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ABSTRACT

We investigate the propagation of electromagnetic fields and potentials in the plasma of the early Universe, assuming a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker background with negative curvature. Taking over results from classical plasma physics, we show that charged particles will acquire an effective mass that has not only the expected thermal component but also a non-thermal component due to the in-verse of distant matter. Although this is a direct effect of the vector potential, we show the theory is nevertheless gauge invariant. This phenomenon is therefore in the same category as the Aharonov-Bohm effect. The non-thermal component becomes increasingly prominent with time, and in some cosmological models can prove to be decisive in portance in bringing about the phase transition that generates normal mass.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the effective mass of charged particles in a plasma, with particular emphasis on the early Universe, before ordinary masses are generated. In Minkowski space, particles of mass $m$ acquire an additional effective mass $m_{\text{eff}}$, of general order of the temperature of the plasma. This is normally derived using path-integral methods [Narlikar & Padmanabhan 1986]. We will estimate the effective mass from a different point of view, which will allow a straightforward extension to an expanding Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) space.

Our main conclusion is that if the FRW space has negative curvature, the effective mass acquires a new non-thermal component, $m_{\text{nt}}$, in addition to the thermal one. This non-thermal component is due to the cumulative effect of distant matter; it is not constant, but increases with time relative to the thermal component. In today's standard cosmology, where the static solution is a FRW space, $m_{\text{nt}}$ will not appear. But there are alternative cosmological models in which it could be of decisive importance.

$m_{\text{nt}}$ appears because in curved space the potentials (but not the fields) have a tail. DeWitt & Brehm 1963 [Narlikar 1973, Hashish 2005], as shown in figures 2 and 3. In the tail, we have potentials but no fields, so $m_{\text{nt}}$ is a direct effect of the potentials. This is a quantum mechanical result, though we have derived it in the context of classical gravity. As with the Aharonov-Bohm effect [Aharonov & Bohm 1959, Tonomura et al. 1982], the appearance of $m_{\text{nt}}$ at first seems to violate gauge invariance, and we have to show why this is actually not so.

2 NOTATION

We consider a model consisting of a single massless, charged scalar field, interacting with the usual electromagnetic fields and potentials. Units are chosen so that $c = \hbar = 1$. Our sign conventions are those of Weinberg 1972, so the metric signature in Minkowski space is $(\, + + + \,)$.

For the early Universe we assume a FRW metric corresponding to negative curvature. (Positive curvature would give similar effects, but involves an awkward discrete distribution of wave numbers.) We use coordinates $t$, $\varphi$, $\chi$, labeled 0, 1, 2, 3, so that

\[
d s^2 = d\tilde{t}^2 + R^2(t) \left( d\varphi^2 + \sin^2 \varphi \, d\chi^2 \right) + \sin^2 \varphi \, d\chi^2 ;
\]

\[
g = \text{diag} \, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & R^2(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R^2(t) \sin^2 \varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R^2(t) \sin^2 \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
P = R^3(t) \sin^2 \varphi \sin \chi ;
\]

The expansion parameter, $R(t)$, has the dimension of length, and can be thought of as the radius of the Universe at time $t$.

The conformal time $\eta$, is related to the ordinary time $t$ by $d = dt = R(t)$. In terms of $\eta$, $(\text{all dimensionless})$
we have:

\[ ds^2 = R^2(\phi) d\tau^2 + d\mathbf{r}^2 + \sinh^2 \theta \, d\varphi^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\chi^2 \]

\[ g = R^4 \, \text{Diag} \, 1; \sinh^2 \theta; \sin^2 \theta; \sinh^2 \phi; \sin^2 \phi \]

\[ P_\phi = R^4 \sinh^2 \theta \]

M. Maxwell's equations in free space are:

\[ P_\phi \, \partial_{\phi} = 0; \]

\[ F_{\pm} + F_{+} = 0. \] (8)

Potentials and a gauge condition will be introduced later.

3 EFFECTIVE THERMAL MASS IN A PLASMA IN MINKOWSKI SPACE

We start from the simple and at first sight pointless, observation that the kinetic-energy term of the Klein-Gordon equation for a charged scalar field in Minkowski space, \( p \, q \phi \), when expanded, gives the term \( q^2 A^2 \).

This has the same sign as the mass term \( m^2 \), suggesting that in some circumstances, such as in a plasma, \( h \, q^2 A^2 \) might play the role of the square of an effective mass \( m_{\text{eff}} \).

\( h \, A^2 \) is the sum of contributions from particles in the immediate neighborhood, roughly those within the Debye length, \( \langle \sigma \rangle \), and should therefore be written \( h \, A^2 \langle \sigma \rangle \).

When we sum over all contributions, the effective mass will be random by orientation and will add according to the theory of random flight, where \( A \) is a constant, \( h \, A \) will then be zero, but \( h \, A^2 \langle \sigma \rangle \) will not.

In a proper quantum mechanical treatment we have to represent the source particles by means of a density matrix. This is not in contrast for a plasma as it is in Minkowski space, but will be essential when we come to curved space, so we introduce the idea here. The density matrix provides a way of including all possible contributions from source particles, which are of course, individually observed. Instead of \( A \), we should write \( \mathbf{A} \), where \( s \) is a particular component of \( A \).

Then, for a double sum over the particles with all contributions, \( h \, A^2 \) is then a function of distance, and over the various possible con gurations; up to a normalization factor, \( h \, (A^2) \), it is not exponential but exponentially screened, and the effective mass will have the same sign as the mass.

We can argue, of course, that in Minkowski space we are not really dealing with a direct consequence of the vector potential, because electric and magnetic fields are also present, and they are the actual physical agents. This is true, but, as we will see in section 4, it is no longer true in a curved space, where we have potentials without fields.

We will need to know the potential due to a single particle in the plasma at distances larger than the Debye length. If the particle is stationary, the potential declines exponentially. Surprisingly, however, starting in the 1950's, several authors (Neufeld & Ritchie 1955; Tannenbaum 1961, Montgomery et al. 1968) discovered that a charged particle moving uniformly is not exponentially screened, but generates some field of a quadrupole in a collisionless plasma.

The restriction to a collisionless plasma was lifted in later papers (Yu et al. 1973, Schroeder 1979), where it was shown that the far field of a moving test charge is that of a dipole with a strength of order \( q \, V \), where \( q \) is the charge, \( V \) the collision time measured by \( T \), and \( V \) the velocity. This dipole form ensures that in Minkowski space the contribution of particles to the effective mass falls off rapidly with distance beyond the Debye radius. We shall see, however, that this is no longer the case in a curved space.

