We report results from a deep high-frequency search for pulsars within the central parsec of Sgr A* using the Green Bank Telescope. The observing frequency of 15 GHz was chosen to maximize the likelihood of detecting normal pulsars (i.e., with periods of $\sim 500$ ms and spectral indices of $\sim -1.7$) close to Sgr A*, that might be used as probes of gravity in the strong-field regime; this is the highest frequency used for such pulsar searches of the Galactic Center to date. No convincing candidate was detected in the survey, with a 10σ detection threshold of $\sim 10\mu$Jy achieved in two separate observing sessions. This survey represents a significant improvement over previous searches for pulsars at the Galactic Center and would have detected a significant fraction ($\gtrsim 5\%$) of the pulsars around Sgr A*, if they had properties similar to those of the known population. Using our best current knowledge of the properties of the Galactic pulsar population and the scattering material toward Sgr A*, we estimate an upper limit of 90 normal pulsars in orbit within the central parsec of Sgr A*.

Subject headings: Galaxy: center – pulsars: general

1. INTRODUCTION

The detection of radio-emitting neutron stars within the central parsec of our Galaxy would provide us with an unprecedented opportunity to study the super-massive black hole Sgr A* and its environs. For example, a single orbiting pulsar would yield a direct probe of the magneto-ionized accretion environment around a black hole, through measurements of temporal changes in the dispersion and rotation measures (Cordes & Lazio 1997). Pulsars orbiting within the curved space-time around Sgr A* (with orbital periods of $\lesssim 100$ years) could serve as probes of gravity in the strong-field regime, at field strengths far larger than those accessible with neutron star binaries. The long-term timing of such pulsars, supplemented by accurate astrometry, would allow precise determination of their three-dimensional orbital motion around Sgr A*. Depending on the properties of the pulsars and their orbits, it should be possible to measure subtle general relativistic deviations from Keplerian orbits (e.g., time dilation, gravitational redshifts, frame dragging, Shapiro delays, etc.; e.g. Cordes et al. 2004; Pfahl & Loeb 2004), and it may even be possible to determine the spin of the black hole (e.g., Wex & Kopeikin 1999; Kramer et al. 2004).

While theoretical estimates indicate that $100 - 1000$ radio pulsars with periods $\lesssim 100$ years should be orbiting Sgr A* (Pfahl & Loeb 2004), the observational evidence for neutron stars at the Galactic Center (GC) is mostly indirect. For example, recent studies have found a number of dense clusters of young, massive stars within 1 pc of Sgr A* (Schödel et al. 2002; Ghez et al. 2005; Paumard et al. 2006), while Wang et al. (2006) report X-ray observations of a pulsar wind nebula near the massive stellar complex IRS 13, with properties consistent with it being powered by a young neutron star. Long term monitoring by Chandra has revealed an excess of transient sources within a parsec of Sgr A*, interpreted by Muno et al. (2005) as a population of X-ray binaries. The flaring radio and X-ray source detected by Bower et al. (2005), $\sim 0.1$ pc from Sgr A*, is also likely to be an X-ray binary.

Despite the above evidence for massive stars around Sgr A*, there is a remarkable dearth of radio pulsar detections there, despite several deep searches (e.g. Johnston et al. 1995; Johnston et al. 2006; Deneva et al. 2009). The closest known radio pulsars are 11′ from Sgr A*, and less than one percent of the known pulsar population lies within a degree of the Galactic Center, despite indications of a large population in its environs (Deneva et al. 2009). The reason for this deficit is well understood: hyper-strong scattering of radio waves by the turbulent, ionized gas within the central 100 pc of Sgr A*, which results in temporal smearing of pulsar signals. This pulse broadening has a strong frequency dependence, $\propto \nu^{-4}$, making it near-impossible to detect pulsars at the typical observing frequencies of $\lesssim 1.4$ GHz (e.g. Lazio & Cordes 1998).

To overcome the effects of temporal smearing, searches for pulsars at the GC have been carried out at progressively higher observing frequencies over the last few years (e.g. Johnston et al. 2006; Deneva et al. 2009), albeit as yet without a detection in the central 25 pc. In this work, we report results from a deep Green Bank Telescope (GBT) search for pulsars toward Sgr A* at $\sim 15$ GHz, the highest observing frequency used till date. The choice of this frequency is motivated in Section 4 and the observations and results described in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 discusses the constraints placed by our observations on the GC pulsar population, and the prospects for pulsar detections in future surveys.

2. A PULSAR SEARCH STRATEGY FOR THE GALACTIC CENTER

Previous surveys of the GC pulsar population have concentrated on maximizing the likelihood of detection within a few degrees of Sgr A* (e.g. Johnston et al. 2006; Deneva et al. 2009). This has the effect of lowering the optimal observing frequency, because a larger volume can be searched in a given amount of integration time at lower frequencies, due to the...
larger telescope beam. However, the region containing pulsars capable of probing gravitational effects in the strong-field regime is quite small, $\lesssim 0.4^\circ$, much smaller than the GBT beam at even frequencies $\gtrsim 10$ GHz. Since our long-term goal is to use pulsars as probes of strong-field gravity around Sgr A* itself, we optimized our search strategy to detect pulsars in the immediate vicinity of (i.e. in orbit around) Sgr A* itself. 

Cordes & Lazio (1997) have previously described the observational challenges in detecting periodic emission at the GC; their main arguments are summarized below.

The optimal observing frequency depends on three competing effects, the temporal scattering, the pulsar emission spectrum, and the telescope sensitivity, all with very different frequency dependencies. For example, the generally steep spectral energy dependence of the pulsar emission ($S_\nu \propto \nu^{\alpha}$, with the distribution centered on $\alpha \sim -1.7$) drives searches to low frequencies, but competes against the strong $\nu^{-4}$ spectral dependence of temporal smearing, due to which pulsars are severely attenuated at frequencies below which the scattering time exceeds the pulse period. We note, in passing, that the intervening ionized plasma also introduces a dispersive delay in the pulse arrival times which can lead to a smearing of the pulse in individual filterbank frequency channels used to detect the signal, thus degrading pulse detectability. While this effect has been formally included in the present analysis, it is insignificant for the fine spectral resolution offered by modern filterbanks, for the estimated dispersion measure of $\sim 1500$–3000 pc cm$^{-3}$ for the GC region (Lazio & Cordes 1998).

The primary uncertainty in the temporal smearing time lies in the distance of the scattering screen from the Galactic Center. The best estimate of this distance is from Lazio & Cordes (1998), who combined all known tracers of ionized gas (the scattering diameters of masers and OH/IR stars, free-free emission and absorption, etc.) in a maximum likelihood analysis to obtain $D_{\text{scat}} = 133^{+200}_{-80}$ pc. Making the usual simplifying assumption that that the scattering material is confined to a thin screen results in a temporal smearing timescale for pulsars near Sgr A* of

$$\tau_{\text{ISM}} = 0.116 \left( \frac{D_{\text{scat}}}{100 \text{pc}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\nu}{10 \text{GHz}} \right)^{-4} \text{sec.} \tag{1}$$

At a given frequency, a pulsar with period smaller than $\sim \tau_{\text{scat}}$ would effectively have its pulses smeared into one another. In other words, for a given observing frequency, it is not possible to detect a pulsar whose period is much shorter than the temporal broadening time at that frequency (see Fig. 1). For instance, a pulsar of period 50 ms is dominated by temporal smearing at frequencies $\lesssim 11$ GHz, while the detection of a pulsar of period 5 ms is strongly hampered at frequencies $\lesssim 26$ GHz. Combining these effects, we estimate the temporal smearing time for a pulse of intrinsic width $\tau_{\text{intrinsic}}$, and with contributions from scattering ($\tau_{\text{ISM}}$), interstellar dispersion ($\tau_{\text{DM}}$) and temporal binning ($\tau_{\text{res}}$) to the overall observed pulse width to be

$$\tau_{\text{obs}} = \left[ \tau_{\text{intrinsic}}^2 + \tau_{\text{ISM}}^2 + \tau_{\text{DM}}^2 + \tau_{\text{res}}^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{2}.$$ 

This equation affords a sufficiently good approximation to the effects of temporal broadening, shown in detail in Fig. 1 when neither the intrinsic pulse profile nor the temporal broadening kernel are known in detail.

