Photon-Hadron Jet Correlations in p+p and Au+Au Collisions at $P_{\text{SiN}} = 200$ GeV
We report the observation at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) of suppression of back-to-back correlations in the direct photon-hadron (g-h) background. The initial momentum of the away-side parton is tightly constrained, because the parton-photon pair exactly balances in m cm unless at leading order in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD), m making such correlations a powerful probe of the in-medium parton energy loss. The away-side nuclear suppression factor, $I_{AA}$, in central Au+Au collisions, is 0.32 $^{+0.12}_{-0.09}^{	ext{stat}}$ for hadrons of $3 < p_T < 5$ in coincidence with photons of 5 < $p_T$ < 15 GeV/c. The suppression is comparable to that observed for high-$p_T$ single hadrons and dihadrons. The direct photon associated yields in p+p collisions scale approximately with the m cm momentum balance, $z_T = p_T^+$ / $p_T^-$, as expected for a measure of the away-side parton fragment formation function. We compare to Au+Au collisions for which the m cm momentum balance dependence of the nuclear modification should be sensitive to the path-length dependence of parton energy loss.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Qk, 13.20.Fc, 13.20.Hw, 25.75.Dw

I. INTRODUCTION

Experimental results from RHIC have established the formation of hot and dense matter of a fundamentally new nature in relativistic heavy-ion collisions.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}. Energy loss in this dense nuclear matter by color-charged, hard ($E > 2$ GeV) partons, and the jets into which they fragment, is generally accepted to be the mechanism responsible for the suppression of the high-$p_T$ hadron yields observed in central Au+Au collisions.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}. In the large multiplicity environment of heavy-ion collisions, two-particle correlations are often used to study jet-medium and to infer properties of the medium. For example, high-$p_T$ azimuthal dihadron correlations demonstrate that the degree of dijet away-side suppression depends on the $p_T$ of the $\langle $trig$\rangle$ and $\langle $assoc$\rangle$ hadrons. At medium $p_T$ ($3$ GeV$/$c), the jet properties measured through two-particle correlations demarcate novel features such as shape modifications which are thought to be a manifestation of the response of medium to the energy deposited by the attenuated parton.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}.

Dihadron measurements of dijet pairs provide an ambiguous measurement of the energy loss of the away-side parton. The trigger hadron is a product of parton fragmentation and therefore it is not possible to determine, event-by-event, whether the near-side parton has lost energy. Given the steeply falling jet spectrum, the sample of hard scatterings is biased towards configurations in which the parton loses little energy. In particular, it is believed that hadron mass events are subject to a "surface bias" in which the hard scatterings are likely to occur at the periphery of the overlap zone.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}. The away-side parton then is more likely to traverse a medium path-length through the medium. For a sufficiently opaque medium, the attenuation of the parton may be nearly total, in which case the sensitivity to the average path-length is reduced.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}. Back-to-back, high-$p_T$ hadron pairs may originate preferentially from configurations in which the outgoing parton trajectories are tangential to the surface of the overlap zone.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}. On the other hand, dihadron pairs may also originate from vertices deep in the collision zone if a parton has a finite probability to "punch-through" or pass through the medium without interaction.\footnote{SNL = 200 GeV}. Calculations of the relative importance of these two mechanisms depend both on the medium parton energy loss played and the density
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profile of the medium \([6,10,11]\). Direct photon-jet pairs offer two major advantages in studying energy loss as compared to dijets because of the nature of the photon. First, in contrast to partons, photons do not carry color charge and hence do not interact strongly when traversing the medium \([12]\). The distribution of hard scattering vertices summed by direct photon-triggered correlations is thus unbiased by the trigger condition. Suppression of the opposite jet is averaged over all path-lengths given by the distribution of hard scattering vertices. Second, at the Born level, direct photon production in p+p and A+A collisions is dominated by the QCD Compton scattering process, \(q^+g\rightarrow q^+g\), and the photon on the remnant in the center-of-mass frame is exactly balanced by that of the recoiling quark. A typical higher order effect and other complications to this idealized picture such as Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) 2!3框架 reaction photons or soft gluon radiation must be considered, the level of suppression can then be related directly to the energy loss of a parton of known initial momentum. In this way, the average path-length of the away-side parton may then be parametrized in a well-controlled manner by selecting events of various momentum differences between the -h pair.

For this reason, the +h jet channel has long been considered the golden channel for studying parton energy loss \([13,14]\). Neglecting the above mentioned complications, specifically e-e like transverse momentum broadening (the k\_t effect) and parton-photon fragmentation, back-to-back -h correlations in elementary collisions directly measure the fragmentation function of the recoil jet since \(p^+=p^{k_t}\) \(p^h=p\). In the standard picture of energy loss, partons are likely to lose some fraction of their energy in the medium, but are likely to fragment outside the medium. Hence, the parton energy loss can be considered an effective modiﬁcation to the fragmentation function. Such a picture may be tested using -h correlations in nuclear collisions. Complimentary baseline measurements in p+p collisions are used to test the theoretical description of correlations in vacuum and to constrain possible contributions from higher order processes. Comprehensive reviews of direct photon phenomenology and data from elementary collisions may be found in \([15,16,17]\).

