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Abstract. Inclusive Higgs boson pair production through the mechanism of gauge boson fusion $e^+e^- \rightarrow VV \rightarrow hh + X$ ($V = W$, $Z$) in the general Two-Higgs-Doublet Model (2HDM), with $h = h^0; H^0; A^0; H^+$, is analyzed at $O(\Lambda_{ew})$ in the linear colliders ILC and CLIC. This kind of processes is highly sensitive to the trilinear ($3H$) Higgs boson self-interactions and hence can be a true keystone in the reconstruction of the Higgs potential. For example, in the ILC at 1 TeV, the most favorable scenarios yield cross-sections up to roughly 1 pb, thus entailing $10^5$ events per $100 fb^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, whilst remaining fully consistent with the perturbativity and unitarity bounds on the $3H$ couplings, the electroweak precision data and the constraints from $B(b \rightarrow s)$. Comparing with other competing mechanisms, we conclude that the Higgs boson-pair events could be the dominant signature for Higgs-boson production in the TeV-class linear colliders for a wide region of the 2HDM parameter space, with no counterpart in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM). Owing to the extremely clean environment of these colliders, inclusive $2H$ events should allow a portable tagging and might therefore open privileged new vistas into the structure of the Higgs potential.
1 Introduction

For more than 40 years, the Standard Model (SM) of Strong and Electroweak interactions has furnished a successful arena in which to describe the physics of Elementary Particles. In spite of its formidable achievements, a number of longstanding challenges are still to be resolved. Perhaps the most paradigmatic one concerns the ultimate nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking (EW SB). Even though the Higgs mechanism provides an elegant description of EW SB within a perturbative quantum field theory framework, one is forced to postulate the existence of (at least) one scalar ($J^P = 0^+)$ fundamental building-block of Nature, whose experimental confirmation is conspicuously missing for the time being. Nevertheless, with the recent start-up of the LHC operations at CERN, the prospects for the discovery of the Higgs boson are extremely encouraging and the curtains may soon be drawn back to reveal this final player in the story of the SM. In fact, the LHC will be able to amply sweep the natural SM Higgs mass range (spanning from a few hundred GeV up to 1 TeV) and, in the most favorable scenarios, it could produce a copious number of Higgs boson events [1].

Despite these optimistic prospects for the discovery of the SM Higgs boson, the upcoming LHC data might well reveal that the ultimate origin of EW SB is grounded somewhere beyond the minimal assumptions of the SM. For instance, a number of well-motivated (perturbative) extensions of the SM entail a two-Higgs-doublet structure, such as the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [2] or the general (unconstrained) Two-Higgs-Doublet Model (2HDM) [3]. From the beginning, however, the quest for experimental signatures of Higgs boson physics beyond the SM concentrated its effects mainly on the search for supersymmetric (SUSY) Higgs bosons [2,3]; see [4] for more recent developments, and [5] for fresh reviews of this subject. At the same time, very detailed investigations were undertaken in the past on the SUSY quantum effects on the gauge boson masses [6] (cf. [7] for the current state of the art) and on the Z-boson and top quark widths [8], in which virtual Higgs bosons may also play a significant role. Later on, outstanding new sources of quantum corrections were identified in processes involving Higgs bosons, quarks and/or squarks as external particles. The possible new effects stemmed from the enhancement capabilities associated to the supersymmetry Yukawa couplings between Higgs bosons and quarks or between squarks, squarks and chargino-neutralinos. In a variety of quite different processes, the potential effects were shown to be truly spectacular, see e.g. [9-12]. More recently, it has been shown that such an enhancement also applies to the loop-generated Yukawa couplings of additional gauge-singlet Higgs bosons in minimal extensions of the MSSM [13].

So far so good, but what about the non-SUSY Higgs boson extensions of the SM? Remarkably, the crucial novelty here is that the most relevant effects could have an origin fundamentally different from the Yukawa couplings linked to the traditional SUSY sources of enhancement. This possibility has recently been illustrated for the general 2HDM in [14] (see also [15] in a different domain). In this letter, we dwell on another compelling (and compellingly simple) example of this fact, which we encounter once more in the physics of the Higgs boson self-couplings at linear colliders. Assuming that the LHC uncovers a neutral Higgs boson, a critical issue will be to discern whether this particle is compatible with the SM and/or any of its extensions and, in the latter case, to which of these extensions it belongs. The next generation of TeV-class linear colliders (based on both e-e and collisions), such as the ILC and CLIC projects [16], will be invaluable in answering this fundamental question. Owing to its extremely clean environment, a linear collider should allow for precise measurements of: i) the Higgs boson mass (or masses, if more than one); ii) the couplings
of the Higgs bosons to quarks, leptons and gauge bosons; and iii) the Higgs boson self-couplings
mentioned above, i.e. the trilinear (3H) and quartic (4H) Higgs boson self-interactions. In a
nutshell, it should allow us a keen insight into the physics lying beneath the EW SB mechanism
and, ultimately, to reconstruct the Higgs potential itself.

