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Abstract

We present the calculations of the complete next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD effects on the single top productions induced by model-independent $t$-$q$-$g$ flavor-changing neutral-current couplings at hadron colliders. Our results show that, for the $tqg$ coupling the NLO QCD corrections can enhance the total cross sections by about 60% and 30%, and for the $tgq$ coupling by about 50% and 20% at the Tevatron and LHC, respectively, which means that the NLO corrections can increase the experimental sensitivity to the FCNC couplings by about 10% - 30%. Moreover, the NLO corrections reduce the dependence of the total cross sections on the renormalization or factorization scale significantly, which lead to increased confidence on the theoretical predictions. Besides, we also evaluate the NLO corrections to several important kinematic distributions, and find that for most of them the NLO corrections are almost the same and do not change the shape of the distributions.
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I. Introduction

The top quark is the heaviest particle so far discovered, with a mass close to the electroweak (EW) symmetry breaking scale. Thus it is a wonderful probe for the EW breaking mechanism and new physics beyond the standard model (SM) through its decays and productions at colliders. One of the most important aspects of the top quark physics is the investigation of the anomalous flavor-changing neutral-currents (FCNC) couplings in the top quark sector. Within the SM, the FCNC couplings are absent at the tree level, and occur at high order in perturbation theory through loop diagrams which are further suppressed by the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism [1]. On the other hand, these FCNC couplings can be enhanced to observable levels in some new physics models [2], such as the models with extra quarks [3], two Higgs doublet models [4], supersymmetric models [5], extra dimensions models [6], little Higgs models [7], or technicolor models [8]. As the coming Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will produce abundant top quark events (about $10^8$ per year), even in the initial low luminosity run ($10^9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$/year) 8 $10^6$ top quark pairs and 3 $10^6$ single top quarks will be produced yearly, one may anticipate the discovery of the first hint of new physics by observing the FCNC couplings in the top quark sector.

Since we do not know which type of new physics will be responsible for a future deviation from the SM predictions, it is necessary to study the top quark FCNC processes in a model-independent way by an effective Lagrangian. In general, any new physics at a high scale will manifest themselves at energies below through small deviations from the SM, which can be described by an effective Lagrangian containing higher dimensional SM gauge invariant operators [9]. As we know, the dimensional operators break baryon and lepton number conservation, the lowest order operators considered are dimension six. For the top anomalous FCNC couplings, the only independent one is the chromo-magnetic operator induced by a dimension six gauge invariant operator before spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking [10],

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sum_{q = u, c} g_q \frac{X}{16} t^a G^a + H \text{ const}$$

(1)

where $X$ are the Gell-Mann matrices, $G^a$ are the field strength tensors of the gluon, and $g_q (q = u, c)$ are real coefficients that determine the strength of the couplings. To test the above couplings at the Tevatron and LHC, the direct top quark production [11] and the FCNC single top quark production [12, 13] are the most promising processes, and the top quark
rare decay process is not so efficient compared with the above two processes due to the large SM backgrounds [14]. Currently the most stringent experimental constraints for the top anomalous couplings are $g_{tu} = 0.018 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ and $g_{tc} = 0.069 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$, given by the CDF collaboration through the measurements of the direct top quark production [15] using 2.2 $\text{fb}^{-1}$ of data. The D0 collaboration also analyzes 230 $\text{pb}^{-1}$ of data and provides similar constraints, $g_{tu} = 0.037 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ and $g_{tc} = 0.015 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$, based on the measurements of the FCNC single top production [16] using the next-to-leading order (NLO) K factors of the direct top quark production process [17]. Taking into account the difference of the amount of data, we can see that the discovery potential of these two channels are almost the same at the Tevatron. As for the LHC, according to the tree-level analysis in Ref. [13], for the FCNC single top quark production, $g_{tu}$ can be detected down to 0.0061 TeV$^{-1}$ and 0.013 TeV$^{-1}$ with an integrated luminosity of 10 $\text{fb}^{-1}$ for $q = u$ and $c$, respectively, thus it is one of the most important channels to detect the top FCNC couplings at the LHC.

