

Article

Primordial Perturbations Including Second-Order Derivatives of the Inflationary Potential

Paulo Custodio, Cristian R. Ghezzi, Nadja S. Magalhaes and Carlos Frajuba

Special Issue

Symmetries in Gravity Research: Classical and Quantum

Edited by

Prof. Dr. Carlos Frajuba and Prof. Dr. Nadja Simão Magalhães



Article

Primordial Perturbations Including Second-Order Derivatives of the Inflationary Potential

Paulo Custodio ^{1,*}, Cristian R. Ghezzi ², Nadja S. Magalhaes ³ and Carlos Frajuba ⁴

¹ Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Tecnologia, Universidade Paulista, Av. Marquês de São Vicente, 3001, Bairro Água Branca, Distrito Barra Funda, Zona Oeste, São Paulo 05036-040, Brazil

² Independent Researcher, Bahía Blanca 8000, Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina; gluon00@yahoo.com

³ Physics Department, Federal University of São Paulo, Rua São Nicolau, 210, Diadema 09913-030, Brazil; nadja.magalhaes@unifesp.br

⁴ Mechanics Department, Instituto Federal de São Paulo, Rua Pedro Vicente, 625, São Paulo 01109-029, Brazil; frajuba@gmail.com

* Correspondence: paulo.custodio@docente.unip.br

Abstract: In inflationary cosmology, the form of the potential is still an open problem. In this work, second-order effects of the inflationary potential are evaluated and related to the known formula for the primordial perturbations at a wide range of scales. We found effects that may help to unravel the unknown inflationary potential form and impose new constraints on the parameters that define this potential. In particular, we demonstrate that even slight deviations in the inflationary potential can lead to significant differences in the calculated spectra if inflation persists sufficiently long and the normal modes of perturbations are affected by these variations.

Keywords: primordial perturbations; inflation; inflationary potential; cosmology



Citation: Custodio, P.; Ghezzi, C.R.; Magalhaes, N.S.; Frajuba, C. Primordial Perturbations Including Second-Order Derivatives of the Inflationary Potential. *Symmetry* **2024**, *16*, 1405. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16111405>

Academic Editors: Branko Dragovich and Andrea Lavagno

Received: 3 September 2024

Revised: 11 October 2024

Accepted: 16 October 2024

Published: 22 October 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Inflationary models have been instrumental in resolving several critical puzzles of the original Big Bang theory, including the horizon, flatness, and the generation of primordial fluctuations necessary for structure formation [1,2]. Initially inspired by grand unified theories in particle physics, these models propose a period of rapid exponential expansion of the Universe, known as inflation, which stretches quantum fluctuations to astrophysical scales [2–5].

The quantum mechanical fluctuations of a scalar field called the inflaton give rise to perturbations that evolve under the influence of a specific potential. During inflation, these perturbations start at sub-Hubble scales, expand exponentially during the slow-roll inflation period, and eventually exit the Hubble horizon, laying the groundwork for forming cosmic structures observed today. Subsequently, as inflation ends, the inflation field “reheats”, generating the hot primordial soup of the Big Bang while losing its energy [6,7].

Following inflation, the Universe’s evolution transitions to the classical Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) cosmological model, further refined to include dark energy in the Λ -CDM (cold dark matter plus cosmological constant) model [5]. The quantum fluctuations during inflation result in slight variations in the Universe’s mean density, known as primordial density perturbations. These fluctuations are imprinted in the cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) and manifest as perturbations in its intensity and polarization.

Observations of the CMB, notably by satellites such as COBE, WMAP, and PLANCK, have provided crucial insights into the Universe’s early evolution [8–11]. The Sachs–Wolf effect, for instance, allows the detection of perturbations in the CMB radiation, which are indicative of density fluctuations in the early Universe.

Numerical simulations have demonstrated that the density perturbations’ predicted spectrum and amplitude are consistent with the observed cosmic structures formed over

the Universe's 14-billion-year history. Consequently, observations of the CMB, large-scale structure formation, and nucleosynthesis serve as constraints for theoretical models, particularly inflationary and Big Bang models.