In this work, we provide some elementary clues to this reconstruction process: specifically,
we focus on the effects of the trilinear Higgs boson couplings on the inclusive production of Higgs
boson pairs induced by weak gauge boson fusion, i.e. $e^+ e^- V V$ ! h h + X, where $V = W, Z$
and $h = h^0; H^0; A^0; H$. We show that this mechanism could be the leading Higgs boson production
channel at TeV energies and, if so, it should furnish an intrinsic and totally unambiguous signal
of non-supersymmetric new physics. Therefore, while the physics of the top (and bottom) quark [17]
is the natural playground for the study of the SM and M SSM Higgs boson interactions, we find
that in the case of non-SUSY theories there are comparable (if not better) opportunities in sectors
of the theory not necessarily related to heavy quark flavors but to the very structure of the Higgs
potential.

2 Higgs boson production induced by trilinear Higgs interactions

Of cardinal importance is to understand in detail the phenomenology of the Higgs sector in the
context of linear colliders (both within the SM and its renormalizable generalizations). Quite an
even has already been devoted to this goal in the literature. Exclusive double (2H) and multiple
Higgs boson production, for instance, has been comprehensively investigated, although mainly
within the M SSM [18-20]. The exclusive 2H case consists of the simplest Higgs boson production
processes:

$$e^+ e^- 2H \ (2H \ H^0 A^0; H^0 H^0; H^+ H^-): \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, the two-body final states $e^+ e^- Z h$ and $e^+ e^- A h$ (with $h = h^0; H^0$) have been
long known to be complementary to each other in the M SSM [18]. Notice that processes with
exclusively two identical neutral Higgs bosons in the final state cannot proceed at the tree-level
(neither in the SM nor in the M SSM), and at one-loop they have very tiny cross-sections of order
$10^{-5}$ pb [14]. However, sizeable rates of two Higgs bosons in the final state in an $e^+ e^-$ collider
may arise from the processes like (2.1), whose detection would signify an unmistakable observation
of physics beyond the SM. Nevertheless, let us highlight that none of the exclusive 2H channels
(2.1) is sensible to the trilinear Higgs boson couplings at the leading order. Within such pairwise
Higgs events, therefore, probing the structure of the 3H self-interactions would only be possible
through the analysis of the radiative corrections. Indeed, one needs to include such quantum
effects in the exclusive 2H boson processes (2.1) so as to disentangle the genuine imprints of a
SUSY Higgs sector from a non-SUSY one. In this context, a rich literature exists on the one-loop
calculations of the cross-sections for the two-particle final states within the M SSM. There are also
studies considering radiative corrections to charged Higgs production in $e^+ e^-$ collisions within
the 2HDM [25], and also on single, double and multiple Higgs production at the LHC but mostly
for the M SSM [26]. A complete 1-loop analysis of the exclusive 2H channels (2.1) in the general
2HDM is missing, however, and will be presented elsewhere [27].

1 See [21-23], and also the extensive report [24] and references therein.
It is important to note that, in a CP-conserving theory, all the 3H final states in (2.2) contain at least one charged or pseudoscalar Higgs boson, and this has practical implications. In fact, let us recall that there is an important distinction between the two basic types of generic 2HDM models [3]; namely, whilst light charged Higgs bosons are possible within a type-I 2HDM (in which only one Higgs couples to fermions), in type-II models there are in portant constraints on the charged Higgs mass due to contributions to the flavor-changing neutral-current (FCNC) process $b \rightarrow s [30,31].$ The Higgs pseudoscalar $A^0$ is then also constrained to be relatively heavy $M_A \sim M_H$, due to the limits on (see e.g. Eq. (2.6)) of Ref. [14].

Triple Higgs boson couplings may drive different kinds of processes. The phenomenological impact, however, may seriously depend on whether the underlying Higgs sector belongs to the MSSM or to the general 2HDM. In practice, this means that we should be ready to identify highly distinctive signatures of the underlying model. We have mentioned above that the trilinear Higgs boson couplings are involved at the tree-level in processes with three Higgs bosons (3H) in the final state, see (2.2). But, in fact, they are also involved in inclusive processes with two Higgs bosons (indicated as 2HX) in the final state. For instance, the 3H-coupling has been investigated phenomenologically in TeV-class linear colliders in [19,22,23] through the double-Higgs strahlung process $e^+e^e^+H^+H^-$ or the $WW$ double-Higgs fusion mechanism $e^+e^+H^+H^-$. These processes, which include vertices like $ZH, WH, ZZH, WW$ and $HH$, are possible both in the SM and its extensions, such as the MSSM and the general 2HDM. Unfortunately, the cross-section turns out to be rather small both in the SM and in the MSSM, being of order $10^{-3}$ pb at most, i.e. equal or less than 1 fb [22]. Even worse is the situation regarding the 3H boson production in the MSSM, in which (except in the case of some particular resonant configuration) the typical cross-sections just border the value $0.001$ fb or less [22]. In the latter reference it has been shown that, if the double and triple Higgs production cross-sections would yield sufficiently high signal rates, the system of couplings and corresponding double/triple Higgs production cross-sections could in principle be solved for all trilinear Higgs self-couplings up to discrete ambiguities using only these processes. But in practice these cross-sections are manifestly too small to be all measurable.

