Search for the associated production of a b quark and a neutral supr...
The current model of physics at high energies, the standard model (SM), has withstood increasingly precise experimental tests, although the Higgs boson needed to mediate the breaking of electroweak symmetry has not been found. Despite the success of the SM, it has several shortcomings. Theories invoking a new fermion-boson symmetry, called supersymmetry (SUSY), provide an attractive means to address some of these, including the hierarchy problem and nonunification of couplings at high energy. In addition to new SUSY-speciﬁc partners to SM particles, these theories have an extended Higgs sector. In the minimal supersymmetric model (MSSM) there are two Higgs doublets which result in two physical Higgs bosons: two neutral scalars.
(h;H), a neutral pseudoscalar (A) and two charged Higgs bosons (H). The mass spectrum of the Higgs bosons is detemined at tree level by two parameters, typically chosen to be tan β, the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of up-type and down-type scalar fields and M A, the mass of the physical pseudoscalar. Higher order corrections are dominated by the Higgsino mass parameter and the mixing of scalar top quarks.

In this Letter, we present a search for neutral Higgs bosons (collectively denoted ) produced in association with a b quark. The specific Higgs boson decay mode used in this search is ! ! with one of the leptons subsequently decaying via ! (denoted ) and the second via ! hadrons+ (denoted ). In the MSSM, the Higgs coupling to down-type fermions is enhanced by a factor/ tan β and thus the Higgs production cross section is enhanced by a factor/ tan β 2 relative to the SM, giving potentially detectable rates at the Tevatron. Two of the three neutral Higgs bosons have nearly degenerate masses over most of the parameter space, effectively giving another factor of two in production rate. A previous search in this final state was carried out by the D0 experiment 2. Searches in the complex entry channels 2! = ! bb; ! b, ! , and b ! have also been carried out by the LEP, D0, and CDF experiments. By searching in complex entry channels, we reduce overall sensitivity to the particular details of the model. The final state is less sensitive to SUSY radiative corrections than the bb final state, and has greater sensitivity at low Higgs mass than the channel, as the b-jet in the final state reduces the 2! background. Furthermore, an additional complex entry channel will contribute to an even stronger exclusion when combining di event searches. The result presented in this Letter uses an integrated luminosity of 2.7 fb 1 which is eight times that used for the previous result in this channel. Because of analysis in proven events, the gain in sensitivity compared to the prior result is greater than expected from the increased integrated luminosity only. We also extend the Higgs mass search range relative to the previous result in this channel.

The D0 detector 3 is a general purpose detector located at Fermilab’s Tevatron p p collider. The Tevatron operates at a center of mass energy of 1.96 TeV. This analysis relies on all aspects of the detector: tracking, calorimetry, muon detection, the ability to identify detached vertices and the luminosity measurement.

This search requires reconstruction of muons, hadronic decays, jets (arising from b quarks) and neutrinos. Muons are identified using track segment in the muon system and are required to have a track reconstructed in the inner tracking system which is close to the muon system track segment in 1 and !. Here is the pseudorapidity and ! is the azimuthal angle in the plane perpendicular to the beam. Jets are reconstructed from calorimeter information using the D0 Run II cone algorithm with a radius of R = 0.5 in (y′) space, where R = (y′ 2 + y′ 2) and y is the rapidity. Jets are additionally identified as being consistent with decay of a b-avowed hadron (b-tagged) if the tracks aligned with the calorimeter jet have high impact parameter or form a vertex separated from the primary interaction point in the plane transverse to the beam as determined by a neural network (NN) algorithm 4. Hadronic decays are identified 11 as clusters of energy in the calorimeter reconstructed 3 using a cone algorithm of radius R = 0.3 which have associated tracks. The candidates are then categorized as being one of three types which correspond roughly to one-prong decay with no 0's (called Type 1), one-prong decay with 0's (Type 2) and multiprong decay (Type 3). A null identification requirement is based on the output value of a neural network (NN) designed to separate leptons from jets. The missing transverse energy, used to infer the presence of neutrinos, The E T is the negative of the vector sum of the transverse energy of calorimeter cells satisfying j < 32. E T is corrected for the energy scales of reconstructed final state objects, including m uons.