Despite the successes of inflationary models, specific unresolved issues persist in cosmology, such as the transition of quantum fluctuations to classical overdensities. This transition from a symmetric quantum state to a classical non-symmetric one poses a fundamental challenge in physics [12]. Understanding this transition is crucial for accurately reconstructing the inflationary potential, as it influences parameters such as reheating temperature, primordial particle production, and perturbation amplitudes [13,14].

The most critical issue in current cosmology is the tension between early- and late-time determinations of the Hubble constant, H_0 , with values derived from Planck CMB measurements differing from those obtained via redshift measurements of type Ia supernovae, as seen in the SH0ES project [15]. Reference [16] thoroughly catalogs various approaches to addressing the Hubble tension, exploring an array of models, such as early and late dark energy, dark energy models with multiple degrees of freedom, extensions involving extra relativistic components, models with additional interactions, unified cosmologies, modifications to gravity, inflationary models, altered recombination histories, critical phenomena physics, and other alternative propositions.

Some researchers have considered the second derivative of the potential in different inflationary models. Bellini [17] considered a non-minimally coupled inflationary model, incorporating the second derivative of the potential to determine constraints for the model. Lin et al. [18] ruled out the natural inflation model based on observational constraints within the single-field inflationary model. Chiba [19] related the inflationary potential to the spectral index and its dependence on the e-folding number. Belfiglio and Luongo [20] proposed a non-minimally coupled quintessence model that leads to a cosmological phase transition, particle production, and density perturbations. In this model, the vacuum energy decays into particles beyond baryons, offering a potential solution to the cosmological constant problem (see also [21]).

This paper contributes to this investigation by incorporating second-order corrections to the inflationary potential when calculating quantum fluctuations. These corrections, often disregarded in past studies due to stringent slow-roll conditions [22–24], are examined in light of the recent findings. Although we do not aim to resolve the Hubble tension, our approach explores potential solutions within single-scalar-field inflation, specifically by relaxing slow-roll conditions near the end of inflation. The traditional slow-roll conditions, namely, $|V'(\phi)/V(\phi)| \ll \sqrt{24\pi G}$ and $|V''(\phi)/V(\phi)| \ll \sqrt{24\pi G}$, suffice for sustaining inflation but may not be strictly necessary and could be adjusted as inflation concludes (see [25], p. 211). We posit that the potential shape can meaningfully impact measured values of the spectral index n_s , e-folding number N , and tensor-to-scalar ratio r . We use the CMB density contrast ($\delta\rho/\rho$), e-folding number, and spectral index as constraints. While the tensor-to-scalar ratio primarily provides upper bounds, the rise of gravitational wave observatories and antennas provide promise for its use for further constraining models. Some of us (CF and NSM) are part of the team that developed the Mario Schenberg spherical gravitational wave antenna and are concerned with its application to cosmology-related problems [26].

In this study, we add the second derivative of the inflationary potential into normal modes, revealing a non-trivial dependence between Fourier modes and the shape of the potential. We demonstrate that even slight deviations from the slow roll can lead to significant differences in the calculated spectra if inflation persists sufficiently long.

2. Inflation

Inflation was a brief epoch of exponential expansion of the Universe. During this period, the Universe accelerated as $a(t) = e^{Ht}$; the expansion was superluminal and preceded the hot Big Bang [27]. Here, $a(t)$ stands for the scale factor of the Universe, $H = H(\phi)$ is the Hubble rate, and t is the cosmic time. A scalar field, dominated by its

potential energy $V(\varphi)$, drives inflation. This potential dominates all forms of energy of that period, and the pressure of this field must be negative.

The field that drives inflation, φ , is a single scalar field. There are some models including two fields (or more) called hybrid inflation. Although this field is roughly uniform, the Heisenberg uncertainty principle predicts quantum fluctuations. Therefore, some regions have different scalar field φ values and inflate at slightly different rates. This phenomenon triggers perturbations in the background metric.

