BLAZAR PROPERTIES: AN UPDATE FROM RECENT RESULTS
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After a brief critical overview of the main properties of blazars and their classification, some significant results from recent multiwavelength observations are summarized, in the context of the jet physics.

1. The 3D space of blazars’ main properties

Blazars come in many avours, and can be classified according to three main properties of their overall emission: 1) thermal radiation of the nuclear environment, 2) frequency of the two peaks in the spectral energy distribution (SED), 3) Compton dominance, i.e. the ratio between the luminosity of the high and low-energy peak.

The first defines the FSRQ and BL Lac classes, according to the presence or absence of strong, broad emission lines in the optical spectrum, respectively. To zeroth order, these can be used to estimate the overall energy density of the external optical/UV radiation seen by the relativistic jet. This is relevant for determining the dominant emission component by inverse Compton (IC) at high energies (Synchrotron Self Compton or External Compton) and for internal absorption.

However, since the definition of BL Lac is based on ratios between lines (rest frame equivalent width EW < 5 Å and Calcium break < 0 Å, i.e. line vs continuum and non-thermal continuum vs host galaxy emission, respectively), the classification is somewhat dependent on the state of the source. In fact, there are BL Lac with line luminosities similar to FSRQ (though on average much lower). Further, if the jet luminosity falls well below the host galaxy emission, a galaxy might not even be recognized as a BL Lac, though hosting a blazar nucleus. Nevertheless, there is a clear, physical difference between FSRQ and high-peaked BL Lacs (HBL): HBL do have a much "cleaner" environment, with upper limits in its orders of magnitude lower than for FSRQ on both the line luminosity and the IR emission from the torus. The latter, if present, would make these sources completely opaque to TeV gamma-rays, contrary to observations.

The frequency of the synchrotron peak defines the classification in Low, Intermediate or High-energy peaked objects (LBL, IBL and HBL respectively). Although its precise location is often difficult to pin down, due to insuf cient multiwavelength sampling, an excellent proxy for the SED properties is represented by the X-ray spectrum (becoming in fact part of the definition): LBL/FSRQ have X-ray spectra dominated by the IC emission of low-energy electrons, and thus present a flat spectral index ($\alpha < 1$). As the peak shifts towards higher energies, the tail of the synchrotron emission starts to dominate in the soft X-ray band, yielding concave X-ray spectra (the signature of HBL). HBL are the objects where the X-ray band becomes fully dominated by the synchrotron emission of very high energy electrons, and present a steep spectrum ($\alpha > 1$) if due to radiation above the
syncrotron peak, or a convex/ at spectrum if the peak is inside or above the observed X-ray band (in this case they are called "extreme BL Lacs\(^5\)). The SED type must be taken into account when comparing the variability properties and correlations among wavelengths in different objects. The key information is the location of the observed band with respect to the SED peaks. For example, the study of the emission above the peaks (highly variable and corresponding to the highest energy electrons) requires observations in the X-ray/TeV bands for HBL, but in the optical/GeV bands for LBL-FSRQ. The same optical/GeV bands, instead, sample the emission before the SED peaks in HBL, which is typically much less variable. Observations in the X-ray/GeV bands sample the high/low energy electrons in HBL, respectively, while they sample the low/high energy electrons in LBL-FSRQ (i.e., the other way round).

The Compton dominance determines the apparent bolometric luminosity of the sources, and the ratio between the energy densities of the different radiation and magnetic (B) fields seen by the jet. The EGRET and VHE observations have shown so far that FSRQ are on average more Compton-dominated than BL Lacs, showing a sequence of values going from 100 in FSRQ to \(1\) in HBLs.

These three properties seem to correlate, such that blazars form a sequence in this 3D space: as the luminosity increases, the peak frequency of the two SED humps shifts to smaller values (from HBL to FSRQ), and the high-energy peak becomes more dominant\(^6\). This has been interpreted by Ref\(^7\) as due to different amounts of cooling suffered by the electrons: the more severe cooling in FSRQ yields typical electron energies smaller than in low-power objects (BL Lacs), and the presence of intense external radiation given by BLR photons makes the EC process more dominant for FSRQ. Recently, this scenario has been further developed connecting the thermal and jet properties with two more fundamental parameters: the black hole mass (\(M\)) and accretion rate (\(M/\dot{M}\)). Based on the assumption that the size of the dissipation region is controlled by \(M\) and that the BLR exists only if the disk luminosity is above a certain fraction of the Eddington luminosity, the average properties of blazars can be recovered with the single assumption that the power of the jet \(P_{\text{jet}} / \dot{M} - c^2\).

It should be reminded however that these results are based mostly on the (few) EGRET data. The low sensitivity of the detector implies that the observed properties are biased towards high or quiescent states, and depends on the (unknown) duty cycle of the sources. The Fermi data will soon provide many important answers.

2. The two ends of the electron distribution

The lowest and highest electron energies (\(n_{\text{min}}\) and \(n_{\text{max}}\)) are usually difficult to constrain. Synchrotron radiation at \(n_{\text{min}}\) is emitted in the self-absorbed regime, while the EC emission (located in the valley between the SED peaks and in principle observable in the X-ray band) is often hidden below even modest SSC emission from higher-energy electrons. However, in FSRQ the SSC emission becomes strongly suppressed during states with high B-field and in presence of strong external radia-
tion. In such cases, as shown by 3C 454.3 in 2007, the low energy cut-off can become "naked" (see Fig. 1), and the X-ray spectrum tells us that the electron distribution extends down to $\nu_{\text{min}} = 1$.