As we know, the leading order (LO) cross sections for processes at hadron colliders suffer from large uncertainties due to the arbitrary choice of the renormalization scale ($\mu_r$) and factorization scale ($\mu_f$), thus are not sufficient for the extraction of the FCNC couplings.

Then high order corrections including NLO QCD and resummation effects are needed in order to improve the theoretical predictions. The NLO QCD and threshold resummation effects for the direct top quark production are studied in Ref. [17], and the NLO QCD corrections to the top quark rare decays via FCNC couplings can be found in Ref. [18]. As for the FCNC single top production, all the predictions are still at the LO. In this paper, we present the complete NLO QCD corrections to the single top quark production via model-independent top FCNC couplings at both the Tevatron and LHC.

The arrangement of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II we show the LO results. In Sec. III, we present the details of the NLO calculations, including the virtual and real corrections. Section IV contains the numerical results, and Section V is a brief summary.

II. LEADING ORDER RESULTS

At the LO, there are three main subprocesses which contribute to the single top production via the FCNC couplings at hadron colliders:

$$g q \rightarrow t g; g g \rightarrow t q; q q(q; q^0) \rightarrow t q(q; q^0);$$

(2)
where \( q \) is either \( u \) quark or \( c \) quark. The corresponding Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. It should be noted that, after expanding the effective operator in Eq. (1), there are two kinds of FCNC vertices, one is the three point vertex, another is the four point vertex, and both of them contribute to the subprocesses at the LO.

The squared amplitudes for the corresponding subprocesses in four dimensions are

\[
\mathcal{M}^B j_{gq}(s; t; u) = \frac{2}{(s + t)m^2 s^2 t^2} 4(s + t)m^{14} 8s^2 + 37ts + 8t^2 m^{12} + 8s^3 + 92ts^2 + 92t^2 s + 8t^3 m^{10} 2 4s^4 + 53ts^3 + 109t^2 s^2 + 53t^3 s + 4t^4 m^8 + 4s^5 + 66ts^4 + 234t^2 s^3 + 234t^3 s^2 + 66t^4 s + 4t^5 m^6 st 19s^4 + 122ts^3 + 221t^2 s^2 + 122t^3 s + 19t^4 m^4 + 6s^2 t^2 5s^3 + 14ts^2 + 14t^2 s + 5t^3 m^2 3s^3 t^3 5s^2 + 4ts + 5t^2 ;
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}^B j_{qq}(s; t; u) = \frac{4}{27u} 3m^6 3(3s + 2t)m^4 + 2 6s^2 + 8ts + 3t^2 m^2 2s 3s^2 + 5ts + 5t^2 ;
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}^B j_{qq}(s; t; u) = \frac{4}{9u} m^2 (s + t) 2st ;
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}^B j_{gg}(s; t; u) = \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{M}^B j_{gq}(u; t; s); \quad \mathcal{M}^B j_{qq}(s; t; u) = \mathcal{M}^B j_{qq}(t; s; u);
\]

(3)

respectively, where \( m \) is the top quark mass, and \( s \) is defined as the colors and spins of the outgoing particles have been summed over, and the colors and spins of the incoming ones have been averaged over, \( s, t, \) and \( u \) are Mandelstam variables, which are defined as

\[
s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 ; \quad t = (p_1 - p_3)^2 ; \quad u = (p_1 - p_4)^2 ;
\]

(4)
After the phase space integration, the LO partonic cross sections are given by

$$\hat{B}_{ab}^\beta = \frac{1}{2s} \int dM^\beta \, f_{ab}^\beta; \quad (5)$$

The LO total cross section at hadron colliders is obtained by convoluting the partonic cross section with the parton distribution functions (PDFs) $G_{P_i}$ for the proton (antiproton):

$$B_{ab} = \int d\tau \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, G_{a=P_1}(x_1; \tau) G_{b=P_2}(x_2; \tau) \hat{B}_{ab}^\beta; \quad (6)$$

where $\tau$ is the factorization scale.