To understand how the quantum perturbations arise, consider the cosmological horizon in a quasi-de Sitter spacetime, which is the distance (R) that light can cross in comoving coordinates since the beginning of the expansion:

$$R = \int_{t_e}^{t_0} \frac{cdt}{a(t)} = \int_0^{r_e} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - Kr^2}} = c/H, \quad (1)$$

where t_e and t_0 are the times of the beginning and the end of inflationary expansion, respectively, while r_e yields the size of this patch from zero to when inflation finishes.

The presence of this horizon produces thermal radiation, similar to Hawking radiation in a black hole, but with the black hole region turned inside out. The cosmic horizon restricts the modes of the zero-point quantum fluctuations like in the Casimir effect [28]. Consequently, the Heisenberg uncertainty principle implies quantum fluctuations in the momentum of the field, which satisfies the quantum inequality [24]

$$\Delta p \geq \hbar \frac{H}{c}, \quad (2)$$

resulting in a low cut-off for the momentum (it is the direct application of the uncertainty principle into Equation (1)).

On the other hand, the cosmic horizon has a Hawking temperature [3,24] given by

$$\delta\varphi = k_B T = \frac{\hbar H}{2\pi} = \frac{H}{2\pi}. \quad (3)$$

From now on, we consider the natural system of units, in which $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$ and the gravitational constant is $G = 1/M_{pl}^2$, implying $T = H/2\pi$, with $M_{pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$; the Planck time and Planck length are given by $T_{pl} = 0.53 \times 10^{-45} \text{ s}$ and $L_{pl} = 1/M_{pl} = 1.6 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}$, respectively. The Hubble parameter has energy units $[H] = \text{GeV}$, and the energy density of the scalar field units is GeV^4 .

The quantum fluctuations created during inflation stretch out of the causal horizon while the horizon remains stationary [24,27]. The fluctuation becomes frozen as it crosses the horizon and, presumably, converts to a classical perturbation.

After inflation, the cosmic expansion continues at subluminal velocities, and the fluctuations return to the causal horizon. Therefore, the most essential perturbations for structure formation arise from the fluctuations excited near the end of inflation [27]. The squared curvature perturbation $\delta_H^2(k)$ becomes frozen when it crosses the horizon. This perturbation corresponds to $k = aH$, where k is the comoving wave number of a perturbation's mode.

The curvature perturbation $\delta_H(k)$ is related to the Friedmann equations, which are given by [24]

$$H^2(\varphi) = \frac{8\pi}{3M_{pl}^2} V(\varphi), \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3M_{pl}^2} (\rho + 3P). \quad (5)$$

The equation of state for the inflationary field is [24]

$$\rho(\varphi) = \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} + V(\varphi), \quad (6)$$

and

$$P(\varphi) = \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} - V(\varphi), \quad (7)$$

where $P(\varphi)$ is the inflaton's pressure and $\rho(\varphi)$ is its energy density. The first term on the right-hand side of Equation (6) represents the kinetic energy of the field. Inflation occurs when the potential energy density $V(\varphi)$, dominates, meaning $V(\varphi) \gg \dot{\varphi}^2/2$. The equation that completes the system is the Klein–Gordon equation for the inflaton [24]:

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} = -V'(\varphi). \quad (8)$$

The slow-roll condition is $\dot{\varphi} \ll 3H\dot{\varphi}$. It holds during inflation when the scale factor grows exponentially, and the kinetic energy of the field is negligible [24].

We will apply these equations and modify the usual quantum field theory estimations for the fluctuations using novel assumptions, as follows.

