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There are at least three cosmic backgrounds of primordial gravitational waves coming from inflation: those produced during inflation and associated with the stretching of quantum modes; those produced at the violent stage of preheating after inflation; and those associated with the self-ordering of Goldstone modes if inflation ends via a global symmetry breaking scenario, like in hybrid inflation. Each background has its own characteristic spectrum with specific features. We describe in detail the origin of extra peaks in the PGW background from preheating in the case in which inflation ends with the formation of cosmic strings due to an Abelian Higgs model after hybrid inflation. We then discuss the prospects for detecting each gravitational wave background, and distinguishing between them with a very sensitive probe, the local B-mode of the cosmic microwave background polarization.

§1. Introduction

Cosmological Inflation\textsuperscript{1,2} naturally generates a spectrum of density fluctuations responsible for large scale structure formation which is consistent with the observed CMB anisotropies\textsuperscript{3}. It also generates a spectrum of gravitational waves, whose amplitude is directly related to the energy scale during inflation and which induces a distinct B-mode polarization pattern in the CMB\textsuperscript{4}. Moreover, Inflation typically ends in a violent process at preheating\textsuperscript{5} where large density waves collide at relativistic speeds generating a stochastic background of GW\textsuperscript{6} with a non-thermal spectrum characterized by a prominent peak at GHz frequencies for GUT-scale models of inflation (or at mHz-kHz for low scale models of inflation), and an amplitude proportional to the square of the mass scale driving/ending inflation. Such a background could be detected with future GW observatories like Adv-LIGO\textsuperscript{7} LISA\textsuperscript{8} BBO\textsuperscript{9} DECIGO\textsuperscript{10} etc. In case the end of inflation involves the presence of gauge fields associated with the breaking of some symmetry, like in most scenarios of hybrid inflation, then the PGW spectrum presents an extra peak associated with the mass scale of the corresponding gauge fields\textsuperscript{11}. This would constitute a very clear signature of the physics of reheating, and its detection would open a new window into the early universe.

Furthermore, if inflation ended with a global O(N) phase transition, like in certain scenarios of hybrid inflation, then there is also a GWB due to the continuous self-ordering of the Goldstone modes at the scale of the horizon\textsuperscript{12} which is also scale-invariant on subhorizon scales\textsuperscript{13} with an amplitude proportional to the quartic power of the symmetry breaking scale, that could be detectable with laser interferometers as well as indirectly with the B-mode polarization of the CMB\textsuperscript{14}.

Gravitational waves produced during inflation arise exclusively due to the quasi-
exponential expansion of the Universe and are not sourced by the inflaton fluctuations, to first order in perturbation theory. They have an approximately scale invariant and Gaussian spectrum whose amplitude is proportional to the energy density during inflation. GUT scale inflation has good chances to be discovered (or ruled out) by the next generation of CMB anisotropies probes, Planck, COrE, and CMBpol. At the end of inflation, reheating typically takes place in several stages. There is first a rapid (explosive) conversion of energy from the inflaton condensate to the fields that couple to it. This epoch is known as preheating and occurs in most models of inflation. It can be particularly violent in the context of hybrid inflation, where the end of inflation is associated with a symmetry breaking scenario, with a huge range of possibilities, from GUT scale physics down to the Electroweak scale. Gravitational waves are copiously produced at preheating from the violent collisions of high density waves moving and colliding at relativistic speeds see Fig. 1. The GW spectrum is highly peaked at the mass scale corresponding to the symmetry breaking field, which could be very different from the Hubble scale.