II. DETECTOR DESCRIPTION AND PARTICLE IDENTIFICATION

The data were taken with the PHENIX detector \([18]\) using approximately 950 mIbA+Au minimum bias events from the 2004 data set and 471 mIbA+Au photon-triggered events from the 2005 and 2006 p+p data sets corresponding to integrated luminosities of 3 (2005) and 10.7 (2006) pb\(^{-1}\). The Beam-Beam Counters (BBC) \([13]\), which are used to trigger the minimum bias data, select 92% of the total idealistic cross section. In A+Au the BBC and Zero-Degree Calorimeters (ZDC) were used for online minimum bias event selection and centrality determination. In p+p collisions a high energy photon trigger, deduced by coincidence between the BBC and a high energy Electron Magnetic Calorimeter (EM Cal) tower hit, was utilized. This EM Cal based trigger \([20]\) had an efficiency of > 90% for events with photons and 0 with energies in the range used in the analysis and within the detector’s geometric acceptance.

The PHENIX central arm, each covering 0.35 units of pseudorapidity around midrapidity and 90° azimuth, contain charged-particle tracking chambers and electromagnetic calorimeters \([21]\). The EM Cal \([22]\) consists of two types of detectors, six sectors of lead-scintillator (PbSc) sampling calorimeters and two of lead-glass (PbGl) Cerenkov calorimeters measuring EM energy with intrinsically resolution \(E=\frac{E}{E} \approx 2\%\) and \(E=\frac{E}{E} \approx 0.8\%\) respectively. The central arm of the EM Cal \([0.01,0.01]\) for PbSc and \([0.008,0.008]\) for PbGl allows for the reconstruction of % and % in the decay channel out to \(p_T\) of 20 GeV/c. The details of direct photon, 0 and meson detection and reconstruction within PHENIX have been described previously \([12,23,24]\). Photon candidates with very high purity (> 98% for energies > 5 GeV) are selected from EM Cal clusters with the use of cluster size and charged particle veto cuts. Two-photon 0 and candidates are selected from photon pairs with pair invariant mass in the appropriate 0 or mass range. Combinatorial background is reduced with cuts on energy asymmetry \([12]\): \(E_1=E_2=E_2+E_1\), described in detail below. Some fraction of 0 with \(p_T\) starting at 13 GeV/c (in the PbSc detector) will appear as a single merged cluster, but with anomalous shower shape, and thus are removed from the analysis. The 0 mesons in the 0 range from about 4 to 17 GeV/c and photons between 5 and 15 GeV/c are used in this analysis. For \(p_T\) between 13 and 15 GeV/c there is a < 2% contribution of a merged 0 cluster containing in addition, however this is done with all sources of non-photon contaminations found to have a negligible impact on the two-particle correlation analysis of this report. Direct photons and their two-particle correlations are obtained by statistical subtraction of the estimated meson (mainly 0) decay photon contribution from the inclusive photon and -h sample.

Charged hadrons are detected with the PHENIX tracking system \([25]\) which employs a drift chamber in each arm spanning a radial distance of 2.0(2.4) m from the beam axis with a set of pixel pad chambers (PC1) directly behind them. The minimum momentum resolution was determined to be \(p_T=0.7\%\) at 10% where p is measured in GeV/c. Secondary tracks from decays and conversions are suppressed by matching tracks to hits in a second pad chamber (PC3) at distance of 5(3) m. Track projections to the EM Cal planes are used to veto photon candidates resulting from charged hadrons that shower in the EM-Cal.
III. METHOD

A. Two-Particle Correlations

Two-particle correlations are constructed by measuring the yield of particle pairs as a function of the measured azimuthal angle between photon or parent meson triggers and charged hadron partners. The correlation function $C(\Delta \phi)$ is given by:

$$C(\Delta \phi) = \frac{N_{\text{pair}}}{N_{\text{real}}} * \frac{N_{\text{mixed}}}{N_{\text{mixed}}}.$$

This correcting for the mixed acceptance of the photon or meson-hadron pairs by dividing the distribution in real events $N_{\text{pair}}$ by the mixed event distribution $N_{\text{mixed}}$. The correlation function is decomposed using a two-source model of pair yields coming from two-source jet correlations superimposed on a combinatorial background yield from an underlying event. The underlying event in Au+Au is known to have an azimuthal asymmetry of harmonic shape quantized in the elliptic $\omega$ parameter $v_2$. This $\omega$ represents a harmonic modulation of the distribution of this underlying event, such that the $\omega$-subtracted joint correlation signal is encoded in the jet pair ratio function, $JPR(\Delta \phi)$, where $h_{\omega i}$ is the average single-particle $i$.

Two methods of determining the background level, known as Zero-Y yield at Minimum (ZYAM) and Absolute Normalization (ABS) respectively, were applied to the Au+Au data. Both methods are described in detail in previous PHENIX publications [2, 23]. The ABS method uses the mean multiplicity of trigger-associated pairs in mixed events and a correction for the centralization resolution to determine $m_{\text{mix}}$. Where $ZYM$ statistical precision is reasonable, the direct $h$ extraction of the two methods agree to within much better than the total uncertainties, typically within 20%. The ABS method is chosen for the Au+Au results presented, as this method resulted in a more precise extraction of direct photon-jet pair yields at high trigger $p_T$ where lack of statistics near $h$ severely in pairs the ZYM $p_T$ distribution. In the comparatively low multiplicity $p+\pi$ collisions, the underlying event originates from $d+\pi$ physical $m_{\text{mix}}$ than in Au+Au and is known not to be well described by event-mixing alone. The correlation functions are normalized by fitting to a double Gaussian $+\text{constant}$ function, corresponding to the ZYM method [2].