Next, the steepness of the pulsar emission spectrum ad-
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**Fig. 1.** — The effect of temporal broadening on a pulse with an intrinsic pulse width of 5% of the spin period, $P_{\text{spin}}$, assuming a one-sided exponential filter for the temporal broadening. The blue line indicates the fraction of the total pulsed flux density from the pulsar that remains pulsed after the scattering. The red line depicts the “Fourier SNR” (i.e. the sum of average noise-level normalized and mean-subtracted Fourier amplitudes) of a 16-harmonic summation of the power in a standard Fourier search. The latter indicates the detectability of pulsars in a blind survey and implicitly incorporates the pulse profile width increases and pulse shape changes caused by scattering. Most sensitivity is lost beyond $\tau_{\text{scat}} \sim 0.2P_{\text{spin}}$.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{obs}}$ (GHz)</th>
<th>On-Src Time (hrs)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{sys}}$ (K)$^a$</th>
<th>Sensitivity (µJy)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Jun 2006</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jun 2006</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Jun 2006</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Aug 2006</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Aug 2006</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Aug 2008</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Aug 2008</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The quoted $T_{\text{sys}}$ values are the averages for each run, after correcting for the different elevations of the GC and the calibrators.

**3.1. The observations**

The search for pulsars at the Galactic Center was carried out with the Ku-band receiver of the GBT in the summers of 2006 and 2008, with the GBT Pulsar Spigot (Kaplan et al. 2005) used as the backend. The observations were typically restricted to GC elevations higher than 10 degrees, to reduce atmospheric contributions to the system temperature ($T_{\text{sys}}$). The GC is only visible with the GBT for ~ 6 hours above this elevation limit per day, and the observations were therefore broken up into multiple short sessions. While attempts were made to group these sessions as close together as possible (so as to combine multiple sessions in a search), this was often not possible due to poor high-frequency observing weather.

The 2006 observing runs were on June 22, 28 and 29, July 10, and August 10 and 11. The July 10 data were affected by strong broad-band radio frequency interference (RFI) due to an overloaded network switch, and will not be discussed further; data from the other runs were not affected by this issue. The 2008 observations were on August 30 and 31, with each run preceded by a 5-hour observation of a blank field (J2000 co-ordinates: Right Ascension=11h46m08.1s, Declination=−27d47'32.9"), with the same observing parameters as for the GC; the latter served to test for systematic effects in the data. The on-source times for the GC are listed in column (3) of Table[1].

All observing runs included short observations of strong known pulsars (B1800–21 in most of the 2006 runs and the magnetar, XTE J1810–197, in August 2006 and 2008), to test that the system was working properly; these were clearly detected in every run.

All observing sessions used the maximum allowed Spigot
Fig. 2.— The frequency dependence of the detectability of pulsars at the Galactic Center for three representative periods, $P=5$ ms (green), 50 ms (red) and 500 ms (blue) for a range of power-law spectral indices $\alpha=-1$ (thin line), $-1.7$ (solid line), $-2.5$ (dashed line). The vertical axis gives the expected signal-to-noise ratio for a 10 hour integration with the GBT at the Galactic Center, normalized to a pulsar of period 1 s, flux density $S_\nu=1$ mJy at a frequency of 1 GHz, and whose spectrum has the frequency dependence $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$. The frequency range for the current suite of GBT receivers is shown at the top of the figure.

bandwidth of 800 MHz, sub-divided into 1024 channels, with two circular polarizations, 3-level sampling, and a dump rate of 81.92 $\mu$s. The 800 MHz band was centered at 14.8 GHz in the 2006 runs, and at 14.4 GHz in the 2008 runs; the shift in the central frequency was to exclude some RFI (at 14.88 GHz) from the observing band. In 2006, an automatic level controller was used to hold the power levels fixed on the GC. Unfortunately, this was found to result in intermittent power jumps, and we hence chose to not use this feature in 2008. In all runs, observations of strong nearby calibrators (or the GC itself) were used to correct the telescope pointing and surface, typically every 2−3 hours. System temperatures were measured on the calibrators by firing a noise diode, and ranged between 26 K and 40 K on most observing runs; however, the short session on August 11 had $T_{\text{sys}} \sim 50$ K on the calibrator, due to overcast conditions. After taking into account the slightly different elevations of the GC and the calibrators, the estimated average system temperatures towards the GC were $32−38$ K (again, except on 11 August, where $T_{\text{sys}} \sim 50$ K). The gain of the Ku-band receiver is 1.5 K Jy$^{-1}$. Finally, the size of the GBT beam at 14.8 GHz is $\sim 50''$, corresponding to a spatial radius of $\sim 1$ pc at the distance of the GC ($7.9^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ kpc; Reid et al. 2009).

