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ABSTRACT

A systematic investigation of the relationship between different redshift estimation schemes for more than 91 000 quasars in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 6 (DR6) is presented. The publicly available SDSS quasar redshifts are shown to possess systematic biases of $\Delta z/(1 + z) \geq 0.002$ (600 km s$^{-1}$) over both small ($\delta z \approx 0.1$) and large ($\delta z \approx 1$) redshift intervals. Empirical relationships between redshifts based on i) Ca II H & K host galaxy absorption, ii) quasar [O II] $\lambda$3728, iii) [O III] $\lambda\lambda$4960,5008 emission, and iv) cross-correlation (with a master quasar template) that includes, at increasing quasar redshift, the prominent Mg II $\lambda$2799, C III $\lambda$1908 and C IV $\lambda$1549 emission lines, are established as a function of quasar redshift and luminosity. New redshifts in the resulting catalogue possess systematic biases a factor of $\approx 20$ lower compared to the SDSS redshift values; systematic errors are reduced to the level of $\Delta z/(1 + z) \leq 10^{-4}$ (30 km s$^{-1}$) per unit redshift, or $\leq 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ per unit absolute magnitude. Redshift errors, including components due both to internal reproducibility and the intrinsic quasar-to-quasar variation among the population, are available for all quasars in the catalogue. The improved redshifts and their associated errors have wide applicability in areas such as quasar absorption outflows, quasar clustering, quasar-galaxy clustering and proximity-effect determinations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) ([York et al. 2000]) has produced a revolution in both the volume and quality of spectroscopic data available for quasars. The Data Release 5 (DR5) [Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007] and Legacy Data Release 7 (DR7) [Abazajian et al. 2009a] with their associated quasar catalogues (Schneider et al. 2007, 2010, respectively) provide intermediate resolution ($R \sim 2000$), moderate signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) ($\text{SNR} \sim 15$ per 69 km s$^{-1}$ pixel), spectra of unprecedented homogeneity, covering essentially the entire "optical" wavelength region ($\lambda = 3800$–9180 Å).

The quality of the Schneider et al. quasar catalogues is truly impressive, with errors in redshift identification reduced to the 0.01 per cent level and individual redshift estimates, resulting primarily from the SDSS spectroscopic pipeline [Stoughton et al. 2002] and the SDSS DR7 website[1], are accurate to of order $\Delta z/(1 + z) \sim 0.002$. The publication of even individual quasar redshifts, based on moderate resolution spectra, to such accuracy was a significant achievement prior to the mid-1990s, further highlighting the advance represented by the SDSS.

Notwithstanding the quality of the SDSS quasar spectra and the associated redshift estimates, important scientific investigations, including the clustering of quasars themselves (e.g. Croom et al. 2005, Shen et al. 2007), the cross-correlation of quasars and other object populations (e.g. Padmanabhan et al. 2003), the proximity effect (e.g. Bajtlik, Duncan, & Ostriker 1988, Kirkman & Tytler 2008), the origin and properties of associated absorbers (e.g. Nestor, Hamann, & Hidalgo 2008, Wild et al. 2008, Tytler et al. 2009) benefit significantly both from reduced systematics in redshift determinations and the reliable assignment of redshift uncertainties for individual quasars.

In this paper we present the determination of new redshifts and associated error estimates for more than 89 500 quasars from the SDSS DR6 ([Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008]). Our redshift determinations suffer from much smaller systematic effects compared to the default values from the SDSS spectroscopic pipeline. Specifically, systematics are reduced by more than an order of magnitude to $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ in $\Delta z/(1 + z)$ per unit redshift, or, equivalently, 30 km s$^{-1}$ per unit redshift[2]. A detailed comparison of redshifts de-


[2] The quasar research community has normally quantified redshift errors...
ived from \[\text{Ca}^\text{II}\ \text{H}&\text{K}\] absorption, \([\text{O}\text{III}]\ \lambda\lambda3727,3729\] emission, \([\text{O}\text{III}]\ \lambda4960,5008\] emission and cross-correlation with a new quasar template spectrum, provides greatly improved error estimates for individual quasar redshifts. The error estimates incorporate both the uncertainties resulting from the properties of the SDSS spectra, quantified using the very large number of multiple spectra present in the SDSS, and the intrinsic quasar-to-quasar dispersion. The resulting catalogue will allow significant advances in many studies that rely on the determination of systemic quasar redshifts with small systematics and well-determined uncertainties.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the quasar sample, before the features of the quasar redshifts available from the SDSS spectroscopic pipeline are illustrated in Section 3. Section 4 includes a description of the procedures involved in generating a master quasar template for the cross-correlation redshift estimates. Section 5 then describes the procedures employed to provide the new redshift estimates for the SDSS quasars. An assessment of the consistency of the different redshift estimates is given in Section 6 and redshift estimates, based on different rest-frame wavelength regions, are placed onto the same ‘systemic’ reference system. A critical assessment of the internal and external reliability of the new quasar redshifts is presented at this point. Twenty-one centimetre radio observations of the majority of the SDSS quasars are available from the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty centimetres (FIRST; Becker, White, & Helfand 1995). Section 7 contains a description showing how the new redshift estimation scheme allows spectral energy distribution (SED) dependent composite spectra (for FIRST-detected quasars in this case) to be constructed, producing significantly improved redshifts. The resulting redshift catalogue, including well-determined error estimates for each quasar, is described in Section 8. A short discussion, including consideration of the origin of the differences with published redshifts and an independent test of the new redshifts follows in Section 9. The paper concludes with a brief summary of the conclusions as Section 10. We adopt the same convention as employed in the SDSS and use vacuum wavelengths throughout the paper. Absolute magnitudes are calculated in a cosmology with \(H_0=70\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}\,,\,\Omega_M=0.3\) and \(\Omega_{\Lambda}=0.7\).

2 QUASAR SAMPLE

The quasar sample consists of 91,665 objects, including 77,392 quasars in the \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) DR5 catalogue that are retained in the later DR7 quasar catalogue of \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) (2010). A further 13,081 objects are quasars, present in the additional DR6 spectroscopic plates, identified by one of us (PCH) using a similar prescription to that employed by \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) (2007), all of which are present in the \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) (2010) catalogue. An additional 1,192 objects, which do not satisfy one, or both, of the emission line velocity width or absolute magnitude criterion imposed by \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) (2007), are also included. While formally failing the ‘quasar’ definition of \(\text{Schneider et al.}\)’s DR5 and DR7 compilations the objects are essentially all luminous active galactic nuclei (AGN). None of the results in the paper depend on the exact definition of the ‘quasar’-sample used.

The spectra were all processed through the sky-residual subtraction scheme of \(\text{Wild \& Hewett}\) (2005), resulting in significantly improved SNR at wavelengths \(\lambda>7200\ \text{Å}\). The SNR improvement allows the important quasar rest-frame wavelength regions containing the \[\text{Mg}\text{II}\ \lambda2799\) and \[\text{C}\text{II}\ \lambda1908\] emission lines, to contribute to the cross-correlation redshift determinations (Section 4.2) to much higher redshifts than is possible using the original SDSS spectra.

The SDSS DR6 contains a very large number of objects for which multiple spectra are available. For our quasar sample there are \(\approx 9000\) independent pairs of spectra. The catalogue of spectrum pairs allows the accurate determination of redshift reproducibility as a function of SNR, redshift and cross-correlation amplitude and extensive use of the spectrum pairs is made to quantify the contribution of the SDSS spectra themselves to the quasar redshift errors.