3. A First-Order Estimate in $V'(\varphi)$

We consider a mean-field background φ_c , along with quantum fluctuations, $\delta(\varphi)$, satisfying $\varphi(t) = \varphi_c(t) + \delta(\varphi)$. In this setup, we assume a decoupled system of equations under the condition that $\delta\varphi \ll \varphi_c(t)$. Moreover, the perturbation yields a local change in the expansion rate $H(\varphi)$ proportional to

$$\delta_H(k) = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \propto -H\delta t. \quad (9)$$

Here, we consider that $t \sim H^{-1}$, and taking $\delta t = -H^{-2}\delta H$ leads us to $H\delta t = -\delta H/H$. Now, considering that $H^2 \propto \rho$, then Equation (4) leads us to Equation (9) above. Moreover, we can write $\delta t = \delta\varphi/\dot{\varphi}$. With the above definitions, Linde (and other authors) obtained [24]

$$\delta_H(k) \sim \frac{CV^{3/2}(\varphi)}{M_{pl}^3 V'(\varphi)}, \quad (10)$$

where C is close to ten and $V'(\varphi)$ means the first derivative of the potential relative to the scalar field itself. This quantity is the most essential ingredient of inflation since it predicts the seeds of posterior structure formation when matter and radiation fall into the gravitational wells caused by these perturbations. The regions with larger scalar field values inflate rapidly and produce enough density contrast to trigger structure formation.

In the case of the massive scalar field with potential $V(\varphi) = m^2\varphi^2/2$, Equation (10) yields the following expression for the density contrast produced by quantum fluctuations:

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right) \sim \frac{Cm\varphi^2}{M_{pl}^3}. \quad (11)$$

This equation and the observational constraint $\delta\rho/\rho \sim 10^{-5}$ lead to an upper bound for the amplitude of the field: $(\varphi/m)^2 < 10^{-5}(M_{pl}/m)$. Similar considerations apply to other inflationary models.

Let us investigate in the next section the expressions that lead us to these formulae, considering the expected expansion of fluctuations in the frequency domain and comparing them with V'' .

4. Primordial Fluctuations and the Inflationary Potential

4.1. Conventional Calculation of Perturbations

In this section, we examine quantum vacuum fluctuations and, for clarity, repeat the usual derivation of the quantum fluctuations of the scalar field, as we modify this derivation in the next section. We assume, as usual, that the spacetime is homogeneous and isotropic and described by the FRW equations.

Quantum field theory suggests that the quantum vacuum comprises particles and antiparticles of all wavelengths, stretched during inflation to reach astrophysical scales [4,27].

The scalar field, φ , can be split into its unperturbed component (the mean value of the field) plus a small perturbation, $\delta\varphi(\vec{x}, t)$, in the form

$$\varphi(\vec{x}, t) = \varphi_c(t) + \delta\varphi(\vec{x}, t). \quad (12)$$

As the fluctuation amplitude is small ($\delta\varphi \ll \varphi_c(t)$), the background spacetime is undisturbed, and the equation of motion for the field can be decoupled into two equations (inserting Equation (12) into Equation (8)):

$$\ddot{\varphi}_c(t) + 3H\dot{\varphi}_c(t) = -V'(\varphi_c(t)), \quad (13)$$

and

$$\delta\ddot{\varphi} + 3H(\varphi_c(t))\delta\dot{\varphi} - \nabla^2\delta\varphi = -V''(\varphi_c)\delta\varphi. \quad (14)$$

The first equation describes the evolution of the mean value of the field, while the second equation describes the evolution of the perturbations. We also assume $\delta\varphi \ll H(\varphi_c)/H'(\varphi_c)$.

In comoving coordinates, the dependence of the wavenumber k on the physical wavenumber is described by $k = a(t)k_f$. Let us consider the Fourier expansion in normal modes $e^{ik_f x_\mu}$ for the perturbations.

If k_f is the physical wavenumber, it is easy to show that the phase of these waves is invariant under the expansion

$$e^{ik_f x_\mu/a(t)} = \text{const.} \quad (15)$$

Substituting this into expression Equation (14), we have a differential equation for each k -mode:

$$\delta\ddot{\varphi}_k + 3H(\varphi)\delta\dot{\varphi}_k + [(k/a)^2 + V''(\varphi)]\delta\varphi_k = 0. \quad (16)$$