4 SOURCE PARTICLES IN THE PLASMA

To study the propagation of fields and potentials in a curved FRW space, we must need a model of the motion of the source particles that produce them. We are concerned with the early universe, before any symmetry-breaking transitions that may generate particle masses as we know them. The properties of the plasma are therefore determined by a single number, the pressure. The photons will have the Planck scale distribution. For simplicity, we assume the charged particles are associated with a single massless scalar field. This will have an effective mass \( m_{\text{eff}} \) due to its interaction with the photons, so that \( m_{\text{eff}} T \). The charged particles will have a thermal distribution appropriate to this mass and pressure. The plasma will therefore be weakly relativistic for simplicity, however, we will carry over some results from non-relativistic plasma. Other plasmas at different times are determined by \( T \); for example, the plasma at a frequency \( 1 \), will be of order \( T \).

A charge that is part of the plasma, rather than being a test charge constrained to move in a certain way, will itself experience collisions. We can picture such a particle as describing Brownian motion, with its screening cloud seen as a self-similar process, somewhat farther, but never fully established. We will model the motion as a stepwise process of a single step of this process by setting up a local set of Cartesian coordinates and placing a stationary screening charge \( q \) at the origin. A charge is initially at rest on the z-axis at \( z = V \),, then begins to move with uniform velocity \( V \) along the z-axis, starting at \( t = 0 \), and ending at \( t = T \), when it again comes to rest. Note that this choice of origin for \( t \) differs from that of the cosmic time used in section 2, by a de ned below, \( w \) distance, \( w \) in a similar way. We reconcile these differences later, in section 6.

We are now going to take the result for a uniform moving test charge and use it to calculate the field around our model charge that is subject to Brownian motion.
justify this step as follows. Imagine that the test charge, instead of moving uniformly from an initial time in the past, is actually stationary until time \( t = 0 \), and then starts moving uniformly. There will be transient fields, but after a few collision times the final field of a moving dipole will be established. During the transient period, the test charge will be moving away from its screening charge, which only gradually picks up speed and trails along behind. This is similar to the motion of the charge in our model. Since the transient fields form a bridge between the initial and final fields they must have a similar form to the field of the test charge, and extend commensurably far.

During the period that the charge is moving (the pulse), the dipole moment will be \( \mathbf{D}(t) = qV t \). Before and after the pulse the dipole moment remains at a constant value, but this is of little interest because a constant dipole moment generates no magnetic field. It is well known that Maxwell's equations separate in conformal time so we will write the dipole moment in terms of \( D(\tau) = \epsilon R_s(\tau) (\text{in emissionless}), \) so that the pulse extends from \( \tau = 0 \) to \( \infty \). The subscript \( \tau \) in \( R_s(\tau) \) indicates the source time. \( R_s(\tau) \) can be treated as constant during the pulse, and the dipole moment can be expressed as a Fourier integral:

\[
D(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int D(n) \exp\left(\frac{in \tau}{n^2}\right) \,dn;
\]

\[
D(n) = \frac{2\epsilon \sqrt{r_s(n)}}{n^2};
\]

We estimate the value of \( n_\text{in} \) in section 4.6. The z-axis of the local system used in this section will be parallel to the polar axis of the polar coordinates used in the bulk of the paper.

5 DIPOLe FIELDS

We will need only the three \( E_0 \), \( E_2 \) and \( F_1 \). Since Maxwell's equations separate in conformal time the electric field solutions can be written:

\[
F_{10} = f_{10}(\eta) \exp\left(\frac{in \tau}{n^2}\right);
\]

\[
F_{20} = f_{20}(\eta) \exp\left(\frac{in \tau}{n^2}\right);
\]

\[
F_{12} = f_{12}(\eta) \exp\left(\frac{in \tau}{n^2}\right);
\]

For dipole fields we try the following forms:

\[
f_{10} = f_{10}(\eta) P_1(\xi);
\]

\[
f_{20} = f_{20}(\eta) N_1(\xi);
\]

\[
f_{12} = f_{12}(\eta) N_1(\xi);
\]

with angular functions \( P_1(\xi) = \cos \) and \( N_1(\xi) = \sin \).

Maxwell's equations now give (with prime meaning \( d/d\xi \)):

\[
\sinh^2 \xi \frac{df_1}{d\xi} + 2f_1 = 0; \tag{17}
\]

\[
\sinh^2 \xi \frac{df_2}{d\xi} + 2f_2 = 0; \tag{18}
\]

\[
f_1 f_2^0 + f_1^0 + f_1 = 0; \tag{19}
\]

5.1 Dipole Magnetic Field

From \( 17 \), \( 18 \) and \( 19 \) we obtain the equation for \( f_3 \) alone

\[
\frac{d^2f_3}{d\xi} + \frac{n^2}{2} \frac{2}{\sinh^2 \xi} f_3 = 0; \tag{20}
\]

This is the analog of equation 16 of \( \text{Mashood 1973} \), which he derived for a space of positive curvature. Define \( f_i(\eta) = f_i(\eta) \sinh \xi \). Then \( f_i \) satisfies

\[
\frac{d^2f_i}{d\xi} + \frac{n^2}{2} \frac{2}{\sinh^2 \xi} f_i = 0; \tag{21}
\]

This is a special case, for \( l = 1 \) or \( l = 2 \), of the equation for hyperbolic spherical functions, with solution \( \text{Buscher et al. 1992, Bander & Tsybko 1968} \):

\[
f_i(\eta) = N_i(\eta) \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \xi} \right)^{1/2} \cos(n \eta) \tag{22}
\]

where \( N_i \) is a normalization factor that is irrelevant here. Choosing \( l = 1 \) or \( 2 \) we get the solutions of \( 21 \) that are (regular singular) at \( \eta = 0 \). With \( n+1 < 0 \) interpreted as integration:

\[
f_{2\mu} \left( \eta \right) = N_\mu(\eta) \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \xi} \right)^{1/2} \cos(n \eta) \tag{23}
\]

\[
f_{1\mu} \left( \eta \right) = N_\mu(\eta) \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \xi} \right)^{1/2} \sin(n \eta) \tag{24}
\]

\[
f_3 \text{ is constructed from that combination of } f_{2\mu} \text{ and } f_{1\mu} \text{ that is proportional to } \exp(n \eta) \text{, because when combined with } \exp(-n \eta) \text{ it represents outgoing waves}: 
\]

\[
f_3 = C_3(\eta) \exp(n \eta) \cos(n \eta) \tag{25}
\]

where \( C_3(\eta) \) is a normalization factor to be determined.