Signal acceptance and efficiency are modeled using simulated SM b events generated with the pythia event generator 12 requiring the b quark to satisfy p T > 15 GeV/c and j j < 2.5 using the CTEQ 6L1 13 parton distribution functions (PDF). The t channel program is used to model decay and events generated 15 are used to decay b hadrons. The dependence of the Higgs boson decay width on tan β is included by reweighting pythia samples, and the kinematic properties are reweighted to the prediction of the NLO program mc 16. The generator outputs are passed through a detailed detector simulation based on geant 17. Each event is combined with collider data events recorded during a random beam crossing to model the e e backgrounds to detector noise, pileup, and additional pp interactions. The combined output is then passed to the D0 event reconstruction program. Simulated signal samples are generated for di event Higgs mass ranging from 90 to 320 GeV/c 2. Backgrounds to this search are dominated by Z + jets, E T, and multijet (M J) production. In the MJ background the apparent leptons primarily come from semileptonic b hadron decays, not hadronic decays. Additional backgrounds include W + jets events, SM diboson production and single top quark production. Except for the MJ contribution, all background yields are estimated using simulated events, with the same e processing chain used for signal events. The Z + jets, W + jets and E T samples are generated using alpgen 16 with pythia used for fragmentation. The diboson samples are generated using pythia. For simulated signal samples in which there is only one lepton arising from the decay of a W boson or from E T + jets, the second lepton is either a jet or an m uon+ jet system from heavy flavor decay in which the m uon is misidentified as being isolated from other activ-
themy.

Corrections accounting for differences between data and the simulation are applied to the simulated events. The corrections are derived from control data samples and applied to object identification efficiencies, trigger efficiencies, primary vertex and primary pp interaction position (primary vertex) and the transverse momentum spectrum of Z bosons. A step applying all corrections, the yields for signal and each background are calculated as the product of the acceptance times efficiency times breaking times. The initial analysis step is selection of events recorded by at least one trigger from a set of single muon triggers for data taken before the sum mer of 2006. For data taken after sum mer 2006 we require at least one trigger from a set of single muon triggers and muon plus hadronic triggers. The average trigger efficiency for signal events is approximatley 65% for both data periods.

A trigger making the trigger requirement events to a background-dominated pre-tag signal sample is selected by requiring a reconstructed primary vertex for the event with at least three tracks, exactly one reconstructed hadronic pion, exactly one isolated muon, and at least one jet. This analysis requires the candidates to satisfy $E_T > 10$ GeV, $p_T > 7(5)$ GeV/c and $N N > 0.9$ for Type 1(2) taus, $E_T > 15$ GeV, $p_T > 10$ GeV/c and $N N > 0.95$ for Type 3 taus. Here $E_T$ is the transverse energy of the event measured in the calorimeter, $p_T$ is the transverse momentum sum of the associated track(s). The muon must satisfy $p_T > 12$ GeV/c and $j < 2.0$. It is also required to be isolated from activity in the tracker and calorimeter. Selected jets have $E_T > 15$ GeV, $j < 2.5$. The jets, the muon and jets must be consistent with arising from the same primary vertex and be separated from each other by $R > 0.5$. In addition, the muon and jets are required to have opposite charge, and the ($E_T > 10$) mass variable $M = E_T^2 + p_T^2$, for $1 \cos(\theta)$ must satisfy $M < 80; 80; and 60$ GeV/$c^2$ for events with $s$ of Type 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Here $E_T$ is the energy of the muon, and $\theta$ is the opening angle between the $E_T$ and muon in the plane transverse to the beam direction.

A more restrictive b-tag subam plication with a proof signal to background ratio is defined by demanding that at least one jet in each event is consistent with b-quark production. The b-jet identification c efficiency in signal events is about 35% and the probability to m-identify a light jet as a b jet is 0.5%.

All backgrounds except M J are derived from simulated events as described earlier. The M J background is derived from control data samples. A parent M J-enriched control sample is created by requiring a muon, and jet, as above, but with the muon isolation requirement removed and with a lower quality ($0.3 \quad N N < 0.9$) selected. This is then used to create a b-tag subam plication which requires at least one of the jets to be identified as a b-jet with the same b-jet selection as earlier. The residual contributions from SM backgrounds are subtracted from the M J control sample using simulated events.

To determine the M J contribution in the pre-tag analysis sample, a data sample is used that has the same selection as the pre-tag analysis sample except that the muon and charges have the same sign. This same-sign (SS) sample is dominated by M J events. A trigger making a subtraction of other SM background processes which contribute to this sample, the number of M J events in the opposite-sign (OS) signal region is computed by multiplying the SS sample by the OS/SS ratio, 1.05 ± 0.2, determined in a control sample selected by requiring a non-isolated muon.

For the b-tag analysis sample, statistical limitations require a different approach for the M J background evaluation than for the pre-tag sample. For the b-tag analysis, two methods are used. For the first method, the per jet probability $P_{tag}$ that a jet in the SS M J control sample is the b-jet is determined as a function of jet $p_T$. We apply $P_{tag}$ to the jets in the SS pre-tag sample to determine the yield in the b-tag sample. For the second method, the M J background is determined by multiplying the b-tag M J control sample yield by two factors: (1) the probability that the non-isolated muon would be identified as isolated, and (2) the ratio of events with a candidate passing the NN $r$ in requirement to events with candidates having $0.03 \quad N N < 0.9$ as determined in a separate control sample. The M J contribution in the b-tag analysis sample is determined using the M J shape from the first method with the normalization equal to the average of the two methods. We include the normalization difference between the two methods in the systematic uncertainty on the M J contribution.

The signal to background ratio is further improved using multivariate techniques. Two separate methods are used, one to address the M background and one to reduce the M J background. For the M background, a neural network (NN topology) is constructed using $H_T$, $E_{Tmiss}$, $E_{Ttotal}$, $E_{Tjets}$, $E + E + E + E$, the number of jets and $\cos(\theta)$ as inputs. For the M J background, a simple joint likelihood discriminant (LLJ) is constructed using $p_T$, $R$, $M$, and $M$. Here $M$ denotes the invariant mass of the muon and tau, and $M$ is the invariant mass computed from the muon, and $E_T$, and $E_\gamma$ in event vectors. The analysis sample is divided by selecting rectangular regions in the NN topology versus LLJ plane. The regions have been identified for each type and each Higgs boson mass point separately by optimizing the search sensitivity using simulated events. The signal to background ratio is proven by up to a factor of two when applying these requirements.
of $h$ decays are selected depending on $M_H$.

Systematic uncertainties arise from a variety of sources. Most are evaluated using comparisons between data control samples and predictions from simulation. The uncertainties are divided into two categories: (1) those which are exact only normalization, and (2) those which are exact the shape of distributions. The sources in the first category include the luminosity (6.1%), $m_W$ uncertainties (4.5%), $h$ energy calibration (3%), the $t\bar{t}$ and single top cross sections (11% and 12%), diboson cross sections (6%), $Z$ and $W$ backgrounds (2%), and the $W$ + $b$ and $Z$ + $b$ cross sections (30%); those in the second include jet energy calibration (2% - 4%), b-tagging (3% - 5%), trigger (3% - 5%), and MJ background (33%, 12%, 11%). For sources with three values, the values correspond to Types 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Aafter making the final selection, the discriminant $D$ is formed from the product of the $NN_{top}$ and $LL_{top}$ variables, $D = LL_{top} - NN_{top}$. The resulting distributions for the predicted background, signal, and data are shown in Fig. 1(a). This distribution is used as input to a significance calculation using a modified frequentist approach with a Poisson log-likelihood ratio test statistic [23]. In the absence of a significant signal, we set 95% confidence level limits on the presence of neutral Higgs bosons in our data sample. The cross section limit is shown in Fig. 1(b) as a function of Higgs boson mass. These are translated into the $t\bar{t}$ versus $M_{t\bar{t}}$ plane in the $m_{h}^{\text{ax}} = 200$ GeV/c$^2$ MSSM benchmark scenario [21], giving the excluded region shown in Fig. 1(c). The signal cross sections and branching fractions are computed using FeynHiggs [22]. Instabilities in the theoretical calculation for $t\bar{t}$ > 100 GeV are in the mass range in the translation into the (tan $\beta$; $M_{A}$) plane.

In summary, this Letter reports a search for production of Higgs bosons in association with a b quark using eight standard model data than previous results for this channel. The data are consistent with predictions from known physics sources and limits are set on the neutral Higgs boson associated production cross section. These cross section limits, a factor of three improved over previous results, are also translated into limits in the SUSY parameter space.
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FIG. 1: (a) The distribution of the final discriminant variable, $D = N - N_{top} \cdot LL_{jj}$. The curve includes all Types. (b) The cross-section limit as a function of Higgs boson mass. (c) The region in the $\tan \beta$ versus $M_A$ plane excluded by this analysis, LEP neutral MSSM Higgs searches, and the previous DØ result in this channel.