Measurement of the Branching Fraction and Polarization in $B^0 \to p$
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Observations of charmless three-body baryonic $B$ decays have been reported recently by both the Belle and BaBar collaborations [2,3]. A common feature of these decay modes is the peaking of the baryon-antibaryon mass spectrum near threshold. This feature has stimulated considerable interest among theorists as a key element in the explanation of the unexpectedly high branching fractions for these decays [2,3].

In the standard model, the $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0 \pi^+$ decay proceeds through tree level $b \to u$ and $penguin b \to s$ amplitudes. It is of interest to study the structure of the decay amplitude in the Dalitz plane to test theoretical expectations. The weak decay $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+ \pi^-$ is spin self-analyzing. Since
the s quark carries the spin, the V-A transition b! s leads to the expectation that the fully longitudinally right-polarized at large energy in the B 0 rest frame [8]. This channel may also be used to search for direct CP violation.

II. DATASET AND SELECTION

The data sample consists of 467 10^6 BB pairs, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 426 fb^{-1}, collected at the (4S) resonance with the Babar detector. The detector is described in detail elsewhere [9]. Charged-particle trajectories are measured in a tracking system consisting of a ve-layer double-sided silicon vertex tracker (SVT) and a 4-layer central drift chamber (DCH), both operating in a 1.4-T axial magnetic field. A ring-imaging Cherenkov detector (DIRC) is used for charged-particle identification. A CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) is used to detect and identify photons and electrons, while muons and hadrons are identified in the instrumented ux return of the magnet (IFR). A BABAR detector Monte Carlo simulation based on GEANT4 [10] is used to optimize selection criteria and determine the selection efficiencies.

We reconstruct candidates in the ! p decay mode as combinations of oppositely charged tracks, assigning the proton and pion mass hypotheses, and t to a common vertex. Combinations with invariant mass in the range 1.111 < 1.121 GeV/c^2 are required to require the track pairs to originate from a common vertex and constraining the mass to the world-average mass [10]. Candidate B 0 mesons are formed by combining candidates with two additional oppositely charged tracks, each with a m em transverse to the beam greater than 50 MeV/c^2.

Measurements of the average energy loss (dE/dx) in the tracking devices, the angle of the Cherenkov cone in the DIRC, and energies deposited in the EMC and IFR are combined to give a likelihood estimator L for a track to be consistent with a given particle hypothesis. We require that the decay proton candidates satisfy the particle-identification criteria L_p > 0.33 and L_p > 0.1 for a track to be consistent with a kaon or pion, respectively. The candidate protons, which are assumed to originate from the B 0 decay vertex, are analyzed with a selection algorithm based on bagged decision trees [11] which provide a particle identification selection, retaining 96% of the signal candidates and 17% of the background. The candidate pions from the B 0 vertex are required to pass a similar selection algorithm, tuned to discriminate pions, which retains 98.8% of the signal and 66.8% of the background. A Kalman filter [12] to the full decay sequence is used to reconstruct the B 0 vertex using the position of the beam spot and the total beam energy as kinematic constraints. Only candidates with a t probability P_{trk} > 10^{-6} are considered, a requirement that retains 94.4% of the signal and 58.2% of the background.

The primary background arises from light-quark continuum events e'e' qg (q = u d s c), which are characterized by collimation of neutral particles with respect to the quark direction, in contrast to the more spherical BB events. Exploiting this shape difference, we increase the signal to background ratio using event-shape variables computed from the center-of-mass (CM) momentum of charged and neutral particles in the event. For each event, we combine the sphericality [13], the angle between the B 0 thrust axis and detector longitudinal axis, and the zeroth and second-order Legendre polynomial moments [14] of the tracks not associated with the reconstructed B candidate, into a Fisher discriminant [15], where the co-occur are chosen to optimize the separation between signal and continuum-background M onte Carlo samples. We find that the selection using the optimal cut on the Fisher discriminant retains 72% of the candidates from the signal M onte Carlo sample and 8% from the continuum-background M onte Carlo sample.

To further reduce the combinatoric background, we take advantage of the long m ean lifetime of particles and require that the separation of the B 0 vertices, divided by its m easurement error, is less than 20. This criterion is optimized on M onte Carlo events and is effective in rejecting 42% of combinatoric background that survives all other selection requirements, while retaining 90% of the signal candidates. The only sizable B 0 background is from the process B 0 ! c p ! p , which we suppress by requiring candidates with an invariant m ass (m) within 5 standard deviations (20 MeV/c^2) of the nominal m ass [14].