Table II presents a summary of the observational details; the columns are (1) the observing date, (2) the central frequency, (3) the GC on-source time, (4) the typical system temperature on the GC during the run, and (5) the 10$\sigma$ detection threshold for pulsars with a duty cycle of 10% in each session (using equation 1 of Dewey et al. 1985). As discussed below, the final searches were carried out on the combined datasets from (1) June 28 and 29, 2006 ($\sim 10$ hours), (2) August 10 and 11, 2006 ($\sim 5.25$ hours), and (3) August 30 and 31, 2008.
Our original search in 2006 yielded candidate pulsed signals of high single-trial statistical significance (>8σ) in the 28-29 June and 10-11 August datasets, independently. The highest S/N was obtained at a trial dispersion measure of 3000 pc cm$^{-3}$, and a period of 607 ms in the dataset of 28-29 June 2006 (11σ significance); this pulse profile is shown in Fig. 3. Signals at the harmonic periods of 303 ms and 151 ms were also weakly detected in this dataset, typically at ∼4σ significance. A similar signal, with a period of ∼604 ms, was independently detected in the dataset of 10-11 August, with a significance of ∼8σ at trial dispersion measures of 3000–4000 pc cm$^{-3}$; this is shown in Fig. 4. Again, harmonics of this signal (at 302 ms and 151 ms) were detected at lower significance (∼3.5σ). The 607 ms signal was also seen on folding the data from 22 June 2006 data using the solutions determined from the dataset of 28-29 June; this yielded a detection significance of 18σ, and the pulse profile of Fig. 5 passed.

We also tested that these candidate pulsed signals do not arise from periodicities in the power jumps in the 2006 data. No evidence for a pulsed signal was found in the dataset of 2008 at these, or any other, periods. The 2008 data were also folded at the pulse periods of the candidates detected in 2006 (∼604–607 ms), without any detectable signal.

Finally, no other pulsar candidates (above 6σ significance) were found in any of the datasets. The noise levels achieved in each observation are listed in Table 1. The best sensitivity was achieved with the datasets of 28-29 June 2006, and 30-31 August 2008, which yielded a 10σ detection threshold of 10µJy, assuming a pulsar duty cycle of 10%.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1. The 607 ms candidate of 2006

The high statistical significance of the pulsed signal detected in the datasets of 2006 implies that it arises either from a genuine pulsar towards the GC or as an artifact of a terrestrial signal (e.g., RFI). While the non-detection in the 2008 dataset might indicate the latter possibility, it should be emphasized that the GC environment is very different from the environments of typical pulsars. Specifically, a pulsar on a short-period (<100 year) orbit around the GC could easily have its emission beam precess away from our line of sight over a time-scale of 2 years. This implies that caution must be used while dismissing possible pulsar candidates towards the GC, although retaining skepticism about their reality. We will hence summarize the characteristics of the pulsed signals seen in the 2006 datasets, and discuss the possibilities that they might arise from a real pulsar or RFI.

Figs. 3 and 4 show that the detection $\chi^2$ in the two long datasets of 2006 increases steadily over the course of each observing session, indicating that, if the signals are spurious or local RFI, they are at least persistent both over the course of each observation, and over multiple observing epochs. It is curious, however, that the period of the pulsed signal is different in the sessions on 28-29 June and 10-11 August; for RFI, this would require either that we have detected two distinct but alternately intermittent RFI signals, or that the period of the RFI itself is changing. Interpreted in terms of Doppler shifts, the period change corresponds to a velocity change of 1500 km/s, much larger than that associated with the Earth’s motion around the Sun or the motions of terrestrial objects, but not implausible for a pulsar orbiting around the GC.

Next, the S/N ratios of our candidates peak at dispersion measures of $\approx 3000–4000$ pc cm$^{-3}$, comparable to values ex-
expected for pulsars at the GC. Unfortunately, our small fractional bandwidth means that the dispersion in the signal across the band is very small ($\sim 3.6$ ms over the 800 MHz bandwidth). This means that, unlike the situation in low-frequency pulsar surveys, dispersion cannot be used to test whether the signal is of extra-terrestrial origin. Finally, the pulse profiles of the candidates are extremely broad, with a duty cycle of $\sim 50\%$, unlike the narrow profiles expected for high-frequency pulsar emission. However, the pulse properties too could be affected by the unique GC environment. For example, the thin-screen approximation might not be applicable for the scattering, or the screen could be much closer to the pulsar than typical estimates of $\sim 100$ pc; both of these would increase the scattering time and broaden the pulse profile, even at such a high frequency. Specifically, the scattering timescale at a frequency of 14.6 GHz for an object at the Galactic Center is $2.5/D_{\text{scat}}$ seconds, where $D_{\text{scat}}$ is the distance, in pc, of the scattering medium from Sgr A*. While the best estimate of $D_{\text{scat}}$ is $\sim 100$ pc from angular broadening measurements of Sgr A* and nearby masers (Cordes & Lazio 1997), the effect of scattering material close to Sgr A* is much stronger on temporal smearing than on the angular broadening of background sources. As such, the angular broadening estimates of $D_{\text{scat}}$ do not rule out a substantial contribution to the pulse broadening from material closer to Sgr A*. One may hence have a sizeable contribution to the pulse broadening from material at $D_{\text{scat}} \lesssim 10$ pc (e.g., Macquart & Bower 2006). The expected temporal smearing timescale would then be $\gtrsim 250$ ms, comparable to that needed to explain the pulse shape of the 607 ms candidate. The large observed duty cycle of the candidate thus does not rule out the possibility that the signal arises from a genuine pulsar.