In stark contrast with the pessimistic situation in the MSSM, the unconstrained 2HDM may exhibit quite promising signatures. A key point here is the potentially large enhancements that the
3H couplings may accommodate (unlike the M SSM case, where such self-couplings are constrained by SUSY invariance and are all predicted to be purely gauge \([3,5]\)). To make it transparent with a single explicit example, the analytical expression for the particular \(h^0 h^0 H^0\) self-coupling in both models reads

\[
C_{2HDM} [h^0 h^0 H^0] = \frac{i e \cos(\theta)}{2M_W \sin \theta \cos \theta} (2M_{h^0}^2 + M_{H^0}^2) \sin 2 \theta \sin 2 \theta M_{A^0}^2 (3 \sin 2 \theta \sin 2 \theta)
\]

\[
C_{MSSM} [h^0 h^0 H^0] = \frac{i e M_Z \cos \theta}{2 \cos \theta \sin \theta} \left[ \cos 2 \theta \cos(\theta + \phi) \sin 2 \theta \sin(\theta + \phi) \right]: \quad (2.3)
\]

It is patent from these expressions that the 2HDM coupling can be enhanced both at low and high \(\tan \beta\), and also through the Higgs boson mass splittings, whereas the M SSM coupling cannot be enhanced in any way. In practice, the enhancement possibilities of the 2HDM couplings will be partially tamed by the aforementioned set of phenomenological and theoretical restrictions.

In the next section, we analyze the inclusive Higgs boson-pair production at linear colliders within the general 2HDM,

\[
e^+ e^- \to h h + X \quad (h = h^0; H^0; A^0; H); \quad (2.4)
\]

where \(X = (e^+; e^-; e^+; e^-)\). At high energies (\(\mathrm{TeV}\)) the vector boson fusion diagrams of the kind displayed in Fig. 1 constitute the dominant mechanism. Therefore, in practice the bulk mechanism of (2.4) is \(e^+ e^- \to V V \to h h + X\). Notice that the fusion mechanism may trigger either one or no Higgs bosons as a virtual intermediate state. There is also the possibility that a single real Higgs boson is produced on-shell and subsequently decays into two Higgs bosons of smaller mass. In this respect, let us recall that, in the SM, the mechanism of single Higgs boson production via gauge boson fusion in \(e^+ e^-\) collisions was already considered long ago \([33]\) and was shown to be dominant with respect to the annihilation channels at high energy. Still, the cross-sections are very small in the SM (of order \(1 \, \text{fb}\)) for masses \(M_H \approx 100 \, \text{GeV}\) and they were computed at that time for the future LEP energies. Some enhancement can be achieved for charged Higgs production in extended Higgs models \([34]\). However, none of the last two sorts of processes involve the Higgs boson self-couplings.

In the following, we concentrate on the computation of the cross-section for the processes (2.4), which do involve the 3H-couplings in some of their Feynman diagrams, see Fig. 1. It turns out that these specific diagrams are responsible for the bulk of the cross-sections under the most favorable conditions for these processes. We will perform the calculation in the general 2HDM model and shall take the same set of phenomenological restrictions used in Ref. [14], to which we also refer the reader for more details on the relevant pieces of the interaction Lagrangian.

3 Double Higgs boson production from weak gauge boson fusion

In contrast to the simple 2H channels (2.1), the class of triple Higgs boson processes (2.2) and the inclusive 2H processes (2.4) are both directly sensitive to the trilinear self-interactions, which implies both a source of potential enhancement and a possible strategy to measure such couplings. In Ref. [14] it was shown that, for Higgs boson masses \(\sim 100 \, \text{GeV}\), the production cross-sections

---

\(^3\)The complete list of trilinear Higgs boson couplings in the general 2HDM is presented in Table 1 of Ref. [14].
corresponding to the 3H processes (22) could be remarkably high in the general 2HDM , lying typically above the inclusive 2H cross-sections (2.1) at center-of-mass (CM) energies ≈ 1 TeV. This feature, which is impossible in the MSSM, is brought about by the enhanced Higgs boson self-couplings involved in the triple Higgs production mechanism (22).

In a similar way, when we move to the 2HX processes (2.4) and consider higher and higher energy (typically at the 200 GeV TeV range of linear colliders), several opportunities for significant enhancement may appear. The leading mechanism behind these processes is the weak gauge-boson fusion mechanism

$$e^+ e^- \rightarrow V V h h + X \quad (V = W, Z; h = h^0, H^0, A^0, H^+ \mid H^-)$$

(3.1)

(cf. Fig. 1 for the case $h = h^0$). As a result, the three main sources of enhancement here are the following: i) the t-channel gauge boson fusion is not so severely damped at high energies as compared to s-channel annihilation; ii) second, the triple Higgs vertex is involved in some of the gauge boson fusion channels (see Fig. 1, rst and second diagram s from the left in either row); and, finally, iii) in some cases, the virtual Higgs boson produced in the last sort of diagram s may not be too far away from the resonance and, in such circum stances, the 2HX state can be more copiously produced. In particular, if the Higgs boson $H^0$ is heavy enough, the resonant decay $h^0 \rightarrow h^0 h^0$ will be kinematically allowed. Although this decay mode is also possible within the MSSM, the production of the initial $H^0$ is mostly suppressed in this model, since its gauge couplings are known to be compatible only to those of the SM-like $h^0$. The rate for this process in the MSSM is therefore not competitive with the 2HDM one. The upshot is that some of the gauge boson fusion processes (3.1) can become fully competitive, if not the leading mechanism of Higgs boson production, at high energy in the general 2HDM.