The results presented here are corrected for the associated charged hadron yield of the quoted yields correspond to a detector with full azimuthal acceptance and $j_j < 0.05$ coverage. No correction is applied for the acceptance of pairs. Final results are presented in terms of the yield $Y$ of jet pairs per trigger $Y = A \cdot JPR(\Delta \phi)$, where $A = \frac{N_{\text{pair}}}{N_{\text{mixed}}} = (2 \cdot h)$. The magnitudes of elliptic $\omega$ were determined by measuring the distributions of inclusive photons, neutral pions, and charged hadrons as a function of the angle relative to the reaction plane, which was determined with the BBC's as described in [31]. The $v_2$ values measured for this analysis are consistent with previous PHENIX analyses [22, 23]. At high-$p_T$ ($6 \text{ GeV/c}$) the measured $v_2$ values used in the determination of the decay photon $v_2$ are to a constant function in order to reduce the $v_2$ effects of large statistical fluctuations. The $p_T$ independence of $v_2$ of 0's is obtained by recent preliminary data [3] and also by the observed $p_T$ independence of the $R_{AA}$, since parton energy loss is expected to be the dominant mechanism for $v_2$ generation at high-$p_T$ [31]. It is also consistent with the findings of [32] which is direct measurement of 0 $v_2$ for the same data set and being published concurrently with this measurement. Since, as discussed in that publication, the high-$p_T$ functional behavior for this dataset cannot be well-constrained, the level of uncertainty we assign to the constant $t$ assumption increases with $p_T$.

Table 1 lists the $v_2$ values for the inclusive and 0 decay photons for all $p_T$ ranges used, either the $m$ measurements, or for the highest $p_T$ decay $v_2$ values from the constant $t$ value. For the values the t errors are listed as statistical error, despite the inherent systemic correlation of the $t$ value across the $p_T$ bins. The decay photon $v_2$ is derived from the measured 0 $v_2$ by the same $p_T$ $v_2$ decay mapping procedure applied to the yields, described below. It is assumed that the $v_2$ for other mesons which contribute decay photons (e.g., the 0 at high-$p_T$). This assumption is well motivated for the $p_T$ range considered ($>4.5 \text{ GeV/c}$) under the expectation that the sources of the high $p_T$ azimuthal asymmetry $v_2$ is jet quenching-induced suppression, already measured to be the same for a variety of mesons (e.g., itself [2]) and by data measurement for other high $p_T$ $v_2$ which can be the expectation of 0 for other hadrons.

<p>| Table 1: $v_2$ values used in the jet function extraction for inclusive and decay photons in A+Au collisions. |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_T$ Range</th>
<th>Inclusive $v_2$</th>
<th>Decay $v_2$</th>
<th>Inclusive $v_2$</th>
<th>Decay $v_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_T$ Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>校中 40−60%</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30−40%</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20−30%</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10−20%</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0−10%</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The magnitudes of elliptic $\omega$ were determined by measuring the distributions of inclusive photons, neutral pions, and charged hadrons as a function of the angle relative to the reaction plane, which was determined with the BBC's as described in [31]. The $v_2$ values measured for this analysis are consistent with previous PHENIX analyses [22, 23]. At high-$p_T$ ($6 \text{ GeV/c}$) the measured 0 $v_2$ values used in the determination of the decay photon $v_2$ are to a constant function in order to reduce the $v_2$ effects of large statistical fluctuations. The $p_T$ independence of $v_2$ of 0's is obtained by recent preliminary data [3] and also by the observed $p_T$ independence of the $R_{AA}$, since parton energy loss is expected to be the dominant mechanism for $v_2$ generation at high-$p_T$ [31]. It is also consistent with the findings of [32] which is direct measurement of 0 $v_2$ for the same data set and being published concurrently with this measurement. Since, as discussed in that publication, the high-$p_T$ functional behavior for this dataset cannot be well-constrained, the level of uncertainty we assign to the constant $t$ assumption increases with $p_T$.

Table 1 lists the $v_2$ values for the inclusive and 0 decay photons for all $p_T$ ranges used, either the $m$ measurements, or for the highest $p_T$ decay $v_2$ values from the constant $t$ value. For the values the t errors are listed as statistical error, despite the inherent systemic correlation of the $t$ value across the $p_T$ bins. The decay photon $v_2$ is derived from the measured 0 $v_2$ by the same $p_T$ $v_2$ decay mapping procedure applied to the yields, described below. It is assumed that the $v_2$ for other mesons which contribute decay photons (e.g., the 0 at high-$p_T$). This assumption is well motivated for the $p_T$ range considered ($>4.5 \text{ GeV/c}$) under the expectation that the sources of the high $p_T$ azimuthal asymmetry $v_2$ is jet quenching-induced suppression, already measured to be the same for a variety of mesons (e.g., itself [2]) and by data measurement for other high $p_T$ $v_2$ which can be the expectation of 0 for other hadrons.
B. Direct -h Hadron Correlation Subtraction

A direct photon is defined here to be any photon not from a decay process. Direct photons cannot be identified in Au+Au with reasonable purity on an event-by-event basis due to the large background of meson decay in the p_T range of the analysis and the inability to use isolation cuts in the high multiplicity Au+Au environment. Thus both direct and -h pairs must be determined from the already mentioned statistical subtraction procedure, which is therefore consistently used in this report for both the p+p and Au+Au.