3 SDSS REDSHIFTS

The SDSS spectroscopic pipeline (spectrold) incorporates a sophisticated scheme\(^1\) for determining both the classification (star, galaxy, quasar,...) of the spectra and the redshifts of extragalactic objects. Cross-correlation redshift estimates are determined using the Tonry & Davis (1979) technique and a composite quasar template from \(\text{Vanden Berk et al.}\) (2001). Emission lines are identified via a wavelet transform technique and an independent redshift estimate is derived using the observed-frame wavelength emission line locations and reference rest-frame emission line wavelengths, the latter taken from the \(\text{Vanden Berk et al.}\) (2001) composite quasar spectrum. The reference wavelengths adopted from the quasar composite can differ from laboratory values due to the complex, often asymmetric, line profiles and apparent ‘velocity shifts’ of the line centroids (Gaskell 1982, Tyler & Fan 1992, Richards et al. 2002).

The SDSS database and the individual FITS spectrum files contain extensive quantitative information on the determination and reliability of the different redshift estimates. However, the majority of researchers utilise the ‘final’ redshift estimate \(z\) included in the SDSS \text{SpecObjAll} table, the individual FITS spectrum file headers, or from the \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) catalogue.\(^4\)

If available, the cross-correlation redshift is adopted as the ‘final’ redshift for the spectrum. Some 88 per cent of the quasars possess redshifts derived from cross-correlation and more than a third of such objects also possess consistent emission line-based redshifts. A further 7 per cent of quasars, where no reliable cross-correlation redshift is available, possess redshifts derived from the emission lines. The remaining 5 per cent of quasars, including a large fraction of pathological objects and spectra of low SNR, have redshifts derived via manual inspection of the spectra.

3.1 SDSS Princeton redshifts

Independent spectrum classifications and redshift determinations, based on direct \(\chi^2\)-fitting of template spectra to the data, have been

\[^3\]http://www.sdss.org/dr7/dm/flatFiles/spSpec.html describes the spectrold FITS-file data model and lists the emission line wavelengths.

\[^4\]In the \(\text{Schneider et al.}\) DR5 and DR7 quasar catalogues, catastrophic redshift errors are virtually absent but otherwise the catalogue redshifts are the ‘final’ redshift estimates from the pipeline reductions.
made at Princeton using the specBS code\footnote{http://spectro.princeton.edu/}. The redshift determination, essentially via cross-correlation, differs from the implementation employed in the spectro1d pipeline but the same composite quasar template from Vandenberg et al.\cite{2001MNRAS.324..725V} was used.

### 3.2 SDSS Redshift intercomparison

Fig.\ref{fig:1} shows a comparison of the SDSS final-redshifts and Princeton redshifts as a function of quasar redshift\footnote{All figures showing redshift differences between estimates \(z_1\) and \(z_2\) have \(\Delta z/(1+z)\equiv(z_1 - z_2)/(1 + z)\) plotted as the y-axis. The choice of which estimate is used in the denominator is usually irrelevant given the scale of the plots.}. The selection of the sub-sample of more than 70,000 spectra is conservative in that only spectra with high-confidence SDSS redshifts, where there is also no inconsistency between the cross-correlation and emission line redshift determinations, are used. The data in Fig.\ref{fig:1} should essentially represent an internal consistency check and the large differences between redshifts, extending to \(\pm 5 \times 10^{-3}\), or 1500 km s\(^{-1}\), are surprising. Perhaps even more striking is the sequence of apparent discontinuities in the behaviour as a function of redshift.

A second illustration of the extent of redshift-dependent systematics comes from comparing the redshift derived from the location of the Mg\textsc{ii} \(\lambda\lambda 2796,2803\) emission in each quasar spectrum with the SDSS redshift. Fig.\ref{fig:2} presents the data for more than 60,000 spectra with SNR \(>10\) Mg\textsc{ii} emission line locations (from the SDSS spectroscopic pipeline\footnote{The SNR constraints applied to the use of emission lines refer to the significance of the emission line detection by the SDSS spectroscopic pipeline.}). The rest-frame location of the Mg\textsc{ii} emission line has been shown by many studies over the decades to be well-behaved and there is no reason to expect \(\pm 500\) km s\(^{-1}\) shifts over small redshift intervals, or, indeed, an apparent systematic \(2 \times 10^{-3}\) (600 km s\(^{-1}\)) change in the location of the Mg\textsc{ii} emission with increasing redshift of the quasars. The systematic redshift differences show similar patterns over the redshift range common to both Fig.\ref{fig:1} and Fig.\ref{fig:2}. Although somewhat more complex to interpret (Section 4.3), the equivalent plot for the C\textsc{iii}] emission, Fig.\ref{fig:3} also shows strong systematic effects as a function of redshift. The form and substantial amplitude of the systematic and random differences in Figs.\ref{fig:1}-\ref{fig:3} led to the initiation of the investigation presented here.

### 4 MASTER QUASAR TEMPLATE CONSTRUCTION

The generation of the high-SNR quasar template to be used to calculate cross-correlation redshifts begins with a sample of quasars at low redshifts that possess emission line-detected redshifts. A somewhat more involved procedure is then necessary to incorporate additional quasars at higher redshifts into the master template. In this section the recipe for each element of the master template construction is outlined.

#### 4.1 Initial low-redshift quasar template

The narrow forbidden emission lines of [O\textsc{iii}] \(\lambda\lambda 4960,5008\) are prominent in many quasar spectra with redshifts \(z<0.8\) and a composite spectrum based on the combination of quasars with redshifts determined via the location of [O\textsc{iii}] emission forms the starting point for the construction of the master quasar template.

The \(\approx 19,000\) quasars with SDSS redshifts \(z<0.85\) are searched for the presence of [O\textsc{iii}] emission in a narrow wavelength interval \((\approx 100\) Å) corresponding to the predicted rest-frame location calculated from the SDSS redshift.

A ‘continuum’ is defined using a median filter of 21 pixels and [O\textsc{iii}] \(\lambda\lambda 4960,5008\) emission then identified using a matched-filter detection scheme applied to a continuum-subtracted version of each spectrum (e.g.\cite{1985MNRAS.213..582H}). [O\textsc{iii}] emission is often broad and frequently exhibits strong asymmetries (\cite{1981ApJ...243L..71H}), the small filter-scale adopted for the [O\textsc{iii}] detection is chosen with the aim of isolating narrow, well-defined, peaks that may be present. The filter template consists of two Gaussian com-
The additional ‘jitter’ that the simple nearest-pixel scheme introduces is small, with a maximum error of 34.5 km s$^{-1}$ and an increased dispersion of $\sigma=20$ km s$^{-1}$, less than a third of a pixel, in the extent of features in the resulting composite spectra.

The SDSS-derived redshift is used to determine the value of $z_{\text{init}}$ initially but all the cross-correlation estimates are recalculated using an updated value of $z_{\text{init}}$ from the cross-correlation calculation itself. The cross-correlation estimates converge to the $10^{-5}$ level with just one iteration.

### 4.2 Cross-correlation redshift algorithm

The cross-correlation algorithm is based on a straightforward spatial cross-correlation between an individual quasar spectrum and a high-SNR template spectrum. The key elements of the cross-correlation calculation are i) a conservative choice of the portions of the quasar spectrum to employ in the calculation, avoiding strong emission lines close to the edges of the observed spectrum, and ii) application of an essentially identical ‘window’ to both the individual quasar and template spectra prior to the cross-correlation calculation.

For each quasar spectrum, with its companion error-array, pixels are excluded from the cross-correlation calculation according to a sequence of rules/tests. The first and last valid pixels, where the SDSS spectrum error-array is not set to 0, define the limits of the accessible wavelength range. In the observed-frame:

- the first 25-pixels at each end are excluded
- pixels within 6 Å of each of the strong night-sky emission lines at 5578.5 Å and 6301.5 Å are excluded
- narrow absorption features are identified by examining a continuum-subtracted spectrum. The continuum is defined using a 61-pixel median filter. Pixels that fall more than 4.5$\sigma$ below the continuum are flagged and a grow-radius of 2-pixels then applied. Thus, a single pixel exceeding the threshold results in the exclusion of 5-pixels.