In low-scale models of hybrid inflation it is possible to attain a significant GWB at the range of frequencies and sensitivities of LIGO or BBO. The origin of these GW is very different from that of inflation. Here the space-time is essentially static, but there are very large inhomogeneities in the symmetry breaking (Higgs) field due to the random spinodal growth during preheating. Although the transition is not first order, “bubbles” form due to the oscillations of the Higgs field around its minimum.
The subsequent collisions of the quasi-bubble walls produce a rapid growth of the GW amplitude due to large field gradients, which source the anisotropic stress-tensor. The relevant degrees of freedom are those of the Higgs field, for which there are exact analytical solutions in the spinodal growth stage, which later can be input into lattice numerical simulations in order to follow the highly non-linear and out-of-equilibrium stage of bubble collisions and turbulence. However, the process of GW production at preheating lasts only a short period of time around symmetry breaking. Soon the amplitude of GW saturates during the turbulent stage and then can be directly extrapolated to the present with the usual cosmic redshift scaling. Such a GWB spectrum from preheating would have a characteristic bump, worth searching for with GW observatories based on laser interferometry, although the scales would be too small for leaving any indirect signature in the CMB polarization anisotropies. Moreover, the mechanism generating GW at preheating is also active in models where the SB scenario is a local one, with gauge fields present in the plasma, and possibly related to the production of magnetic field flux tubes. In such a case, one could try to correlate the GWB amplitude and the magnitude and correlation length of the primordial magnetic field seed.

§2. Gravitational waves from Abelian Higgs Cosmic Strings

Gravitational waves are a robust prediction of general relativity. There is indirect evidence of their existence from inspiraling binary pulsars, although no single direct detection has been claimed. A stochastic background of GW may soon be discovered, either directly with laser interferometer antennas or indirectly through the pattern of polarization anisotropies they induce in the cosmic microwave background. Such a detection would open a completely new and unexplored window into the Early Universe, possibly as rich as that which has been recently revealed in the CMB. There are many sources of GW that can generate a stochastic backgrounds and thus it is necessary to characterize those backgrounds with as much detail as possible. Apart from known astrophysical point-like sources beyond the confusion limit (where we cannot resolve them), there are also predictions for GWB from cosmic defects and hypothetical strongly first order phase transitions in the Early Universe. Moreover, Cosmological Inflation makes a robust prediction of a stochastic GWB produced during the quasi-exponential expansion of the Universe, with very specific spectral signatures: a Gaussian, almost scale-invariant spectrum with an amplitude directly related to the energy scale of inflation. If the scale is high enough (close to the Grand Unification Theories’ scale) then these GW will also leave an imprint in the (curl) polarization anisotropies of the CMB. Unfortunately, this GWB is still too weak to be discovered with the near-future GW interferometric antennas, although it does cover a sufficiently broad frequency range to be detectable by future GW Observatories (GWO) like BBO or DECIGO.

Furthermore, a robust prediction of inflation is that it must have ended, converting the vacuum energy responsible for the tremendous expansion into the matter and radiation we observe today. Such a process, known as reheating, is typically very violent and very inhomogeneous, with large density waves moving at relativistic speeds
and colliding among each other, thus converting a large fraction of their gradient energy into gravitational waves. In some cases, the conversion is so sudden and violent that a significant fraction of the total energy that goes into radiation ends in a stochastic background of GW, which could be detected in the future. The energy spectrum of such a GWB is very non-thermal and far from scale invariant, but actually peaked at a frequency which is related to the typical mass scale responsible for the end of inflation (either the mass of the inflaton or that of the field that triggers the end of inflation, like in hybrid models), which could be orders of magnitude smaller than the Hubble scale at the end of inflation. However, if the energy scale of inflation is large (of order the GUT scale) then this stochastic GWB will be peaked at GHz frequencies, far from the present sensitivity of GW interferometers. Nowadays, our only chance of detecting the GWB from reheating is to consider the low-scale models of inflation - like hybrid models - with the appropriate parameters to convert a large fraction of the initial vacuum energy into GW. The analyses done so far have considered only scalar fields whose gradient energies source the anisotropic stresses needed for GW production. However, vector fields (gauge or not gauge) are expected to be an even better source of GW, due to their anisotropic curl components, so that preheating scenarios with gauge fields may have a larger contribution to the GWB than scalar models. In fact, previous studies of gauge fields at preheating, in the context of Electroweak Baryogenesis and in the generation of Primordial Magnetic Fields, have identified the formation of long-wave semiclassical gauge field configurations like sphalerons and helical magnetic flux tubes which evolve with time very anisotropically and could contribute significantly to the production of GW.