First, we solve Equation (16) as usual, with the approximation $(k/a)^2 \gg V''(\varphi)$, which is reasonable for short wavelengths. Let us expand the field fluctuation $\delta\varphi$ as a sum of creation and destruction operators, whose modes are particular solutions of Equation (16):

$$\delta\varphi = \sum_k \chi_k(\eta) A_k + \chi_k^*(\eta) A_k^\dagger, \quad (17)$$

where A_k and A_k^\dagger are the destruction and creation operators, respectively, $\chi_k(\eta)$ are the vibration modes, and η is the conformal time. Inserting this solution into Equation (16), we obtain an equation for the modes,

$$\ddot{\chi}_k(t) + 3H\chi_k(t) + (k/a)^2\chi_k(t) = 0, \quad (18)$$

whose physical solution is given by

$$\chi_k(\eta) = \frac{a^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{2k/a}} \left[1 + \frac{iaH}{k} \right] e^{-ik/aH}. \quad (19)$$

The expectation value of the k -mode's quadratic amplitude is

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)_k^2 | 0 \rangle = |\chi_k(\eta)|^2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$|\chi_k(\eta)|^2 = \frac{H^2}{2k^3} \left[1 + (k/aH)^2 \right]. \quad (21)$$

We obtain the total fluctuation by integrating over the total phase space volume:

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k \langle 0 | (\delta\varphi_k)^2 | 0 \rangle, \quad (22)$$

with $d^3k = 4\pi k^2 dk$. Employing Equation (19), and manipulating some terms, we obtain

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{Ht} (1 + k/H^2) d \ln(k/H). \quad (23)$$

Evaluating the integral above and considering the instant when the perturbation crosses the Hubble horizon as $t = H^{-1}$, the integral results in

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{7H(\varphi)^2}{12\pi^2}. \quad (24)$$

Note that $\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi) | 0 \rangle = 0$, as can be easily verified. Taking the square root of this value, we obtain

$$\delta\varphi \sim \frac{H}{2\pi}. \quad (25)$$

Substituting into Equation (9), we obtain the density contrast produced by the quantum fluctuations:

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \right) \sim \frac{H^2(\varphi)}{2\pi\dot{\varphi}_c(t)}. \quad (26)$$

The results presented in this subsection are the usual textbook treatment of the perturbations. In the next subsection, we incorporate the term $V''(\varphi)$ into the relevant expressions.

4.2. Including Second-Order Variations

The second-order derivative of the potential $V''(\varphi)$ is usually discarded from the calculations in the previous subsection due to the slow-roll conditions [4]. However, it is straightforward to note that this approximation does not always hold since we cannot ignore the curvature of the potential for any wavenumber k . If we ignore this term, then Equation (16) becomes

$$\delta\dot{\varphi}_k + 3H(\varphi)\delta\dot{\varphi}_k + [(k/a)^2]\delta\varphi_k = 0.$$

Nevertheless, in Equation (16), both terms within the brackets must be retained because, as inflation progresses, the first term evolves and is exponentially suppressed with time, making it problematic to neglect the term involving the second derivatives of the potential throughout the entire inflationary period.

Now, we perform the following variable change in Equation (16):

$$k_{eff}(t) = \sqrt{k^2 + a^2(t)V''(\varphi_c)}. \quad (27)$$

Using this equation, the square power of the fluctuations takes the form

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3k}{k_{eff}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{H^2}{2k_{eff}^2} \right]. \quad (28)$$

The first term in the integral above is the usual fluctuation in the Minkowski vacuum (when $H = 0$), while the second term is associated with inflation. This term arises because the de Sitter space contains particles φ with occupation numbers

$$n_k = \frac{H^2}{2k^2}. \quad (29)$$

We see that the occupation numbers will receive contributions from the second-order variations in the inflationary potentials through the effective wavenumber $k_{eff}(\varphi)$. The volume element d^3k is not modified. Therefore, if we discard the renormalizable first term, then Equation (28) is rewritten as

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{dk k^2}{k_{eff}(\varphi)^3}, \quad (30)$$

which, in its final form, is

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{Ht} \frac{d \ln(k/H)}{[1 + a^2(t)V''/k^2]^{3/2}}. \quad (31)$$

In this equation, we will consider the following cases: $a^2(t)V''/k^2 \ll 1$ and $a^2(t)V''/k^2 \gg 1$.