The quasar spectrum is then transformed to the rest-frame using the specified redshift estimate, $z_{\text{init}}$. In the rest-frame:

- pixels with $\lambda>7000$ Å are excluded
- for $z_{\text{init}}>0.38$, pixels with $\lambda>6400$ Å, i.e. the H$\alpha$ region, are excluded
- for $z_{\text{init}}<0.45$, pixels with $\lambda<2900$ Å, i.e. the Mg II region, are excluded
- for $z_{\text{init}}<1.10$, pixels with $\lambda<1975$ Å, i.e. the C IV region, are excluded
- for $z_{\text{init}}<4.00$, pixels with $\lambda<1675$ Å, i.e. the C IV region, are excluded
- pixels with $\lambda<1275$ Å, i.e. the N V and Lyman-$\alpha$ lines and the Lyman-$\alpha$ forest, are always excluded.

Following the definition of the restricted wavelength interval over which the quasar spectrum is retained, continua, estimated using a large-scale, 601-pixel, median filter are subtracted from the quasar and the template spectra. Exactly the same wavelength interval is used to estimate the continua subtracted from the quasar and the template spectra.
With continuum-subtracted quasar, \( Q_{cs} \), and template, \( T_{cs} \), spectra available, the cross-correlation, for lag \( 'l' \), is performed:

\[
cc(l) = \frac{\sum Q_i T_i / \sigma_i^2}{\sqrt{\sum (Q_i / \sigma_i)^2 \sum (T_i / \sigma_i)^2}}
\]

where \( \sigma_i \) is the noise, as provided in the SDSS FITS-files, and the \( 'cs' \) sub-scripts have been omitted for clarity.

A quadratic fit is then made to the array of \( cc(l) \) values over the interval \( l = \pm npix \) with \( npix = 100 \). The fit is then refined, performing quadratic fits to narrower pixel intervals centred on the peak of the previous quadratic fit, with the final fit determined over an interval of \( l = \pm npix/5 \). The output consists of a redshift estimate, \( z_{fin} \), and a cross-correlation amplitude, \( ccc_{max} \), in the range \( 1 \leq ccc_{max} \leq 1 \), which parameterises the degree of similarity between the two spectra. Extensive experimentation demonstrates that the requirement \( ccc_{max} \geq 0.2 \) results in an almost error-free catalogue of cross-correlation redshifts. However, it must be stressed that the use of such a low amplitude is only possible because of the extremely low occurrence of catastrophic redshift mis-identifications resulting from the SDSS spectroscopic pipeline and the subsequent refinements of [Schneider et al. 2007, 2010].

4.3 Quasar template extension for redshifts \( 0.8 < z < 1.6 \)

With the cross-correlation redshift determination procedure in place it is possible to utilise quasars with redshift \( z > 0.8 \) to extend the master template. To ensure that the template construction is not adversely affected by the inclusion of spectra with poor SNR, or the presence of broad absorption line (BAL) troughs, the full quasar sample (Section 2) was restricted to those objects satisfying the following criteria:

- SDSS spectrum spectroscopic SNR, \( SN_{SNR+SN_T} \geq 18.0 \)
- quasar not identified as BAL-quasars by [Gibson et al. 2009] or from our own BAL catalogue (Allen et al. 2010, in preparation)

Application of the criteria reduce the sample by approximately a half, to \( \approx 44 \) 500 spectra. Cross-correlation redshifts are then calculated for 4071 spectra with \( 0.8 < z < 1.0 \) according to the prescription of Section 2. All spectra with \( ccc_{max} \geq 0.2 \) and redshifts, \( 0.8 < z < 1.0 \), are combined to produce a composite. Then, the original and new composites are combined by taking the average, weighted by the relative number of spectra contributing at each wavelength. The effect is to determine redshifts for quasars using only the wavelength range where the initial (lower-redshift) composite is of high SNR. The procedure is then repeated for intervals of \( \Delta z = 0.2 \) up to redshift \( z = 1.6 \). Table 1 summarises the number of spectra, median absolute magnitudes and wavelength coverage for all of the composites used to generate the final master template spectrum.

The key elements of the scheme are the use of wavelength regions \( 1975 \AA \) for the calculation of redshifts of quasars up to \( z = 1.6 \), thereby excluding the \( C \) and \( C \) emission lines. The rest-frame ultra-violet region of interest is shown in Fig. 3.

4.4 Luminosity-dependent emission line shifts

Quasar luminosity-dependent systematic effects related to the rest-frame locations of \( C \) and \( O \) line absorption, \( O \) line and \( Mg \) emission are at or below the level of 30 \( \text{km s}^{-1} \) (Section 5). However, the same is not true when considering the location of the \( Mg \) emission line and the next prominent emission line complex of \( C \) and \( Si \) \( \lambda 1908 \), \( Si \) \( \lambda 1892 \) and \( Al \) \( \lambda 1857 \) as one moves further into the ultra-violet. Fig. 5 shows the ratio of the observed-frame centroids of \( C \) and \( Mg \) emission lines as a function of the quasar absolute magnitude, \( M \). The quasar sample consists of 42 609 objects with redshifts \( 1.1 < z < 2.2 \). The solid red line, calculated using a 2001-point running median of the data-points, shows the strong systematic trend with quasar luminosity. Data for 1000 spectra lie outside the \( x-y \) range plotted.

Figure 5. The observed-frame ratio of the centroid wavelengths for \( Mg \) and \( C \) emission lines as a function of the quasar absolute magnitude, \( M \). The quasar sample consists of 42 609 objects with redshifts \( 1.1 < z < 2.2 \). The solid red line, calculated using a 2001-point running median of the data-points, shows the strong systematic trend with quasar luminosity. Data for 1000 spectra lie outside the \( x-y \) range plotted.
4.5 Quasar template extension for redshifts \(1.6<z<2.0\)

As cross-correlation redshifts are calculated using only rest-frame wavelengths \(>1975\,\text{Å}\) for quasars with redshifts \(z<1.6\), the current master template is free of the luminosity dependent systematics described above. For redshifts \(z\geq1.6\) it is necessary to use the wavelength range including the \(\text{C}\,\text{III}\) emission complex to increase the SNR of the cross-correlation signal. However, to preserve the form of the composite at wavelengths \(>2000\,\text{Å}\), quasars with redshifts \(1.6<z<2.0\) are incorporated into the template using only wavelengths \(<2000\,\text{Å}\). The master quasar template is extended down to \(\lambda=1275\,\text{Å}\) in two redshift slices (Table 1), with the stricture that the quasars in the redshift interval \(1.6<z<2.0\) are not allowed to contribute to the template at wavelengths \(>2000\,\text{Å}\).

The result is a final master template in which the form of the spectrum at \(\lambda\leq2000\,\text{Å}\) is appropriate to a quasar of a particular absolute magnitude \(M_i\approx-26\). Given that the SDSS quasars possess a significant spread in absolute magnitude, systematic trends in the redshift determinations using the master quasar template are expected but the amplitude and form of the systematic trends are such that reliable corrections are possible (Section 4.3) and reliable redshifts can be derived for objects with redshifts up to \(z=4.5\).