III. NEXT-TO-LEADING ORDER QCD CORRECTIONS

The NLO corrections to the single top production via the FCNC couplings consist of the virtual corrections, generated by loop diagrams of colored particles, and the real corrections with the radiation of a real gluon or a massless (anti)quark. We carried out all the calculations in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge and used the dimensional regularization (DREG) scheme [19] in $n = 4$ 2 dimensions to regularize all the divergences. Moreover, for the real corrections, we used the dipole subtraction method with massive partons [20] to separate the infrared (IR) divergences, which is convenient for the case of massive Feynman diagrams and provides better numerical accuracy.

A. Virtual corrections

The virtual corrections for the single top production via the FCNC couplings include the box diagrams, triangle diagrams and self-energy diagrams as shown in Figs.2-3 and Fig.4 for the $gq$ and $qq$ initial state subprocess, respectively. For simplicity, we did not show the diagrams that only differ by the exchange of identical external particles. The loop diagrams for the $gg$ and $qq$ initial state subprocess can be obtained from Figs.2-3 and Fig.4 by crossing symmetry and the ones for $gq$ are just part of Fig.4.

All the ultraviolet (UV) divergences appearing in the loop diagrams are renormalized by introducing counterterms for the wave functions and mass of the external fields ($Z_2^{(g)}; Z_2^{(q)}; Z_2^{(u)}; m$), and the coupling constants ($Z_{gh}; Z_{g}^{u}$). We define these counterterms according to the following conventions. For the external fields, we x all the
renormalization constants using on-shell subtraction, and, therefore, they also have IR singularities:

\[
Z_{2}^{(g)} = \frac{2}{2} C \frac{n_{\tau}}{3} \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{\text{UV}} \frac{1}{\text{IR}} \frac{8}{6} \frac{C}{\text{UV}} ;
\]

\[
Z_{2}^{(q)} = \frac{3}{3} C \frac{1}{\text{UV}} \frac{1}{\text{IR}} ;
\]

\[
Z_{2}^{(t)} = \frac{3}{3} C \frac{1}{\text{UV}} + \frac{2}{\text{IR}} + 4 ;
\]

\[
m_{m} = \frac{3}{3} C \frac{3}{\text{UV}} + 4 ;
\]

where \( C = (1 + \frac{2}{\tau} \equiv m^{2} \) and \( n_{\tau} = 5 \). For the renormalization of \( g_{a} \), we use the \( \text{MS} \)
FIG. 3: One-loop Feynman diagrams for the subprocess $gq \rightarrow tg$, part II. The big gray circle represents the gluon self-energy diagrams.

Scheme modified to decouple the top quark \cite{21}, i.e., the first $n_f$ light flavors are subtracted using the $\overline{MS}$ scheme, while the divergences associated with the top quark loop are subtracted at zero momentum:

$$Z_{y_s} = \frac{s}{4} (1 + \frac{n_f}{3}) \left( \frac{11}{2} \frac{1}{u_V} + \frac{s}{12} C \frac{1}{u_V} \right).$$

Thus, the renormalized strong coupling constant $s$ evolves with $n_f$ light flavors in this scheme. Finally, for the renormalization constants of the FCNC couplings $Z_{yt, g}$, we adopt the $\overline{MS}$ scheme and adjust it to cancel the remaining UV divergences exactly:

$$Z_{yt} = \frac{s}{6} (1 + \frac{n_f}{4}) \frac{1}{u_V};$$

$$Z_{gt} = \frac{s}{6} \frac{1}{u_V}.$$
and the running of the FCNC couplings are given by \[ g_{tq}(r) = \frac{g_{tq}(m)}{s(m)} \left( \frac{s(r)}{r} \right)^{2\pi(\alpha_s)}; \quad (10) \]

with \( \alpha_s = 11 \) and \( 2n_f = 3 \).