It is convenient at this point to express \( f_3 \) in terms of \( u = \tanh(-2) \):

\[
f_3 = C_3(\eta) \exp(n \eta) \frac{1}{2u} \tanh(n \eta) + \eta^2 : \tag{26}
\]

5.2 Dipole Electric Fields

From \( 17 \) and \( 18 \) we derive the equation for the radial electric dipole field:

\[
f_2 = \frac{C_2(\eta) \exp(n \eta) (1 + \eta^2)}{4n^2} \tag{27}
\]

Similarly, from \( 17 \) and \( 18 \) we derive the equation for the transverse electric field:

\[
f_2 = \frac{C_2(\eta) \exp(n \eta) (1 + \eta^2)}{4n^2} \tag{28}
\]

5.3 Normalizing the Fields

From \( 9 \) and \( 10 \) we can get the near-field expression for the radial component of \( E_r \), and by comparison with \( 27 \) arrive at the form of the norming factor \( C_3(\eta) \). On the polar axis, at all distances, the radial electric field at frequency \( n \) is

\[
E_r = \frac{\theta}{\theta r} D(n) \exp\left(\frac{in \eta}{n^2}\right) = 2D(n) \exp\left(\frac{in \eta}{n^2}\right); \tag{29}
\]
where \( r = R_s \).

In the same limit (small \( \varepsilon \)), (33) gives \( f_1(\varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon\), or (n^2), and so on the axis,
\[
F_{10}(\varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon R_s \exp(-in) = (n^2):
\]

We now transform to a local Minkowski frame with coordinates \( t,r \):
\[
F_{10}(t,r) = \frac{2\varepsilon R_s \exp(-in)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}:
\]

\( F_{10} \) is just the conventional radial electric field as given in (29), so using (10):
\[
C_1(n) = \frac{2\varepsilon R_s \exp(-in)}{n}: \tag{32}
\]

6 PROPAGATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD

(29), (30), (13) and (14) give the Fourier transform of the magnetic field:
\[
F_{12} = \frac{qV \sin(n) N_1(c) \exp(in)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}: \tag{33}
\]

We transform back into \( x \), space by dividing by 2 and integrating over \( r \) along the real axis. For \( n > 0 \) both exponentials in \( \sin(n) \) allow us to close in the UHP, using the contour of \( \sin(1): \sin(n) \rightarrow \infty \) is regular at \( n = 0 \), so we get zero, as required by causality. Similarly, when \( n < 0 \), we can close in the LHP, using a contour that is the inverse of \( \sin(1) \) and we again get zero.

For \( n > 0 \) we must write \( \sin(n) = (\exp(in) \exp(-in)) = 2i \), divide the integrand into two pieces, and close the first integral in the UHP and the second in the LHP. Combining these two integrals we get
\[
F_{12} = \frac{qV \sin(1 + u^2)}{2\pi}:
\]

The propagation of \( F_{12} \) is shown in Figure 2. An unexpected feature of the pulse is that the amplitude does not tend to zero for large \( x \), but to a constant asymptotic value. The conventional magnetic field does, of course, tend to zero, in fact exponentially. We can see this at a particular instant by setting up local Cartesian coordinates at a particular observation point, \( P \), at rest in the FRW space. Choose a point in the equatorial plane, \( \varepsilon = 2\), with azimuth \( \theta = 0 \). Choose \( dx \) parallel to \( d\phi \), and \( dy = d\eta = \sin d \), where

\[\text{Figure 1. Typical contour for Fourier synthesis of magnetic and electric fields.}\]

\[\text{Figure 2. Propagation of the magnetic field, } F_{12}: \text{ snapshots of the pulse for various values of conformal time, } \tau. \text{ For each } \tau, \text{ the pulse is confined to the range } 0 < < R_p. \text{ Within this range we plot } (1 + u^2)u. \text{ To render the pulse visible on the graph, we have arbitrarily set } u = 0.5, \text{ far larger than it is in practice.}\]

7 DIPOLE POTENTIALS

The potentials are defined by the following equations:
\[
A_0 = h_0(P, \varepsilon) \exp(in);
\]
\[
A_1 = h_1(P, \varepsilon) \exp(-in);
\]
\[
A_2 = h_2(P, \varepsilon) \exp(in);
\]
\[
F = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial y};
\]

From (39) we derive:
\[
h_2^0 \ h_1 = f_3; \tag{40}
\]
\[
h_2^0 + \imath h_1 = f_3; \tag{41}
\]
\[
h_2 + \imath h_2 = f_3; \tag{42}
\]

(40), (41) and (42) are not independent. If we differentiate (40), subtract it from (41) and use (13), we obtain (10). These three equations therefore do not suffice to define the potentials uniquely; this is to be expected, because \( A \) is only defined up to a scalar gauge function, \( \phi \), so that \( A = A + \phi = A + \phi \) denotes the same field as \( A \).

To the potentials uniquely we need a gauge condition. As though, as we saw in section 3, there is a real sense in which our natural result is gauge invariant, we still have to specify a gauge condition at this point to determine how the potentials in our model propagate over cosmological distances. The natural choice is the Lorenz condition (LC), as given in Jackson (1993). This is covariant in form
and respects causality; it also has the advantage that the current density generating the vector potential is the complete current density, not (as for the Coulomb $b$ gauge) just the transverse part. The curved-space generalization of the LC is $A_1 = 0$, which in our metric becomes
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (P \delta g A) = 0; \quad (43) \]

This has the disadvantage, in a FRW space, that factors of $R(\cdot)$ remain. This means that the equations describing the propagation of the potentials will involve the time derivative of $R$, and so will be coupled to the gravitational field equations. It is certainly possible that Nature works this way. But to simplify the mathematics we propose here to use the following modified Lorenz condition (MLC):
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (P \delta g A) = R^2 = 0; \quad (44) \]
which leads to
\[ \sinh^2 h_0 + \frac{d}{d} \sinh^2 h_1 = 2h = 0; \quad (45) \]

may seem unphysical, because it depends explicitly on $R(\cdot)$, and so seems restricted to FRW spaces, rather than being universally applicable. But it can be given a physical meaning in the context of conformally invariant theories. The connection is outlined in appendix D.