Let us emphasize that the cross-sections for the processes (3.1) grow up to very high values of the CM energy $\sqrt{s}$. This is possible because, for the fusion diagram s, the weak gauge bosons $V$ can be quasi-real and hence have virtual momenta well below the CM energy of the process, which may satisfy $\sqrt{s} \lesssim \sqrt{2 \boldsymbol{p}} \leq \sqrt{2 \boldsymbol{p}}$. This is possible because, for the fusion diagram s, the weak gauge bosons $V$ can be quasi-real and hence have virtual momenta well below the CM energy of the process, which may satisfy $\sqrt{s} \lesssim \sqrt{2 \boldsymbol{p}} \leq \sqrt{2 \boldsymbol{p}}$. Therefore, while the exclusive 2H and 3H production cross-sections...
are expected to decay irreversibly as $1=S$ owing to the $Z$-boson propagator that mediates the s-channel diagram, the energy behavior of the gauge fusion mechanism for $2H X$ production is quite different. It is actually reminiscent of the cross-section for two-photon processes $e^+e^-$, which in the asymptotic limit it goes roughly as $\left(\frac{4}{\pi}|M|^2\right)\ln^2(S-m^2)\ln^3(S-M^2)$, where $M$ is the threshold mass of the produced final state $Y$ and the number $n_1$ depends on the high energy behavior of (1 ! Y). In our case, the situation is a bit more complicated because we have massive gauge bosons $V$ (rather than photons), and moreover the diagram with triple Higgs vertex contains an intermediate virtual Higgs state emerging from the $VV$-fusion. Thus, the dependence of the cross-sections (3.2) with the threshold mass of the Higgs boson pair is not so simple, but as in the two-photon case the cross-sections are expected to raise logarithmically with the energy, rather than decaying quadratically with it. We shall consider these expectations with the numerical analysis in the next section.

The following comment is also in order. Even though the results on the inclusive Higgs boson-pair production (2.4) are overwhelmingly dominated by the gauge boson fusion mechanism (3.1), we point out that we have computed the cross-section of the processes (2.4) with full generality, i.e. by including all the diagrams at order $O(\frac{4}{\pi})$. This is actually necessary in order to insure the gauge invariance of the overall result. Some subsets of diagrams are nonetheless completely irrelevant, such as e.g. those that mediate amplitudes where the Higgs bosons are radiated on the lepton legs. However, there are other more subtle subsets. In particular, there are annihilation diagrams leading to the same final state (2.3) in which a pair (Z+h) consisting of a virtual gauge boson and a virtual Higgs boson is produced and, subsequently, Z decays into lepton pairs and h radiates a real Higgs boson. Although none of these topologies gives a dominant effect in front of the primary gauge boson fusion mechanism, a careful treatment is nevertheless required in this case so as to preserve the consistency of the overall procedure. In particular, the aforementioned Higgs strahlung process demands the introduction of a Breit-Wigner propagator for the virtual bosons.

The above considerations suggest that large production cross-sections for the inclusive $2H X$ processes (2.4) should be possible at high energy regimes where both the exclusive $2H$ and $3H$ channels (2.1) and (2.2) (all of them mediated by $Z$-boson exchange) are kinematically suppressed as $1=S$. We have undertaken this calculation using the computational tool CompHEP (36). In some of the computations, we have also made use of FeynArts and FormCalc (32), which served also for cross-checking purposes. Furthermore, to ease the comparison with the existing analysis of the $3H$ processes (2.2), we present our numerical calculation on the basis of the same set of free parameters as in (14), i.e.

$$\begin{align*}
(M_{h^0}, M_{H^0}, M_{A^0}, M_H; \tan \beta; \tan \gamma)
\end{align*}$$

This means that the general 2HDM Higgs potential is treated under the assumption that $g=6$ (in order not to depart too much from the the MSSM structure of the Higgs sector, see (14) for details). For a realistic computation, one has to include all known existing constraints. For example, there are strong limitations on the parameters of a type-II 2HDM coming from FCNC processes, mainly from the charged Higgs boson contributions to $B(b\rightarrow s\gamma)$, which would overshoot the allowed experimental range unless $M_H\leq350\text{ GeV}$ (30); and there are also the radiative

\footnote{The corresponding analysis of the single Higgs production through photon-photon fusion, $e^+e^-! h +X$ within the general 2HDM will be discussed in a forthcoming publication (35).}
corrections to from the 2HDM sector, which must not exceed the limit $j_{2HDM} \leq 10^3$. Finally, there are of course the direct search limits from LEP and the Tevatron [31]. All these constraints are integrated in our codes and, thus, the entire numerical analysis is consistent with these bounds, along with the general unitarity constraints which apply to both type-I and type-II 2HDM. More details on these constraints are discussed in [14], to which we refer the reader for additional information. Let us also note that, in order to obtain more accurate results, a running value for the electromagnetic coupling constant $(\alpha_Z) = 1/127.9$ has been used.