The squared amplitudes of the virtual corrections are

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\text{loop}}^{f_{gq(gq)}} = \sum_i X_i 2 \text{Re} \left( M_{\text{loop}}^{i} M_{B_{gq(gq)}} \right) + 2 \text{Re} \left( M_{\text{con}}^{i} M_{B_{gq(gq)}} \right); \quad (11)
\]

where \( M_{\text{loop}}^{i} \) denote the amplitudes for the \( i \)-th loop diagram in Figs. 2-5 of Fig. 4, and \( M_{\text{con}}^{i} \) are the corresponding counterterms. All the one-loop integrals in the loop amplitudes can be calculated using the standard Passarino-Veltman techniques [23], and the explicit expressions for the scalar integrals containing IR divergences can be found in Ref. [24]. In Eq. (11), all the UV divergences cancel each other, leaving the remaining IR divergences and the finite terms. Because of the limited space, we do not show the lengthy explicit expressions of the virtual corrections here. The IR divergence of the virtual corrections can...
be written as

\[ \bar{M} f_{gq}^{2 \text{IR}} = -\frac{8}{3} D \frac{11}{2} \bar{M}^{B} f_{gq}^{1 \text{IR}} + \text{terms proportional to } \frac{1}{\text{IR}}; \]

\[ \bar{M} f_{qg}^{2 \text{IR}} = -\frac{8}{3} D \frac{2}{2} \bar{M}^{B} f_{qg}^{2 \text{IR}} + \text{terms proportional to } \frac{1}{\text{IR}}; \quad (12) \]

where \( D = [(4 - \frac{2}{3})s] = (1 \ldots) \), and \( \bar{M}^{B} f \) are the squared Born amplitudes given in Eq. (3). The \( 1 = \text{IR} \) terms can not be factorized in a trivial way due to the nontrivial color structures of the LO amplitudes, and can be expressed as combinations of the LO color correlated squared amplitudes as shown in the next section.

**B. Real corrections**

At the NLO the real corrections consist of the radiations of an additional gluon or massless (anti)quark in the final states, including the subprocesses

\[ g \ g \ ! \ t \ g \ g; \ t \ q \ g; \ t \ q^{0} q^{0}; \ g \ g \ ! \ t \ q \ g; \ q(q; q^{0}) q \ ! \ t q(q; q^{0}) g; \quad (13) \]

as shown in Fig. 5. It should be noted that in our NLO calculations we did not include the contributions from the SM on-shell production of the top pair with subsequent rare decay of one top quark, \( pp(p) ! \ t \ t ! \ t + q + g \), which provide the same signature as the single top production via the FCNC couplings and can be calculated separately. We will discuss these contributions in the numerical results.

Before performing the numerical calculations, we need to extract the IR divergences in the real corrections. In the dipole formalism this is done by subtracting some dipole terms from the real corrections to cancel the singularities and large logarithms exactly, and then the real corrections become integrable in four dimensions. On the other hand, these dipole subtraction terms are analytically integrable in \( n \) dimensions over one-parton subspaces, which give poles that represent the soft and collinear divergences. Then we can add them to the virtual corrections to cancel the poles, and ensure the virtual corrections are also integrable in four dimensions. This whole procedure can be illustrated by the formula [20]:

\[ Z \left. \frac{d^{N \text{LO}}}{m + 1} \right|_{0} = d^{R} + d^{A} = 0 + \sum_{m} d^{V} + d^{A}; \quad (14) \]

where \( m \) is the number of final state particles at the LO, and \( d^{A} \) is a sum of the dipole terms. Besides, at hadron colliders, we have to include the well-known collinear subtraction
counterterms in order to cancel the collinear divergences arising from the splitting processes of the initial state massless partons. Here we use the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme and the corresponding NLO PDFs.

For the process with two initial state hadrons, the dipole terms can be classified into four groups, the initial-state emitter and initial-state spectator type,

$$D_{ijk}(p_1; \ldots; p_m + 1) = \frac{1}{(p_i + p_j)^2} \frac{1}{m_{ij}^2} \frac{1}{m_{i j; a}^2} \frac{T_k}{T_{ij}} \frac{T_j}{T_{ij}} V_{ijk}^{a_{ij}; \ldots; \ldots; m_{i j; a}^2}; \quad (15)$$

the final-state emitter and initial-state spectator type,

$$D_{ij}^a(p_1; \ldots; p_m + 1; p_a; \ldots) = \frac{1}{(p_i + p_j)^2} \frac{1}{m_{ij}^2} \frac{1}{m_{i j; a}^2} \frac{T_a}{T_{ij}} \frac{T_j}{T_{ij}} V_{ij}^{a_{ij}; \ldots; \ldots; m_{i j; a}^2}; \quad (16)$$

the initial-state emitter and final-state spectator type,

$$D_{j}^{a_{1}}(p_1; \ldots; p_m + 1; p_a; \ldots) = \frac{1}{2p_a p_1 x_{i j a}} \frac{1}{m_{a_{1}} \ldots} \frac{T_j}{T_{a_{1}}} \frac{T_j}{T_{a_{1}}} V_{j}^{a_{1}; \ldots; \ldots; m_{a_{1}}}; \quad (17)$$