The kinematic constraints on B 0 mesons produced at the (4S) allow further background discrimination from the variables m_{ES} and E. We define m_{ES} = \sqrt{p_T^2 + p_E^2} - E_S^2 + p_0^2, where (p_E, p_0) is the four m em entum of the initial e'e' system and p_0 is the m em entum of the reconstructed B 0 candidate, both measured in the laboratory frame, and s is the square of the total energy in the e'e' center-of-mass frame. We define E = E_B - p_T^2, where E_B is the B 0 energy in the e'e' center-of-mass frame. Signal candidates have m_{ES} close to the B 0 mass and E near zero. Candidates satisfying j E j < 100 MeV and 520 < m_{ES} < 529 GeV/c^2 are used in the maximum-likelihood fitting process.

III. BRANCHING FRACTION

We measure the branching fraction with a maximum-likelihood t on the m_{ES} - E observables of reconstructed B 0 candidates. The Poisson technique [16] is then used to determine the m (p) distribution and, after correcting for the nonuniform reconstruction efficiency, measure the (p)-dependent branching fraction. We consider as signal candidates only reconstructed B 0
candidates in which all particles are correctly assigned in the decay chain. By self-cross-feed, we refer to events in which \( B^0 \) mesons decay to \( p \) and are reconstructed as signal candidates in which one or more particles are not correctly assigned in the decay chain. An example of such a \( m \) reconstruction is where the protons from the signal \( B^0 \) and \( B^- \) decays are interchanged. We define the probability density function (PDF) in the \( E-\eta \) plane as the sum of signal, self-cross-feed, and background components. The likelihood function is given by

\[
L = \frac{1}{N!} \times e^{(N_{\text{S}} + N_{\text{SCF}} + N_{\text{B}})} \times \prod_{o=1}^{N_{\text{S}}} \frac{N_{\text{S}}}{N_{\text{S}}} P_{\text{S}}(y_o) + \frac{N_{\text{SCF}}}{N_{\text{S}}} P_{\text{SCF}}(y_o) + \frac{N_{\text{B}}}{N_{\text{S}}} P_{\text{B}}(y_o); \]

where \( y_o = m_{\text{ESP}}; E, \eta \), the product is over the \( N \) truth candidates with \( N_{\text{S}} \) and \( N_{\text{B}} \) representing the numbers of signal and background events, and \( N_{\text{SCF}} \) representing the self-cross-feed component. The three PDF functions are taken as products of one-dimensional \( E \) and \( \eta \) PDFs. We are justified in this simplification by the small correlation between these two variables in our Monte Carlo sample. The \( m_{\text{ES}} \) PDF is taken as a sum of two Gaussians for the signal and an ARGUS function for the background. The \( E \) PDF is taken as a sum of two Gaussians for the signal and a 1st-order polynomial for the background. Finally, the self-cross-feed contribution shows a peak in the \( \eta \) component that is modeled as the product of a sum of two Gaussians in \( E \), and a single Gaussian in \( m_{\text{ES}} \). We determine \( \eta_{\text{SCF}} = 0.006 \) and the other parameters that characterize this background from fits to simulated events.

We note that the means of the narrow \( E \) and \( m_{\text{ES}} \) signal Gaussians, the \( e \) component in the exponential of the ARGUS function, and the means of the \( \eta \) background distribution, and the event yields \( N_{\text{S}} \) and \( N_{\text{B}} \). The \( m \) means of the wide Gaussians are determined by applying Monte Carlo data from the narrow sample, such that only an overall shift of the \( \eta \) PDF shape is allowed. All other parameters are fixed in the likelihood definition and used to values determined from fits to Monte Carlo-simulated events.

Once the maximum-likelihood fit provides the best estimates of the PDF parameters, we use the \( p \) PDF technique to reconstruct the \( e \) efficiency-corrected \( m \) (\( p \)) distribution and measure the branching fraction. The PDF is used to compute the \( s \) weight for the \( n \)th component of event \( e \) as

\[
s_{\text{P}}(y_o) = \frac{P_{n_{\text{S}}} \times V_{n_{\text{S}}} \times P_{\text{S}}(y_o) + P_{n_{\text{SCF}}} \times V_{n_{\text{SCF}}} \times P_{\text{SCF}}(y_o) + P_{n_{\text{B}}} \times V_{n_{\text{B}}} \times P_{\text{B}}(y_o)}{N \times P_{\text{S}}(y_o) + N \times P_{\text{SCF}}(y_o) + N \times P_{\text{B}}(y_o)}; \]

where the indices \( n_{\text{S}}, n_{\text{SCF}}, n_{\text{B}} \), and \( k \) run over the \( n = 3 \) signal, background, and self-cross-feed components. The symbol \( V_{n_{\text{S}}} \) is the covariance matrix of the event yields as measured from the given to the data sample. An important property of the \( s \) PDF is that the sum of the \( s \) weights for the signal or background component equals the corresponding number of fitted signal or background events.