3.1 Non-resonant double Higgs boson production

For the numerical analysis, we will consider different sets (I-V) of parameters of the general 2HDM Higgs sector, see Table 1. This should suffice to illustrate the enhancement possibilities of the inclusive $2H\chi$ cross-section [3]. Notice that sets I-II and V are compatible with the type-II 2HDM (because the charged Higgs boson mass is sufficiently heavy to satisfy the aforementioned constraints). These sets are actually possible for type-I models too. On the other hand, the relatively light Higgs boson mass sets III-IV will be used (exclusively) for the less constrained type-I models. Let us also remark that in the case of sets I-II the resonant decay $H^0 \to h^0 h^0$ is not possible, although for Sets I and III the mass threshold for such resonant modes is closer than for Set II. Finally, Sets IV and V explore the possibility of having some resonant Higgs boson decays and are mainly intended for type-I and type-II models, respectively. (Set V is also compatible with type-I models, as we asked before, but we mainly aim at type-II ones for that set). We note that in the case of Set IV, actually all of the resonant decays $H^0 \to h^0 h^0, A^0 A^0, H^+ H^-$ are kinematically allowed whereas for Set V only the first decay is available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2HDM</th>
<th>Set I</th>
<th>Set II</th>
<th>Set III</th>
<th>Set IV</th>
<th>Set V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_{h^0}$ [GeV]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{H^0}$ [GeV]</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{A^0}$ [GeV]</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{H^+}$ [GeV]</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Higgs boson mass parameters used to discuss phenomenologically relevant scenarios for the enhanced $2H\chi$ production cross-sections (see the text). Sets III and IV are possible only for type-I models.

We consider first the numerical results obtained from the non-resonant scenarios, which are more general. In doing so, we wish to compare the cross-sections for both the $3H$ and $2H\chi$ channels (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. In Fig. 2a, we show these production cross-sections as a function of the CM energy for Set I of Higgs boson masses (full lines in that figure). The xed value of the CP-even mixing angle in this figure ($\sin \theta = 0.7$) has been determined in combination with $\tan \beta$ after maximizing the cross-sections for the mass Set I under the various constraints discussed previously. The relevant trilinear Higgs self-couplings $h^0 h^0 h^0$ and $H^0 h^0 h^0$, and hence the overall production cross-section, become maximally enhanced at $\tan \beta = 25$. The main constraint that xes the aforementioned value is the unitarity bound of the trilinear Higgs couplings. In order to explore the effect on the cross-sections after significantly increasing some masses (while respecting all the necessary bounds mentioned above), we also show the corresponding $2H\chi$ and $3H$ cross-sections for $M_{A^0} = M_{H^0} = 800$ GeV, with all other parameters xed as in Set I (dashed curves in that figure). In this case, the unitarity constraints pull the maximum value of $\tan \beta$ down to
Figure 2: a) Cross-section (in pb) as a function of $E_{cm}$ (in GeV) for the particular $2H X$ production process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h^0 h^0 + X$, together with the sum of all the exclusive 3H channels, $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3H$ for a choice of Higgs masses as in Set I (in full lines). We fix $\sin \alpha = 0.7$ and $\tan \beta = 25$, in which case the relevant 3H couplings, and hence the production cross-section, are maximally enhanced. The $2H X$ and $3H$ cross-sections are also depicted (in dashed lines) as in the previous case but for $M_{A^0} = M_H = 800$ GeV; here, however, $\tan \beta = 4$ in order to preserve the consistency with the unitarity bounds. In the right axis, we track the predicted number of events per 100fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity; b) As before, but for a lower enhancement of the 3H couplings: $\tan \beta = 14$ with Set I mass (in full lines) and $\tan \beta = 2$ with $M_{A^0} = M_H = 800$ GeV (in dashed lines).

4. Noteworthy in Fig.2 is that the kinematical threshold for the overall 3H contribution shifts to higher energies when we boost $M_{A^0}$ up to 800 GeV. Let us clarify that the rise of further thresholds does not leave a visible footprint on $P^{-S}$, provided one of the 3H channels (in the present case $h^0 h^0 A^0$) dominates over the remaining ones. The subdominant channels, although have less enhanced 3H couplings and a larger phase-space suppression, contribute to smoothen significantly the damping of the total 3H cross-section as a function of $P^{-S}$ as compared to the individual channels.

In Fig.3, we show the numerical analysis of the $2H X$ cross-section for various values of $\tan \beta$, with the Higgs mass parameters taken as in Set I (Fig.3a) and Set II (Fig.3b). The corresponding $3H$ production cross-sections are shown for comparison in the lower panels (Figs.3c and 3d). Likewise, in Fig.2b we display the corresponding results obtained for a lower enhancement of the 3H couplings (viz. up to one half of the standard unitarity bound used in [14]). Notice that our energy scan actually sweeps a wide range which reaches up to 5 TeV in order to better show the asymptotic trend of the cross-sections. In practice, the ILC will cover only the approximate range $0.5-1.5$ TeV, whereas CLIC may reach 3 TeV [16].