The potentials are normalized by com paring them with the expressions for the $e_k$s. Together with the MLC, this determines the potentials uniquely.

7.1 Scalar potential

By combining (44), (28), (47), and (55) we can derive an equation for $h_0$ alone:
\[ \frac{d^2 h_0}{d^2} + 2 \coth \frac{d h_0}{d} + \frac{n^2}{2} \frac{2}{\sinh^2} h_0 = 0; \quad (46) \]

Defining $= \frac{P}{n^2}$, this becomes the equation for hyperbolic spherical functions, (23). As before, we choose the solution that represents outgoing waves, with $u = \tanh(-2)$:
\[ A_1 = C_2(n) P_1(\cdot) \exp(\cdot) \quad (47) \]
\[ = C_2(n) P_1(\cdot) \exp[\pm i (n + 1)] \]
\[ = (1 + u) i 2i u + u^2 \]
\[ = 2i u + u^2 \]
\[ C_2(n) = \frac{2}{4n} \quad (48) \]

7.2 Vector Potential

The interesting component of the vector potential is the transverse one, $A_\bot$, or in our notation $A_2$ (28) gives
\[ h_2 = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{3h_0}{n}; \quad (49) \]

This can be used to get $h_2$, and from that $A_2$, since $f_2$ and $h_0$ are known from (28) and (47).

Equation (49) shows that $A_2$ is the sum of two parts, one involving $\exp(\cdot)$, the other involving $\exp(\cdot)$:
\[ A_2 = \frac{2i V N_1(\cdot) \sinh(\cdot) \exp(\cdot)}{2n^3 u^2} (N + A); \quad (50) \]
\[ N = e^{(1 + \cdot)} 2nu(1 + \cdot) 4n^2 u^2; \quad (51) \]
\[ A = e^{(1 + \cdot)} 1 + 2i u \quad; \quad (52) \]

8 PROPAGATION OF THE VECTOR POTENTIAL

The Fourier synthesis of $A_2$ is carried out, as for the magnetic $e_k$s, by dividing by 2 and then integrating over $n$, along a contour chosen to respect causality. There is no vector potential before the pulse begins, so the correct contour is a line parallel to the real axis and slightly above it. The integrals must be taken along the whole path $A(D$ in figure $)$, including the semicircle $B(C$. This ensures that for $> +$ the contour can be closed in the UHP and the integrals will be zero. There are two regions of interest, $< < +$ (the $a$ in pulse$^a$), and $< < +$ (the $\pi$ tail$^b$).

We get a non-zero result only for that part of the integral that involves a contour that is closed in the LHP. For the part of equation (50) that is proportional to $\exp(\cdot)$ we can shrink this contour to a small circle about the point $n = 0$, and we just have to nd the residue there. For the part that is proportional to $\exp(\cdot)$ we have to remember the branch points at $n = 1$, so our contour can only be shrunk to the form shown in figure $3$.

The $A_2$ is the sum of contributions from small circles (or semicircles) around $n = 0$ (the pole terms) and the integrals along the cut from $n = 1$ to $n = 1$. The pole terms have simple analytic expressions, but the integrals must be evaluated numerically for each $(\cdot)$ pair. The integrations are straightforward, and the propagation of $A_2$ is shown in figure $3$.

An important difference between figures $3$ and $4$ is that in the latter the pulse has a non-zero tail for $<$. This feature of the propagation of potentials in a curved space has been noted before $[\text{DeWitt & Bracken 1961}]; \text{Yarkkak 1970}]$; for more recent work see Hajishaghay 2003]. For dipole propagation, as here, the tails rise linearly from $< = 0$, and approaches a constant value for large $<$. This asymptotic value is proportional to $\cos(\cdot)$. In ordinary Minkowski space, which corresponds to the line $\cdot = 0$, $A$ has no tail.

We note that the following simple function, with $a = 0{.}735$, gives an adequate $A_2$ for large $\cdot$:
\[ A_2^\infty = (20y \sin^2(\cdot)) \tan^2(a); \quad (53) \]
function, it can therefore be represented as the gradient of a scalar potential, with the electric fields, which are distinguished from the thermal background by their spectrum. The associated vector potential will contribute to \( A_i \). We will not investigate this in detail, because, as we will see later, it is the potentials in the tail, not the main pulse, that are responsible for the increase of \( p \) with time. As the tail is a pure gauge potential that generates no fields, but it, too, will contribute to \( A_i \).

To study the development of \( A_i \), we need a model of how the Universe came into being. We will suppose it originated as a bubble in a metastable vacuum (Buchert et al. 1995), and for simplicity assume that all fields at temperature \( T_{\text{max}} \) appeared without appreciable delay at the surface of the bubble. The evolution of \( A_i \) can be visualized as follows. As each pulse passes the observation point, it leaves a memory in the form of the tail. These tails will not cancel but will add according to the theory of random walks (Hughes 1995). The scalar potential, of course, will remain close to zero; it is only the vector potential that accumulates these additions. Consequently, from now on we will write \( A_i = A_i^{\text{th}} \), and similarly for the non-thermal part.

In section 3, both the numerator and the denominator will decrease as the temperature falls, but the numerator falls more slowly, so the ratio will gradually increase from zero. In some cosmological models, as we will see in section 3, we would like to know when \( p \) becomes of order unity, so we will estimate this here.

It is convenient at this point to change coordinates so that the observation point is at \( = p, = 0 \), and a general source point is at \( , \). Our formulas involve differences in \( \) and \( \), which are unchanged by this shift of origin. We will consider and as starting from zero at the time of minimum radius, \( R_{\text{min}} \), and maximum temperature, \( T_{\text{max}} \). We can picture the buildup of \( A_i^{\text{th}} \) with the help of figure 6, where the coordinates are (x) and (y). The row of boxes at the bottom of the diagram is at \( = 0 \); this represents the surface of the bubble. Each box has thickness \( d \) and duration \( \). Two observation points are shown, \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), at times and \( \). The lines \( P_1B \) and \( P_2C \) represent the past light cones. A bubble particles within these past light cones contribute to \( A_i^{\text{th}} \). The contributions add incoherently over time \( t \) longer than the collision time \( t_{\text{coll}} \), so we can imagine the whole diagram divided into time slices of duration \( \), just like the one shown for \( = 0 \). A box at source time \( t \) represents a spherical shell of volume \( 4 \pi R_2^2 \sin^2 \theta d \).