Single direct photons have previously been measured in PHENIX for Au+Au [12], and p+p [35]. In these analyses, the estimated yield of decay photons N_{decay} is subtracted from a measured sample of inclusive photons N_{inclusive}, resulting in the direct photon yield. These measurements serve as an input to the current analysis, as they x the fraction of the photon triggers which are expected to be direct. This fraction is quantified by the fraction R = N_{inclusive}/N_{decay}. The R values used in this analysis are extracted from previous PHENIX measurements [24,37] by interpolating to obtain the p_T binning used in this analysis. These interpolated values together with the error estimations are tabulated in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-20%</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40%</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40%</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60%</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p+p</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The per-trigger yield of inclusive -h pairs Y_{inclusive} is simply the weighted average of the contributions from decay and direct photon triggers,

\[
Y_{inclusive} = \frac{N_{direct}Y_{direct} + N_{decay}Y_{decay}}{N_{inclusive}} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

Having already determined R, Y_{direct} may then be obtained by simple manipulation of the above term resulting in statistical subtraction involving only per-trigger yields as follows. The decay photon per-trigger yield is subtracted from that of inclusive photons according to:

\[
Y_{direct} = \frac{RY_{inclusive} - Y_{decay}}{R - 1} \quad \text{(2)}
\]

The direct or direct -h pair yields do not, by definition, exclude photons from jet fragmentation or medium induced photon production.

C. Extraction of Decay Photon Correlations

The decay photon associated yields are estimated from the measured 0-h and -h correlations through a calculation which determines the decay correlations statistically from a Monte Carlo (MC) based, pair-by-pair weighting procedure. In this procedure the decay -h pair yield N_{decay}(p_T) is constructed by a weighted integral over all 0-h and -h pairs. In what follows, we will first describe the procedure schematically, describing the ingredients and how they are obtained. We then give a more exact description and associated fromula representing exactly how the weighting was performed in the measurement. Schematically the procedure may be expressed as a convolution of several factors according to the following relation, wherein for simplicity we only consider photons from 0 decay, although the procedure is also applied to decay photons.

\[
N_{h}^{0}(p_{T}) = \int_{0}^{p_{max}} \left[ (p_{T}) P(p_{T}) \right] N_{decay}(p_{T}) \quad \text{(3)}
\]

where and are the 0 and single decay photon efficiencies, respectively, and P is the decay probability density, each of which is addressed in turn below.

First, since the starting point is the uncorrected raw 0-h pair yield N_{h}^{0}, a correction for the parent meson reconstruction efficiency, (p_T), is applied to the raw 0's as a function of p_T in order to account for the 0 daughter photons in the inclusive sample whose sisters lie outside the PHENIX acceptance or are otherwise undetected. Both efficiencies, and , in Equation 3 are evaluated as a function of the position in the calorimeter along the beam direction, however this dependence mostly cancels in the ratio = and therefore is suppressed for clarity. (p_T) is determined by dividing the raw number of 0's N_{h}^{0} (p_T) obtained in the same data sample by the PHENIX published 0 invariant yields [12,35,38] assuming no pseudorapidity dependence over the narrow PHENIX acceptance. The top panel in Fig. illustrates, for the example of central Au+Au events, the 0 e c i e ncy correction factor 1/ (p_T). The correction rises at all p_T due to a p_T-dependent pair energy asymmetry cut designed to reduce con binatorial 2 pairs reconstructed as real 0's. This cut, along with
Fig. 1: The weight factors used to obtain decay correlations from parent meson correlations. Top: 0 reconstruction e - decay efficiency correction, 1/. Middle: Decay probability function, \( W_{\text{ab}}(\pi^0) \), for 5-7 GeV/c decay photons from 0 derived analytically (black line), using the detector acceptance and resolution smearing (red line) and including the single decay photon e - decay efficiency, from a G E A N T simulation (blue points). Bottom: \( m_{\text{merge}} \) obtained by taking ratio of the blue points to red curve in the previous panel.

the effects of any remaining background, is described below. At large \( p_\perp \), \( 1/ (p_\perp) \) rises again due to losses from cluster merging.

Second, the effects of decay kinematics is evaluated by determining the probability density, \( P(p_\perp, p_\perp) \), for the decay of a \( p_\perp \)-independent distribution of 0's. \( P(p_\perp, p_\perp) \) represents the relative probability of a 0 of \( p_\perp = p_\perp \), to decay into a photon of \( p_\perp \). For a perfect detector, this function is calculable analytically. A simple fast MC generator im- plemented the PHENIX acceptance and uses Gaussian smearing functions to simulate detector resolution according to the known EM calorimeter energy and position resolution. Occurrence e's give rise to an additional smearing of the 0 and invariant masses. This effect is included in the MC by tuning the resolution parameters to match the 0 peak widths observed in data. False reconstruction of 0's from combinatorial matches are excluded or assigned to the systematic uncertainty as discussed below.

Finally, we wish to estimate the decay photon contribution to the measured raw inclusive photon sample which differs from the true decay photon distribution by the single decay photon e - decay, \( (p_\perp) \). At high \( p_\perp \), on the other hand, an e - decay loss is incurred by photons from 0's whose showers merge into a single cluster in the calorimeter and are rejected by the shower-shape cut. As a consequence, the fraction of photons that are direct is artificially enhanced in the sample of reconstructed photon clusters. The single decay photon e - decay depends on both the parent and daughter \( p_\perp \) and is evaluated in a G E A N T simulation. In principle the convolution of both \( p_\perp, p_\perp \) and \( p_\perp, p_\perp \), \( W(p_\perp, p_\perp) \), could be extracted as one function from the G E A N T simulation, but obtaining large enough MC statistics necessary to properly parametrize the above mentioned EM Calor position dependence of the \( m_{\text{merge}} \) corrections is only feasible with the fast MC. Thus only the e - decay loss by cluster merging for photons \( m_{\text{merge}} \) is taken from the G E A N T. The bottom panel of Fig. 1 shows \( m_{\text{merge}} (p_\perp) \) evaluated from the G E A N T simulation.