4.2.1. First Case: $a^2 V''(\varphi_c) \ll k^2$

Expanding the denominator of Equation (31) as a power series while taking the first two terms and considering one period of expansion $t \sim H^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{3(1 - e^{-2})}{4} \frac{V''(\varphi)}{H^2(\varphi)} \right]. \quad (32)$$

Taking the square root power, substituting into Equation (30), and taking into account the slow-roll condition, $\dot{\varphi}_c = -V'(\varphi)/3H(\varphi)$, we have for the density contrast

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \right) \sim \frac{CV^{3/2}(\varphi)}{M_{pl}^3 V'(\varphi)} \left[1 + \frac{3V''(\varphi)}{8H^2(\varphi)} + \dots \right], \quad (33)$$

where $C = (3/2\pi)(8\pi/3)^{3/2} \sim 11.6$ is a normalization constant [24]. The usual Equation (10) holds when $V''(\varphi) \ll H^2(\varphi)$.

4.2.2. Second Case: $a^2 V''(\varphi_c) \gg k^2$

We write the effective comoving wavenumber as

$$k_{eff} = a(t) \sqrt{(k/a)^2 + V''(\varphi)}. \quad (34)$$

Since $V''(\varphi)$ dominates the first term within the square root, we obtain

$$\langle 0 | (\delta\varphi)^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{H^2(\varphi)}{16\pi^3} a(t)^{-3} \int \frac{d^3k}{V''(\varphi)^{3/2}}. \quad (35)$$

The comoving wavenumber ranges within the interval

$$H < k < He^{Ht} \quad (36)$$

because inflation starts at $a = 1$ and ends at $a(t) = e^{Ht}$. Therefore, from Equation (35) we find

$$\langle 0|(\delta\varphi)^2|0\rangle = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{a(t)^{-3}H^3}{3V''(\varphi)^{3/2}} \left[e^{3Ht} - 1\right]. \quad (37)$$

Since $Ht \gg 1$, we obtain the result

$$\Delta_\varphi = \sqrt{\langle 0|(\delta\varphi)^2|0\rangle - \langle 0|(\delta\varphi)|0\rangle^2} \sim \frac{H(\varphi)}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{H^3(\varphi)}{3V''(\varphi)^{3/2}}}. \quad (38)$$

Therefore, for very long wavelengths, the fluctuations are corrected according to the density contrast:

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\lambda > \lambda_*} \sim \frac{CV^{3/2}(\varphi)}{M_{pl}^3 V'(\varphi)} \sqrt{\frac{H^3(\varphi)}{3V''(\varphi)^{3/2}}}. \quad (39)$$

Next, we must find the conditions for the wavelength cut-off λ_c .

4.2.3. Wavelength Cut-Off

The comoving k value is constant, while the k cut-off is given by the expression

$$a^2(t)V''(\varphi) = k^2 = a^2(t)k_f^2. \quad (40)$$

The physical wave number is $k_f = 2\pi/\lambda_*$. Consequently, the critical wavelength is

$$\lambda_*(t) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{V''(\varphi_c(t))}}. \quad (41)$$

This equation shows that the k_f cut-off depends on the $V(\varphi)$ profile as on the end time.

5. Applications

We will analyze chaotic inflationary models [29] under the perspective developed above or [30–32].

5.1. First Model

Consider the potential defined by

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{m^2}{2}\varphi^2. \quad (42)$$

In this case, we find the following critical value:

$$\lambda_* = \frac{2\pi}{m} \sim 10^{-32} (m/M_{pl})^{-1} \text{ cm}. \quad (43)$$

Therefore, unless the mass of the inflaton is negligible in Planck units, almost all the wavelengths enter into the second case (Equation (39)):

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right) \sim \frac{CV^{3/2}(\varphi)}{M_{pl}^3 V'(\varphi)} \sqrt{\frac{H^3(\varphi)}{3V''(\varphi)^{3/2}}}. \quad (44)$$

Therefore, the corrected Equation (34) must be applied.