It thus appears very difficult to rule out the reality of the candidate on the basis of the 2006 data alone, and, as noted
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The total number of pulsars detectable at the GC depends on the fraction of pulsars that would be detectable given our survey sensitivity, and the S/N considerations of Section 4. The detectable fraction depends particularly on the number of pulsars with flat spectral indices, since these objects influence the pulsar luminosity function most strongly at frequencies > 10 GHz where they are most easily detectable towards Sgr A*.

A simple estimate of the number of detectable pulsars can be obtained by positing that the Sgr A* pulsar population has similar properties to those of the known population of pulsars and to estimate the fraction of the known population that would be detectable at the GC with our survey. This is done in Fig. 6, where we have plotted pulsars with measured 1.4 GHz luminosities [from the Manchester et al. (2005) catalog] on a period-luminosity diagram. The solid red line shows the pulsar sensitivity curve of our 14.6 GHz survey, obtained using equations (1-3) with a 10σ detection threshold of 10 µJy, and assuming a 10% pulsar duty cycle, a scattering screen distance $D_{\text{scat}} = 133$ pc (§2) and a GC dispersion measure of 1700 pc cm$^{-3}$. The sensitivity curve has been scaled to 1.4 GHz using a mean pulsar spectral index of $-1.7$. The cutoff in period where most of the sensitivity is lost is taken to be at $P_{\text{spin}} = 2 \times \tau_{\text{scat}}$. This is less severe than the scatter-based sensitivity cutoff in Fig. 1 but does reflect the fact that some partially recycled or young pulsars (i.e. $P < 50$ msec) would be detectable if they were much brighter than our noise threshold.

For comparison purposes, this figure also shows the 8.4 GHz sensitivity curve for the Parkes GC survey (Johnston et al. 2006), and the 5σ noise threshold for a deep imaging survey of the GC at 22.5 GHz, using the Very Large Array (Zhao et al. 2009), again scaling both of these to a frequency of 1.4 GHz using a mean spectral index of $-1.7$. We also highlight the seven known pulsars within one degree of Sgr A*, including four new ones from Deneva et al. (2009) and Camilo et al. (2009).

A more rigorous estimate can be obtained by computing the fraction of pulsars detectable above some flux density cutoff by considering the pulsar luminosity function at $\nu_0 = 1.4$ GHz, $f_0(L)$, combined with the spectral index distribution, $p(\alpha)$. This is the approach followed by Pfahl & Loeb (2004) and Cordes & Lazio (1997), but updated with the most recent results on pulsar luminosity functions (Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006; Lorimer et al. 2006). We model the 1.4 GHz luminosity function as a power law between lower and upper cutoffs $L_{\text{min}}$ and $L_{\text{max}}$ respectively:

$$f_0(L) = A L^{-\beta}, \quad A = (1 - \beta) \left[ L_{\text{max}}^{1-\beta} - L_{\text{min}}^{1-\beta} \right]^{-1},$$

where the normalization is chosen so that the integral over all luminosities is unity, such that $\int dL$ is interpreted as the fraction of all pulsars with luminosities between $L$ and $L + dL$. Recent studies suggest that $L_{\text{min}} = 0.01$ mJy kpc$^2$, $L_{\text{max}} = 32$ Jy kpc$^2$ and $\beta = 1.2 - 2$ (Faucher-Giguère & Kaspi 2006; Lorimer et al. 2006). Following Smits et al. (2009), the spectral index distribution is modeled as a Gaussian

$$p(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_\alpha^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\alpha - \alpha_m)^2}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} \right],$$

with mean spectral index $\alpha = -1.7$ and standard deviation $\sigma_\alpha = 0.35$.

The pulsar luminosity function at some arbitrary frequency
is then
\[ f(\nu, L) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha \, p(\alpha) f \left( L \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^{-\alpha} \right), \tag{5} \]
where, for a given spectral index \( \alpha \) chosen from the distribution, the luminosity function \( f \) has lower and upper cutoffs
\[ L_{\text{min}}' = L_{\text{min}} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^{\alpha}, \quad L_{\text{max}}' = L_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^{\alpha}, \tag{6} \]
and the normalization constant \( A \) is modified to
\[ A = (1 - \beta) \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^{-\alpha \beta} \left( L_{\text{max}}'^{1-\beta} - L_{\text{min}}'^{1-\beta} \right)^{-1}. \tag{7} \]
in order to ensure that \( f dL \) may be interpreted as the fraction of all pulsars in the luminosity range \( L \) to \( L + dL \). We integrate equation (5) to obtain the total fraction of pulsars above a given flux density threshold \( L_{\text{cut}} = d^2S_{\text{cut}} \). Of course, this threshold depends on both the pulsar period and observing frequency due to propagation effects and changes in the system temperature. Figure 7 hence plots the fraction of the pulsar population detectable above 10\( \sigma \) significance at 15 GHz versus pulse period.

Note that the present survey is sensitive only to the slower (\( P > 40 \text{ ms} \)) and more-luminous (\( L > 40 \text{ mJy kpc}^2 \)) pulsars. The millisecond pulsars that are presumably powering the low-mass X-ray binaries near Sgr A* (Muno et al. 2005) and the low-luminosity tail of young pulsars (Camilo et al. 2009)
are out of the reach of our survey. However, it is also clear from the figures that this is the first survey capable of peering past the “fog” of scattering material and detecting a significant number of pulsars within a parsec of the GC with properties similar to the known pulsar population. Past high-frequency pulsar searches (Johnston et al. 2006) or imaging searches (Zhao et al. 2009) have not had the requisite temporal or flux density sensitivity to detect a significant fraction of the known population. The GBT search thus represents a significant improvement over past pulse searches and imaging efforts. We note, in passing, that this implicitly assumes that pulsar spectral indices do not typically steepen at high frequencies, $\gtrsim 5$ GHz.

It is clear from Figs. 6–7 that the 15 GHz GBT survey could have detected a significant fraction ($\sim 1\%$–$15\%$) of the pulsars around Sgr A*, if they had properties similar to those of the known population. The estimate obtained from Fig. 6 is at the high end ($15\%$) and it is likely biased by luminosity-dependent completeness limits in pulsar surveys. The lowest estimate ($\sim 1\%$) comes from the curve in Figs. 7 with the steepest luminosity slope ($\beta = 1.8$). We adopt a nominal value of $5\%$ from the intermediate curve ($\beta = 1.5$) which is based on our best current knowledge of the properties of the pulsar population and the scattering material toward Sgr A*. Given this detection fraction and our null detection we can use straightforward binomial statistics to estimate the size of the putative pulsar population at the GC. If the probability of detecting a normal pulsar is $5\%$, the non-detection of any pulsars in our survey implies the upper limit (at $99\%$ confidence level) of 90 normal pulsars within the 1 pc region around the GC encompassed by the GBT beam. Taking the full allowed range of the detection fraction ($1\%$ to $15\%$), the upper limit on the number of normal pulsars ranges from 460 to 30, respectively, again at $99\%$ confidence level. Although our estimate is both approximate and subject to much uncertainty, we note that it is significantly lower than the $\sim 100–1000$ pulsars derived by Pfahl & Loeb (2004) for the normal pulsar population with orbits of $\lesssim 100$ yrs (i.e. a radius 50 times smaller than the size of the GBT search area).

Finally, we have shown that the frequency range $10–16$ GHz is optimal for searches for “normal” pulsars at the GC. The GBT remains the most powerful high-frequency instrument capable of detecting the GC pulsar population for at least the next decade, until the advent of next-generation telescopes like the Square Kilometer Array. The primary limitation of the GBT (and of the present survey) is the relatively small instantaneous bandwidth (800 MHz) available for such searches, resulting in a small fractional bandwidth. An increased bandwidth at the GBT would imply not only an improvement in sensitivity, but also a better rejection of terrestrial signals, using the dispersive sweep of genuine signals across the band. Fig. 6 shows that an improvement in sensitivity by merely a factor of $2–3$ would push the GBT into the bulk of the pulsar population. Future GBT experiments should hence aim to utilize the full frequency coverage available with the high-frequency receivers, for both better discrimination against systematic effects and improved sensitivity.
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