5 NEW QUASAR REDSHIFTS

Redshift determinations, in decreasing order of accuracy and increasing quasar redshift, can be obtained using \([\text{O}\,\text{III}]\) emission lines, \([\text{O}\,\text{II}]\) emission lines, cross-correlation including the \(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}\) emission line (\(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}_{\text{cc}}\)), cross-correlation including the \(\text{C}\,\text{III}\) emission line complex (\(\text{C}\,\text{III}_{\text{cc}}\)) and, finally, cross-correlation including the \(\text{C}\,\text{IV}\) emission line (\(\text{C}\,\text{IV}_{\text{cc}}\)). For the cross-correlation results, empirical comparisons of redshifts derived using different rest-frame wavelength regions allow conversion relations to be derived as a function of quasar absolute magnitude and redshift. The goal is to build a redshift ‘ladder’ for quasars of increasing redshift that allows the redshift estimates to be placed on the same underlying systemic reference system. The sub-Sections below consider in turn each step in the ladder.

5.1 \([\text{O}\,\text{II}]\) and \([\text{O}\,\text{III}]\) narrow emission line redshifts

Redshifts for 13291 quasars with redshifts \(z<0.84\) are available via the detection of \([\text{O}\,\text{III}]\) \(\lambda\lambda4960,5008\) emission with a SNR \(>6\sigma\) (Section 4.1). Similarly, detection of the \([\text{O}\,\text{II}]\) \(\lambda\lambda3727,3729\) emission doublet at a SNR \(>6\sigma\) provides redshift determinations for an additional 3844 quasars, with redshifts \(z<1.31\).

In the case of \([\text{O}\,\text{II}]\) detections, a single Gaussian, centred at 3728.60 Å, is used. The \([\text{O}\,\text{II}]\) doublet consists of two components centred at 3727.09 Å and 3729.88 Å. The observed component ratio varies from quasar to quasar but is normally in the range 0.8:1–0.9:1, leading to the effective wavelength of 3728.60 Å adopted.

5.2 Cross-correlation redshifts including \(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}\)

\(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}_{\text{cc}}\) redshifts are available for a further 12289 quasars with redshifts \(z<1.10\). The minimum rest-frame wavelength involved in the cross-correlation is 1975 Å and systematic offsets relative to the emission line redshifts generated in the previous sub-Section are not predicted or detectable.

In the interval \(1.1<z<2.1\), the \(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}_{\text{cc}}\) redshifts involve rest-frame wavelengths below 1800 Å and the signal is increasingly dominated by the \(\text{C}\,\text{III}\) emission as redshift increases and the \(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}\) line shifts into the far red of the SDSS spectra. Additionally, there is also the luminosity-dependent variation in the location of the \(\text{C}\,\text{III}\) emission complex to take into account. The amplitude of the systematic redshift bias is small, only \(\approx2\times10^{-4}\) too large at the highest redshift \(z=2.1\).

Taking the \(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}_{\text{cc}}\) redshifts and the corresponding \(\text{Mg}\,\text{II}\) emission line centroid determinations from the SDSS pipeline allows the dependence of the cross-correlation bias on redshift and absolute magnitude to be quantified. Treating the systematic as separable in redshift and luminosity, a sample of \(\approx42\,000\) quasars, in the redshift interval \(1.1<z<2.1\), shows that linear corrections to the raw cross-correlation redshifts, with slopes of \(1.61\times10^{-5}\) per unit redshift and \(7.2\times10^{-5}\) per unit...
magnitude (reducing the raw redshift estimates as redshift and luminosity increase), bring any residual systematic trends down to the \(\sim 1 \times 10^{-5}\) level. Note that the sense and amplitude of the difference between the raw Mg II \(\text{cc}\) redshifts and the Mg II emission line centroids are entirely consistent with the existence of the luminosity-dependent emission line shifts and the way that the master quasar template spectrum is constructed (Section 4.3).

Corrected Mg II \(\text{cc}\) redshifts are available for 43,728 quasars in the interval 1.0<\(z\)<2.1.

### 5.3 Cross-correlation redshifts including C\(\text{III}\)\(\lambda\)

At redshifts \(z>2.1\) the Mg II line no longer contributes to the cross-correlation redshifts and the full effect of the systematic variation in the rest-frame locations of the Mg II and C\(\text{III}\)\(\lambda\) emission lines must be taken into account. Fortunately, an empirical determination of the systematic differences between the corrected, un-biased, redshifts derived above and cross-correlation redshifts using only the rest-wavelength region 1675 Å<\(\lambda\)<2650 Å, termed C\(\text{III}\)\(\text{cc}\) redshifts, is straightforward to make.

The differences between the corrected Mg II \(\text{cc}\) redshifts and raw C\(\text{III}\)\(\text{cc}\) redshifts, derived using a maximum rest-frame wavelength of \(\lambda=2650\) Å, i.e. excluding the Mg II emission line, are available for \(\sim 35\) 000 quasars with 1.1<\(z\)<2.0. The difference as a function of quasar absolute magnitude is systematic and well represented by a linear trend; a linear fit has slope \(1.67 \times 10^{-4}\), increasing the raw redshifts for increasing bright quasars. Application of the correction removes any detectable systematic effects as a function of absolute magnitude (Fig. 7) or redshift (Fig. 8). The same correction is then applied to C\(\text{III}\)\(\text{cc}\) redshifts for 13859 quasars with \(z>2.1\).

### 5.4 Cross-correlation redshifts including C IV

The intention throughout is to avoid the use of the rest-frame wavelength region including the C IV emission line, which is known to show large asymmetric variations in shape, and hence of the line centroid. However, for 3274 quasars in the redshift interval 2.1<\(z<4.5\), the cross-correlation signal from the rest-frame \(\lambda>1675\) Å region is too low to produce a reliable C\(\text{III}\)\(\text{cc}\) redshift. For these 3274 quasars a cross-correlation redshift determination employing the rest-wavelength interval \(\lambda>1275\) Å (C IV\(\text{cc}\) ) is made.

There is a strong luminosity-dependent bias present due to the systematic variation in the location of the rest-frame C IV emission line centroid. The situation is complicated by the presence, in a large number of quasars, of significant absorption blueward of the C IV emission centroid, which biases the line centroid to the red. To
decouple the effects on the C IV emission line of quasar luminosity and the presence of absorption, a sub-sample of $\simeq 25 000$ quasars with essentially undetectable absorption blueward of the C IV emission line centroid is defined.

An empirical correction for the systematic luminosity-dependent redshift bias (Fig 9) can then be made in exactly the same way that the C III]$_{\lambda 4960}$ redshifts were referenced to the un-biased system. A two-part linear fit to the absolute magnitude dependence, with slope $6.67\times 10^{-1}$ for $M_{\text{z}} < -27.0$ and slope $3.90\times 10^{-4}$ for $M_{\text{z}} > -27.0$, provides an excellent fit to the systematic trend. The raw C IV$_{\lambda 1549}$ redshift estimates are increased for more luminous quasars, reducing systematic redshift differences to undetectable levels. The amplitude of the systematic bias in the raw C IV$_{\lambda 1549}$ redshifts is large, a factor of four greater than the C IV$_{\lambda 1549}$ dependence, and the correction results in a substantial reduction in the dispersion between the C IV$_{\lambda 1549}$ and un-biased redshift determinations.

Objects with significant absorption blueward of the C IV emission line, many of which are Broad Absorption Line (BAL) quasars, show an extended tail of redshift deviations to high values. A second systematic correction, as a function of the absorption equivalent width (AEW), is then made, using the differences between the corrected C III]$_{\lambda 4960}$ redshifts and the absolute magnitude corrected C IV$_{\lambda 1549}$ values for $\simeq 38 000$ quasars. The actual correction applied is based on the empirically-determined median $\Delta z/(1+z)$ versus AEW relation but the amplitude of the well-determined correction is closely reproduced by a linear fit with slope $-2.5\times 10^{-5}$ over the 0–200 range of AEW used. The additional quasar-to-quasar dispersion in redshift at large AEW is significant but only 574 quasars with AEW>20 in the final catalogue possess C IV$_{\lambda 1549}$ redshifts.