FIG. 5: Typical Feynman diagrams of the real corrections, others not shown can be obtained by the exchange of the identical external particles.
and the initial-state emitter and initial-state spectator type,

\[
D^{ab}(p_i;\cdots;p_n + \imath i p_a; p_b) = 
\frac{1}{2p_a p_i x_{i ab}} h^{a\cdots j} h^{i\cdots m} \frac{T_b}{T_{ai}} \frac{T_i}{T_{ai}} V^{aib;\cdots;i m}_{b;\cdots;m i},
\]

(18)

where \(a; b\) and \(i; j; \cdots\) are the initial and final-state partons, and \(T\) and \(V\) are the color charge operators and dipole functions acting on the LO amplitudes, respectively. The explicit expressions for \(x_{i ab}, x_{ij k}\) and \(V\) can be found in Ref. [20]. The integrated dipole functions together with the collinear counterterms can be written in the following factorized form

\[
Z = \begin{cases} 
Z^{(m)}(p_a; p_b) \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} h^{a\cdots j} h^{i\cdots m} + a + b (\cdots)_{j\cdots i m; a \cdots i} p_{ab}^{-}, & \\
\frac{1}{v_{i ab}} p_{ab}^{+} h^{a\cdots j} h^{i\cdots m; a \cdots i} p_{ab}^{-}, & \\
+ (a \neq b) ;
\end{cases}
\]

(19)

where \(x\) is the momentum fraction of the splitting parton, \(d^{(m)}\) contains all the factors apart from the squared amplitudes, \(I, P\), and \(K\) are insertion operators defined in Ref. [20]. For simplicity, in all the above formulas we do not show the jet functions that define the observables and are included in our numerical calculations.

The operators \(P\) and \(K\) provide finite contributions to the NLO corrections, and only the operator \(I\) contains the IR divergences

\[
\begin{align*}
I^{IR}_{j} &= \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{4}{\beta_{j}}\right) \frac{1}{k_{6 j}} T_{j} \frac{2}{s_{jk}} V(s_{jk}; m j m k; IR) + \frac{1}{T_{j}^{2}} j(m j; IR) \\
&+ \frac{2}{s_{ja}} V(s_{ja}; m j; 0; IR) + \frac{1}{T_{j}^{2}} j(m j; IR) + \frac{1}{T_{a}^{2}} \frac{a}{IR} \\
&+ T_{a} T_{b} \frac{2}{s_{ab}} \frac{1}{IR} + \frac{1}{T_{a}^{2}} \frac{a}{IR} + (a \neq b) ;
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

with

\[
V(s_{jk}; m j m k; IR) = \frac{1}{v_{jk}} \frac{Q_{jk}^{2}}{s_{jk}} 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} ;
\]

\[
\frac{1}{IR} j(0; IR) = \frac{1}{IR} ; \quad \frac{1}{IR} j(m j \neq 0; IR) = \frac{C_{F}}{IR} ;
\]

(21)

where \(C_{F} = 4/3\), \(q = 2\), and \(q = 11/2\). And \(s_{jk}, Q_{jk}^{2}, v_{jk}\), and \(n\) are kinematic...
variables defined as follows

\[ s_{jk} = 2p_j p_k; \quad Q_{jk}^2 = s_{jk} + m_j^2 + m_k^2; \quad \nu_{jk} = \frac{s_{jk} m_j^2 m_k^2}{(p_j p_k)^2}; \]

\[ n = \frac{1 - \nu_{jk} - 2m_n^2 = (Q_{jk}^2 m_j^2 m_k^2)}{1 + \nu_{jk} - 2m_n^2 = (Q_{jk}^2 m_j^2 m_k^2)} (n = j; k): \quad (22) \]

When inserting Eq. (20) into the LO amplitudes for the \( gq \) and \( qg \) subprocesses as shown in Eq. (19), we can see that the IR divergences, including the \( l=\text{IR} \) terms, can be written as combinations of the LO color correlated squared amplitudes and all the IR divergences from the virtual corrections in Eq. (12) are canceled exactly, as we expected.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the numerical calculations, we investigate the NLO QCD effects on the total cross sections, the scale dependence, and several important distributions at both the Tevatron and LHC. For the single top production via the FCNC couplings at the NLO, the final state consists of a top quark plus one or two partons which may form jets. We use the cone jet algorithm with \( R = 0.5 \), and require the jet to have \( p_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \) and \( \eta < 2.5 \). We require that there is at least one jet in the final state, which means the signal we considered is \( t \bar{t} + \text{jet} + \text{X} \), unlike the direct top production. All the input parameters are taken to be [25]:

\[ m_t = 1712 \text{ TeV}; \quad s(M_Z) = 0.118; \quad g_{tu} = g_{tc} = 0.01 \text{ TeV}^{-1}; \quad (23) \]

The running QCD coupling constant is evaluated at the three-loop order [25] and the CTEQ6M PDF set [26] is used throughout the calculations of the NLO (LO) cross sections. Both the renormalization and factorization scales are set to the top quark mass unless specified. We have performed two independent calculations for the virtual corrections and the integrated dipole terms, and used the modified MadDipole [27] package to generate the Fortran code for the real corrections. The numerical results of the two groups are in good agreement within the expected accuracy of our numerical program.
TABLE I: The LO and NLO total cross sections for the single top quark production via the FCNC couplings at both the LHC and Tevatron.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCNC coupling</th>
<th>tug (LO)</th>
<th>tug (NLO)</th>
<th>tcg (LO)</th>
<th>tcg (NLO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LHC ($\sqrt{s}$=7 TeV)</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tevatron ($\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 6: The LO total cross sections and NLO K factors as functions of the leading jet transverse momentum cut.

In Table I, we list some typical numerical results of the LO and NLO total cross sections for the single top quark production via the FCNC couplings. We can see that the cross sections can reach about 8 pb and 1 pb at the LHC, for the tug and tcg coupling, respectively. But if we take the FCNC coupling values to the experimental upper limits [15], then the cross sections can reach as large as several tens of pb. In Fig. 6 we present the LO total cross sections and the K factors $K_{NLO} = K_{LO}$ as functions of the leading jet transverse momentum cut, respectively. It can be seen that, for the tcg coupling the NLO corrections can enhance the total cross sections by about 60% and 30%, and for the tug coupling by about 50% and 20% at the Tevatron and LHC, respectively. And the K factors decrease with the increasing transverse momentum cut. In Sec. III B we mentioned that the SM on shell production of the top pair with subsequent decay also contributes to the same final state as the FCNC single top production. According to Ref. [18], the former contributions are about $7 \times 10^4$ pb and $8 \times 10^2$ pb at the Tevatron and LHC, respectively, for our chosen FCNC coupling values.
Compared with the results in Table I, we can see that these contributions are negligibly small for the tug coupling, but can reach about 10% of the LO total cross sections for the tcg coupling at both the Tevatron and LHC.

**FIG. 7:** Scale dependence of the total cross sections at the Tevatron, the black lines represent the LO results, while the red ones represent the NLO results.

**FIG. 8:** Scale dependence of the total cross sections at the LHC, the black lines represent the LO results, while the red ones represent the NLO results.