The dominant effects on the inclusive $2H X$ amplitudes is proportional to the Higgs trilinear couplings $H^0 h^0 h^0$ and $h^0 h^0 h^0$. These couplings are enhanced at large values of $\tan \beta$, as can be seen from the first Eq. (2.3) and in general from Table 1 of Ref. [14]. Let us also emphasize that, in order to better appreciate the $\tan \beta$-dependence, the maximum $2H X$ and $3H$ cases corresponding to $\tan \beta = 25$ are again included in Figs.3a-c along with the other (smaller) $\tan \beta$ values. Although the overall production rates decrease with decreasing $\tan \beta$, the $2H X$ channel remains dominant at (and above) $S = 1$ TeV for Set I. In this same energy range, but for Higgs boson masses as in Set II, the $2H X$ channel remains comparable to the $3H$ channel only for the largest allowed values of $\tan \beta$. At higher energies, however, such as those planned for CLIC, the $2H X$ channels become
Figure 3: Cross-section (in pb) as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ (in GeV) for the inclusive Higgs boson-pair production process $e^+e^- \rightarrow h^0h^0 + X$ (upper panels) and for the sum of all the exclusive $3H$ channels, $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3H$ (lower panels). In Figures a) and c), Set I of Higgs boson masses is employed, while Set II is used for b) and d) (See Table 1). As in the previous figure, we take $\sin \alpha = 0.7$ and we study the behavior of the cross-section over different values of $\tan \beta$. In the right axis of each plot, we track the predicted number of events per 100 fb of integrated luminosity.

leading ones even at small values of $\tan \beta$. To be sure, some of these gauge fusion processes furnish a very competitive strategy to probe the $3H$ self-couplings. This strategy nicely complements the prospects that were singled out for the exclusive $3H$ channels in Ref. [14], particularly at very large center-of-mass energies, where the $3H$ signal is greatly suppressed whilst the $2HX$ one remains sustained and even logarithmically enhanced. Cross-sections for the remaining $2HX$ final states containing heavier Higgs bosons are not shown in Fig. 3 as they are found to have negligible production rates when compared to the corresponding $3H$ final states in this scenario. Explicitly, the maximum production rates are found to be of the order $10^{-2}$ pb for the $h^0h^0$ case, and below $10^{-3}$ pb for the rest of the $2HX$ final states.

Now, looking at a starkly distinct panorama shows up for lighter $H$ and $A^0$ bosons. In Figure 1a, we display $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow H^+H^- \rightarrow 2H)$ corresponding to Set III of Higgs boson masses (see Table 1), in which case sizable cross-sections are attained for a number of $2HX$ channels: $H^+H^-$ yields 1 pb; $h^0h^0$ and $A^0A^0$ give 0.1 pb, and $H^+H^-$ renders 0.01 pb. Owing to the relatively light mass of the charged Higgs boson, the latter scenario is only allowed for type-I, not for type-II, 2HDM { otherwise it would yield an exceedingly large FCNC contribution to $B(b \rightarrow s)$} [31]. Similarly, in
### 3.2 Resonant double Higgs boson production

We note that the scenarios considered above are proper of the general 2HDM. In theMinimal Standard Model (MSSM), where the masses of the CP-even light and heavy Higgs bosons are not independent parameters once $\tan \beta$ and $M_{A^0}$ are given [3], the mass splittings indicated in Table I are not possible. For larger enough values of $M_{H^0}$, there is a drastic change in the behaviour for the production cross-section of the inclusive channel $e^+e^- \rightarrow h^0h^0 + X$ since the on-shell decay $H^0 \rightarrow h^0h^0 + X$ is kinematically available. Indeed, with $M_{H^0} > 2M_{h^0}$, the cross-sections are somewhat less dependent upon the enhancement of the Higgs trilinear couplings, the reason being that highly enhanced trilinears now lead to a dramatic broadening of the $H^0$ resonance with a branching ratio essentially of order one.

In Figures 5a-5d, we exhibit a panoply of 2H$X$ and 3H production cross-sections for the Higgs boson masses as in Set V of Table I. We explore the dependence with the CM energy and the mixing angles of the Higgs sector. In all cases, it corresponds to a situation where the on-shell decay $H^0 \rightarrow h^0h^0$ is possible but, in contrast to Set IV considered in Fig. 4b, the alternate decays $H^0 \rightarrow A^0 A^0; H^+ H^-$ are not allowed. The case under consideration is more along the line of type-II models, which are the closest ones to the SUSY case. From these figures, it is apparent that the inclusive cross-section exceeds the $3H$ channel for all energies above the TeV scale. Furthermore, there is a significant dependence of the $2H^0X$ cross-section on $\sin \alpha \cos^2(\tan \beta - \sin \alpha)$ associated to the on-shell production of $H^0$ from $W^+W^-$ and $Z^0Z^0$ fusion (cf. Fig 1). At the same time, the $2H^0X$ production cross-sections are now largely independent of $\tan \beta$, because changing this parameter just leads to small fluctuations of the $H^0$...
branching ratio around one. At variance with this mild tan β dependence of the 2H X processes, the main enhancement source of the 3H signal states still resides in the tan β effective dependence of the Higgs trilinears, as can be seen in Figure 5.