Table 2 summarises the redshift and absolute magnitude dependent corrections made to the redshifts from the different estimation schemes in the ladder.

---

12 The absorption strength is parameterised using an integrated absorption equivalent width (AEW), calculated over the velocity range -29 000 to 0 km s$^{-1}$, relative to the predicted C IV $\lambda 1549$ location.

5.5 Additional redshifts

Redshifts for an additional 124 quasars are available, although one of the strict criterion described above is not satisfied, e.g. SNR$<6.0\sigma$ for an emission line detection, or AEW$_{\text{max}}<0.2$. These redshifts are included in the catalogue but are highlighted by the inclusion of a special status flag.

Finally, the emission line detection and cross-correlation schemes fail to provide reliable redshift estimates for 1256 quasars. These objects consist primarily of a mix of pathological spectra, including extreme BAL quasars, and spectra of very low SNR. The objects are included in the redshift catalogue for completeness, with redshifts and redshift errors taken from the Schneider et al. catalogues (Schneider et al. 2007, 2010) and the spectroid pipeline for DR6. Again, the source of the redshifts is indicated in the catalogue via a status flag.

6 SYSTEMIC REDSHIFTS AND REDSHIFT UNCERTAINTIES

Section 5 describes the scheme adopted to obtain redshifts using the most reliable estimation procedure for each quasar. Redshifts are referenced to the zero-point provided by the location of the [O III] $\lambda\lambda 4960,5008$ emission lines. The goal is to reduce systematic errors in $\Delta z/(1+z)$ to the level of $\lesssim 1\times 10^{-4}$ (30 km s$^{-1}$) per unit redshift and $\lesssim 2.5\times 10^{-5}$ (8 km s$^{-1}$) per unit absolute magnitude. In this section the question of referencing the [O III] emission line redshifts to the systemic system defined by the quasar host galaxies is considered. Starting with the comparison of absorption line and [O III] emission redshifts, the uncertainties in redshift estimates arising from both the intrinsic quasar-to-quasar variation and the reproducibility of the determinations for each technique in the ladder are quantified.

6.1 [O III] and host galaxy systemic redshifts

Redshift estimates based on the detection of photospheric absorption from stars in the spatially averaged spectrum of the quasar host galaxy might be expected to provide a close to ‘ideal’ systemic redshift. Given the nature of the SDSS spectra, coupled with the
large luminosity of the quasars at rest-frame optical and near-ultraviolet wavelengths, detection of photospheric absorption is not possible for the majority of objects. However, a direct comparison of redshifts derived from photospheric CaII λ3934.8,3969.6 and [OIII] emission is possible for a sample of objects with redshifts z<0.4. Generating a catalogue of CaII absorption detections with SNR>6σ, matched to quasars with [OIII] detections in the redshift interval 0.2≤z≤0.4, produces 825 quasars. Restricting the sample to objects that satisfy the luminosity-criterion for inclusion in the Schneider et al. SDSS quasar compilations, results in 615 quasars with absolute magnitudes covering the full range –24.0<ML<–20.0. Composite spectra with median Mz=–22.2 and –22.6 possess both CaII absorption and [OIII] emission at high SNR.

Measuring the centroid of the strong CaII K-line at 3934.8Å (CaII H is blended with He absorption, producing a shift to longer wavelengths) and the centroid of the [OIII] λλ4960.30,5008.24 emission, measured above the 50 per cent peak-height level, shows that the [OIII] emission is shifted by 45±5 km s−1 to the blue, with no detectable dependence on luminosity. The offset determined from the composite spectra is in good agreement with the results of [Boroson 2003] and the distribution of individual CaII and [OIII] redshift-differences for the 615 objects contributing to the composites. The median redshift difference for the sample corresponds to a velocity shift of 38±9 km s−1 blueward for [OIII] relative to CaII. We therefore correct all redshifts by a 45 km s−1 shift to the red to bring the zero-point into coincidence with the system defined by the CaII K-line.

After correcting for the contribution of the redshift reproducibility (σrepro=2.1×10−4, calculated using multiple spectra (Section 3) in estimating the CaII redshifts, the empirically determined quasar-to-quasar scatter of [OIII] redshifts about the CaII absorber redshifts is σintrin=3.5×10−4.

### 6.2 [OIII] and [OII] emission line redshifts

A similar comparison can be made between the [OIII] and [OII] emission line derived redshifts for the more than 7500 quasars, redshifts z<0.8, with both [OIII] and [OII] emission line detections. A small systematic velocity offset is present, with the [OII] derived redshifts 24±5 km s−1 redward of the [OIII] derived redshifts. Thus, relative to the systemic reference defined by the CaII K absorption, the offsets are 21±5 km s−1 blueward for [OIII] and 45±5 km s−1 blueward for [OIII], in excellent agreement with previous work ([Boroson 2003] e.g.).

Comparison of 1378 (1103) spectrum pairs results in median errors of 5×10−5 and 1.4×10−4 in the reproducibility of Δz/(1+z) for [OIII] and [OII] respectively. The smaller error for [OII] results from the typically higher SNR of the emission compared to the [OIII] line.

Systematic trends, derived from linear fits to the redshift differences between [OIII] and [OII] redshifts, are Δz/(1+z)=1.1×10−5 per magnitude and Δz/(1+z)=5.9×10−5 per unit redshift. The sense is that redshifts derived from the [OII] emission lines become systematically smaller for objects with higher luminosities(redshifts). The luminosity-dependent systematic is stronger than for redshift given the dynamic ranges of the variables present in the sample. The trend is consistent with an increasing degree of blue-asymmetry present in the [OIII] emission lines at increasing quasar luminosity. The effect is, however, small, amounting to a maximum of ±4.5×10−5, or, ±14 km s−1, about the mean relation for the sample.

The empirically determined quasar-to-quasar rms-scatter of the individual [OIII] redshifts about the [OIII] redshifts is σintrin=1.0×10−4 (30 km s−1).

### 6.3 [OIII] and MgII\_cc redshifts

[OIII] emission line redshifts compared to MgII\_cc redshifts, calculated excluding the [OIII] emission lines from the quasar template, for ≃12,000 quasars with [OIII] emission line redshifts shows an undetectable offset, median Δz/(1+z)<10−5. Systematic trends, from linear fits to the redshift differences, in sense [OIII] - MgII\_cc redshifts, are Δz/(1+z)=1.1×10−5 per magnitude and Δz/(1+z)=−1.1×10−4 per unit redshift. Both luminosity and redshift parameterisations lead to systematics of at most ±3×10−5 (10 km s−1), for the dynamic range present in the sample, more than an order of magnitude below the uncertainties in the individual [OIII] redshifts (Section 6.1). The sense and amplitude of the small systematic is consistent with the results from the [OIII] to [OIII] emission line comparison (Section 6.2) and is again almost certainly due to the increasing degree of blue-asymmetry present in the [OIII] emission lines at increasing quasar luminosity. The comparison shows that the MgII\_cc redshifts are tied to the reference [OIII] emission line redshifts to very high accuracy and that any systematics present are at most 10−4 in Δz/(1+z), or 30 km s−1 in velocity.

The empirically determined quasar-to-quasar scatter of the individual MgII\_cc redshifts about the [OIII] redshifts is σintrin=2.5×10−4 or 75 km s−1, which represents an improvement of a factor of ~3 compared to careful determinations of

---

**Table 2. Systematic redshift corrections**

| Redshift Method | Number | Redshift Interval | Redshift Correction (|Δz/(1+z)| per unit z) | M_90 Correction (|Δz/(1+z)| per unit M_90) |
|-----------------|--------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| [OIII]          | 13291  | <0.84            | –                      | –                      |
| [OII]           | 3844   | <1.35            | –                      | –                      |
| MgII\_cc        | 12289  | <1.1             | –                      | –                      |
| MgII\_cc        | 43728  | 1.1–2.1          | 1.61×10^{-4}           | 7.2×10^{-5}            |
| CIV\_cc         | 13859  | 1.1–4.1          | –                      | 1.67×10^{-4}           |
| CIV\_cc         | 3274   | 1.5–5.5          | –                      | 6.67×10^{-4} <−27.0    |
| CIV\_cc         | –      | –                | 3.90×10^{-4} >−27.0    |

13 All root-mean-square (rms), or σ, values are calculated using absolute differences, <|x−median(x)|>, with an iterative rejection scheme that removes values >4σ, up to a maximum of three iterations. In fact, the parameter distributions are not in general significantly non-Gaussian, with a maximum of ±5 per cent of values excluded from the final rms-estimates.
the Mg II emission line location (e.g. Nestor, Hamann, & Hidalgo 2008).

6.4 Mg II_{cc} and C III_{cc} redshifts

The luminosity-dependent correction to bring the Mg II_{cc} and C III_{cc} redshifts into coincidence is highly successful, as evidenced by Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

After allowing for the uncertainty in the determination of the Mg II_{cc} and C III_{cc} redshifts due to the limited SNR of the SDSS spectra there is no evidence for an additional quasar-to-quasar redshift scatter associated with the use of the C III_{cc} emission line region alone.

6.5 C III_{cc} and C IV_{cc} redshifts

The diversity of the form of the C IV emission line has been known through many studies going back decades. The removal of the systematic quasar luminosity-dependent behaviour, amounting to \( \pm 650\, \text{km s}^{-1} \) (over four magnitudes in quasar absolute magnitude), improves C IV_{cc} redshifts considerably. However, even for quasars with small AEW values the empirically determined quasar-to-quasar scatter of the individual C IV_{cc} redshifts about the C III_{cc} redshifts is \( \sigma_{\text{intrinsic}}=8.0\times10^{-4} \). The situation is much worse for quasars with significant absorption blueward of the C IV emission line centroid. The systematic correction applied reaches a full \( 5\times10^{-3} \) for the most affected quasars and the dispersion at fixed AEW value adds an additional rms-scatter of \( \sigma_{\text{intrinsic}}=1\times10^{-3} \). The number of quasars with large AEW values where only C IV_{cc} redshifts are available is small, just 574 objects, but the associated redshift uncertainty is accordingly large.

Fig. 10 shows the redshift differences between the corrected C III_{cc} and corrected C IV_{cc} redshifts for 25008 quasars with only modest absorption (AEW \( \leq 20 \)) blueward of the C IV emission line. The small amplitude of the systematic differences illustrates the success of the statistical correction to the initial C IV_{cc} redshifts. However, the systematic negative trend centred on \( z \approx 2.8 \), which reaches \( \approx 1.8\times10^{-4} \) (55 km s\(^{-1} \)), illustrates the limitations of the correction. The SDSS quasar selection experiences a dramatic drop in efficiency over the interval \( z \approx 2.7–2.9 \) that is much greater for non-BAL quasars than for BAL quasars. Thus, the overall number of quasars drops significantly, while the BAL-fraction increases significantly. There are only 13 quasars with \( 2.7 \leq z \leq 2.9 \) and with AEW \( \leq 20 \), in the final catalogue but a systematic of at least \( -2\times10^{-4} \) may well also be present among the 574 quasars with C IV_{cc} redshifts and AEW \( >20 \).

### Table 3. Redshift uncertainties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redshift Method</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Redshift Interval</th>
<th>Reproducibility ( (\Delta z/(1+z)) )</th>
<th>Population rms ( (\Delta z/(1+z)) )</th>
<th>Cumulative Population rms ( (\Delta z/(1+z)) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca II K</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2–0.4</td>
<td>( 2.1\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O I]</td>
<td>13291</td>
<td>( &lt;0.84 )</td>
<td>( 5\times10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 3.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 3.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O II]</td>
<td>3844</td>
<td>( &lt;1.35 )</td>
<td>( 1.4\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 1.0\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 3.65\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg II_{cc}</td>
<td>12289</td>
<td>( &lt;1.1 )</td>
<td>( 1.4\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 2.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 4.3\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg III_{cc}</td>
<td>43728</td>
<td>1.1–2.1</td>
<td>( 3.6\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 2.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 4.3\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C IV_{cc}</td>
<td>13859</td>
<td>1.1–4.1</td>
<td>( 6.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 2.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 4.3\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C IV_{cc} + AEW</td>
<td>574</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 5.1\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 8.0\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 9.1\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra_{cc}</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.5–4.5</td>
<td>( 6.0\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 3.0\times10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 3.5\times10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSS_{cc}</td>
<td>1256</td>
<td>0.3–5.5</td>
<td>as per SDSS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.6 Summary

All redshift estimates, except those taken direct from the SDSS, have been increased by 45 km s\(^{-1}\) (Section 6.4) to bring the [O III] emission line based estimates onto the systemic system defined by the Ca II K absorption.

Based on the large sample of repeat spectra, the internal reproducibility of the new cross-correlation redshifts represent an improvement of more than a factor 2 over the SDSS redshift values. The reproducibility of the new redshifts is indistinguishable from that for the Princeton redshift values up to redshift \(z\simeq 1.6\). At higher redshifts the Princeton algorithm employs more information, via inclusion of the CIV emission line region at \(\lambda<1675\ \text{Å}\), and results in significantly better reproducibility for redshifts \(z>2.0\) than in the scheme presented here. However, the large quasar-to-quasar variation contributing to the redshift uncertainty (Table 3) means that differences in the internal reproducibility are not a critical factor for the redshifts in the final catalogue.

Table 3 summarises the uncertainties for the different redshift estimates. Accurate redshift reproducibility estimates are available for all quasars, based on emission line SNR or \(c_{\text{cc}}\) value, and are incorporated in the redshift errors included in Table 4. To provide an indication of the relative contributions of the internal and quasar-to-quasar uncertainties, Col. 4 of Table 3 lists the median internal error for each redshift estimate. Col. 5 gives the quasar-to-quasar error. The quasar-to-quasar errors, working from the chosen Ca II absorption reference, have been added in quadrature to produce the cumulative quasar-to-quasar uncertainties in Col. 7.

7 QUASARS WITH DETECTIONS IN FIRST

The procedures described in Sections 5 and 6 reduce systematic redshift errors as a function of redshift and absolute magnitude by more than order of magnitude compared to the publicly available SDSS redshifts. However, a further significant reduction in the remaining relatively large quasar-to-quasar uncertainties will require a detailed investigation of the spectral energy distribution (SED) dependent changes in the properties of the most prominent emission lines (which dominate the redshift determinations).

Such an investigation is beyond the scope of this paper but it is relatively straightforward to consider systematic redshift differences that correlate with the detection of SDSS quasars in the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty centimetres (FIRST, Becker, White, & Helfand 1995).

For redshifts \(z<1.1\), the systematic differences between the populations of FIRST-detected (FD) and not-FIRST-detected (nFD) quasars with Mg II cross-correlation redshifts are at the \(\Delta z/(1+z)\simeq 10^{-4}\) level. However, for redshifts \(z>1.1\), once the CIII +Si III +Al III emission line complex contributes to the redshift determination, systematic differences become increasingly evident, reaching an amplitude of nearly \(\Delta z/(1+z)=2\times 10^{-3}\) (600 km s\(^{-1}\)) at redshifts \(z\geq 2.4\).

The origin of the redshift differences is primarily a systematic change in the ratio of the C III and Si III emission lines in the FD- and nFD-populations. The line ratio change results in a shift in the centroid of the blended line; Si III is weaker in the FD-detected quasars and the blended line centroid moves redward. The Mg II cross-redshifts for the FD quasars are thus too large.

Based on the prescription of Schneider et al. (2007) for matching SDSS quasars to the FIRST survey there are 4326 FD-quasars with \(z>1.1\) in the DR6 quasar catalogue. The small fraction (7 per cent) of FD-quasars, combined with the low amplitude of the systematic redshift differences, means that the inclusion of FD-detected quasars in construction of the master template results in changes to cross-correlation redshifts of \(\Delta z/(1+z)<10^{-4}\). However, generation of an individual template for the FD-quasars results in a quasar template with significant differences in the form of the C III +Si III +Al III emission line complex (Fig. 12).

Construction of the new FD-quasar template proceeds in an identical fashion to that described in Section 4 but the individual quasars used differ. For redshifts \(z<1.0\) the same quasars used to generate the master quasar template are employed, whereas, at redshifts \(z>1.0\), only FD-quasars are used, with the minimum number of spectra required to generate a composite in a redshift slice reduced from 100 to 50 (Section 4). The number of quasars contributing at each redshift interval is given in Col. 4 of Table 1. As evident from Fig. 12 the form of the C III +Si III +Al III emission line complex differs between the master- and FD-quasar-templates. The size of the empirical transformations necessary to bring the FD-quasar redshift estimates onto the reference system, in which the Mg II emission line centroid does not vary, with either redshift or absolute magnitude, are significantly reduced compared to the quasar population as a whole.

For redshifts \(1.1 \lesssim z < 2.1\) a reduction of \(\Delta z/(1 + z)=1.42 \times 10^{-4}\) in the Mg II redshifts, independent of redshift and absolute magnitude, is necessary. For C IV cross-redshifts an absolute magnitude dependent correction of \(4.19 \times 10^{-3}\), in the opposite sense to that for the master template, is required, i.e. for bright quasars the C IV cross-redshifts are reduced. However, given the \(\simeq 4\) mag dynamic range of the quasar sample, the maximum correction for any quasar is \(\Delta z/(1 + z)\lesssim 10^{-4}\). For the small number of quasars where it is necessary to employ the C IV emission line, the C IV cross-redshifts require a correction with slope \(3.44 \times 10^{-4}\), in the same sense as the larger correction necessary for the master template, i.e. for bright quasars the C IV cross-redshifts are increased (Fig. 12).
8 THE REDSHIFT CATALOGUE

Table 4 includes redshifts and error estimates for 91 665 quasars. Col. 1: is the SDSS coordinate object name, taken from the SDSS DR7 Legacy Release whenever available. Cols. 2 and 3 give the object J2000 right ascension and declination in decimal degrees. The redshift and redshift error are given in Cols. 4 and 5 respectively. Col. 6 provides a code specifying the FIRST-detection (FD) status of the quasar (–1: not detected, 0: outside FIRST footprint, 1: detected). Col. 7, alternate redshift for quasars with Col. 6 detection code =0 (derived using the FD-quasar template) and =1 (derived using the master quasar template). The alternate redshift is assigned a value of ‘–999.0’ for quasars with Col. 6 detection code =–1. Col. 8 specifies the origin of the redshift estimate via a numerical code (1: [O\textsc{iii}], 2: [O\textsc{ii}], 3: Mg\textsc{ii}\_cc, 4: C\textsc{iii}\_cc, 5: C\textsc{iv}\_cc, 6: extra\_cc, 7: SDSS). The SDSS spectrum from which the redshift estimate is derived is specified via the spectroscopic plate number, modified Julian date of observation and fibre number in Cols. 9, 10 and 11 respectively. The redshifts are given to six decimal places but, as evident from the size of the associated errors, the accuracy for individual objects is two orders of magnitude larger. The high level of precision is retained to avoid quantisation when comparing different redshift estimates specified to only four decimal places. The provision of alternate redshifts for FD-quasars and quasars whose FIRST detection status is unclear, allows the use of an appropriate redshift by researchers with particular definitions of ‘radio’-quasar subsamples and/or additional radio-observations for quasars outside the current FIRST footprint. The primary (Col. 4) and alternate (Col. 7) redshifts differ only when the primary redshift is derived from cross-correlation (with one of the two quasar templates) and has a value $z > 1.1$.

Two quasars, SDSS J134415.75+331719.1 and SDSS J142507.32+323137.4, exhibit distinctive double-peaked narrow emission. In both cases, the redshift corresponding to the higher velocity system is included in the table.

The majority of researchers will be interested in the combined redshift error (Col. 5) arising from the limited SNR of the SDSS spectra and intrinsic variation from quasar-to-quasar. However, the internal contribution can be recovered straightforwardly via use of the amplitude of the quasar-to-quasar errors listed in Table 3.

Table 5 presents the master quasar templates used to estimate the cross-correlation redshifts. Col. 1 lists the rest-frame wavelength (Å). Cols. 2, and 3 include the relative flux (per unit wavelength) and the number of spectra contributing for the master template, while Cols. 4 and 5 provide the same information for the FD-quasar template. The FD-quasar template does not extend quite as far to the blue and the flux column contains entries of ‘–999.0’ for wavelengths $\lambda < 1296.2$ Å.

While the spectra should prove of use in the context of redshift estimation, the templates are not suitable for studies of quasar spectral energy distributions, where care must be taken in defining the large-scale shape of such composite spectra.
DISCUSSION

The approach adopted in this paper to the question of deriving redshifts with a common zero-point over an extended dynamic range in redshift, and hence involving disjoint spectral wavelength coverage, differs from that normally employed. The majority of studies to date have focussed on the parameterisation of the rest-frame centroid differences between the strongest emission lines present in the quasar spectra (e.g. Appendix A of Shen et al. 2007, for a recent example). Use of the cross-correlation redshifts directly, bypasses many of the difficulties associated in providing reliable, reproducible, parameterisations of low SNR, asymmetric, often blended, emission lines present on ‘continua’ that also show significant variation from quasar to quasar. The resultant quasar-to-quasar dispersion and the internal reproducibility of the new HW-redshifts represent significant improvements over even the most careful studies utilising individual emission features.

Systematic, luminosity-dependent relative emission line shifts have not featured in many previous studies of the quasar population. In part, the lack of such work may reflect the difficulty of performing such studies prior to the availability of the more recent SDSS Data Releases. An exception is the work of Richards et al. (2002) who find a clear relationship between emission line centroid shifts, of exactly the type discussed here, and emission line equivalent width. Richards et al. (2002) note that the line equivalent width is directly related to quasar absolute magnitude via the Baldwin Effect (Baldwin 1977).

9.1 Comparison with Princeton redshifts and the Vanden Berk et al. (2001) quasar template

Operationally, it is found that straightforward systematic corrections to quasar redshift estimates, as a function of quasar absolute magnitude, reduce the systematic trends as a function of redshift (absolute magnitude) to <30 km s$^{-1}$ per unit redshift (<10 km s$^{-1}$ per magnitude). Internal reproducibility represents a factor >2 improvement over the SDSS redshift determinations. The results presented above, combined with the form of the differences between the Princeton and SDSS redshifts (Section 3), show that the origin of a significant proportion of the improvements achieved are due to differences in the cross-correlation procedure/algorithm employed. However, comparison of the new HW-redshifts with the Princeton determinations (Fig. 13) still shows large (∼600 km s$^{-1}$ at z<2.2) systematic differences.