In Figs. 7 and 8 we show the scale dependence of the LO and NLO total cross section for three cases: (1) the renormalization scale dependence \( \tau = \mu \); \( \tau = m_t \), (2) the factorization scale dependence \( \tau = m_t \); \( \tau = \mu \), and (3) total scale dependence \( \tau = \mu \). It can be seen that the NLO corrections reduce the scale dependence significantly for all three cases, which make the theoretical predictions more reliable. For example, at the Tevatron for the tug coupling, when the scale varies from 0.2\( m_t \) to 5\( m_t \), the variations of the total
cross sections are 90% and 40% for case (1), 50% and 20% for case (2), and 150% and 50% for case (3) at the LO and NLO, respectively. Note that, from Fig. 8 it seems that the NLO corrections did not reduce the factorization scale dependence at the LHC. This is because the PDFs of the two incoming partons have opposite trends with the increasing factorization scale and the scale dependence cancel each other at the LO, but there is no such cancellation at the NLO. In order to further explain this scenario, in Fig 9 we plot the factorization scale dependence of the qu initial state subprocess at the LHC, which contributes about 90% of the LO total cross section for the tug coupling. It can be seen that, if we fix the factorization scale of one incoming parton and only change another one, the NLO corrections indeed improve the factorization scale dependence.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9**: Factorization scale dependence for the qu initial state subprocess at the LHC, the black lines represent the LO results, while the red ones represent the NLO results.

Figure 10 shows the transverse momentum distributions of the leading jet for the single top production via the FCNC couplings together with the SM t-channel single top production, which is the main SM background of our process. We can see that the distributions of the single top production via the FCNC couplings decrease more quickly than the SM ones with the increasing $p_T$, and the NLO corrections increase the distributions of the FCNC single top production, especially in the low $p_T$ regions. In Fig. 11 we show the pseudorapidity distributions of the leading jet. For the FCNC single top production, the distributions decrease with the increasing pseudorapidity at both the Tevatron and LHC, while for the SM ones the distributions are almost at the Tevatron and increase at the LHC. The
NLO corrections increase the distributions by almost the same amount in all the regions.

Fig. 10: Transverse momentum distributions of the leading jet, the black and red lines represent the LO and NLO results of the FCNC single top production, respectively, while the green lines correspond to the SM ones normalized to arbitrary units.

Fig. 11: Pseudorapidity distributions of the leading jet, the black and red lines represent the LO and NLO results of the FCNC single top production, respectively, while the green lines correspond to the SM ones normalized to arbitrary units.

Fig. 12 gives the top quark energy distributions. We can see that the SM ones decrease faster than the one of tug FCNC coupling with the increasing top quark energy. Figure 13 shows the invariant mass distributions of the top quark and the leading jet. The shapes for the FCNC single top production are different from the SM ones, where there is a peak in the middle region. The NLO corrections do not change the shapes of these two distributions.
FIG. 12: Energy distributions of the top quark, the black and red lines represent the LO and NLO results of the FCNC single top production, respectively, while the green lines correspond to the SM ones normalized to arbitrary units.

FIG. 13: Invariant mass distributions of the leading jet and the top quark, the black and red lines represent the LO and NLO results of the FCNC single top production, respectively, while the green lines correspond to the SM ones normalized to arbitrary units.

Figure 14 shows the jet multiplicities distributions of the FCNC single top production. At the LO there is only one jet in the final state, while at the NLO there may be two jets. For example, at the LHC, for the tug coupling the LO one jet cross section is 6.7 pb, and the NLO corrections reduce the one jet cross section to 4.8 pb and increase the two jets cross section to 3.7 pb, which is about 50% of the LO total cross section.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have investigated the NLO QCD effects on the single top productions induced by model-independent $tg$ FCNC couplings at both the Tevatron and LHC. Our results show that, for the $tg$ coupling the NLO corrections can enhance the total cross sections by about 60% and 30%, and for the $ug$ coupling by about 50% and 20% at the Tevatron and LHC, respectively, which means that the NLO corrections can increase the experimental sensitivity to the FCNC couplings by about 10% 30%. Moreover, the NLO corrections reduce the dependence of the total cross sections on the renormalization or factorization scale significantly, which lead to increased confidence on the theoretical predictions. Besides, we also evaluate the NLO corrections to several important kinematic distributions, i.e., the transverse momentum and pseudorapidity of the leading jet, energy of the top quark, jet multiplicities, and invariant mass of the leading jet and top quark. We also confirm that for most of them, the NLO corrections are almost the same and do not change the shape of the distributions.
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