The number density of particles in this shell, for a thermal distribution, is

\[
p = \frac{h^2 A_i^{\text{th}}}{m c^2}
\]
Figure 5. Buildup of $R_\parallel^2$ at t. Each box represents a spherical shell of radius $a$, thickness $d$, duration $t$. For clarity, only one time slice is shown; the plane actually lies in the whole volume from $t = 0$ to the observation time.

and the number of particles in the shell is then

$$\text{d}N = 4 \times (0.247)^3 R_a^3 \sin^2 \theta \, \text{d} \theta \, \text{d} \phi \, \text{d} a$$

56

Here we have introduced the quantity $A_M = R^2 \tau^4$, which (at our current level of calculation) will be constant during the expansion, and therefore does not need another subscript, say $p$.

Multiplying $55$ and $56$ we get the contribution to $A_M^2$ from the particles in the shell. We convert this to $A_M$ by multiplying by $g$:

$$4 \times (0.247)^3 A_M^3 \sin^2 \theta \, \text{d} \theta \, \text{d} \phi = (2q \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, R_a^2 \sin^2 \theta)$$

57

The average of $\sin^2 \theta$ over a sphere gives 2-3. For the average of $V^2$ we reason as follows: if the effective mass of the particles were purely real, we could write $V^2 = 1-2$. But the effective mass actually increases with time as the ratio $p$ from $54$, increases from zero to one. So a better estimate of $V^2$ is $1-(2+p)$.

0 at the surface of the bubble, and $p$ at the observation point. For some time slice at time $t$ between these two limits, we get the contribution of all spherical shells to $R_a^2 \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, R_a^2 \sin^2 \theta$ by integrating from $t = 0$ to the light cone at $t = p$:

$$8 \times (0.247)^3 A_M^3 \int \frac{1}{2+p} \times (2q \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{R_a^2})$$

58

We now have to sum over all time slices of duration $t$. The sum can be converted to an integral by multiplying by $d$:

$$32 \times (0.247)^3 A_M^3 \int \frac{1}{2+p} \times (2q \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{R_a^2})$$

59

In section 4 we deduced $\tau = R_a(t)$. For we will simply use equation 3.24 from Mott's theory. $U^3$ in the numerator will be of order $(2+p)^3$.

the quantity in the bracket in the denominator is of order unity and will be omitted. We then have, in our notation, $\tau = m^2(2+p)^{3/2} = 8 q \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{R_a^2}$, for the effective mass at time $t$. The purely real term is $q^2 \tau^2$, but we should multiply this by $(1+p)$ to include the non-thermal part also. We have $p = 0.247^3$, so

$$\frac{1}{8 \times (0.247)^3 \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{R_a^2}}$$

60

We can now get an expression for $p$ by using $58$ and dividing by $T^2$:

$$p = \frac{4 \times (0.247)^3 A_M^3 \int \frac{1}{2+p} \times (2q \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{R_a^2})}{3 \times (0.247)^3 A_M^3 \int \frac{1}{2+p} \times (2q \int \text{d} R \int \text{d} \phi \int \text{d} \theta \, \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{R_a^2})}$$
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This integral equation is surely not correct in detail, but it does provide a general picture of the buildup of $p$ from $p = 0$ at $p = 0$. We seek $\omega_m$, the value of $p$ for which $p = 1$. Use a $m = 0.375$, as before, and for definiteness set $m = 10$; numerical solution then gives $\omega_m = 11$.

A remarkable feature of $61$ is that not only has $A_m$ disappeared, but also the coupling constant, $q$. The basic character of the Coulomb $b$ interaction, however, is still apparent in $\omega_m$. An equation of this sort might reasonably have been expected to yield a value of $\omega_m$ that was either extremely small, so the CW transition takes place almost immediately after the formation of the bubble, or extremely large, so the transition never takes place at all. Instead we get a value of $\omega_m$ that is within one or two orders of magnitude of unity.

11 INTRODUCTION OF CONDUCTIVITY

Up to this point we have been mainly concerned with potentials in the tail. But we have now to look more closely at the electric and magnetic fields in the main pulse for dipole propagation. These combine to give a Poynting vector directed outward. In space, this vector falls off like $1/r^4$, which tends to zero even when integrated over the whole sphere. When we go to curved space, however, the Poynting vector tends to $(qV \sin \theta) \hat{\theta}$. The surface area of a sphere is $4 R^2 \sin^2 \theta$, so the integral of the Poynting vector tends to a constant non-zero value. No electric curvate of curvature is to continuously increase the energy of the plasma.

It seems unlikely this extra energy will remain in the form of pulses like those of figure 2. We know such pulses propagate unchanged in a at space, but when they have traveled cosmological distances, so the curvature becomes noticeable, we should expect a gradual thermalization. We will dwell on this thermalization by including a small constant conductivity, $\kappa$, in our equations. Note that this is not directly
related to the normal conductivity of the plasma, which (in our cosmological units) would be very high, is just a device to represent the slow thermalization, and will have a value of order unity. For technical reasons, which we explain below, we choose $a=2$.

There are two reasons why we have to investigate the effect of:

(i) The thermalization of the pulses raises the temperature of the plasma, and so tends to reduce the value of the ratio $p$.

(ii) The slow decline in the height of the pulses will probably reduce the value of $A$ in the tail, and this will also reduce the value of $p$.

The gradual transfer of energy to the plasma implies that the product $R(\nabla T)$ will not remain constant, as in a simple expansion, but will slowly increase. In this respect simulations in action, but on a much longer time scale.

The equations involved here are analogous to those used previously, but are considerably more complicated, so we just give the main results.

