. 4 for the case $M_{Z^0} = 100 M_1$); but if they are light (case (iii)) successful leptogenesis is possible for reheating temperatures lower than $M_1$ also in the weak washout regime. This is shown in g. 5 for $M_{Z^0} = 5 M_1$, where the allowed regions of the $m_1 - M_1$ plane for different values of $T_{rh}$ (relative to $M_1$) are plotted. The allowed region is the same for all values of $T_{rh}$ satisfying $T_{rh} < M_1 = 3$, so the reheating temperature in this case can be up to approximately three times smaller than $M_1$ for any value of $m_1$. On the other hand, for $T_{rh} > M_1 = 5$ the allowed region is significantly reduced and doesn't depend on the presence of the $Z^0$ bosons (note that the curves corresponding to $T_{rh} = M_1 = 5$ are almost the same in g. 4 and 5).

In the two cases ($M_{Z^0} = 5, 100 M_1$) illustrated in g. 4 and 5 the lowest values allowed for $T_{rh}$ are quite above $10^8$ GeV, which is the lowest possible value of $T_{rh}$ for hierarchical leptogenesis scenarios where the heavy neutrinos are them ally produced. That bound corresponds to the idealized situation in which the main interaction that produces the heavy neutrinos is very fast before decoupling abruptly at a certain value of $z$ (say $z = z_{fo}$). This is because when that kind of interaction is present an equilibrium population of $N_1$ can be achieved for a reheating temperature as low as $M_1 = z_{fo}$, while for $z > z_{fo}$ the (CP conserving) interaction eectively vanishes and hence all the neutrinos disappear via the CP violating Yukawa couplings. In this case the asymmetry (in the weak washout regime) would be given by $Y_{BL}^f N_{relic} = Y_{N}^{eq}(z = z_{fo})$ and taking into account that the maximum CP asymmetry is proportional to $M_1$ it's straightforward to nd that
Figure 4: The allowed regions in the $m_1\ M_1$ plane for $M_{Z^0} = 100\ M_1$ and different values of the reheating temperature: the regions above the solid, long dashed, short dashed and dotted curves correspond respectively to $T_{rh} = 10\ M_1, 5\ M_1, 5$ and $0.1\ M_1$.

In fact, the optimum situation for obtaining low reheating temperatures happens when the interaction decouples abruptly at $z$ values somewhat larger than unity, while for $z_{c0} < 0.5$ or $z_{c0} > 5$ the lower bound on $T_{rh}$ is greater than the ideal bound by a factor of 2 or more, even for the idealized type of interactions just described.
Figure 5: The allowed regions in the $m_1 - M_1$ plane for $M_{Z'} = 5M_1$ and different values of the reheating temperature: the regions above the solid, dashed and dotted curves correspond respectively to $T_{rh} = M_1 = 3$, $M_1 = 5$ and $0.1M_1$.

section: when $M_{Z'}$ is small\footnote{We have included $Z^0$ masses as low as $M_1$ in fig. 6 with the purpose of testing different situations (i.e. interactions which produce $N_1$ and have different decoupling behavior), but we remind that the correct calculation of the bounds for very low $M_{Z'}$ must take into account the effects of the field (it is to expect that it's inclusion will suppress even more the efficiency but won't change the picture qualitatively).} the suppression of the efficiency must be compensated with large values of the CP asymmetry (and hence of $M_1$), while for $M_{Z'}$ approaching $100M_1$ the interactions mediated by $Z^0$ depart from equilibrium when the $N_1$ are still relativistic, so the bound on $M_1$ reaches its lowest possible value (equal to $6 \times 10^8$ GeV). Note also that the lowest efficiencies occur when $M_{Z'} > 2M_1$, as has been explained before.

Finally, it's also clear from fig. 6 that for low $Z^0$ masses the bound on $T_{rh}$ can be several (up to 7) times smaller than the corresponding bound on $M_1$, as discussed at the beginning of this section. However, this works only for comparatively large $M_1$ values and this is also true in the strong washout regime (see fig. 5 for the case $M_{Z'} = 5M_1$), so the bound for $T_{rh}$ is several times greater than the ideal one also for these cases. We conclude that, in hierarchical scenarios where the heavy neutrinos are thermally produced, even in the presence of additional $Z^0$ gauge bosons the reheating temperature needs to be larger...
than $10^9$ GeV and hence this cannot be of much help in relation to the gravitino problem affecting some supersymmetric scenarios.

5. Conclusions

The existence of neutral gauge bosons coupled to the heavy neutrinos notably affects the leptogenesis picture in the weak washout regime. The main new ingredient with respect to the simplest themall leptogenesis scenarios is that they allow the production and destruction of the heavy neutrinos without generating a CP asymmetry. When the $Z^0$ bosons are not very heavy compared to the reheating temperature ($M_{Z^0} < 10 T_{rh}$) an equilibrium population of $N_1$ is always achieved before the neutrinos become non-relativistic (as long as $T_{rh} > M_1$). Moreover, if the new gauge bosons are not too light ($M_{Z^0} > 100 M_1$) the corresponding gauge interactions depart from equilibrium before the heavy neutrinos become non-relativistic and in this case the efficiency reaches its maximum possible value. On the other hand, for lighter $Z^0$ bosons ($M_{Z^0} < 100 M_1$) the gauge interactions remain in equilibrium until a temperature which is smaller than $M_1$ and hence the $N_1$ can partially disappear without producing a lepton asymmetry (via the interactions $N_1 N_1 \rightarrow h^+ h^-$). The suppression effect induced by these interactions is greatest for $M_{Z^0} < 2M_1$. 

Figure 6: The lower bound on $M_1$ (dashed curve) and on $T_{rh}$ (solid curve) as a function of $M_{Z^0} = M_1$ for $m_1 = 10^6$ eV.
We have also shown that the presence of $Z^0$ bosons not much heavier than $N_1$ allows to have reheating temperatures a few times (even up to a factor seven) smaller than $M_1$ still obtaining large efficiencies (compared to the cases when the heavy neutrinos are produced only via the Yukawa interactions). However, for hierarchical leptogenesis scenarios in which the heavy neutrinos are produced thermally, the minimum reheating temperature required for successful leptogenesis is always quite above the lowest possible value for $M_1$ (equal to $6 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$ for a cosmic baryon asymmetry equal to $Y_B = 8.7 \times 10^{-11}$). In the $Z^0$ model we have analyzed the lowest bound on $T_{rh}$ is two times that value, but this happens only for very special values of $M_{Z^0}$ (around 20$M_1$), while for other values of the $Z^0$ mass the required value of the reheating temperature increases.
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