The two evident ‘jumps’ in the relationship between the HW- and SDSS- estimates occur as cross-correlation redshifts including the Mg II λ2799 emission line become important (at z>0.8) and where Mg II moves beyond the red limit of the SDSS spectra (at z<2.1). The behaviour can be traced directly to differences in the new quasar template and that of Vanden Berk et al. (2001). Fig. 14 shows the excellent agreement between the composites at optical wavelengths where emission lines, including Hβ and [O III] λλ4960,5008, dominate the redshift determinations (either directly, via emission line locations, or, through the contribution of emission lines to the cross-correlation signal). In contrast, Fig. 14, illustrates the significant difference in the location of the Mg II λ2799 emission line in the two composites. At the accuracy levels of interest, absolute wavelength ‘centroids’ of broad emission lines in quasar spectra are dominated by the particular scheme used to define the associated ‘continuum’ and the height above the continuum used to define the ‘line’. However, the centroid of the portion of the Mg II emission line above half the peak height is 1.2±0.1 Å bluer in the HW-template compared to the Vanden Berk et al. (2001) template. The line centroid moves redward as increasingly large fractions of the line wings are included but at the half peak height level the HW-template line centroid is only 0.4±0.1 Å (∼45 km s$^{-1}$) redward of the rest-frame reference value of 2798.75 Å, derived from the Mg II components in the ratio 2:1.

The strongest ‘jump’ in the relation between the HW- and Princeton-redshifts in Fig. 13 at z<2.2, derives fundamentally from the large systematic trends in the ratio of Mg II to ‘C IV’ emission line locations as a function of quasar absolute magnitude (Fig. 5). The origin of the effect is primarily the change in the ratio of C IV λ1908 to Si III λ1892 (see Fig. 12 for illustration in the context of FIRST-detected quasars). A direct comparison of the HW-template and Vanden Berk et al. (2001) template is somewhat misleading because the HW-redshifts are derived only following the significant absolute magnitude dependent corrections. However, using any sensible definition of the emission line, the C IV + Si III blend is significantly bluer in the HW-template than in the Vanden Berk et al. (2001) composite, producing the increase in the HW-redshifts at z<2.2. The Princeton redshifts then become progressively closer to the HW-redshifts as the C IV emission line (with its well-established increasing blue asymmetry at increasing quasar luminosity) dominates the Princeton determinations at higher redshifts. Recall though, that the C IV emission region does not contribute to the HW-redshifts, except in a very small percentage of quasars.

9.2 Associated C IV and Mg II absorbers as quasar redshift diagnostics

The availability of the large SDSS quasar catalogues have stimulated new investigations into the physical origin of associated absorbers, particularly those evident through the presence of C IV and Mg II absorption (e.g. Nestor, Hamann, & Hidalgo 2008; Wild et al. 2008; Vanden Berk et al. 2008). A pre-requisite for such investigations is an estimate of the systemic quasar redshifts. Given the large intrinsic variation in the properties of the C IV emission line and the relative invariance of the Mg II emission line centroid, redshifts based on the location of the Mg II emission line are often

![Figure 13. Redshift differences, $\Delta z/(1 + z)$, between the final HW-redshifts and Princeton redshifts, as a function of redshift. Note the large range on the y-axis. Data for 90 979 quasars are included (1306 lie outside the y-axis range plotted).](image-url)
employed in studies of both associated C IV and Mg II absorbers in quasars with redshifts $z \leq 2.1$.

Both Mg II and C IV absorber catalogues are available from our investigation of absorber populations in SDSS quasars (e.g. Wild, Hewett, & Pettini 2006). Strong narrow absorbers are flagged and ‘removed’ from the quasar spectra prior to the calculation of the cross-correlation redshift determinations (Section 4.2). The new HW-quasar redshifts are thus essentially independent of the presence of individual absorbers and a comparison of associated absorbers velocity distributions, using both SDSS- and HW-redshifts, provides a powerful test of the redshift accuracy in an astrophysical context of considerable current interest. Fig. 15 shows the observed distribution of redshift differences $\beta = \Delta v/c$, for $\approx 23 800$ C IV absorbers using both SDSS- and HW-redshifts for quasars with redshifts $1.55 < z < 3.5$. The differences in the distributions are striking, with the HW-redshift-based histogram showing a much higher peak at $\beta \approx 0$ and a greatly reduced population of positive $\beta$ values (i.e. $z_{\text{abs}} > z_{\text{qso}}$). The centroid of the $\beta \approx 0$ component shows no detectable shift over the entire redshift range of the quasars, $1.55 < z < 3.5$.

The observed distributions are shown. No attempt has been made to calculate an absorber density by incorporating the redshift-path accessible as a function of $\beta$. 

## Figure 14

Rest-frame spectra of the new quasar composite compared to that of Vanden Berk et al. (2001). Panel (a) shows the prominent emission line of Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2796.35, 2803.53$, with the new quasar composite (solid line) and the Vanden Berk et al. (2001) composite (dashed line). The lower plot shows the ratio Vanden Berk et al. (2001)/new. The vertical dotted line indicates the wavelength 2798.75 Å, derived from the Mg II components in the ratio 2:1. The significantly bluer location of Mg II emission in the new composite is evident. Panel (b) shows the same information for the rest-frame wavelength region including H$\beta$ and [O III] $\lambda\lambda 4960, 5008$. The vertical dotted lines indicate the rest-frame wavelengths of 4862.68 4960.30 and 5008.24 Å for H$\beta$ and [O III]. While the new composite possesses slightly stronger [O III] emission there is no evidence for any detectable offset in the emission line locations of H$\beta$ or [O III].
Fig. 16 shows the equivalent distribution of redshift differences, for \( z \gtrsim 8750 \text{ Mg} \text{II} \) absorbers using both SDSS- and HW-redshifts with redshifts \( 0.45 < z < 2.1 \). The differences between the SDSS- and HW-redshifts at \( z < 2.1 \) are significantly smaller than for the quasars included in the C IV absorber sample. However, similar behaviour is evident to that seen in the C IV absorbers, the \( \beta \approx 0 \) peak is significantly better defined using the HW-redshifts and the population of redshifted absorbers with positive \( \beta \) greatly reduced. A more detailed consideration of the distribution of the Mg II absorber redshifts leads to an improved parameterisation of the various constituent absorber populations (Wild 2009).

To summarise, the distributions of the C IV and Mg II associated absorber populations provide independent confirmation of the veracity of the new HW-redshifts. While beyond the scope of the present paper, investigations of associated absorber populations are in hand using the full SDSS DR7 Legacy Release spectroscopic database.

10 CONCLUSIONS

A systematic investigation of the relationship between different redshift estimation schemes for more than 91 000 quasars in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 6 (DR6) is presented. Empirical relationships between redshifts based on i) Ca II H & K host galaxy absorption, ii) quasar [O III] \( \lambda 3728 \), iii) [O III] \( \lambda 4960,5008 \) emission, and iv) cross-correlation (with a master quasar template) that includes, at increasing quasar redshift, the prominent Mg II \( \lambda 2799 \), C II \( \lambda 1908 \) and C IV \( \lambda 1549 \) emission lines, are established as a function of quasar redshift and luminosity. New redshifts in the resulting catalogue possess systematic biases of \( \approx 20 \) lower compared to the SDSS redshift values; systematic effects are reduced to the level of \( \Delta z/(1 + z) \approx 10^{-4} \) \( (30 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \) per unit redshift, or \( \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \) per unit absolute magnitude.

It is important to realise that there will be systematic redshift trends present as a function of the quasar SEDs and the specific example of FIRST-detected quasars (Section 4) provides an example, related to the radio-properties of the quasar SEDs. One of the primary motivations of this work is to facilitate further studies of SED-dependent systematic emission line properties, working from redshift estimates whose properties as a function of redshift and absolute magnitude are well understood.

Equally important as the new redshift determinations, well-determined empirical estimates of the quasar-to-quasar dispersion in redshifts are available for each method of redshift estimation and a combined internal+population uncertainty is provided for every quasar in the catalogue.

The improved redshifts and their associated errors have wide applicability in areas such as quasar absorption outflows, quasar clustering, quasar-galaxy clustering and proximity-effect determinations.
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