In a recent paper, we developed a formalism to calculate the production of GW by coupled systems of scalar and gauge fields on the lattice. The numerical method that we have constructed can be applied to different sources of GW where out-of-equilibrium gauge fields play an important role, such as thermal phase transitions, cosmological networks of local defects or non-perturbative decays of scalar condensates into gauge fields. We have studied in detail the dynamics and the production of GW during preheating after hybrid inflation, in the context of abelian-Higgs models that go through dynamical symmetry breaking triggered by the expectation value of the inflaton field. As the inflaton is driven slowly (as opposed to a quench) below the critical value, the mass squared of the abelian Higgs field becomes negative and drives the spinodal growth of long-wave modes of the Higgs. Since the Higgs is charged, its rapid growth induces a corresponding growth of gauge field configurations. At the end of inflation there are no temperature fluctuations that can induce over-the-barrier transitions. However, long-wave quantum fluctuations become semi-classical and act as a stochastic force that allow transitions over the false vacuum and thus induce (locally) the generation of a topological winding number of the Higgs field. After symmetry breaking, there is not enough energy to unwind the Higgs phase, leaving behind a Nielsen-Olesen string. Such cosmic string configurations can be seen explicitly in our spatial distributions of both Higgs and gauge fields. They play a crucial role in the production of GW at preheating and we observe that the spatial distribution of GW is indeed concentrated around the strings. Those strings will eventually decay (we see that they become wider and disperse away their en-
energy density in the form of small-scale structures of the fields, although the winding phase around the core of the string remains, since it is topologically stable), which eventually shuts-off the GW production.

Fig. 2. The fraction of energy density in GW as a function of the typical momenta at preheating after inflation in the abelian-Higgs model for different ratios of the gauge field to Higgs mass. Note the existence of three peaks in the most extreme cases: an infrared peak due to straight segments of strings, and two more ultraviolet peaks, related to the Higgs and gauge field masses.

The complicated dynamics occurring at preheating in this abelian Higgs-inflaton model has been studied using both power spectra analyses, as well as the fields’ distributions in configuration space, together with histograms of the fields’ values, as a function of time, in order to correlate the different features observed and their evolution. The picture that arises is the following. At the end of inflation the tachyonic growth of the Higgs Gaussian random field creates an inhomogeneous distribution of fields characterized by “bubbles” of Higgs energy density that expand and collide. The gauge field concentrates at the valleys between the bubbles, where the Higgs has low values, forming long flux tubes of magnetic energy density. The dynamics of the bubbles when they expand and collide leads to regions in space where the Higgs field reaches the false vacuum and there are over-the-barrier transitions, with topological windings associated with them. These Nielsen-Olesen vortices are connected with each other in a cosmic string which runs along the core of the magnetic flux tubes. There are strings that encompass the whole simulation box and even beyond, thanks to periodic boundary conditions. We observe this process both in configuration space and with the histograms of Higgs vevs.

We have followed the dynamics of the strings during and after the symmetry
breaking, although still on time scales shorter than the Hubble time and on length scales smaller than the Hubble radius. Once the strings are formed, they evolve by increasing their size and shedding away layers of magnetic energy density. At the cores of the strings there always remain a thin magnetic flux line but the energy seems to pour away from the strings in the form of waves concentric with the string. Nevertheless, we observe (in a transverse plane to the string) that at the core of the string there remains a conserved winding number of the Higgs. We have followed this winding number up to long times and we confirm that it is still there, in spite of the fact that the magnetic flux tube is so dilute that we cannot see it coherently: it seems to have “evaporated”. What remains is a diffuse background of small-scale structures of the Higgs and gauge fields permeating the whole box, together with the remnants of the strings.