\[ N_{s,\mu} = \frac{X_e}{e_{\mu}} \]

where the per-event efficiency \( \eta(x) \) depends on the position \( x_\mu = m_{\text{PP}} \cos \theta \) in the square Dalitz plane. Here \( \theta \) is the angle between the \( m \) momentum of the pion and the candidate in the momentum, and the \( e \) efficiency is determined over a 20 \( \times \) 20 grid in the Dalitz plane, using fully reconstructed signal Monte Carlo events. The error \( [N_{s,\mu}] \) in \( N_{s,\mu} \) is given by

\[
\frac{\delta[N_{s,\mu}]}{N_{s,\mu}} = \frac{X_o}{e_{\mu}} \times \frac{2}{N_{s,\mu}}; \]

An estimate of the \( e \) efficiency-corrected number of signal events in the sample is given by the sum of the \( e \) efficiency-corrected \( s \)-weights, or

\[
N_{s,\mu} = \sum_j N_{s,\mu}; \]

and the branching fraction is obtained from

\[
B(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- p) = \frac{N_{s,\mu,\pi}^e}{N_{s,\mu}^e} \times \frac{N_{s,\mu}^B}{N_{s,\mu}^p}; \]

where \( N_{s,\mu}^B \) is the total number of \( B \) candidates, and \( B(\pi^- p) = 0.539 \pm 0.005 \). Using a collection of Monte Carlo pseudo-Monte Carlo samples, we generate and reconstruct with a complete detector simulation, we are fixed with background candidates, generated according to the background PDF, we can estimate that this procedure provides a measurement of the branching fraction with negligible biases and accurate errors.

We can measure the CP-violating branching-fraction asymmetry by tagging the flavor of the \( B^0 \) meson with the charge of its daughter proton (antiproton). We repeat the maximum-likelihood fit described above including the partial rates in the asymmetry

\[
A = \frac{B(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- p) + B(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ p)}{B(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- p) + B(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ p)} \times \frac{N_{s,\mu,\pi}^e}{N_{s,\mu}^e} \times \frac{N_{s,\mu}^B}{N_{s,\mu}^p} \]

as a free parameter. We reduce the effect of systematic effects in particle-identification efficiencies by using the same criteria for protons and antiprotons, and between positive and negative pions, by performing the fit on a sample of reconstructed candidates, where protons and pions that originate from the decay satisfy the same particle-identification criteria as those imposed on the protons and pions that originate from the \( B^0 \) vertex.
IV. POLARIZATION MEASUREMENT

We study the three orthogonal components of the polarization of candidates reconstructed in the $B^0 \to p$ decay as a function of $E$, the energy in the $B^0$ rest frame [3]. The distribution of the helicity angle $\cos \theta_H$ for the decay is given by

$$\frac{1}{d\cos \theta_H} = \frac{1}{2} [P(E) \cos \theta_H + \frac{1}{2}]$$

where $\theta_H$ is the angle between the antiproton direction, in the rest frame $e$, and either (1) $L$, the unit vector in the direction of the $B^0$ rest frame $e_0$; (2) $T$, the unit vector along the direction of the cross product between them, or $e_0$; (3) $N = L + T$. The symbol $P(E)$ is the component of the polarization in the $L$, $T$, or $N$ direction as a function of $E$, and is the decay-asymmetry parameter [13]. CP conservation in $B^0 \to p$ decays implies that

$$P_{[L,N]}(E) = P_{[L,N]}(E)$$

while the product $P_L$ changes sign under CP conjugation. We use these relations to set the $B^0$ and $B^0$ candidate samples together.

We use a maximun-likelihood technique to fit the $B^0 \to p$ candidate samples together. We divide the $E$ range into three bins with boundaries 1.10, 1.53, 1.80, and 2.40 GeV, chosen in order to have similar numbers of signal events in each bin. We define a PDF as the sum of signal and background components. The likelihood is

$$L = \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \{ \sum_{e=1}^{E} \left[ N_k \cdot P_0^k (\cos \theta_H) + N_k \cdot P_0^k (\cos \theta_H) \right] \}$$

where we have divided the observables into two sets $\theta_H = (m_{ES}; E)$ and $z_0 = (\cos \theta_H; E)$, and the products are over the three bins in $E$ and over the $N_k$ events that populate the $k$th bin, where $N_k$ and $N_k \cdot P_0^k (\cos \theta_H)$ represent the number of signal and background events. The $P_{[L,N]}(y_0)$ PDFs are the same functions used in the branching-fraction measurement. However, the self-crossed component is not included since it corresponds to a negligible fraction of the signal events. For the $k$th bin in $E$, the signal $(\cos \theta_H; E)$ PDF is written as the product of the differential branching fraction of Eq.3 times the signal-reconstruction efficiency $\cos \theta_H$:

$$P_0^k \cos \theta_H = \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_H \left[ f + p \cdot P_{0k} \cos \theta_H \right]$$

where the $f$ and $p$ are parameters. The signal-selection efficiency is measured with a sample of reconstructed signal Monte Carlo events that pass the same selection criteria as those used to define the data sample. We bin the signal efficiency in 20 20 rectangular boxes that cover the allowed region of the $E - \cos \theta_H$ plane (Fig.1).

The background $\cos \theta_H$ distribution is modeled as a linear combination of Chebyshev polynomials up to fourth order. The four cosine terms that define the linear combination are fitted independently for each of the three bins in $E$. We study the $\cos \theta_H$ distribution of background events using candidates in the sideband region $m_{ES} < 527 \text{GeV}/c^2$, and find it to be nearly independent of $m_{ES}$. We consider this insensitivity as an indication that the shape of the background $\cos \theta_H$ distribution is the same for events in and out of the signal region.

We have confirmed that this PDF representation does not bias the polarization measurement by performing pseudoexperiments in which signal candidates, generated and reconstructed with a complete detector simulation, were mixed with background candidates generated according to the observed helicity distribution in the $m_{ES} < 527 \text{GeV}/c^2$ sideband. The number of signal and background candidates are chosen to match the characteristics of the data.

V. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

Systematic uncertainties in the branching-fraction measurement are listed in Table 1 and classified as overall uncertainties, uncertainties associated with event selection, and uncertainties associated with fitting the event distribution. We study the uncertainty due to tracking efficiency by correcting data and Monte Carlo for a sample of $\pi^0 \pi^0$ events, in which one decayed to one charged track and the other decayed to three charged tracks. We separately study the tracking efficiency of decay products using an inclusive sample of $B^0 \to p$ candi-
TABLE I: Systematic uncertainties on the branching-fraction measurement. "Total" is the sum in quadrature of all the individual contributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Uncertainty (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall tracking efficiency</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID efficiency</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M C statistics</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B B counting</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B^0-B^0-B fraction</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 p branching fraction</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event selection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event shape</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit probability</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>light length</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m ass</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c veto</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit procedure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood parameters</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E resolution</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self cross-feed fraction</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+P0t bias</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We select a total of 6360 candidates in the region E_jj < 100 M eV/m_m > 52 G eV^-2, j ( ) m ( ) > 20 M eV^-2. Table IV reports the fitted values of the two-dimensional m_m-E PDF parameters, while Fig. A shows projections of the two-dimensional PDF on the m_m and E axes. Figure B shows the efficiency-corrected signal-PDF distribution of candidates as a function of m (p), demonstrating a near-threshold enhancement similar to that observed in other baryonic B decays. Summing the content of the efficiency-corrected signal-PDF bins, we obtain 916 - 92 signal events, where the uncertainty is statistical. Using Eq. 6, we measure the branching fraction of the 3p f0 tted result. The uncertainties associated with the parameters that enter the definition of the signal PDF are conservatively considered as correlated and are thus added to give a signal PDF overall uncertainty of 3.2%, where the uncertainty in signal m_m xed paramters accounts for a 1.9% contribution and that in signal-E xed paramters for a 1.3% contribution. The same procedure is applied to the parameters that enter the background PDF, with uncertainties determined from luminosity-weighted background samples, giving an additional 2.2% uncertainty. Finally, we combine the two uncertainties in quadrature and obtain a 3.9% uncertainty associated with the shapes of the signal and background models. The combination of B ! J= K^0 data and M Monte Carlo samples reveals that the width of the E Gaussian in the signal PDF can be underestimated in the M Monte Carlo by up to 5%, which translates to an additional 1.7% uncertainty.

We estimate possible biases associated with the determination of yields with the 3p fit technique, using an ensemble of Monte Carlo experiments. Signal events, generated and reconstructed with a complete detector simulation, were mixed with background events, generated according to the background PDF. The number of events were chosen according to the expected yields in the data sample under study. We estimate an uncertainty of 0.6%.

The main systematic uncertainty in the polarization measurement is associated with the limited statistics of the Monte Carlo sample used to measure the signal-reconstruction efficiency in the (cos H/E) plane, which results in P_L, E uncertainties of 0.05, 0.07, and 0.04 for the three E bins. Variation of parameters xed in the likelihood t within their uncertainties provides additional contributions of 0.004, 0.03, and 0.03 in the three bins, respectively. We correct the t result for the sma biases we observe in a sample of Monte Carlo experiments, where background candidates were generated with the helicity distribution observed in m_m < 527 G eV^-2 sideband data, and conservatively take these shifts as contributions to the systematic uncertainty.
branching fraction:

\[ B(B^0 \to p^n) = 3.07 \pm 0.31 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.23 \text{(syst.)} \times 10^{-6} \]

This measurement, which is compatible with a previous measurement by the Belle collaboration [3], confirms the peaking of the baryon-antibaryon mass spectrum near threshold, a feature that plays a key role in the explanation of the larger branching fractions of three-body baryonic B decays compared to two-body decays [4]. From the maximum likelihood fit to the branching-fraction asymmetry we obtain:

\[ A = 0.10 \pm 0.10 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.02 \text{(syst.)} \]

which is compatible with zero asymmetry.

VII. POLARIZATION RESULTS

Only 3994 candidates populate the E range \([1.3; 2.4]\) GeV. Signal candidates are absent in the region with \( E > 2.4 \) GeV (Fig. 3) as a kinematic consequence of the near-threshold peaking of the baryon-antibaryon mass spectrum.

We plot in Fig. 4 the values of the longitudinal polarization product \( p_L E \) obtained from the maximum-likelihood fit. Table III displays the longitudinal, transverse, and nominal polarization measurements in each of the three E bins, assuming \( p_L E > 0.42 \) for the decay asymmetry parameter \( \theta \). The results are consistent with full longitudinal right-polarization of \( B^0 \to p^n \) decays at large E (\( \theta \) would be oppositely polarized). The transverse polarization is not expected to be zero because of the presence of strong-nail-state interactions.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Based on 467 \( 10^6 \) BB pairs collected by the BABAR detector at PEP-II, we present a measurement of the \( B^0 \to p^n \) branching fraction and confirm the peaking of the baryon-antibaryon mass spectrum near threshold, characteristic of three-body baryonic B decays. In ad-
\textbf{TABLE II: Branching-fraction results.} \(N_s\) and \(N_b\) are the numbers of signal and background events, respectively. The symbol \((E)\) is the mean for the narrow Gaussian of the \(E\) signal PDF component, while \(c_1(E)\) is the slope of the linear \(E\) background PDF. \((m_{ES})\) is the mean for the Gaussian of the \(m_{ES}\) signal PDF, and \(A_{\text{RESUS}}(m_{ES})\) is the coefficient of the exponent in the background \(m_{ES}\) Argus function [13]. The uncertainties are statistical.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(N_s)</td>
<td>1833^{+190}_{-185}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_b)</td>
<td>6176 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>2.65 1.84 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_1(E))</td>
<td>3.5 0.94 GeV 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((m_{ES}))</td>
<td>5.2797 0.0003 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_{\text{RESUS}}(m_{ES}))</td>
<td>14.5 1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(E\) range (GeV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(E) range</th>
<th>(N_s)</th>
<th>(N_b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.40 - 1.53</td>
<td>63 9 51 9</td>
<td>55 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.53 - 1.80</td>
<td>519 23</td>
<td>643 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80 - 2.40</td>
<td>2663 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(P_L\) 0.69 0.07 0.09 + 0.64 0.07 0.02 0.12 + 0.07 0.09 0.08

\(P_T\) 0.025 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06

\(P_N\) 0.64 0.03 0.06 + 0.78 0.01 0.12 + 0.26 0.03 0.08

\(P_L\) and \(P_N\) are normalized to \(P_T\) for each \(E\) bin.

\[ P_T = \frac{P_L}{P_T} = \frac{P_N}{P_T} \]
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\[ P_L = \frac{P_L}{P_T} = \frac{P_N}{P_T} \]

\[ P_T = \frac{P_L}{P_T} = \frac{P_N}{P_T} \]


[3] M. Z. Wang et al. (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 76, 052004 (2007). Based on a 414 fb\(^{-1}\) data sample, Belle reports the measurement of the branching fraction: \(B(B^0 \to \pi^-) = (3.23^{+0.33}_{-0.29} \text{ (stat)} \times 0.29 \text{ (syst)}) \times 10^{-6} \).


[9] Inclusion of the charge conjugate mode is implied.
[14] The zeroth and second-order Legendre polynomials are defined as follows: 
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