Since we wish to construct per-trigger yields, the same procedure described in Equation [4] can be applied to the estimated single decay photon trigger yield from the measured single 0's, i.e., replacing \( N_{\text{decay}} \) with \( N_{\text{decay}} \) and \( N^h \) with \( N \). The exact application of the schematic Equation [4] then takes the form of a sum over all \( 0-0 \) pairs and single 0's found in the data. Each 0 or 0-h pair is given a weight which depends on \( p_\perp \). Operationally we now split this weight into two parts: \( (p_\perp) \) discussed above and a factor \( W_{\text{ab}}(p_\perp) \). The factor \( W_{\text{ab}} \) is simply the end result of the fast MC - G E A N T combined calculation, the convolution of \( p_\perp \) and \( p_\perp \), including \( m_{\text{merge}} \), averaged over a chosen decay photon bin of the range \( a < p_\perp < b \). Thus in terms of the product \( W(p_\perp, p_\perp) \), then \( W_{\text{ab}}(p_\perp) \) is given by

\[
W_{\text{ab}}(p_\perp) = \int_a^b dp_\perp W(p_\perp, p_\perp) \tag{4}
\]

Functions \( W_{\text{ab}}(p_\perp) \) are de ned for the four photon \( p_\perp \) bins used in the analysis, \([a, b] = [5, 7], [7, 9], [9, 12], [12, 15] \) GeV/c. A example of \( W_{\text{ab}}(p_\perp) \) for the 5-7 GeV/c bin is shown in Fig. 1. Proceedurally, we construct \( W_{\text{ab}} \) as product of the fast MC curve shown in the middle panel and the linear fitted above to the bottom panel, \( m_{\text{merge}}(p_\perp) \). A though a decay of \( p_\perp < a \), the lower limit of the decay \( p_\perp \) bin is kinematically dissolved, \( W_{\text{ab}} \) is non-zero below this boundary when resolution e effects are considered. For \( p_\perp > b \), \( W_{\text{ab}} \) decreases as \( 1/ p_\perp \) slowly enough that \( 0-0 \) s at values of \( p_\perp \) beyond the statistical reach of the data set contribute to the relevant decay photon \( p_\perp \) selections at a non-negligible rate. The \( 0 \) sample is truncated at \( p_\perp = 17 \) GeV/c and extrapolated using power-law fits to the single and conditional 0 spectra to estimate a correction. In the latter case, each associated hadron \( p_\perp \) range is independent. The truncation avoids the high-\( p_\perp \) region where cluster merging
equations are dominant and the 1= correction factor become large. Although the truncation corrections for the number of decay photons and decay -h pairs are non-negligible, they mostly cancel in the per-trigger yield and are therefore typically < 1%, reaching a maximum value of 7% for only the 12 < p_T < 15 3 < p_0 < 5 GeV/c bin.

With the weight functions \( W_{ab} \), the entire set of 0-hadron pairs and single 0 candidates (within a given range of \( h = 0 \)) are summed over, once for each decay photon p_T bin, and the per-trigger yield is constructed for each of these decay p_T bins as

\[
Y_{\text{decay}}^{0 < p_T < b} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{ab}(p_{T,i}) \text{d}N_{\text{decay}} / \text{d}p_T \quad (5)
\]

In this form it is clear that the normalization of the functions \( (p_T) \) and \( W_{ab}(p_T) \) cancel out completely in the per-trigger yield, and therefore only their shapes versus \( p_T \) are important. Hence in Fig. 2 the curves are shown with arbitrary units. Also, as Equation 5 is used in pairs, the angular deviation between the direction of a decay photon and its parent meson is ignored. The opening angle of a decay photon and hadron pair is taken to be the same as the \( h = 0 \) h pair. This approximation is tested in the fast MC and found to be extremely accurate since the distribution of angular deviation between a leading decay photon in a 2 decay and the parent mesons at these 0 m cm ena have an RMS around 0 of 0.01 radians, and the smallest bins considered in the analysis are typically 0.1 radians or larger.

\[ D. \quad 0 \text{ and } \text{ Reconstruction} \]

In p+p collisions \( Y_{\text{decay}} \) is estimated using both reconstructed 0 and mesons in invariant mass windows of 120(160) and 530(580) MeV/c^2, respectively. The total decay per-trigger yield is calculated from

\[
Y_{\text{decay}} = (1 - \sigma_{0,0} Y_{\text{decay}}) + \sigma_{0,0} Y_{\text{decay}} \quad (6)
\]

where \( \sigma_{0,0} \) is the ratio of the total number of decay photons to the sum number of decay photons from 0. Based on the measurements of [24] and [23], which together with the 0 account for > 99% of decay photons, the value of \( \sigma_{0,0} \) is determined to be 1.24 0.05 in the high-p_T region covered by this analysis, independent of collision system and centrality. Note that the per-trigger yields for ! and other heavier meson triggers (\( \eta, \pi, \rho, \)) are not measured and are taken to be equivalent to \( Y_{\text{decay}} \) in Equation 4. This assumption was studied in PYTHIA and found to in uence \( Y_{\text{decay}} \) at the level of < 2%. In Au+Au collisions correlations using triggers are not directly measured, but rather estimated from the p+p ~m ement as discussed below.

Figure 2 shows the various components of the decay photon meson ement in p+p. In p+p collisions the rate of com binatorial background photon pairs is reduced by only considering photons of \( p_T > 1 \text{ GeV/c} \) resulting in background levels of < 10% for which no correction was applied. The e ect of such remaining pairs on \( Y_{\text{decay}} \) was evaluated to be negligible (< 2%) compared to the size of other uncertainties on the final \( Y_{\text{direct}} \) result using a detailed full PYTHIA test of the method which included 0 reconstruction with combinatorial photon pairs. On the other hand, reconstruction has a much smaller signal-to-background of 1.4(1.6), depending on the p_T selection, even in the low multiplicity p+p environment. In this case, the per-trigger yield of the combinatorial photon pairs is estimated from photon pairs with invariant mass in "sideband" ranges of 400(460) and 640(700) MeV/c^2, beyond 3 of the peak. The sideband contribution \( Y_{\text{sideband}} \) is then subtracted using the signal-to-background ratio \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \) evaluated from gaussian + polynomial background fits to the invariant mass distributions according to \( Y_{\text{signal}} = Y_{\text{decay}} - f_{\text{Bkg}} \cdot Y_{\text{sideband}} \). The yield \( Y_{\text{sideband}} \) is generated from the full meson to decay photon weighting function procedure (Equation 3). The subtraction procedure was also tested in PYTHIA and the extracted and input per-trigger yields were found to agree to within 10%.

In Au+Au collisions the combinatorial rate for 0 reconstruction is substantially larger. Correspondingly, a p_T dependent cut on the pair energy asymmetry \( E_1 = E_1 + E_2 > (E_1 + E_2) / 2 \), visible in Fig 4b with the smal-
est allowed asymmetry at the lowest $^0\mathrm{p_T}$ values, is used to reduce this effect. With such cuts the signal-to-background in central events varies from 5:1 at its lowest to about 15:1 for the highest $p_T$ selection. The effect of the combinatorial background is studied through examination of a similar sideband subtraction analysis as in the $p+\bar{p}$ h correlation extraction described, this time for $^0\mathrm{h}$, using invariant mass ranges just outside the $^0$ peak region. However, no clear trend beyond non-negligible statistical limitations is observed, so no correction for the background is applied. Instead, the maximum size of the effect (typically ~7%) is included as source of systematic uncertainty on the decay yields and propagated to the full direct photon per-trigger yields.

In central Au+Au collisions the $^0\mathrm{meson}$ cannot be reconstructed with sufficient purity to measure its correlations. Instead, a scaling argument is employed. $M$ motivated by the similar high-$p_T$ suppression pattern shown by $^0\mathrm{meson}$ in Au+Au [24] and corresponding near-identity of the $p+\bar{p}$ and Au+Au = $^0\mathrm{meson}$ ratios, the ratio $Y_{p+\bar{p}}(p+\bar{p})$ is measured in $p+\bar{p}$ and applied as a correction to the Au+Au $Y_{(\gamma)}$. This is justified by the assumption that the jet fragmentation is primarily occurring outside the medium. We do not attribute any additional uncertainty to this scaling beyond the 10% sideband systematic and statistical uncertainties of the measurement in $p+\bar{p}$. However, to give an idea of the possible impact of this assumption, the total systematic error on $Y_{\text{decay}}$ from all other sources would correspond to a variation of the Au+Au $Y_{(\gamma)}$ by 50%. Given the similarity of the high-$p_T$ suppression demonstrated by all light quark bound states measured thus far, this would correspond to a rather large change.

### IV. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

There are four main classes of systematic uncertainty in the Au+Au data: elliptic $\varepsilon$, normalization of the underlying event (ABS), R, and the decay per-trigger yield estimate, the latter two of which are present in the $p+\bar{p}$ data as well. Table II lists the fractional contribution of each of these sources to the total systematic uncertainty on the direct photon per-trigger yields in the 20% most central Au+Au and $p+\bar{p}$ data. In the central Au+Au data, the uncertainty at low $p_T^\gamma$ is dominated by the $\varepsilon$ and correlation function normalization (ABS method) estimation due to large multiplicity of hadrons. At higher $p_T^\gamma$, but low $p_T^\gamma$, $p_T^\phi$, the decay error dominates due to the two-photon combinatorial background for $^0\mathrm{meson}$ reconstruction. Finally, at large $p_T^\gamma$ and $p_T^\phi$ the backgrounds responsible for both of these sources of uncertainty decrease and the uncertainty on R, which is relatively constant, dominates. In $p+\bar{p}$ collisions the decay photon background from same much larger fraction of the total photon sample. In this case, the decay uncertainty arises from the MC decay photon mapping procedure, the sideband subtraction and the $^0\mathrm{meson}$ ratio in approximately equal parts. The yields associated with daughter photons are larger than for the $^0\mathrm{meson}$ parents because of feed-down from larger values of parent $p_T$, and hence, jet $p_T$.

The correction for single hadron efficiency $\epsilon_{\gamma}^1\epsilon_{\gamma}^2$ varies as a function of collision system and centrality. These corrections are obtained by using the ratio of raw yields of hadrons obtained without the trigger condition in the same analysis (i.e., with the same cuts as in the analysis, to the previous PHENIX published measurement of the corresponding charged hadron spectra. [40,41]. As in previous PHENIX two-particle correlation measurements, this procedure has inherent uncertainties assigned as a $p_T$-independent 10% uncertainty, on each system and/or centrality.

### V. RESULTS

#### A. Direct $^0\mathrm{h}$ Per-Trigger Yields

Figure 1 shows example of direct photon per-trigger yields in $p+\bar{p}$ and central Au+Au collisions. A box shows the per-trigger yields for inclusive and decay photon triggers which are the ingredients in the statistical subtraction method as expressed in Equation 2. A clear away-side correlation is observed ($^0\mathrm{gamma}$) for direct photons triggers in $p+\bar{p}$. In Au+Au collisions the away-side correlation is suppressed for both decay and direct photon triggers. The near-side direct photon associated yields are small relative to that of decay photons, an expected signature of prompt photon production [16].

The away-side yields, integrated over $j$, $p_T^\gamma$, and $p_T^\phi$, are shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Table III for $p+\bar{p}$ and Au+Au collisions. This range roughly corresponds to the head region as defined in [23] and is chosen primarily to minimize the influence of medium response which is thought to dominate the "shoulder" region further offset from $\varepsilon_{\gamma}$. Additionally, the acceptance and the

<p>| Table III: Fractional contribution to the total systematic uncertainty for each of the main sources of uncertainty in $p+\bar{p}$ and 0-20% Au+Au collisions. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_T$ (GeV)</th>
<th>$p_T^\gamma$ (GeV)</th>
<th>$A+\bar{A}$, Centrality 0-20 %</th>
<th>$p+\bar{p}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV: Direct -h per-trigger yields in 20% most central Au+Au and in p+p collisions. An additional p_T-independent uncertainty of 10% due to the charged hadron e-enity corrections is not shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p_T (GeV)</th>
<th>p_T^h (GeV)</th>
<th>Incl. Yield Stat Sys</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>6.26e-02 6.42e-02 6.60e-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>1.29e-02 1.41e-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.96e-03 2.90e-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>5.59e-02 1.02e-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>8.46e-03 2.53e-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.36e-03 4.62e-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>6.84e-02 1.51e-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.62e-03 1.62e-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.53e-01 1.49e-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>1.80e-02 7.22e-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>6.62e-03 1.62e-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For p+p, the uncertainty is consistent with 0, the lower bound of the error bar is also replaced with an arrow. As noted in the figure caption, a 10% p_T-independent uncertainty due to the charged hadron energy corrections is not shown.

Using the expression for the suppression factor I_{AA}

\[ I_{AA} (p_T, p_T^h) = \frac{Y_Au+Au (p_T, p_T^h)}{Y_{pp} (p_T, p_T^h)} \]
Figure 5 shows the $I_{AA}$ values for all direct photon and associated hadron bins for the most central 0(20%) of collisions. The data points for which the subtraction resulted in a negative yield value (the 90% confidence level upper limits) are included with standard 1-uncertainties. For the $p_T$ range 5(12) GeV/c, a significant suppression is observed in the $3 < p_T^A < 5$ GeV/c bin in which the highest precision is obtained. At lower $p_T^A$, where the background subtraction is largest, the data do not have the statistical precision to determine the degree to which the yields are suppressed. $I_{AA}$ for direct photon triggers is consistent to that of charged hadron triggers (as shown in the top left panel) in which results with similar ranges of $p_T$ are compared.

Figure 6 shows the $I_{AA}$ for the $p_T^A = 3$ (5) GeV/c bin, integrated for all trigger $p_T$ bins ($p_T = 5$ (15) GeV/c) and for three centrality bins, 0(20%), 20(40%), and 20(60%). For the most central bin, the suppression of the away-side direct photon per-trigger yield is clearly observed, $I_{AA} = 0.32 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.09_{\text{sys}}$. Within large uncertainties, we see that the $-jet I_{AA}$ in this $p_T$ range, dominated by m e c o r t o high values of $z$ ($p_T^{\text{jet}} \sim p_T^{\text{jet}}$), is consistent with the single particle $R_{AA}$ as a function of centrality, consistent with a scenario in which the geometry of suppression plays an important role as would be expected from a sample dominated by surface emission.

Figure 7 also compares $I_{AA}$ from a measurement of high-$p_T$ di-hadron (h $\times$ h) correlations to the $-jet$ result for similar $p_T$ selections. The two results are remarkably similar in the most central bin. This may indicate that surface emission is dominant in both samples in this $z$ region. However, it should be noted that the total uncertainties on either measurement are still quite large on a relative scale. As explained in the introduction, the two measurements should be subject to different geometric effects. Disentangling such effects through precise comparisons of di-hadron and jet suppression should be pursued with future measurements with improved statistics.

### C. Towards the Fragmentation Function

Using the distribution of charged hadrons opposite direct triggers, parton energy loss may be studied directly as a departure from the (vacuum) fragmentation function. In distinction to $p_T$-correlations, where the away-side distribution is only sensitive to the integral of the fragmentation function (the average multiplicity of the away-side jet), the away-side distribution for direct $p_T$-correlations provides a measure of the full fragmentation function of the jet from the away-side partner. To the extent that the transverse momentum of the away-side partner and the direct parton are equal and opposite, as in leading order pQCD, the fragmentation function of the jet from the away-parton should be given to a good approximation by the $x_F$ distribution,

$$x_F = \frac{p_T^2}{p_T^{\text{jet}} + p_T^{\text{jet}}} - \frac{p_T}{p_T^{\text{jet}}} \cos \theta$$

where the transverse momentum of the trigger $p_T^\text{trigger} = p_T$, in the case of $-jet$ correlations. The reasons why the scaling variable $x_F$ is an approximation to, rather than exact measure of, the fragmentation variable of the away-side jet with momentum $z_{AA}$ are: i) the away-side parton does not generally balance longitudinal momentum with the trigger, although it is restricted by the acceptance of the detector; ii) the transverse momentum of the
away parton do not exactly balance. The transverse momentum balance was discovered at the CERN-ISR using x_F distributions [33] and originally attributed to an "intrinsic" transverse momentum k_t of each of the initial colliding partons [44], but now understood to be due to a "resum and renormalization" of soft-gluon effects [33,44].

The validity of the approximation x_F ≈ 0 can be tested by observing identical x_F distributions for different values of trigger p_T, in which case one could accept the x_F distribution in -h correlations as the quark fragment entation function from the reaction q + g! q + w without need of correction. We approximate by z_T, the ratio of the mean associated p_T to mean trigger p_T for each p_T bin. The p_T distributions for the four trigger bins are: 5.66, 7.75, 10.07, 13.07 GeV/c, close to the values obtained from the d to the direct-invariant cross section of the form p_T^2 [33].

Figure 7 shows the z_T distributions for p+p and Au+Au collisions. The p+p data (Fig. 7a) exhibit reasonable z_T scaling so that the measured distribution should represent the away-side jet fragment entation function. A fit of this data to a simple exponential (N e_{zz}) gives an acceptable 2=N dof = 128 = 10 with a value b = 6.9 0.2 which is consistent with the quark fragment entation function, parameterized [22] as a simple exponential with b = 8.2 for 0 < z < 10, and inconsistent with the gluon fragment entation function value of b = 11.4. It should, however, be recalled that the data do not cover the full extent of the away peak, only |y| < 5 radians, and that possible variations of the widths of the peaks in both the p+p data and the Au+Au data

\[ z_T = \frac{p_T}{p_T} \] with p_T and p_T^2 have not been taken into account in the present analysis. Additionally a more detailed analysis, differential in trigger p_T, is necessary to study trigger p_T dependent effects which can influence the fragment entation function t values [22].

In central Au+Au collisions, the fragment entation function may be modified by the medium, so that z_T scaling should not hold except in two special cases: i) pure surface emission or punch-through where the away-side jets are not modified; ii) the z_T distribution will be suppressed, but will have the same shape as in p+p collisions; ii) constant fractional energy loss of the away jet; the z_T scaling will be preserved in Au+Au collisions but with a steeper slope than in p+p collisions. The Au+Au data (Fig. 7b) are consistent with z_T scaling with the same shape as the p+p data, a value of b = 5.5 2.2 and excellent 2=N dof = 101d = 10 for the simple exponential t. The point at lowest z_T = 0dI for Au+Au is 1.6 standard deviations above the t, suggesting that improved statistics will permit the observation of any non-surface emission.

\[ z_T = \frac{p_T}{p_T} \]

\[ \text{D. Model Comparison} \]

Several authors have reported predictions for -jet in heavy ion collisions [48,49,50,51]. A demonstration of the how such calculations can be compared to the data, the I_{AA} values as a function of z_T are compared to energy loss predictions [49] in Fig. 8. The calculation uses effective fragment entation functions to parameterize the energy

\[ \text{See Equation 1 in [49]} \]
loss in terms of a parameter $\gamma_0$ which is expected to be proportional to the initial gluon density $^{43}$ The model calculates the energy-loss of the leading parton, and neglects the contribution the gluon radiation and medium response which may dominate at low values of $z$. The data is well reproduced by the model over the range of values of $\gamma_0$ provided, 1.48±1.28 G eV fm. This corresponds roughly to the range of $\gamma_0$ allowed by comparison to the PHENIX $^5$ data of 1.50±1.0 $^{47}$.

It should be noted that the calculation rejects fragmentation photons with an isolation cut. Such a procedure has not yet been demonstrated in central Au+Au data, although doing so would help to eliminate beyond-leading-order effects.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the first direct -m measurement in Au+Au and p+p collisions at RHIC. A significant suppression of $I_A = 3.32 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.19$ for the away-side charged hadron yield in the range $3 < p_T < 5$ G eV/c is observed for direct photon triggers in Au+Au as compared to p+p. Furthermore, the level of suppression is found to be consistent with the single particle suppression rate and the importance of energy-loss geometry, notably the expectation of surface emission in the kinematic range sampled. A possible indication that energy-loss geometry may also be important in dijet suppression is that -m suppression $I_A$ is also observed to be quite similar to that of dihadron suppression in central events; however, the current precision of the data does not exclude substantial dijet resonances. In the p+p data $z_T$ scaling is observed, suggesting that the measured $z_T$ distribution (Fig.1) is a statistically acceptable representation of the fragmentation function of the quark jet recolliding away from the direct photon. In provenent of the statistical and systematic precision of the measurement, the results should allow for further tests of vacuum fragmentation expectations in p+p collisions and insights into details of the medium modification of jet fragmentation in Au+Au.
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