The formation, evolution and fragmentation of the strings are accompanied by a significant production of gravitational waves which inherit specific features from the string dynamics. In position space, we observe how the distribution of GW follows very closely the evolution of the strings, being first concentrated around the straight segments of strings, then fattening as the strings become wider and finally being dispersed over the lattice as the strings emit small-scale structures of the fields. In Fourier space, this dynamics is encoded into the successive appearance of very distinct peaks in the GW spectra. The position of each peak is directly related to the physical scales in the problem: the Higgs mass, which governs the width and interactions of Higgs field’s strings, the gauge field mass, which governs the width and interactions of gauge field’s strings, and the typical momentum amplified by tachyonic preheating, which determines the characteristic size of the bubbles when they collide and the correlation length of the straight segments of strings. The former two determine the peaks in the high momentum (UV) range of the spectrum, while the latter corresponds to the long-wave (IR) peak. The IR peak appears first, when the bubbles collide and the strings are formed, while the UV peaks are formed later on, when the strings evolve and decay into small-scale structures of the Higgs and gauge fields. When the different scales are close to each other, the different peaks are superimposed and the amplitude in GW increases. When the gauge coupling constant is significantly smaller than the Higgs’ self-coupling, the results reduce to the GW spectra produced without gauge field, characterized by a single peak.

We have calculated the GW spectra produced in this abelian Higgs model of preheating after hybrid inflation with state-of-the-art simulations, although still limited in spatial resolution and box sizes. In order to probe reliably the different scales in the problem in each simulation, we developed a lattice calculation of GW production with gauge fields that is accurate up to second order in the lattice spacing. The present-day frequency and amplitude of these GW are very sensitive to the model parameters and the frequency of the different peaks may differ by many orders of magnitude, as illustrated in Fig. 2. As in the same model with only scalar fields, very small coupling constants are still necessary for these GW to fall into a frequency range that is accessible by interferometric experiments. Whether this is natural or not depends on the underlying theory for inflation and particle-physics models of hybrid inflation with such small coupling constants have indeed been already proposed
in the literature, see\cite{ref} and references therein. We also observed that the frequency of
the IR peak in the GW spectrum can be smaller than the peak frequency produced
in the same model with only scalar fields, so the gauge field may enlarge the regions
of the parameter space that may lead to an observable signal. More generally, there
are many other models of inflation and preheating where gauge fields may play an
important role and which may lead to GW that could be observed in the future.

After preheating the system enters into a turbulent regime where, at least in the
abelian Higgs model that we considered, gravitational waves are no longer produced
and the GW energy density saturates. We expect this result to be rather generic
in abelian scalar gauge theories, because in that case the gauge fields that are pro-
duced at preheating acquire a mass, either directly through the Higgs mechanism or
due to their interactions with scalar fields’ fluctuations. It would be interesting to
study models with other gauge groups, like $SU(2) \times U(1)$, where gauge fields remain
effectively massless after symmetry breaking. This may happen for instance during
preheating after hybrid inflation close to the electroweak scale (possibly a secondary
stage of inflation, not necessarily related to the CMB anisotropies, only responsible
for reheating the universe), where the photon spectra may exhibit inverse cascade
during the turbulent evolution towards thermal equilibrium\cite{ref}. This could signifi-
cantly lower the typical frequency of the resulting GW today and relax the conditions
on the parameters for these GW to be observable. The details of the GW spectra

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{The predicted stochastic background of GW from preheating in the abelian-Higgs model for
two different sets of parameters, together with the expected sensitivity from future GWO like
Advanced LIGO/VIRGO, LISA, ET, BBO and DECIGO. Note that in order to reach GWO
sensitivity we had to extrapolate the position of the IR peaks and make an educated guess for
the shape of the spectra between the peaks (dashed lines). Also plotted are the expected GWB
from a global phase transition and that from an inflationary model with tensor to scalar ratio
$\bar{r} = 0.1$.}
\end{figure}
produced from preheating should also be rather sensitive to the particular gauge group under consideration because this determines the nature of the defects that can be formed. The defects do not have to be stable since these GW are produced when they are being formed.