At $\nu_{\text{max}}$, the synchrotron emission is generally covered by the onset of the IC emission of lower-energy electrons, for FSRQ/LBL/IBL objects. In fact, it is often left as free parameter in modeling. However, its IC emission can emerge at VHE, detuning the VHE flux in a very sensitive way. Indeed, the recent detections at VHE of BL Lac and W Com acc., while not surprising per se since typically compatible with standard SSCs, show that $\nu_{\text{max}}$ can indeed extend to very high values also in these objects.

3. Location of emitting region: FSRQ vs HBL

The location of the "blazar zone" is still highly uncertain. Recent data indicate that it might be very different between FSRQ and HBL. On the one hand, in FSRQ the emitting zone cannot be too close to the nuclear regions, otherwise the reprocessing of the pairs produced by collisions with the disk photons would yield X-ray spectra much softer than observed. This is also confirmed by the recent mult wavelength campaign on 3C 454.3 (Fig. 1). In this epoch, Ferm i-LAT also measured a 3.5-day arc and for the first time a MeV-GeV spectrum with a break around 2 GeV, likely of intrinsic origin. The recent detection of 3C 279 at VHE also suggests a location beyond the BLR, to avoid the otherwise inevitable severe internal absorption on UV photons. On the other hand, this constraint does not hold for HBL, and the very rapid variability observed in PKS 2155-30 and M kn 501 as well as M 87 (corresponding to few Schwarzschild radii) indicates extremely compact regions, likely located very close to the black hole.

The recent activity of 3C 454.3 is a good example of how the same data can leave room to very different scenarios. The dramatic SED changes (Fig. 1) can be explained either with an accelerating jet of roughly constant power and different locations of the dissipation zone inside the BLR (closer to the black hole, the blob has lower bulk motion, higher B and is more compact); or with a single dissipation
zone located at large distances and variations in jet power and $E_{\gamma,0}$. In the latter case, at 10 pc away, the region becomes transparent to millimeter wavelengths and the -ray hump is produced by EC on IR photons from the dusty torus. These two scenarios, however, can be distinguished by specific observations. Inside the BLR, variability is expected to be shorter due to more compact regions, and if EC on UV photons is important, the -ray spectrum should exhibit a cut-off at few tens of GeV due to internal - absorption with the BLR photons. Near the millimeter photosphere, instead, the -ray spectrum can have no sign of cut-off at those energies, variability is expected to be not faster than a few days-week and -ray/optical areas should be correlated with millimeter outbursts with little or no lag. The Fermi data will be crucial to this respect.

4. A new mode of flaring for HBL

In Summer 2006, PKS 2155-304 was exceptionally active, with two major -ray outbursts (on the nights of July 27-28 and July 29-30). During the latter, simultaneous observations performed with Chandra, HESS and the Bronberg optical observatory showed a surprising behaviour (Fig. 2). On the one hand, the X-ray and -ray emissions were highly correlated, both in flux and spectrally, with no evidence of lags. On the other hand, huge VHE variations ($\Delta F > 0.2$) were accompanied only by small amplitude X-ray and optical variations (factor 2 and 15% respectively). The source showed for the first time in an HBL a large Compton dominance ($L_{\gamma}/L_{X} > 10$) (rapidly evolving) and a cubic relation between VHE and X-ray flux variations, during a decaying phase. This behaviour cannot be easily explained in a single-zone scenario with the observed SED properties: the decay cannot be due to radiative cooling. A diabatic cooling due to rapid expansion could explain most of the features. However, the cubic decay implies that B has to increase as the bbb expands at a rate $B \propto R^{m - r} = R^{-0.4}$ (i.e. the magnetic energy has to increase substantially, as $W_{B} \propto R^{-1.8}$) and on the same timescales of the VHE variations. This would yield fast correlated variations in the optical band as well, decreasing by 15%. This is in contrast with the optical lightcurve, which shows a rise starting simultaneous with the VHE are but then remaining basically constant during the overall
X-ray/VHE decay. A simpler explanation is provided by the superposition of two SEDs, produced by two different emitting zones: a "sustained" one and another responsible for the "persistent", historical SED of PKS 2155-304. The true variations of the X-ray emission from the "sustained" zone are then seen diluted in the "persistent" component, which have comparable or higher synchrotron fluxes. Such variations instead are fully visible in the VHE band, because there the contribution of the standard SED is at much lower fluxes (as measured during the previous 3 years). A two-zone scenario is rather common for explaining major areas in blazars. The main novelty of this event is that the bulk of the luminosity of the new component is now emitted in the Compton channel instead of the synchrotron channel. In all previous cases, like Mkn 421 in 2000, Mkn 501 in 1997 and 1ES1959+650 in 2002, the Compton luminosity, even at the same maximum, was always equal to or less than the synchrotron power (using the same EBL model). A bimodality therefore seems to emerge in the mode of "sustained" for HBL; either synchrotron dominated or Compton-dominant areas.

It is intriguing that this difference might simply depend on the location of the "sustained" zone with respect to the region responsible for the persistent SED: if the new area is taking place far away, there is no radiative interplay between the two zones, leading to a typical SSC-type area. When the area occurs close to it, or close to the black hole where external fields are more intense, the outcome are external Compton-dominant areas.
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