12 INCLUSION OF CONDUCTIVITY: FIELDS

The wave number, $k$, the permittivity, $(n)$, and the conductivity, $\sigma$, satisfy the dispersion relation:

$$k^2 = n^2 - \sigma = n(n + 4i).$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

By analogy with Maxwell's equations in empty space, the equations (17), (18) and (19) for our dipole fields become:

$$\ln \sinh^2 f_1 = 2f_1 = 0; \quad (63)$$

$$\ln f_1 = 0; \quad (64)$$

$$f_1 + f_2 = 0; \quad (65)$$

12.1 Magnetic Field

From these we obtain an equation for $f_1$ alone:

$$\frac{d^2 f_1}{d^2} + \frac{n^2}{\sinh^2} f_1 = 0; \quad (66)$$

Writing $k^2$ for $n^2$ this equation takes a familiar form; $f_1$ can be derived from the empty space formula simply by writing $k$ for $n$ everywhere, except, of course, for the normalization function, which we denote now by $C_2(n)$:

$$f_1 = \frac{C_2(n)}{2u} \exp(ik) = \frac{C_3(n)}{2u} = 1 + 2k + u: \quad (67)$$

$C_3(n)$ is most easily determined in empty space; this is sufficient since we only have to consider small distances. The calculation is done in appendix A. We have:

$$C_3(n) = \frac{6qV(n + 4i)\sin(n)}{n(3n + 8i)} \quad (68)$$

12.2 Propagation of the Magnetic Field

The Fourier transform of the magnetic field becomes:

$$F_{12} = \frac{3qV(n + 4i)N_1}{(3n + 8i)\sinh^2 h_0} \quad (69)$$

Figure 6. Contour for computing the magnetic field, $F_{12}$, when conductivity is included. The points on the negative imaginary axis labeled A and B are at $n = 8i = 3$ and $n = 4i$, respectively. The contour is traversed in a clockwise sense.

$$\frac{d^2 h_0}{d^2} + \frac{2gu + u}{\sinh^2} h_0 = 0 \quad (70)$$

We can integrate around the pole at $n = 0$ in the same way as before, except that we have to respect the branch points of $k$ at $n = 0$ and $n = 4i$. We have also to take account of the pole at $n = 8i = 3$. A suitable contour is shown in figure 6.

The integrals are straightforward, and the resulting propagation of $F_{12}$ is shown in figure 7.

13 INCLUSION OF CONDUCTIVITY: POTENTIALS

Here we follow the prescription of Landau and Lifshitz (Landau et al. 1954) to use the same equations relating potentials and fields as in empty space, i.e. (40), (51) and (65), but modify the Lorenz condition by including the permittivity in the $h_0$ term:

$$\ln \sinh^2 h_0 + \frac{d}{d} \sinh^2 h_1 = 2h_0 = 0 \quad (70)$$

Figure 7. Propagation of the magnetic field, $F_{12}$, in the presence of conductivity, $\sigma = 2$. The waves for $n = 0$ and $n = 4i$ are shown.
13.1 Scalar Potential

From these equations, as before, we can derive an equation for \( h_0 \) alone:

\[
\frac{d^2 h_0}{d z^2} + 2 \coth \frac{d h_0}{d z} + n^2 \frac{2}{\sinh^2} h_0 = 0 \tag{71}
\]

This is the same equation as we obtained for empty space, except that, just as for the magnetic field, in place of \( n^2 \) we must write \( k^2 - m^2 \). [71] applies as before, provided we write \( \frac{d h_0}{d z} = \frac{k^2 - 1}{n^2} \), and use a normalization function \( C_1(n^2) \) in place of \( C_2(n) \):

\[
C_1(n^2) = \frac{\xi_1(n^2)}{4(n + 4) i} \tag{72}
\]

13.2 Vector Potential

Defining \( n_p = 8 + 3, \) we nd:

\[
A_2 = -i \frac{q V_1(n^2) e^{i k \rho}}{2(n + 2 n_p) n^2 u^2} (K + A) \tag{73}
\]

\[
K = e^{i k (1 + i \rho)} 2ku(1 + i \rho) - 4k^2 u^2 \tag{74}
\]

\[
A = e^{i k (1 + i \rho)} (1 + 2i u (\rho + i) \tag{75}
\]

We note that going from (50) to (73) takes three simple steps:

(i) Rename \( N_1 \) and \( A \); call then \( K \) and \( A \), respectively.

(ii) In the nal parenthesis of (50), substitute \( k \) for \( n \) in both \( K \) and \( A \); this includes renaming \( k \) as \( k^2 - 1 \) rather than \( n^2 - 1 \).

(iii) In the prefactor of (50), change \( n^2 \) in the denominator to \( n^2(n + 2 n_p) \).

(73) becomes (50) in the limit \( n \to 0 \), as it should.

13.3 Propagation of the Vector Potential

For the Fourier synthesis we need, in general, to use a more complex contour than the one shown in Fig. 3, because we have to take account of the branch points of both \( K \) and \( A \). With our choice of \( n = 1 + 2 \), however, the branch points of \( A \) coalesce into a single point at \( n = 1 \), and the contour of Fig. 4 is adequate.

The result is shown in Fig. 4.

13.4 A Symptotic Form of the Vector Potential

We see that the limiting contour \( 1 + 2 \), \( A \) is a differential equation. The main term is \( \sin \) from the integral down the cut, and in this limit the integrand is concentrated close to \( n = 1 \). Note that this integral involves only \( K \), the \( \sin \) term; the \( A \) \( (\text{a scalar potential}) \) term, being even in \( n \), does not contribute. From this integral we obtain a simple analytic expression for the asymptotic form:

\[
A_2 = \frac{3q V (1 + \rho)^2}{16 u^2} F(\rho) \tag{76}
\]

\[
F(\rho) = \frac{1}{u^2} \exp \left( \frac{2}{1 + u^2} (1 + u^2 + 4 u \exp \frac{2}{1 + u^2} \right) \left( 1 + u^2 \right) + 4 u \exp \frac{2}{1 + u^2} \right) \tag{77}
\]

14 The Energy-Transfer Equation

We will now investigate the effect of a non-zero conductivity on the temperature of the plasma. We start from [Weinberg 1972], equation 4.7.9:

\[
T = \frac{1}{4} n \Theta (\frac{\pi}{4}) + \frac{1}{T} \tag{78}
\]
This expression is zero for any value of , but the most important one for us is \( \alpha = 0 \). We treat the plasma as a perfect fluid at rest in FRW coordinates \( \boldsymbol{U} \), so that the 4-velocity \( \boldsymbol{U}^a \) has \( \dot{\boldsymbol{U}}^a = 0 \), \( \dot{\vartheta} = 1 \). Since \( \dot{U}_0 = 1 \) - \( R \).

Multiply (78) by \( \frac{\beta}{\gamma} g^d \times U \), sum over \( \alpha \), and set the resulting expression equal to zero; we get

\[
P \frac{d^4 x}{U_0} T_{ij} = 0. \tag{79}
\]

The left-hand side of this equation is a coordinate scalar with \( \frac{d^4 x}{U_0} \) an element of proper volume. Expanding (79):

\[
P \frac{d^4 x}{U_0} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} g_{i \alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma} g_{i \alpha} P_{\alpha T^{00}} + \frac{d^4 x}{U_0} T_{ij} = 0. \tag{80}
\]

From this we can derive an integrable expression for \( T^{00} \). The resulting equation is most simply written in terms of \( A_M (\lambda) = R(\lambda) T^{00} (\lambda) \varepsilon F \). A similar quantity was introduced in section 10, but there it could be treated simply as a constant. Here we calculate its variation with time:

\[
Z = A_M (\lambda) R(\lambda) \frac{d^4 x}{U_0} A_M^{\lambda \eta} (\alpha) \frac{d^4 x}{U_0} = 0; \tag{81}
\]

\[
P_4 = 397 \times 10^{-7} \varepsilon F \mu. \tag{82}
\]

Note that because of the appearance of the function \( p(\lambda) \), this equation by itself is not sufficient to calculate \( A_M \). We obtain an independent equation connecting these two functions in the next section.

### 15.1 Calculation of \( p \) and \( A_M \)

As before, we set \( \alpha = 10 \) for the purpose of numerical calculation of (8) and (8), but we need a starting value for \( A_M \). We choose \( A_M = 25 \times 10^{-11} \varepsilon F \mu \). This is approximately equal to the observed value today, \( p \) becomes equal to unity around \( \alpha = 480 \).

This value of \( \alpha \) is significantly larger than the previous value of 11 when \( \alpha = 0 \), but it is still within a few orders of magnitude of unity. The most important feature of this calculation is that it shows \( p(\lambda) \) does continue to rise slowly when \( \alpha = 0 \).

### 16 APPLICATION TO THE MANNHEIM MODEL

In a series of papers (see Mannheim [2009] and references therein), Mannheim has developed a cosmology based on conformal gravity. The underlying geometry is a FRW space with negative curvature. The expansion parameter, \( \dot{R} \), has a minimum value, non-zero. We will assume this represents the initial size of the bubble.

A distinguishing aspect of this theory is that it contains no intrinsic mass scales, unlike conventional cosmology where the Planck mass provides a fundmental scale. It is therefore natural to ask whether, in Mannheim’s model, the steady increase in the ratio \( p \) could eventually trigger a phase change that would generate particle masses. We are not advocating the Mannheim model in this paper; it must still meet some serious challenges before it can be regarded as a serious rival to the conventional model. We are simply using it as an example of the way the non-trivial component of the effective mass can play a crucial role.

The mechanism we have in mind is the Coleman-Weinberg (CW) transition (Coleman & Weinberg [1973], as discussed in the context of cosmology in Narlikar & Padmanabhan [1986]). Figure 10 shows the effective potential, \( V_0 \), for a typical field at the inflection point. The formulae used are taken from Narlikar & Padmanabhan [1986]). Initially, \( V_0 \) is described by the upper curve, but as the effective mass of the field decreases, the second minimum will become lower, and eventually, when \( p \) \( \alpha \), it will cross the horizontal axis. At this point a CW transition can take place and Normal masses will appear. There will have magnitude \( m^2 \varepsilon F \mu \).
Figure 10. Effective potential $V_\theta$, as a function of $\theta$. As $m_{\text{th}}$ increases, the form of $V_\theta$ changes from the upper curve to the lower one, at which point a Coleman-Weinberg transition can occur.

By adjusting the parameters of the model, we can arrange for sufficient conformal time to elapse from the formation of the bubble to the CW transition, assumed to occur at the weak interaction scale. The initial temperature of the bubble, $T_{\text{b,init}}$, is then found to be very high, and we have to look for an explanation of why particle masses are so very small compared to this temperature. This problem is analogous to the hierarchy problem in ordinary cosmology, where the Planck mass is so much greater than particle masses.

In the M annheim model, the explanation is straightforward. The temperature of the CW transition is found to depend exponentially on the conformal time, so a very small value of $T_{\text{b,init}}$ will produce a very small value of $T_{\text{C,init}} - T_{\text{m,fin}}$.

17 CONCLUSION

We have studied the propagation of the vector potential in the plasma of the early Universe, assuming a FRW space of negative curvature, and conclude that $A^2\text{i}$ will slowly acquire a non-trivial part, $A^2_i$, due to distant matter. Even though this is a direct effect of the vector potential and cannot be attributed to fields, the theory is nonetheless gauge invariant. This effect is therefore in the same category as the Aharonov-Bohm effect [Aharonov & Bohm 1959, Tononi & et al. 1983].

Further research will be needed to determine whether this increase of $A^2_i$ is sufficient to cause a CW transition and generate a mass scale.

Several additional questions are raised by the present paper, among them the following:

(i) Will a CW transition necessarily take place as $A^2\text{i}_{\text{th}}$ approaches $A^2\text{i}_{\text{th}}$?

(ii) Is the tail of $A$ important only in the early Universe, or are there other places, regions of high gravitational fields, where its effects can be observed even now?

(iii) How does the real complicated early plasma determine such things as the collision time?

(iv) Can we expect $A$ to propagate over cosmological distances so that the CW transition takes place within the available conformal time? We have taken readings from ordinary plasma theory and used them in the very different circumstances of the early Universe.

These considerations are beyond the scope of this paper, which is solely concerned with the interplay, in a simple model, of shift theory (density matrix, the Lagrangian for a scalar field), the Coleman-Weinberg transition and the classical equations of propagation of the ordinary vector potential in a FRW space of negative curvature.

A well-known text [Peskin & Schroeder 1995] suggests a connection between large and small scales similar to the one explored here. After surveying the difficulties faced by current theories of the mass scale, the authors write: "... it may be that the overall scale of energy-momentum is genuinely big enough and is set by a cosmological boundary condition." We have presented a mechanism for such a connection. It is based on the familiar electron magnetic interaction, and nothing radically new seems to be required.
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APPENDIX A: NORMALIZATION WITH CONDUCTIVITY

In this appendix we will work in the usual spherical polar coordinates, and make connection with Riemannian coordinates when necessary.

Suppose we have a dipole at the origin, oscillating with time dependence exp(-it) in the z direction. The surrounding medium is of uniform conductivity, so that the current density, \( j \), is given by \( j = -\sigma E \). We will analyze this system by imagining a small sphere of radius \( r \), cut out of the medium surrounding the dipole. Induced currents moving in the medium will cause surface charges to appear on the sphere, and the total dipole moment will be the sum of the original dipole moment and that due to the induced charges. We assume the permittivity and magnetic susceptibility are essentially unity, so that \( E = B = H \). In such a system \( j = 0 \) follows from Maxwell's equations, as there are no volume charges in the medium.