An intriguing possibility is the following. Given that preheating is so extremely inhomogeneous, and since these inhomogeneities get imprinted in the gravitational wave background, which immediately decouples from the plasma, one may envision a stage of technological development in the not so far future in which GWO with sufficient angular resolution may resolve the structures that gave rise to the GWB right at the moment when the Universe reheated. In the usual preheating scenario at high energy scales with only scalar fields, the physical structures will have today a size that is completely undetectable when projected over the sky, and thus the GWB will look essentially homogeneous from Earth. However, if gauge fields with long string-like configurations (of horizon size and possibly even with superhorizon correlations) were behind the generation of the GWB, then one could expect to see inhomogeneities in the angular distribution of those gravitational waves. In particular, an array of GWO could detect the string-like anisotropies in the GWB across the sky. At the moment, the angular resolution of LIGO is not better than a degree projected in the sky. However, in the future one could resolve much finer structures in the GWB thanks to a dense network of ground-based laser interferometers. Thinking ahead of our times, it may not be unrealistic to imagine that in the not so far future the GWB will be mastered with sufficient detail to resolve the anisotropies in this elusive background and thus recover vital information about the physics responsible for the violent conversion of energy from inflation to a radiation and matter dominated epoch (the Big Bang of the Old Theory). No other probe can give us so much information, since GW decouple immediately upon production and thus retain the spatial and energy distributions of the sources that produced them. We can compare with the Cosmic Microwave Background, which gives us detailed information about the epoch of photon decoupling thanks to the exquisite measurements of the angular correlation of both temperature and polarization anisotropies. The CMB provides a snapshot of how the Universe was like 380,000 years after the Big Bang. On the other hand, the GWB would open a window into the physics of the Big Bang itself, allowing us to infer from its detailed features whether it was as violent and inhomogeneous as we predict, to determine what kind of fields were present and whether the rich phenomenology that we associate with preheating (topological defects, baryogenesis and/or leptogenesis, primordial magnetic seed creation, non-thermal production of dark matter, etc.) was actually realized in nature.

§3. Gravitational waves from global defects formed after inflation

In the case that inflation ends with a global or local symmetry breaking mechanism, then there generically appear cosmic defects associated with the topology of the vacuum manifold. For instance, global cosmic strings are copiously produced during preheating if the Higgs field is a complex scalar with a U(1) global symmetry. In principle, all kinds of topological and non-topological defects could form
at the end of inflation and during preheating. Such defects will have contributions to all three different metric perturbations: scalar, vector and tensor, with similar amplitudes. In a recent work, we analyzed the production of GW at preheating for a model with $O(N)$ symmetry. The dynamics at subhorizon scales was identical to that of the usual tachyonic preheating. However, in this model even though the Higgs potential fixed the radial component to its vev, there remained the free (mass-less) Goldstone modes to orient themselves in an uncorrelated way on scales larger than the causal horizon. In the subsequent evolution of the Universe, as the horizon grows, spatial gradients at the horizon will tend to reorder these Goldstone modes in the field direction of the subhorizon domain. This self-ordering of the fields induces an anisotropic stress-tensor which sources GW production. In the limit of large N components, it is possible to compute exactly the scaling solutions, and thus the amplitude and shape of the GWB spectrum. It turns out that the GWB has a scale-invariant spectrum on subhorizon scales and a $k^3$ infrared tail on large scales, which can be used to distinguish between inflation and these non-topological defects. Apart from the IR tail, the main difference between inflationary and global defect contributions to the CMB anisotropies arises from the fact that defects generically contribute with all modes: scalar, vector and tensor modes, with similar amplitudes, while inflationary tensor modes could be negligible if the scale of inflation is well

Fig. 4. The local $B$-polarization power spectra for tensor perturbations from inflation, cosmic strings, textures and the large-$N$ limit of the non-linear sigma-model. All spectra are normalized such that they make up 10% of the observed temperature anisotropy at $\ell = 10$. Note that the lensed EE modes (red dashed line) contribute as colored noise to the local-BB angular power spectrum.
below the GUT scale. Since (curl) B-modes of the polarization anisotropies only get contributions from the vector and tensor modes, the detection of the B-mode from inflation may be challenging, and dedicated experiments like Planck, COre and CMBpol have been designed to look for them. On the other hand, defects’ contribution to the temperature anisotropies have a characteristic smooth hump in the angular power spectrum, which allows one to bound their amplitude (and thus the scale of symmetry breaking) below $10^{16}$ GeV. However, the contribution to the B-mode coming from defects have both tensor and vector components, and the latter can be up to ten times larger than the former, and actually peaks at a scale somewhat below the horizon at last scattering (in harmonic space the corresponding multipole is $\ell \sim 1000$).

In a recent paper\(^{14}\) we analyzed the possibility of disentangling the different contributions to the B-mode polarization coming from defects versus that from inflation. The main difficulty, for both defects and tensor modes from inflation, is that the B-mode power spectrum is “contaminated” by the effect of lensing from the intervening matter distribution on the dominant E-mode contribution on similar angular scales. Using the temperature power spectrum to determine the underlying matter perturbation from evolved large scale structures responsible for CMB lensing, it is possible to engineer an iterative scheme to clean the primordial B-modes from lensed E-modes.\(^{22}\) This procedure leaves a significantly smaller polarization noise background $\Delta P_{\text{eff}}$ which allows one to detect the GW background at high confidence level (3-$\sigma$) if the scale of inflation or that of symmetry breaking is high.
enough. What we realized is that the usual E- and B-modes used for computing the angular power spectra are complicated non-local functions of the Stokes parameters, involving both partial differentiation and inverse laplacian integration. Such a non-local function requires knowledge of the global polarization on scales as large as the horizon, where the B-mode angular correlation function is negligible and thus prone to large systematic errors. In contrast, the so-called “local” ˜E- and ˜B-modes\cite{4,23} can be constructed directly from the Stokes parameters and do not involve any non-local inversion. A direct consequence in this change of variables is that the angular power spectrum of local ˜B-modes has a extra factor \( n_\ell = (\ell + 2)!/(\ell - 2)! \sim \ell^4 \), which boosts the high-\( \ell \) peak in the defects’ power spectra.

When compared with the angular correlation function of inflation, it gives a significant advantage to the defects’ prospects for detection in future CMB experiments, see Fig.\,[7]. In Table 1 we give the expected bounds at 3-\( \sigma \) on the tensor amplitude for inflation and various defect models, while in Table 2 we give the corresponding bounds on the scale of inflation, and on the symmetry breaking scale for defects, according to

\[
M_{\text{inf}} = 1.63 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \left( \frac{r}{0.1} \right)^{1/4}, \quad v = 1.02 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{7 \times 10^{-7}} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

This gives the fundamental connection between the CMB observations and the par-
Fig. 7. The relative signal-to-noise ratio for defect models versus inflation for local (continuous lines) and non-local (dashed lines) B-modes.

ticle physics models at ultra high energy, much beyond any experiment will ever be able to reach.

Table I. The limiting amplitude for inflation ($r=T/S$) and various defects ($\epsilon = Gv^2$), at 3-$\sigma$ in the range $\theta \in [0, 1^\circ]$, for Planck ($\Delta P_{\text{eff}} = 11.2 \mu \text{K·arcmin}$), COreE-like exp. ($\Delta P_{\text{eff}} = 0.7 \mu \text{K·arcmin}$) and a dedicated CMB experiment with $\Delta P_{\text{eff}} = 0.01 \mu \text{K·arcmin}$. We have assumed $f_{\text{sky}} = 0.7$ for all CMB experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S/N = 3$</th>
<th>Inflation</th>
<th>Strings</th>
<th>Semilocal</th>
<th>Textures</th>
<th>Large-N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planck</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-pol</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$7.7 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$6.9 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{B}$ exp</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$0.9 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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