If we impose that

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right) < 10^{-5},$$

(the upper bound from observations of the CMB), it yields a new constraint between the field and mass:

$$\Gamma \varphi^{7/2} \sim m^2 M_{pl}^{3/2} 10^{-5}, \quad (45)$$

with $\Gamma = \frac{10}{2^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{(4\pi/3)^{3/2}}{3}} \sim 5.98$. Note that this constraint is very different from

$$\varphi^2 \sim 10^{-6} \frac{M_{pl}^3}{m}, \quad (46)$$

as derived from Equation (10), from previous analyses. Therefore, our findings constrain the inflaton mass, highlighting its significance.

5.2. Second Model

We now analyze the case with auto-coupling:

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{\zeta}{4} \varphi^4. \quad (47)$$

For this potential, $V''(\varphi) = \zeta \varphi^2$, implying that the field is time-dependent. In this case, Equations (7) and (12) become a coupled system:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi\zeta}{12M_{pl}^2} \varphi^4, \quad (48)$$

and

$$3H\dot{\varphi} = -\zeta \varphi^3. \quad (49)$$

From these two equations, a first-order differential equation results:

$$3D\dot{\varphi} = -\zeta \varphi, \quad (50)$$

with $D = \sqrt{8\pi\zeta/12M_{pl}^2}$, whose solution is

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_i e^{-\frac{\zeta}{3D}t}. \quad (51)$$

Therefore, the cut-off evolves in time as

$$\lambda_*(t) = \frac{2\pi}{\varphi_i \sqrt{3\zeta}} e^{\frac{\zeta}{3D}t}. \quad (52)$$

Assuming a typical value $\zeta \sim 10^{-12}$ [24], the time scale is $\tau = \frac{1}{M_{pl}} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{12\zeta}} \sim 10^{-36}$ s. As time progresses, all wavelengths eventually fall under the first case, making Equation (10) the accurate expression for the perturbation's amplitude.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we recalled inflation theory, focusing on its standard formulation and its implications for quantum fluctuations of the scalar field. By incorporating second-order derivatives of the inflationary potential energy into the equation governing these fluctuations, we have extended the theoretical framework to account for more detailed previously overlooked corrections. Equation (39) describes fluctuations with wavelengths much larger than λ_* , while fluctuations follow Equation (33) in the near-critical case. Both expressions differ from the usually adopted Equation (10).

We applied the analysis to chaotic inflation scenarios [23,29] and revealed intriguing insights. In the first application, we found that the conventional formulae for perturbations need to be revised when considering potentials with the form $m\varphi^2/2$. In particular, the constraint between the mass and the initial value of the scalar field is very different

from other previous analyses [23,29] when we use the amplitude of the fluctuation as an upper bound.

For potentials like $\zeta \varphi^4/4$, we observed a critical dependence on the duration of the inflationary process. This dependency arises due to the need to compare perturbation modes to a time-dependent critical wavelength, λ_* . Fluctuations with wavelengths much smaller than the critical value λ_* exhibit a dependence on the potential and its derivatives, as described by the usual Equation (10). Conversely, fluctuations with wavelengths much larger than λ_* are characterized by Equation (39). The predicted fluctuations align with Equation (33) near criticality.

The physical origin of the second-order contributions manifested in Equations (33) and (39) can be explained by the presence of the expansion rate, H , in those expressions. Indeed, when the subtle variations in the potential (V'') are comparable to the Universe expansion rate, then corrections to the conventional expression for the density contrast become relevant. This feature reinforces the importance of the mathematical form chosen for the potential. Physically, it displays a relevant interplay between the rate at which the Universe expands and variations in the changes in the inflationary potential relative to the inflation field.

Our inclusion of second-order corrections in the quantum fluctuation expression has revealed a significant deviation from the traditional formulations: fluctuations with wavelengths greater than λ_* will cross the horizon later. Therefore, their implications may be relevant in the distant future of our local Universe. This effect may be responsible for triggering the collapse of our region if its magnitude suffices.

