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A new type of neutrino mixing named bi-pair neutrino mixing is proposed to describe the current neutrino mixing pattern with a vanishing reactor mixing angle and is determined by a mixing matrix with two pairs of identical magnitudes of matrix elements. As a result, we predict \( \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2} \) (\( \approx 0.293 \)) for the solar neutrino mixing and either \( \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \tan^2 \theta_{12} \) or \( \cos^2 \theta_{23} = \tan^2 \theta_{12} \) for the atmospheric neutrino mixing. We determine flavor structure of a mass matrix \( M \) leading to diagonal masses of \( m_{1,2,3} \), and find that \( |M_{\mu\mu}/t_{12}| : |M_{e\mu}/t_{23}| : |M_{\mu\tau}/t_{12}| = t_{23} : |t_{23}| : 1 \) for the normal mass hierarchy if \( m_1 = 0 \), where \( t_{ij} = \tan \theta_{ij} \) (\( i,j = 1,2,3 \)) and \( M_{ij} \) (\( i,j = e, \mu, \tau \)) stand for flavor neutrino masses. For the inverted mass hierarchy, the bi-pair mixing scheme turns out to satisfy the strong scaling ansatz requiring that \( |M_{\mu\mu}| : |M_{e\tau}| : |M_{\mu\tau}| = 1 : |t_{23}| : t_{23}^2 \) if \( m_3 = 0 \).

These predictions are consistent with the 2\( \sigma \) data although \( \sin^2 \theta_{12} \) slightly exceeds the allowed range of the 1\( \sigma \) data.

In this short note, we would like to find new mixing schemes \( 10 \), which may well describe the solar neutrino mixing. To do so, we demand that at least two of the magnitudes of matrix elements be equal to each other as in the tri-bimaximal case, which shows

\[
\begin{align*}
|U_{12}| &= |U_{22}| = |U_{32}|, \\
|U_{21}| &= |U_{31}|, \\
|U_{31}| &= |U_{33}|.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

We have parameterized the PMNS mixing matrix \( U \) to be \( U = K^0 U^0 \),

\[
U^0 = \begin{pmatrix}
   c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\
   -c_{23}s_{12} & c_{23}c_{12} & s_{23}c_{12} \\
   s_{23}s_{12} & -s_{23}c_{12} & c_{23}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(4)

where \( c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij} \), \( s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij} \) (\( i,j = 1,2,3 \)) and \( \phi_{23} \) are CP-violating Majorana phases.

As long as \( \theta_{13} = 0 \) is maintained, it is not difficult to search for alternative relations to Eq. (3) for the given values of Eq. (3). There are only two possibilities, which show

\[
|U_{12}| = |U_{32}|, \\
|U_{22}| = |U_{33}|,
\]

(5)

as the case (1), and

\[
|U_{12}| = |U_{32}|, \\
|U_{32}| = |U_{33}|
\]

(6)

as the case (2). These equations in turn provide useful relationship among the mixing angles:

\[
\frac{\sin \theta_{12}}{\cos \theta_{12}} = \frac{\cos \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{1 + \cos^2 \theta_{12}}},
\]

(7)
as well as
\[ \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \tan^2 \theta_{12}, \]  
for the case (1), and
\[ \cos^2 \theta_{23} = \tan^2 \theta_{12}, \]  
for the case (2). Numerically, these relations predict
\[ \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.293, \]
\[ \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sqrt{2} - 1 & \approx 0.414 \text{ \text{\text{the case (1)}}} \\
2 - \sqrt{2} & \approx 0.586 \text{ \text{\text{the case (2)}}}
\end{array} \right., \]  
which are consistent with the 2σ data.

Our prediction on \( \sin^2 \theta_{23} \) is slightly inconsistent with the 1σ data as in the similar situation to that of \( \sin^2 \theta_{12} \) in the tri-bimaximal mixing. However, it is well known that the corresponding 2-3 mixing in charged leptons (labeled by \( \theta_{23} \)) can produce additional contribution to \( \theta_{23} \) without affecting \( \theta_{12} \) and \( \theta_{13} \). Namely, we obtain that
\[ \theta_{23} = \theta_{23}^* - \theta_{23}^t, \]  
where \( \theta_{23}^* \) is given by \( \theta_{23} \) in Eq. (10). Therefore, if charged leptons have a mass matrix \( M_\ell \) described by
\[ M_\ell = \begin{pmatrix}
m_\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & * & * \\
0 & * & *
\end{pmatrix}, \]  
appropriate correction automatically comes in \( \theta_{23} \) so that \( \theta_{23} \) can be shifted to the 1σ region. Other corrections may arise from the renormalization effect [11] if the bi-pair mixing is generated at a higher scale such as the seesaw scale, where the seesaw mechanism [12] gets active.

Having understood that the bi-pair mixing is another candidate predicting the reasonable values of \( \theta_{12} \) and \( \theta_{23} \), we discuss its implication of flavor structure of the neutrino masses. It has been discussed that any models with \( \sin \theta_{13} = 0 \) should be described by the following flavor neutrino mass matrix \( M_\nu \) with general phase structure [13]
\[ M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix}
a & e^{i\alpha} |b| & -t_{23}e^{i\beta} |b| \\
0 & d & e \\
0 & 0 & f
\end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ f = e^{4i\gamma}d + e^{2i\gamma} \frac{1-t_{23}^2}{t_{23}} e, \]  
where \( a, b, d \) and \( e \) are complex numbers, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are phases, \( \gamma \) is given by
\[ \gamma = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}, \]  
and \( t_{23} = \tan \theta_{23} \). This result is a consequence of the direct calculation of \( U^T M_\nu U = M_{\text{diag}} \), where \( M_{\text{diag}} = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3) \) is the diagonal neutrino mass matrix. One important point is that \( U \) should contain redundant phases, which are denoted by \( \gamma \) and also by \( \rho \) (to be used in Eq. (10)), to take care of general phase structure of \( M_\nu \) [14, 15]. For \( U^{PDG} \) as the standard parameterization of \( U \) adopted by the Particle Data Group (PDG) [16], \( M_\nu \) is shifted to a modified mass matrix \( M \) after \( \rho \) and \( \gamma \) present in \( U \) are transferred to \( M \).

Owing to the rephasing ambiguity in the charged lepton sector, one can choose three flavor masses to be real numbers, where \( d \) can be taken to be positive without loss of generality. As a result, we obtain
\[ M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix}
\kappa_a |a| & e^{i\alpha} |b| & -t_{23}e^{i\beta} |b| \\
0 & \kappa_e |e| & f \\
0 & 0 & \kappa_e |e|
\end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ f = e^{4i\gamma} |d| + \frac{1-t_{23}^2}{t_{23}} \kappa_e e^{2i\gamma} |e|, \]  
where \( \kappa_a, e \) take care of the sign of \( a \) and \( e \). The mixing angles \( \theta_{12} \) is given by
\[ \tan 2\theta_{12} = \frac{2e^{i\xi}}{e^{2i\gamma} |d| - t_{23} \kappa_e |e| - \kappa_a e^{2i\rho} |a|}, \]
\[ \xi = \rho + \gamma + \alpha \left( = \rho + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right), \]  
which determines the phase \( \rho \) expressed in terms of flavor neutrino masses for a given value of \( \theta_{12} \).

After redundant phases are removed from \( U \), \( U \) becomes \( U^{PDG} \) and, accordingly, \( M_\nu \) is shifted to
\[ M = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{2i\rho \kappa_a} |a| & e^{i\xi} |b| & -t_{23}e^{i\xi} |b| \\
e^{2i\gamma} |d| & \kappa_e |e| & e^{-2i\gamma} f \\
e^{i(\rho + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2})} |b| & A & 1 - t_{23} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -t_{23} & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \]  
We finally reach \( M \) given by
\[ M = e^{-i(\alpha - \beta)} |d| I + \kappa_e |e| \begin{pmatrix}
-t_{23} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 - t_{23}^2
\end{pmatrix} + e^{i(\rho + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2})} |b| \begin{pmatrix}A & 1 - t_{23} \\
1 & 0 \\
-t_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]  
where \( \rho = t_{12} \) and \( I \) is the unit matrix and |a| in Eq. (18) is eliminated by Eq. (10) to yield Eq. (19). This mass matrix certainly gives \( M \) for the tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing if \( t_{23}^2 = 1 \) and \( t_{12} = 1/2 \) giving \( A = -1 \). The bi-pair neutrino mixing gives \( A = -1/|t_{23}| \) with \( t_{23}^2 = 1/\sqrt{2} \) and \( \sin \theta_{23} = \sigma \tan \theta_{12} \) for the case (1), where \( \sigma(\pm 1) \) takes care of the sign of \( \sin \theta_{23} \), and \( A = -t_{23}^2 \) with \( t_{23}^2 = \sqrt{2} \) and \( \cos \theta_{23} = \tan \theta_{12} \) for the case (2). More transparent flavor structure for the bi-pair neutrino mixing can be found if neutrino mass hierarchies are taken into account.
Neutrino masses are calculated to be
\[ m_1 e^{-i\varphi_1} = \kappa_a e^{2i\rho} |a| - \tan \theta_{12} e^{i\xi} |b|, \]
\[ m_2 e^{-i\varphi_2} = \kappa_a e^{2i\rho} |a| + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_{12}} e^{i\xi} |b|, \]
\[ m_3 e^{-i\varphi_3} = e^{-i(\alpha - \beta)} |d| + \frac{1}{t_{23}} \kappa_e |e|, \]
where the CP-violating Majorana phases \( \varphi_{2,3} \) are given by \( \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 - \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_3 = \varphi_3 - \varphi_1 \). Let us consider that neutrinos exhibit either \( m_1 = 0 \) leading to the normal mass hierarchy or \( m_3 = 0 \) leading to the inverted mass hierarchy as in the minimal seesaw model \[17\], where \( \det(M) = 0 \) is satisfied. We, then, find that, for the normal mass hierarchy,
\[ M = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c}
B e^{i(\alpha + \beta)} |b| & c^{i(\alpha + \beta)} |b| & t_{23} e^{i(\alpha + \beta)} |b| \\
\frac{\kappa_e}{e_{23}} |e| & c^{i\alpha} |e| & -t_{23} e^{i\alpha} |b| \\
\frac{1}{t_{23} \kappa_e} |e| & -\frac{1}{t_{23} \kappa_e} |e| & -t_{23} \kappa_e |e| \\
\end{array} \right) , \]
\[ B = \frac{\tan \theta_{12}}{e_{23}} = \left\{ |t_{23}| \cdots (\sin \theta_{23} = \sigma \tan \theta_{12}) , 1 \cdots (\cos \theta_{23} = \tan \theta_{12}) \right\} , \]
where \( \rho = (\alpha + \beta)/2 \) (mod \( \pi \)) from \( m_1 = 0 \) and, for the inverted mass hierarchy,
\[ M = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c}
\kappa_a e^{2i\rho} |a| & e^{i\xi} |b| & -t_{23} e^{i\xi} |b| \\
\frac{\kappa_e}{e_{23}} |e| & -\frac{1}{t_{23} \kappa_e} |e| & t_{23} \kappa_e |e| \\
\frac{1}{t_{23} \kappa_e} |e| & -\frac{1}{t_{23} \kappa_e} |e| & t_{23} \kappa_e |e| \\
\end{array} \right) , \]
where \( e^{-i(\alpha - \beta)} |d| = -\kappa_e/t_{23} |e| \) from \( m_3 = 0 \), thus, leading to \( \alpha = \beta \) (mod \( \pi \)) and \( \rho \) is determined so as to satisfy Eq.(16), which is used to express this mass matrix in terms of \( |b| \) and \( |e| \).

We observe that flavor structure of \( M \) for the bi-pair neutrino mixing shows
\[ |M_{\mu e}| : |M_{\tau e}| = 1 : |t_{23}| , \]
\[ \arg(M_{\mu e}) = \arg(M_{\tau e}) \quad \text{(mod \( \pi \))} , \]
and
- for the normal mass hierarchy,
\[ |M_{ee}| = \left\{ |M_{\tau e}| \cdots (\sin \theta_{23} = \sigma \tan \theta_{12}) , |M_{\mu e}| \cdots (\cos \theta_{23} = \tan \theta_{12}) \right\} , \]
\[ \left| M_{\mu\mu} \right| = \frac{M_{ee}}{t_{12}^2} : \left| M_{\mu\tau} \right| : \left| M_{\tau\tau} - \frac{M_{ee}}{t_{12}^2} \right| = t_{23}^2 : |t_{23}| : 1 , \]
\[ \arg(M_{ee}) = \arg(M_{\mu e}) \quad \text{(mod \( \pi \))} , \]
- for the inverted mass hierarchy,
\[ |M_{\mu e}| : |M_{\mu\tau}| : |M_{\tau\tau}| = 1 : |t_{23}| : t_{12}^2 \]
\[ M_{ee} \]
It is noted that Eq.(23) may satisfy the strong scaling ansatz \[18\] since \( \sin \theta_{13} = 0 \) and \( m_3 = 0 \). It is evident that the resulting mass matrix does satisfy the strong scaling ansatz requiring the relation of Eq.(29) (as well as Eq.(21)). Therefore, when \( m_3 \neq 0 \), the bi-pair neutrino mixing provides an example of the approximate strong scaling ansatz, where Eq.(23) is approximately satisfied. If \( m_1 = 0 \) for the normal mass hierarchy, the relation Eq.(27) including \( M_{ee} \) can be predicted. \(^1\)

In summary, we have found that the bi-pair mixing well reproduces the current neutrino mixings and is described by \( U_{BP} \):
\[ U_{BP} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\
-s_{12} t_{12} & t_{12} & t_{12}/c_{12} \\
t_{12} & -s_{12} & t_{12}/c_{12} \\
\end{array} \right) , \]
for the case (1), and
\[ U_{BP} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\
-s_{12} t_{12} & s_{12} & t_{12}/c_{12} \\
t_{12} & -s_{12} & t_{12}/c_{12} \\
\end{array} \right) , \]
for the case (2), where \( s_{12}^2 \) is predicted to be: \( s_{12}^2 = 1 - 1/\sqrt{3} \). The bi-pair mixing scheme turns out to be complementary to the tri-bimaximal mixing scheme in a sense that
- the bi-pair mixing predicts \( \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.293 \), which well describes the solar neutrino mixing while it predicts \( \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.414/0.586 \), which gives slight deviation of the atmospheric neutrino mixing angle from the \( 1\sigma \) region, and
- the tri-bimaximal mixing predicts \( \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5 \), which well describes the atmospheric neutrino mixing while it predicts \( \sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.333 \), which gives slight deviation of the solar neutrino mixing angle from the \( 1\sigma \) region.

We have clarified the flavor structure of the neutrino mass matrix giving \( \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0 \), which is described by Eq.(13) as long as the parameterization of \( U_{PDG} \) is adopted. For the bi-pair mixing, in the simplest cases with \( m_1 = 0 \) for the normal mass hierarchy and \( m_3 = 0 \) for the inverted mass hierarchy, the phase structure is subject to Eqs.(25) and (28). We have also predicted Eq.(27) for the normal mass hierarchy, which should be compared with Eq.(29) for the strong scaling ansatz valid in the inverted mass hierarchy.

Finally, we point out that the results of Eqs.(24) and (25) for both normal and inverted mass hierarchies and of Eq.(27) for the normal mass hierarchy and Eq.(29) for the inverted mass hierarchy are not only valid in the bi-pair mixing scheme and but also valid for any models.

\(^1\) If \( m_3^2 \gg m_2^2 \) is further imposed, the condition of \( b \approx 0 \) leading to \( M_{ee} \approx 0 \) should be satisfied and Eq.(27) becomes \( |M_{\mu e}| : |M_{\mu\tau}| : |M_{\tau\tau}| \approx t_{23}^2 : |t_{23}| : 1. \)
We will discuss the detailed feature of our flavor neutrino example of these properties of the flavor neutrino masses. The bi-pair mixing scheme provides a good approximation, which is consistent with sin$^2\theta_{13}$. S. H. Ahn et al. [4], M. Apollonio et al. [6], Y. Fukuda et al. [3], K. Eguchi et al. [5], B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP 4 [1958] 172 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34 (1958) 247]; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. 28 (1962) 870.