Interestingly enough, let us emphasize that the potentially large values of the 2H X cross-sections studied up to now, either with resonant or non-resonant configurations, are a kind of trade-off prediction of the non-supersymmetric two-Higgs-doublet models. Of course, the collection of diagrams shown in Fig. 1 also accounts for the corresponding 2H X processes within the MSSM. Nonetheless, the 3H couplings are constrained by supersymmetry and are directly related to the electroweak gauge couplings; there is therefore no possibility of enhancement. Furthermore, in the MSSM the region of parameter space where the relation $M_{H^0} > 2M_{h^0}$ holds is dominant. As a consequence, the inclusive 2H X production will be brought about predominantly by the production of off-shell $H^0$ bosons via $W^+W^-$ fusion (cf. Fig. 1) and followed by their subsequent on-shell decay $H^0 \rightarrow h^0h^0$. As already mentioned, it is precisely the $W^+W^-H^0$ coupling that limits the resonant 2H X production in the MSSM because supersymmetry constrains the lightest CP-even Higgs boson $h^0$ to have SM-like couplings when the remaining partners are heavy. Consequently, the resulting cross-sections are expected to lie far below the optimal 2HDM yields. To illustrate

Figure 5: Cross-section (in pb) as a function of $E_{CM}$ (in GeV) for $e^+e^- \rightarrow h^0h^0 + X$ in the resonant case (upper panels) and for the sum of all the 3H channels $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3H$ (lower panels). Pictures a) and c) present the results for different values of $\sin \alpha$ at fixed tan β, and conversely in panels b), d). In the right axis, we track the predicted number of events per 100fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. Set V of Higgs boson masses has been used throughout.
these features in a concrete way, in Table 2 we compute the predicted MSSM cross-sections for the inclusive production of a $h^0 h^0$ pair, $(e^+ e^- h^0 h^0 + X)$. Concerning the CM energies, we assume the operation range that is scheduled for either the ILC (S = 0.5, 1.5 TeV) and CLIC (up to S = 3 TeV), and take three different CP-odd Higgs boson masses $M_{A^0} = 200; 300$ and 500 GeV. The value of tan $\beta$ is not shown, but it is determined such that to (approximately) optimize the corresponding production rate. In calculating these values, we have taken all soft SUSY-breaking masses equal to $M_{SUSY} = 1$ TeV, along with $= 200$ G e V and $A_t = A_B = A = 1$ TeV. From Table 2, we see that the 2HX rates render a contribution of order of a few fb, at most, for $M_{A^0} > 300$ G e V. Nevertheless, there is a narrow corner in the region of low $M_{A^0}/\tan \beta$ (which is severely restricted by the LEP mass bounds [31]) wherein the $W^+ W^- H^0$ coupling is not so much hampered and hence the cross-section climbs up to 10 fb. By combining the (optimal) all values in Table 2 to the most favorable scenarios displayed in Figures 2-5, we conclude that the 2HX signal in the 2HDM is typically a factor 10-100 larger than its MSSM counterpart.

Finally, we have also evaluated the SM background", i.e. the cross-section for double Higgs production from gauge fusion in the SM for the same TeV energies under study. We find e.g. that $(e^+ e^- V V H H + X) = 10^3 \sim 10^5$ pb for SM Higgs boson masses in the range $M_H = 115 \sim 300$ G e V (see Table 3), which is relatively quite small as compared to our favorite 2HDM scenarios. Furthermore, we note that the produced SM Higgs boson will predominantly decay into gauge boson pairs $W^+ W^-$, $Z^0 Z^0$ and (to a lesser extent) to bottom quark pairs $b b$ and top quark pairs $t t$ (if kinematically possible). Subsequently, the gauge bosons will decay both into leptonic and light quark channels. In contrast, in the 2HDM case, the very same conditions that favor the fusion production of Higgs bosons do also favor the decay of the produced Higgs bosons into other Higgs bosons and these into heavy quarks. So the kind of signature is very distinct. A further source of background to the 2HDM signal could come from gauge boson fusion into gauge bosons, essentially $Z^0 Z^0$ pairs. If these gauge bosons subsequently decay into quarks, this would mimic the Higgs boson decaying into quark pairs. However, explicit calculation shows that the cross-section $(e^+ e^- V V Z^0 Z^0 X)$ reaches up to 0.1 pb at most (Cf. Table 3). Hence it lies one order of magnitude below the favorite 2HX cases. For the less favorable situations, however, further studies on the final jet distribution might be necessary to disentangle the corresponding signatures.

For the determination of the MSSM Higgs sector and the mixing angle we make use of the FeynHiggsFast code, which is included by default in the CompHEP setup [37].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{A^0}$ (G e V)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{eeVVHH}$ (pb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.5 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.3 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.7 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.1 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>2.0 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>4.7 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1.5 x 10^5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Maximum cross-section $(e^+ e^- h^0 h^0 + X)$ in various scenarios within the MSSM. In all of them we nd that the optimal cross-section value corresponds to $\tan \beta = 2$. 

For the determination of the MSSM Higgs sector and the mixing angle we make use of the FeynHiggsFast code, which is included by default in the CompHEP setup [37].
Table 3: Cross-sections for the leading background processes within the Standard Model, these are the SM Higgs boson pair-production, $e^+e^-\rightarrow H_{SM}H_{SM}+X$, and the $Z^0$ boson pair production, $e^+e^-\rightarrow Z^0Z^0+X$. The corresponding production rates are computed for $M_{H_{SM}} = (115; 300) \text{GeV}$ in order to account for phenomenologically relevant scenarios. For a sufficiently heavy SM Higgs, resonant production of $Z^0$-boson pairs occurs.

4 Conclusions

We have devoted this work to the study of the inclusive production of Higgs-boson pairs in $e^+e^-$ collisions \([2.4]\), mainly triggered by the weak gauge boson fusion mechanism \([3.1]\) within the general 2HDM. We have found that the cross-sections for some of these processes can be several orders of magnitude larger than their MSSM counterparts. Moreover, in contrast to the two-body final states \([2.1]\), a tree-level analysis of the gauge fusion mechanism could reveal the general 2HDM nature of the Higgs bosons involved, if the enhancement properties that we have explored effectively apply in the physical region of the parameter space. This was shown to be the case also for the previously considered processes \([2.2]\) with three Higgs bosons in the final state \([14]\). However, the inclusive $2HX$ channels \([3.1]\) could be by far the leading mechanism for Higgs boson production at the characteristic TeV energies of the planned ILC and CLIC colliders \([16]\). We end Remarkable opportunities whose threefold origin stems from: i) the sustained (logarithmic) growing of the weak gauge boson fusion channels with $\sqrt{S}$ at TeV energies; ii) the enhanced regime of the trilinear ($3H$) Higgs boson couplings in the 2HDM; and iii) the possible resonant (or near resonant) decay of an intermediate Higgs boson into the final Higgs boson pairs.

Phenomenologically interesting results are attained in large regions of the 2HDM parameter space. In these domains, the maximum cross-section of the inclusive production of Higgs boson pairs \([2.4]\) can be far above (one to two orders of magnitude) the exclusive triple-Higgs boson events \([2.2]\). The possible sources of background (coming from SM Higgs- and gauge-boson pair production) are mostly negligible. In the case of type-II models, which are closer to the MSSM Higgs sector, two simultaneously of the inclusive processes \([2.4]\) can have cross-sections above $0.01 \text{pb}$ (and one of them at the level of $1 \text{pb}$), therefore with production rates at the level of $10^3$ $10^6$ events per $100 \text{fb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. Let us note that type-II models may also lead to cross-sections of order of $1 \text{pb}$ in particular channels, but here we may have up to four channels \([2.4]\) simultaneously having sizeable cross-sections of $0.01$ $0.1 \text{pb}$, thus amounting to production rates of $10^3$ $10^6$ events for the same segment of integrated luminosity. In contrast, the corresponding MSSM maximum cross-sections are typically of the order of $(0.1 \text{ pb})$, and hence some $100$ $1000$ times smaller (similar to the fusion production of Higgs boson pairs in the SM).

Let us also mention that for type-II models (characterized by a heavier spectrum of Higgs boson masses), the leading decay modes for each of the Higgs bosons in a typical final state will be into heavy quarks. For example, if we take the channel \([2.4]\) corresponding to the Higgs boson pair $h^0h^0$, each of the neutral Higgs bosons will mainly decay as $h^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$. In this region of parameter space, the alternate Higgs boson decays into gauge bosons (such as $h^0 \rightarrow W^+W^- ZZ$) are either kinematically forbidden or simply not dominant. In practice we would therefore expect
to see a sizable number of 4-prong final states consisting of highly energetic ($M_{\gamma\gamma} > 50 \text{ GeV}$) $b\bar{b}$-jets (or $t\bar{t}$-jets from charged Higgs decays from the $H^+H^-$ final state), which should be clearly distinguishable in a linear $e^+e^-$ collider.

We have also demonstrated that by exploring $e^+e^-$ collisions at even higher energies (say, up to the characteristic 3 TeV range expected for CLIC) the opportunities could still be better. The most favored channel may then reach a cross-section at the level of 5 pb, and thus producing around half a million events in the same range of integrated luminosity. In general, this upgrading should enable to perform accurate measurements of the cross-sections of several channels and disentangle enough correlations among the parameters of the model so as to be able to pin down the corresponding Higgs boson masses and couplings at high precision. Needless to say, a truly accurate analysis demands the incorporation of quantum effects in the trilinear interactions. Such study, however, goes far beyond the scope of the present letter, whose main aim is only to show that clear signs of new physics can be highlighted already from an analysis of Higgs boson production through gauge boson fusion at leading order. Further investigation on these $2H X$ channels may also be of interest in the context of the LHC. However, appropriate studies of the distribution of the signal versus the background should be undertaken in order to ascertain whether the dominant signatures of the $2H X$ events (in the form of heavy-quark jets) could be disentangled from the important QCD background inherent in the physics of that collider.

In summary, experiments at linear colliders such as the ILC and CLIC can provide the cleanest signals of new physics and can be of paramount importance as a high precision tool to underpin the most sensitive building blocks of the gauge theories, and most significantly the structure of the Higgs potential.
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