Equation (10) is the usual, without corrections from the variations in $V'(\varphi)$. This expression leads us to the known constraints obtained from the comparisons with the CMB, as happens for the upper bound 10^{-5} for the amplitude. In the second case investigated in this work, we found deviations in amplitude that implied new constraints. The intermediate case (related to Equation (10)) requires a numerical analysis.

These findings promise to refine our understanding of the inflationary potential and could be fine-tuned using feedback from satellite data. Indeed, this avenue presents an exciting opportunity for future research, which we intend to pursue diligently.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.C., C.R.G., C.F. and N.S.M.; methodology, P.C. and C.R.G.; validation, P.C., C.R.G. and C.F.; formal analysis, P.C. and N.S.M.; investigation, P.C., C.R.G., C.F. and N.S.M.; resources, P.C., C.R.G., C.F. and N.S.M.; writing—original draft preparation, P.C., C.R.G. and C.F.; writing—review and editing, P.C., C.R.G., C.F. and N.S.M.; visualization, C.F.; supervision, C.R.G.; funding acquisition, C.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: C.F. acknowledges FAPESP for support (thematic project #2013/26258-4). C.F. thanks CNPq (Brazil) for support (grant #312454/2021-0).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Guth, A.H. The inflationary Universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems. *Phys. Rev. D* **1981**, *23*, 347. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Starobinsky, A. Dynamics of phase transitions in the new inflationary Universe and generation of perturbations. *Phys. Lett. B* **1982**, *117*, 175. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Hawking, S.W.; Moss, I.G. Fluctuations in the inflationary Universe. *Nucl. Phys.* **1983**, *224*, 180. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Lyth, D. *Cosmology for Physicists*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2007.

5. Liddle, A.R.; Lyth, D.H. *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2000.
6. Kofman, L.; Linde, A.; Starobinsky, A.A. Reheating after inflation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1994**, *73*, 3195. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
7. Liddle, A.R.; Leach, S.M. How long before the end of inflation were observable perturbations produced? *Phys. Rev. D* **2003**, *68*, 103503. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Akrami, Y.; Arroja, F.; Ashdown, M.; Aumont, J.; Baccigalupi, C.; Ballardini, M.; Banday, A.J.; Barreiro, R.B.; Bartolo, N.; Basak, S.; et al. Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2020**, *641*, A10.
9. Ade, P.A.R.; Aghanim, N.; Arnaud, M.; Ashdown, M.; Aumont, J.; Baccigalupi, C.; Banday, A.J.; Barreiro, R.B.; Bartlett, J.G.; Bartolo, N.; et al. Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2016**, *594*, A13.
10. Hinshaw, G.; Larson, D.; Komatsu, E.; Spergel, D.N.; Bennett, C.L.; Dunkley, J.; Nolta, M.R.; Halpern, M.; Hill, R.S.; Odegard, N.; et al. Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: Cosmological parameter results. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **2013**, *19*, 208. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Aghanim, N.; Akrami, Y.; Ashdown, M.; Aumont, J.; Baccigalupi, C.; Ballardini, M.; Banday, A.J.; Barreiro, R.B.; Bartolo, N.; Basak, S.; et al. Planck 2018 results-VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2020**, *641*, A6.
12. Okon, E.; Sudarsky, D. Less decoherence and more coherence in quantum gravity, inflationary cosmology and elsewhere. *Found. Phys.* **2016**, *46*, 852–879. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Lidsey, J.E.; Liddle, A.R.; Kolb, E.W.; Copeland, E.J.; Barreiro, T.; Abney, M. Reconstructing the inflaton potential—An overview. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1997**, *69*, 373. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Dalianis, I. Features in the Inflaton Potential and the Spectrum of Cosmological Perturbations. *arXiv* **2024**, arXiv:2310.11581v2.
15. Riess, A.G.; Casertano, S.; Yuan, W.; