Expansion of magnetic flux concentrations: a comparison of Hinode SOT data and models
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ABSTRACT

Context: The expansion of network magnetic fields with height is a fundamental property of flux tube models. A rapid expansion is required to form a magnetic canopy.

Aims: We characterize the observed expansion properties of magnetic network elements and compare them with the thin flux tube and sheet approximations, as well as with magnetoconvection simulations.

Methods: We used data from the Hinode SOT NFI NaD\textsubscript{2} channel and spectropolarimeter to study the appearance of magnetic flux concentrations seen in circular polarization as a function of position on the solar disk. We compared the observations with synthetic observables from models based on the thin flux tube approximation and magnetoconvection simulations with two different upper boundary conditions for the magnetic field (potential and vertical).

Results: The observed circular polarization signal of magnetic flux concentrations changes from unipolar at disk center to bipolar near the limb, which implies an expanding magnetic field. The observed expansion agrees with expansion properties derived from the thin flux sheet and tube approximations. Magnetoconvection simulations with a potential field as the upper boundary condition for the magnetic field also produce bipolar features near the limb while a simulation with a vertical field boundary condition does not.

Conclusions: The near-limb apparent bipolar magnetic features seen in high-resolution Hinode observations can be interpreted using a simple flux sheet or tube model. This lends further support to the idea that magnetic features with vastly varying sizes have similar relative expansion rates. The numerical simulations presented here are less useful in interpreting the expansion since the diagnostics we are interested in are strongly influenced by the choice of the upper boundary condition for the magnetic field in the purely photospheric simulations.
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1. Introduction

At present, observations do not provide an explicit picture of how the chromospheric network magnetic field is structured. On one hand, we have increasing observational evidence of something which can be interpreted loosely as a canopy structure: e.g., fibrils in the Ca II infrared triplet lines; Vecchio et al.\textsuperscript{1,2}\textsuperscript{,} large-scale canopy structures in combined Zeeman and Hanle studies; Bianda et al.\textsuperscript{,} Stenflo et al.\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{,} canopy-like expansion seen in magnetograms near the limb; Jones & Giovanelli\textsuperscript{,} (1983). On the other hand, we know that the Sun is more complicated than implied by simple models (Wedemeyer-Böhm et al.\textsuperscript{2}). An important question is to what extent simple models of flux tubes are able to reproduce the center-to-limb appearance of the network magnetic field structures.

The appearance of network magnetic flux concentrations in circular polarization maps changes from unipolar at disk center to bipolar near the limb. This is consistent with network magnetic fields expanding and fanning out with height as proposed by Gabriel\textsuperscript{} (1976). In the chromosphere, the fanning is clearly present; e.g., Jones & Giovanelli\textsuperscript{,} (1983) found low-lying, 200-800 km, magnetic canopies in magnetograms taken near the solar limb. More recently Kontar et al.\textsuperscript{,} (2008) has used hard X-ray observations from RHESSI to estimate the expansion and found that the magnetic field expanded noticeably at a height of \( \approx 900 \) km.

Expansion of magnetic field with height has been studied in photospheric structures mostly using magnetic flux tube models. Indeed, flux tube models predict a rapid expansion of the field with height Solanki et al.\textsuperscript{,} (1999) shows that magnetic structures as different in size and flux as small flux tubes and sunspots have similar relative expansion rates, which agree with the thin flux tube approximation. A study of the characteristics of magnetic flux structures in radiative magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations revealed the expansion properties to be similar with the thin flux tube and sheet approximations Yelles Chaouche et al.\textsuperscript{} (2009). The expansion is seen in observations: e.g., a thin flux tube model can simultaneously reproduce the observed Zeeman splittings of Mg 12.32 \( \mu \)m, Fe 525.0 nm and Fe 1.56 \( \mu \)m lines, which span the upper to the lower photosphere in formation height Bruls & Solanki\textsuperscript{.} (1995). Bruls & Solanki also showed that a flux tube model can explain the Mg 12.32 \( \mu \)m line profile shapes observed by Zirin & Popp (1989). Additional evidence of expansion is that a canopy resulting from the expansion of a flux tube can best explain the observed photospheric asymmetric Stokes \( V \) profiles with weak zero-crossing shifts Grossmann-Doerth et al.\textsuperscript{,} (1988).

In this paper we use circular polarization maps from the Solar Optical Telescope (SOT, Tsuneta et al.\textsuperscript{} 2008) on the Hinode satellite to study the average expansion properties by characterizing how the appearance of network flux concentrations changes from the solar disk center to the limb. The center-to-limb approach lets us examine the expansion at different
viewing angles and at different heights due to the shift in the height range where spectral lines are formed as a function of $\mu$ ($\mu = \cos(\theta)$, where $\theta$ is the viewing angle). To further expand the coverage we use SOT observations from the spectropolarimeter (SP) and the narrowband filter imager (NFI) NaD$\lambda$ channel. We combine the observations with modeling the expansion of magnetic flux with height at various $\mu$-values by using the thin flux tube and sheath approximations and more realistic 3-dimensional magneto-convection simulations.

2. Observations and models

2.1. Observations and analysis

The SOT NFI NaD$\lambda$ (589.6 nm, effective g factor 1.33) data used in this study consist of 19 circular polarization filtergrams of non-active region maps at different positions on the solar disk (see Table 1) for time, location, exposure time and field of view, where $xcen$ and $ycen$ are orthogonal distances from Sun center and $xcen$ is directed along the equator). The data reduction was done using the Solar Software (SSW) package routine $fe_{\_prep}\.pro$. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio, frames taken within 3 minutes are summed together (number of frames is shown as a multiplication factor in from of the exposure time in Table 1). Errors in pointing are corrected in the limb data sets by forcing $\mu=0$ to coincide with the visible solar limb. The NaD$\lambda$ line is often called a chromospheric diagnostic but 3-dimensional non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (nonLTE) radiative transfer calculations of magnetoconvection simulations have demonstrated that the line is to a large degree photospheric, especially in magnetic flux concentrations (Leenaarts et al. 2009).

For SP data we use 10 raster scans with exposure times of eight seconds or more (i.e., deep magnetogram mode) at varying positions on the solar disk (see Table 1) reduced using the Solar Software package routines $sp_{\_prep}\.pro$ and $stksimages_{\_bsp}\.pro$. The step size is 0.149 $\arcsec$ in all scans but number 5 where it is 0.29 $\arcsec$. The reduced data consist of maps of circular polarization and linear polarization in the 630.1 (effective $g=1.67$) and 630.2 nm (effective $g=2.5$) Fe I lines, both combined and separate. For details of the routines see Lies et al. (2008). As in NaD$\lambda$ data, pointing errors are corrected in maps where the solar limb is visible.

Flux concentrations (coherent features with circular polarization signal clearly above the noise level) are identified manually in each NFI and SP circular polarization image. For the identification we use images of the absolute value of the flux instead of the signed flux to reduce the bias towards choosing bipolar features near the limb. A central pixel of each identified feature is chosen and a vector connecting the disk center and limb passing through this point is defined. This vector is the average radial cut of the feature. The SP circular and linear polarization radial cuts are made by averaging over 7 pixels perpendicular to the vector for the 630.1 and 630.2 nm. Unless otherwise specified we use the average of the two lines. For NFI data the radial cuts (width 7 pixels) are made of the Stokes V filter signal. No correction for foreshortening is made. Finally, peaks (location and amplitude) in the radial cuts are identified manually in each cut (see Fig. 1). Since we are interested in the large scale expansion of the field as opposed to a detailed study on the small scale morphology of the structures, spatial and, in the case of NaD$\lambda$, also temporal averaging, are justified.

2.2. Models

We have employed two independent sets of models, the simple thin-tube approximation and realistic 3-D simulations. The thin flux tube model is based on the assumption that the flux tube is much thinner than the density and pressure scale heights. The plasma and magnetic properties inside the tube can then be expanded in terms of a low order polynomial (Defouw 1976, Roberts & Webb 1978). Here we use the lowest order polynomial possible: $0^{th}$ order in radius, $r$, for the vertical component of the magnetic field, $B_z$, and $1^{st}$ order in the radial component of the field, $B_r$. The component of the Lorentz force corresponding to magnetic tension does not appear in this $0^{th}$ order in $r$ expansion of $B_r$ (it first appears in the $2^{nd}$ order expansion). We use semi-empirical atmospheric models for the internal and external models, from which the magnetic field can be derived using the condition that the total (gas + magnetic) pressure inside the tube at each height is equal to that of the external gas pressure.

The external non-magnetic atmosphere is given by the semi-empirical SRPM model of the quiet Sun low chromosphere (Fontenla et al. 2005) and internal atmosphere by the recent factual and plage models of the photosphere (Fontenla et al. 2006) which have been extended in height by adding the FALF (facular model, Fontenla et al. 1993) model chromosphere. The effect of internetwork fields is not considered in the external model. This is justified since their strength in the lower photosphere is on the order of a 100 G, i.e., the magnetic pressure is roughly 1% of the gas pressure. At greater heights these internetwork fields fall off rapidly (Schüssler & Vögler 2008) and so remain unimportant to this particular study. We produce 2-dimensional atmospheric slabs $(x,z)$ by varying the flux tube/sheet parameters: radius at $z=0$ km ($r_0$), field strength at $z=0$ km ($B_0$), and the internal flux tube/sheet atmosphere (facular or plage).

Observables are synthesized under the assumption of LTE using the Nicole-code of Socos-Navarro (Socos-Navarro 2001). Arguably, especially the NaD$\lambda$ line is influenced by nonLTE effects, but since we consider the Stokes V signal which has its maximum value away from the line core (where nonLTE effects are significant) and, more specifically, in the ratio of the Stokes V amplitudes, we chose to do the synthesis in LTE. We are not interested in comparing absolute values of the amplitudes or details of the line profiles, which may well be affected by nonLTE effects, but rather in the ratio between the amplitudes of the center- and limb-sides of magnetic concentrations $(\Delta V)$, which should be independent of nonLTE effects, at least to first order. We compute 1-dimensional rays passing through the slabs at various line of sight (LOS) angles and produce synthetic observables. Synthetic cuts are created from the Stokes V amplitudes and areas (sign determined by the sign of the blue lobe). This is done also for the NaD$\lambda$ line instead of using the filter transmission profile. By doing this the NaD$\lambda$ synthetic observables pick up the maximum signal at each point. We compute the observables, radial cuts of Stokes V areas and amplitudes, using the original tube/sheet model resolution (10 km in the horizontal direction, rays placed at 10 km intervals) and also for the spectral (90 mÅ for NFI, 23 mÅ for SP) and spatial (theoretical point spread function (PSF) for a 50 cm telescope, 0.08’’ and 0.16’’ pixels size for NFI and SP, respectively) resolutions comparable to those of Hinode. For the purposes of this study, we ignore contributions from the spider and other optical elements of Hinode on the PSF. Additionally, we assume the PSF to be 1-dimensional. These idealizations are appropriate since we are not interested in the detailed structure of the features in our simplified model.

1 http://www.lmsal.com/solarsoft
Table 1. NFI and SP data sets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>xcen [&quot;]</th>
<th>ycen [&quot;]</th>
<th>μ</th>
<th>exp [s]</th>
<th>FOV ['&quot; ×&quot;]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2008-01-16T11:13:34.821</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>113. × 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 2008-04-03T12:55:37.304</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-270</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>64. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 2007-11-11T13:07:55.041</td>
<td>-313</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>10 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>41. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 2007-12-01T11:04:34.300</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>225. × 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 2007-11-29T11:28:37.095</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>113. × 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 2007-11-05T05:28:45.378</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>10 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>41. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 2007-11-05T07:27:33.212</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>10 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>41. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 2008-05-13T16:27:02.821</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>7 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>56. × 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 2008-04-05T20:34:34.811</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>-291</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>64. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 2007-11-13T00:44:33.710</td>
<td>-303</td>
<td>-551</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>10 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>41. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 2008-05-14T12:51:32.612</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>64. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 2007-11-17T10:45.3227</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>-114</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>164. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 2008-03-24T14:28:38.464</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-947</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>64. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 2008-03-22T16:48:40.335</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-946</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>64. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 2008-11-10T11:06:02.179</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>164. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 2008-11-12T11:37:31.448</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>7 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>164. × 164.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 2007-12-19T09:34:40.109</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>-163</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>113. × 113.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the purposes of this paper the difference between flux tubes and sheets lies in their linear expansion rates. In the thin tube approximation the expansion is driven by the magnetic flux conservation with height: BA = const, where B is the field strength and A is the tube’s cross-section. As B drops roughly exponentially with height, A increases inversely. In a flux tube the increase in radius goes as $r \sim \sqrt{A}$, while in a sheet the magnetic field only expands in the direction perpendicular to the sheet, so that $r \sim A$. Consequently, $r$ increases far more rapidly in sheets than tubes. The ratio, $\frac{A_{\text{s}}}{A_{\text{t}}}$, strongly depends on the viewing angle. It is smallest when rays are perpendicular to the sheet direction and infinite, i.e., unipolar, when rays are parallel. Therefore, to delimit the range we only synthesize radial cuts for rays pointing in the perpendicular direction. The expansion rate for a magnetic feature consisting of several flux tubes, which merge above a height determined by the density of the sheet, so that $A_{\text{s}} \sim \mu$ is the summed cross-section of the individual tubes at $z = 0$.

To study flux expansion under more realistic conditions we use radiative magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations. In contrast to the simple flux tube model, the simulations allow us to look in detail at the effect of small scale, inhomogeneous structures on the expansion. We use the MuRAM code (MPS/University of Chicago Radiative MHD, [Vögler et al. 2005]). The code takes into account effects of full compressibility, open boundary conditions as well as non-gray radiative transfer and partial ionization. In the current study the simulation domain is $24 Mm \times 24 Mm \times 1.68 Mm$ (576×576×120 grid points) of which approximately 700 km is above the log($\tau_{500\mu m}$) = 0 level. To construct our initial condition we began with a snapshot from a non-magnetic convection run. To this we added a magnetic strip with a squared Gaussian profile. We chose a peak value of 300 G so that the initial magnetic field is energetically important above the surface but much less so below the surface. The full width at half maximum was chosen to be 3.157 Mm, so that the patch of magnetic field spans several granules. This setup is reminiscent of an isolated, unipolar network lane. The field then evolves on several timescales. The slowest of these is the dispersion of magnetic flux due to the granular motions affecting them. This process can be modeled as a random walk with a length-scale of approximately 1 Mm and a timescale of approximately 5-10 minutes. A second important timescale is that required to achieve pressure balance in the vertical direction and for our box, which extends 700 km above approximately log($\tau_{500\mu m}$) = 0 surface and where the sound-speed is $\approx 10 km/s$, is some small multiple of 700 km/Cs=70 s. The timescale for pressure balance to be achieved in the horizontal direction should be similar because fields of substantial strength, the length scales of the fields in the horizontal and vertical directions are likely to be similar. An intermediate timescale is that of the magnetic flux to be expelled from the interior of the granules, which takes place on the convective overturning timescale of the flows. Since we study the expansion with height of a thin strip of magnetic field, we allowed the simulation to evolve from the initial condition for a few granular lifetimes ($\approx 15$ minutes). The time-development of the magnetic field after this time will be the subject of a planned future paper.
The image on left shows the full field of view with the magnetic feature of interest circled. On top right the smaller image is a zoom in of the feature and the plot below shows the radial cut. The center-side and limb-side amplitudes, \(a_c\) and \(a_l\) are indicated.

3. Hinode observations

3.1. NaD\(_1\) filtergrams

Due to expansion of magnetic flux with height the appearance of magnetic features in NaD\(_1\) Stokes V filtergrams changes from unipolar at disk center to bipolar close to the limb (Fig. 1). Additionally, due to foreshortening effects the features become more elongated parallel to the limb. The size of the apparent bipolar features varies in the direction parallel to the limb from more elongated parallel to the limb. The size of the apparent bipolar features become dominant at \(\mu < 0.5\). Because of the insufficient sample it is not possible to say what the statistics are in \(\mu\)-range 0.5-0.7 (very few observations at those \(\mu\)-values).

In most apparent bipolar features (102 of 115) the center-side peak has a larger amplitude than the limb-side peak (Fig. 2). The ratio of the center- to the limb-side peak amplitude strongly decreases towards the limb. Some scatter in Fig. 2 could be due to the network magnetic fields being inclined in the direction of the LOS or away from it. However, observations of photospheric network magnetic fields indicate that the field inclination is small (less than 10 deg, Sanz-Forcada et al. 1994) making inclined fields an unlikely explanation for most of the observed scatter. No difference in the ratio is seen for features at the solar limb and at the equatorial limb, i.e., no significant difference is seen when using the ratio as a proxy for expansion in the mostly unipolar polar regions and the likely more heterogeneous equatorial limb. This suggests that the expansion studied here is driven locally by the properties of the individual magnetic features, independent of the magnetic surroundings. The difference between quiet Sun and coronal holes is most pronounced in the upper layers of the atmosphere. Since the observables are formed low in the atmosphere, it is not surprising that no difference is seen with the current expansion diagnostic. Wiegelmann & Solanki (2004) showed using magnetic field extrapolations that in the quiet Sun both small and large loops are present while in coronal holes the large loops are replaced by open field lines. The far more numerous small loops are more likely to have an effect on the expansion in the photosphere than the large loops.

3.2. SP scans

Bipolar features are also seen in SP circular polarization maps close to the limb (Fig. 3). However, unlike the NaD\(_1\) filtergrams, in the purely photospheric iron lines a significant number of
single-peaked cuts are found even at small $\mu$-values (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the flux concentrations close to the limb often look more diffuse in Fe than in NaD$_1$ making it difficult to judge where one feature ends and another one begins. Apparent bipolar features outnumber unipolar features at $\mu < 0.3$.

As in NaD$_1$ the ratio of the center-side to the limb-side peak amplitude decreases towards the limb, although not so clearly as for NaD$_1$. Also, the ratio in Fe is roughly a factor two larger than in NaD$_1$. A direct comparison between the two is not strictly valid since the parameters used for measuring the circular polarization are not the same (filtergram data with a specific filter transmission profile in NaD$_1$ and integrated area of circular polarization over the Stokes V spectral profile for the Fe lines) nor do the measurements have the same signal-to-noise ratio. Additionally, the line formation characteristics, such as Landé $g$-factors, are different. The Fe lines likely also sample weaker magnetic fields not visible in the NaD$_1$ NFI observables.

The peak amplitude of unipolar features’ radial cuts decrease towards the limb although the scatter is very large. At disk center ($\mu > 0.8$) in 48 of 63 cases the circular polarization signal is larger in 630.1 than 630.2 indicating the 630.2 line may be Zeeman saturated. For off-disk center cuts ($\mu < 0.8$) only 3 out of 66 cases have a larger peak amplitude in 630.1 than 630.2. The decrease in peak amplitude of unipolar features towards the limb is due to the line-of-sight becoming less aligned with the axis of the magnetic flux tubes (assuming that the magnetic field in the larger magnetic flux concentrations sampled in this study is mainly vertical in the photosphere) and the increasing formation height combined with the decrease in field strength with height (Solanki et al. 1996). The peak amplitude of unipolar cuts as a function of $\mu$ is similar to the center-side amplitude in the bipolar cuts. The total polarization (circular and linear) is larger in the bipolar features consistent with the unipolar features being smaller flux concentrations which do not expand as strongly as the bipolar features. Since we do not see as many unipolar features in NaD$_1$ (in fact none were included in the analysis) this indicates that either the unipolar features further expand with height (assuming the NaD$_1$ signal is formed higher than the Fe lines and appear bipolar in NaD$_1$), or they are not strong enough to reach or to be visible at the formation height of the NaD$_1$ signal in the NFI data. Due to the larger width and lower Landé
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Besides the geometry (tube or sheet) the choice of flux tube radius at \( z=0 \) km, \( r_0 \), is the most important factor determining the expansion of the thin flux tubes (Fig. 7). Note that the values of \( r_0 \) considered here (140, 200, 400 and 600 km, \( z=0 \) corresponds to \( \tau = 1 \) in the external atmosphere) are comparable to or larger than the pressure scale height, i.e., outside the strict validity range of the thin flux tube approximation. The absolute expansion is significantly stronger for \( r_0=400 \) and 600 km than for the tubes with smaller radii (although the relative expansion, \( r(z)/r(0) \), is the same for all). Compared to the choice of radius, the choice of internal model (facular or plage) or \( B_0 \) (magnetic field strength at \( z=0 \) km, 1300 or 1400 G) does not produce dramatically different expansion rates as shown in Fig. 7. The plage and network models used here describe the network and plage regions as a whole, i.e., they do not specifically address flux tubes. The discrepancy between these models and flux tube models, such as, e.g., Briand & Solanki (1995), is largest in the low photosphere where the temperature rise is stronger in the flux tube models. This leads to slightly stronger expansion of the tube in the lower layers. In the following, we show synthetic observables from slabs with the facular model as the internal atmosphere and \( B_0=1300 \) G, where \( B_0 \) corresponds to \( B \) in the theoretical flux tube at \( r=0 \). Ratios resulting from different models (atmospheric model, \( B_0 \)) are not significantly different from the ones shown here.

The radial cuts in \( \text{NaD}_1 \) and Fe become more asymmetric towards the limb (Fig. 8). Local maxima in the cuts are produced close to the boundaries of the flux tube due to the hot-wall effect. When the tube radius, \( r_0 \), is comparable to the pressure scale height (140 km or 200 km) the tube does not expand enough or at heights low enough to produce clearly bipolar features in the Fe and \( \text{NaD}_1 \) observables as shown in Fig. 9, where the results are summarized for all tubes with ratios below ten. Only when the tube radius is \( \geq 400 \) km do bipolar features begin to appear at \( \mu=0.5 \). The smallest ratios are from the \( R_0=600 \) km case where the expansion of the magnetic field is the strongest. Using the amplitude instead of area results in slightly different ratios. The differences between area and amplitude are largest for the smallest \( \mu \)-values, but both observables show the same trends. The effect of convolving and rebinning the observables to Hinode resolution only slightly alters the ratios. Normalizing the Stokes V profiles to the local continuum intensity prior to computing the observables (area, amplitude) slightly changes the ratios. The \( \text{NaD}_1 \) ratios are slightly higher than the Fe ratios, contrary to the observations. For all the observables (original resolution, Hinode resolution, area, amplitude, normalized or not) the trend is the same: tubes with \( r_0=400 \) or 600 km produce bipolar features at \( \mu \)-values below 0.5 and the ratios decrease towards the limb. The values of the resulting ratios are consistent with the observed ones.

The radial extent of the apparent bipolar features in the flux tubes is at most \( \approx 3 \) arcseconds at disk center. The sizes are even smaller close to the limb. No large differences are seen in width when comparing the synthetic Fe and \( \text{NaD}_1 \) cuts, but in general the features appear wider in Stokes V area cuts than amplitude cuts. This is due to the profiles near the flux tube boundaries having asymmetric, multi-lobed shapes. The integrated absolute area in these profiles can be relatively large whereas the amplitude tends to be fairly small. If the foreshortening effect close to the limb is taken into account the synthetic features are sig-
Fig. 9. Amplitude (left) and area (right) ratios from synthetic radial cuts produced from the thin flux tube models. Shown are only ratios smaller than ten. The amplitudes and areas are computed from Stokes V profiles which have not been normalized to the continuum intensity.

Fig. 10. As Fig. 7 but for the thin flux sheet models.

significantly smaller than those observed. In the disk center NFI data, diameters of network flux concentrations range on average from 1 to 3 Mm, i.e., the \( r_0 = 600 \) km tube is still relatively small. Such small features as these resulting from the flux tube models would be very difficult to identify close to the limb. An important factor not included in the present analysis is that we expect the network to be composed of many smaller flux tubes/sheets, which may not expand like a single sheet/tube. We address this with the MHD simulations (next section).

Fig. 10 shows the radius of the thin flux sheets as a function of height. As expected, the fanning out is stronger and takes place at lower heights in the sheets than in the tubes. Unlike the tubes, sheets with \( r_0 \) comparable to the pressure scale height show significant expansion with height. The resulting observables (Fig. 11) differ from the tube case in that 1) the radial cuts become bipolar in appearance at larger \( \mu \)-values 2) sheets with smaller \( r_0 \) produce bipolar cuts. Also the radial extent of the apparent bipolar features is larger in the sheets. The ratios (Fig. 12) are similar to the tube case. The largest sheets produce bipolar features already at \( \mu = 0.77 \). The switch over from uni- to bipolar in the SOT observations takes place between \( \mu = 0.7 \) and 0.5. As in the tube case the NaD\(_1\) ratios are slightly larger. Contribution and response functions for the synthetic profiles show that the Stokes V signals of the three lines are formed at a very similar height: the NaD\(_1\) core is formed higher than the Fe line cores but the Stokes V signal is mostly from the line flanks which are, at least in LTE, mostly formed in the same height range. The only exception are rays entering the flux tube at an inclined angle: compared to the Stokes V signal of the Fe lines, the bulk of the NaD\(_1\) Stokes V signal from the center-side of the tube is formed slightly higher, where also the line of sight magnetic field is slightly higher. An additional factor which may play a minor role is saturation. Since the Fe lines are more Zeeman sensitive they become Zeeman saturated at lower field strengths than NaD\(_1\). Zeeman saturation of profiles from the disk center side of the flux tube would lead to a smaller ratio. We did not explore this further nor did we test varying the microturbulent velocity in the models. Increasing it would broaden the lines and result in a reduced Stokes V amplitude.

4.2. MuRAM simulations

The magnetic field is highly inhomogeneous in the MuRAM simulations. In the vertical field BC simulation (Fig. 13) the magnetic field remains confined to the strip in the middle of the simulation domain also after the transient phase. Even high up in the simulation domain the area around the magnetic strip remains void of magnetic field. The main magnetic structure is composed of distinct individual concentrations rooted in the intergranular lanes. These structures do not entirely merge at any height, but their expansion leads to a decrease in field strength with height and only horizontal fields in the individual concentrations have a bipolar-like structure: a horizontal black and white pattern in the horizontal field, i.e., oppositely directed, in Fig. 13 is visible only in the individual magnetic flux concentrations and not on a larger spatial scale.
Fig. 12. As Fig. 9 but for the thin flux sheet models.

In contrast, in the potential field BC snapshot (Fig. 14) the magnetic field fills the entire upper part of the domain, forming a large scale canopy-like configuration. Individual flux concentrations are still present close to $\tau = 1$, but towards the top they merge into the canopy-structure. The canopy structure is very inhomogeneous on small scales and the effect of down flows related to convective overshooting is clearly seen. Portions of the canopy are dragged down to the bottom of the photosphere by the flows: there is a significant amount of magnetic field, both vertical and horizontal, in the intergranular lanes at $z = 0$ km. A more detailed view of the potential field BC simulation is given in Fig. 15. A large portion of the field outside the strip is horizontal even at low heights, but it is not as homogeneous as higher up. The relative (to the vertical component) strength of the horizontal field increases with height as is seen in the cross-cuts of the average total and horizontal magnetic field strengths. This is caused by both the vertical component becoming weaker with height but also by the horizontal component becoming stronger.

The synthetic observables shown in Figs. 16 and 17 give an impression of how observables from such magnetic configurations would look like. We have not added ordinate scales to the synthetic radial cut figures because the main diagnostic (i.e., the ratio of the diskward to the limbward peak amplitude of Stokes $V$) depends on the expansion and disk position, but not on the amplitude of $V$. Since the magnetic field is inhomogeneous at all heights, the resulting cuts exhibit small-scale structure. Since the spectral lines are formed at a roughly constant optical depth instead of geometric height, the strong changes in density across the simulation domain (flux concentrations and the surroundings) lead to a strongly varying geometric formation height range. The radial cuts do not mimic the cross-cuts of the magnetic field shown in Fig. 15. After taking into account the spectral and spatial resolution of Hinode the cuts from the vertical BC simulation do not at any LOS viewing angle appear bipolar. In contrast, cuts from the potential BC simulation display apparent bipolar features at $\mu \geq 0.34$. This is closer to the limb than in the observations or thin flux sheet and tube models ($\mu = 0.5$). The ratio decreases with decreasing $\mu$-value. However, the ratios remain fairly large, $\approx 5$.

5. Discussion

The SOT observations show clearly the expansion of magnetic flux concentrations with height as a change from unipolar radial cuts at disk center to bipolar near the limb. Using the ratio of the radial cuts’ center- to the limb-side peak as a proxy for expansion indicates that the magnetic field has fanned out more at the formation height of the NaD$_1$ NFI signal than at the formation height of the Fe I 630 nm SP signal. The NaD$_1$ ratios are smaller than the Fe I and the switch over from unipolar to bipolar cuts takes place closer to disk center, i.e., at $\mu=0.5$ in NaD$_1$ rather than at $\mu \approx 0.3$ in Fe I. Furthermore, unlike the SP data the NFI data exhibit exhibit only very few unipolar features close to the solar limb. The apparent expansion between the SP and NFI data and the lack of unipolar features close to the limb in NFI data can be caused by several factors: the NaD$_1$ signal is formed higher and the features that would appear unipolar in SP look bipolar in NFI due to increased expansion of the field with height, as expected from flux tube models. Alternatively, the unipolar features are not strong enough or do not reach high enough to be visible in the NFI data. The line formation of the NaD$_1$ and Fe I 630 nm lines are somewhat different, e.g., the effective g value of the NaD$_1$ line is smaller than for either Fe line making it less sensitive to low magnetic field strengths or fluxes. The difference may be accentuated by other factors such as the NFI data being
As Fig. 13 but for the simulation with a potential field at the top boundary.

Unlike in the observations, in the thin sheet/tube models the ratios between the limb-to-center side Stokes V amplitudes and areas of the Fe lines are smaller than the NaD1 ratios. While the NaD1 line core is formed higher than the Fe line cores, the line flanks, from which the bulk of the Stokes V signal is from, are formed at roughly the same height in the sheet and tube models (based on response functions computed in LTE). The difference between the synthetic and observed ratios is probably at least partly due to the radiative transfer being done in LTE and a sampling bias due to the differences in the SP and NFI data. Also, factors such as model magnetic field strength and microturbulence may influence the ratios. If the disk center-side of the flux concentration has a strong enough field it may become Zeeman saturated before the limb-side, leading to a relatively reduced center side amplitude and a decreased ratio. Since the Fe lines have a larger Zeeman sensitivity this effect would first take place in the Fe lines.

The observations clearly show the expansion of the field which is found to be similar to the expansion derived from using the thin flux sheet and tube approximations. This result is in agreement with Solanki et al. (1999). In other aspects the magnetic network in the Sun is probably not well described by a simple flux tube or sheet scenario. In reality the network is dynamic and likely composed of individual flux concentrations which merge at some height. Observations of chromospheric lines, such as H-α (e.g., de Pontieu et al. 2007), the Ca K (e.g., Zirin 1974, Pietarila et al. 2009) and Ca II infrared triplet lines
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around 850 nm (e.g., Vecchio et al. 2007), exhibit fibril structures which probably outline magnetic field lines that are more heated than others, i.e., the fibrillar canopy is thermally inhomogeneous. The magnetic field in contrast is likely quite smooth as indicated by the homogeneous appearance of both the uni- and bipolar patches in the SOT data.

The choice of upper BC for the magnetic field in the MHD-simulations has a significant effect on the expansion properties of the field. The BC affects not only the upper portion of the simulation domain but effects are also seen at the $\tau=1$ level, namely the existence of horizontal and vertical field also outside the initial magnetic strip. In the potential field BC simulations horizontal magnetic field is present everywhere and a canopy-like structure is formed at the top of the domain whereas in the vertical field BC simulation the field remains confined in the strip. We plan to address in a later paper the time evolution of the magnetic flux in the potential field BC simulation to study in detail the transport of magnetic field from the top of the domain, i.e., the canopy, to the bottom of the photosphere. Note that with the term canopy we are considering the canopy-like expansion of the field, not the actual chromospheric canopy. The choice of BC affects strongly the synthetic radial cuts: no apparent bipolar features are seen in radial cuts from the vertical BC simulation. In contrast, a bipolar feature begins to appear at $\mu = 0.34$ in the potential BC case. The switch over from unipolar to bipolar takes place closer to the limb and the resulting ratios are larger than those seen in the observations or in the zeroth order thin flux tube/sheet models, indicating that the field does not fan out enough.

A stronger expansion in the potential field BC simulation could be achieved by, e.g., having two strips of opposite magnetic polarities in the initial setup or increasing the simulation domain size horizontally. The former would result in a canopy structure connecting the opposite polarities. However, based on the NFI ratios, the magnetic field in the mostly unipolar polar regions expands at a similar rate as in the equatorial limb regions, where mixed polarity fields are found. The latter alternative, larger simulation domain, would result in stronger fanning out because of the periodic BCs in the horizontal direction. Currently the domain size is 24 Mm which is comparable to the typical size of internetwork cells (30 Mm, Beckers 1968). Therefore a larger domain would not necessarily be more realistic. Placing the upper boundary higher might also result in stronger expansion since the field would have more volume to expand in. However, the current treatment of radiative transfer in LTE would become increasingly incorrect. Finally, the field may not yet have reached a potential state at the upper boundary, so that even this boundary condition may not be appropriate.

We plan to extend the current study by using spectropolarimetric observations of photospheric and chromospheric lines to study in detail the network magnetic fields.

5.1. Conclusions

The circular polarization signal of magnetic flux concentrations is known to change from unipolar at disk center to bipolar near the limb, consistent with the magnetic field fanning out with height. Solanki et al. (1999) showed with observations then available that the relative expansion rates of features of various sizes, were consistent with rates derived for simple thin flux tubes. The data from the Hinode satellite, with their high spatial resolution, low noise and freedom from atmospheric seeing effects, provide a new opportunity to address the question with better statistics and with an emphasis on the variation from disk center to the limb. We again found the observations to be in good

Fig. 16. Synthetic radial cuts from the vertical field BC simulation at different $\mu$-values (given in the left frames). Left Fe and right NaD$_1$ amplitudes (profiles are not normalized to the continuum intensity). Gray line is at original simulation resolution and black at Hinode resolution. The LOS angle is indicated by the arrow in each figure (on the left).

Fig. 17. As Fig. [16] but for the potential field BC simulation.
agreement with expansion properties derived from the thin flux sheet and tube approximations, confirming the previous results with the new data and a more extensive analysis. Since the signal we are interested in forms higher in the atmosphere near the limb, we found that realistic numerical simulations, which extend only to 700 km above $log(\tau_{500nm}) = 0$, are less useful in modeling these observations, with the results strongly depending on the choice of boundary conditions for the magnetic field.
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