Denote by \( D_{\text{true}} \equiv D(\mathbf{r}) \exp(-it) \) the dipole moment at the center of the sphere, where \( D(\mathbf{r}) \) is the true dipole strength at angular frequency \( \omega \). The induced dipole moment due to the surface charges is \( D_{\text{ind}} \), so the total dipole moment is \( D_{\text{tot}} = D_{\text{true}} + D_{\text{ind}} \).

Just outside the sphere the electrostatic potential is given by
\[
V = D_{\text{tot}} P_1(\cos \theta) \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon};
\]
where \( P_1(\cos \theta) \equiv \cos \theta \). The radial component of \( E \) is given by
\[
E_r = 2D_{\text{tot}} P_1(\cos \theta) \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon} \frac{\epsilon_r}{\epsilon};
\]
The surface charge density, \( s \), obeys the relation
\[
\frac{ds}{dt} = j;
\]
where \( j \) is evaluated just outside the sphere. This gives
\[
s = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_0} E_r = s_0 P_1(\cos \theta);
\]
where
\[
s_0 = \frac{2\pi D_{\text{tot}}}{\epsilon};
\]
The induced dipole moment, \( D_{\text{ind}} \), is then given by an integral over the surface of the sphere:
\[
D_{\text{ind}} = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin \theta \left[ s_0 P_1(\cos \theta) \right] \sin \theta \left[ s_0 P_1(\cos \theta) \right];
\]
\[
= \frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{2}{3} D_{\text{tot}};
\]
giving
\[
D_{\text{tot}} = D_{\text{true}} + D_{\text{ind}} = \frac{3}{3! + 8 \pi} D_{\text{true}};
\]
We set \( H = C(\mathbf{r}) N(\mathbf{r}) \exp(-it) \), where \( C(\mathbf{r}) \) is a normalizing function, \( N = dP_1 - d \), and \( h(r) \) satisfies
\[
\frac{d^2h}{dr^2} + \frac{2dh}{dr} - \frac{2h}{r^2}; \quad h(0) = 0;
\]
with \( \tau \equiv r^{-1}(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2) \). We choose the solution that represents outgoing waves, so
\[
h(r) = \frac{i}{(r^2)} \frac{1}{r} \exp\left(i \frac{r}{r}\right);
\]
Here \( h^{(1)}(r) \) is the spherical Bessel function defined in Abramowitz & Stegun (1970).

The Maxwell equation \( \mathbf{H} = i(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2) \) \( \mathbf{E} \) then gives
\[
E_r = \frac{2C_s(\mathbf{r}) P_1(\cos \theta) h^{(1)}(r) \exp(-it)}{r(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2)};
\]
For small \( r \) this becomes:
\[
E_r = \frac{2C_s(\mathbf{r}) P_1(\cos \theta) \exp(-it)}{r(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2)}.
\]
But also, for small \( r \), we have \( A(2) \), so
\[
C_s(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3}{3! + 8 \pi} \frac{2}{(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2)} \exp(-it);
\]
\[
H = \frac{3}{3! + 8 \pi} \frac{2}{(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2)} \exp(-it);
\]
\[
E_r = \frac{6i}{3! + 8 \pi} \frac{2}{(1 + 4 \mathbf{r}^2)} \exp(-it);
\]
In this appendix we have used ordinary polar coordinates in at space. We need now to transform to coordinates of a FRW at space, with metric
\[
ds^2 = R^2(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}^2 + c^2 d\tau^2 + c^2 d^2 + c^2 \sin^2 \theta d^2;
\]
To get from \( H \) of (A1) to \( F_{12} \) of (A15), in the at FRW metric \( A(15) \), we refer to W. Weinberg (1972), section 4.8, and multiply by \( \omega \). We then convert from \( \mathbf{t} \) to \( r \) to by multiplying by \( R^2(\mathbf{r}) \). We also convert to \( n_k \) to \( \mathbf{k} \) to \( \mathbf{r} \):
\[
F_{12} = \frac{3n_k^2 N(\mathbf{n}) h^{(1)}(k) \exp\left(i \frac{n_k^2}{R(\mathbf{n})}ight)}{(3n_k^2 + 8 \mathbf{i})};
\]
We can obtain the corresponding function in curved
space by multiplying $\text{[67]}$ by $N_1$ \text{exp}(\imath N_1 x)$:

\begin{equation}
F_{12} = \frac{C_3(n; N_1 \text{exp}\{\imath k\}}{2\pi} \text{exp}\{\imath k n\} \quad 2\pi \nu + \psi : \quad (A17)
\end{equation}

We can now find $C_3(n; \nu)$ by matching $\text{[A16]}$ with $\text{[A17]}$ for small $\nu$:

\begin{equation}
C_3(n; \nu) = \frac{3\text{in}(n + 4 + i)\text{D}(n)}{R(n)(3n + 8 + i)}: \quad (A18)
\end{equation}

With $\text{D}(n)$ given by $\text{[13]}$, this reduces to

\begin{equation}
C_3(n; \nu) = \frac{6\text{N}(n + 4 + i)\text{sin}(n + 4 + i)}{n(3n + 8 + i)}: \quad (A19)
\end{equation}

In the limit $\nu \to 0$, $\text{[A19]}$ tends to $\text{[22]}$, as it should.

**APPENDIX B: THE MODIFIED LORENZ CONDITION IN CONFORMALLY INvariant THEORIES**

It is well known that although Maxwell's equations are conformally invariant in 4-dimensional space, the Lorenz condition is not [Joyce & Narlikar [1974], chapter 2]. So a theory that aspires to be completely conformally invariant, such as the theory of Mannheim discussed in section 16, must include a modified gauge condition if it is to describe the propagation of potentials. We note that the conformal weights of $g$, $p$, and $A$ are 2, 4, 1 and zero, respectively. The equation

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (p_{gg} A) = 0 \quad (B1)
\end{equation}

is therefore conformally invariant, and is a candidate for a gauge condition. We can linearize it by setting $\psi$ equal to its expectation value. In the conditions of the early Universe, this will be proportional to $T^2$, where $T$ is the temperature. A summing adiabatic expansion, $T^2$ will be proportional to $1 - R^2$, so our gauge condition reduces to

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (p_{gg} A) \quad A = R^2 = 0; \quad (B2)
\end{equation}

which is the modified Lorenz condition, $\text{[44]}$.
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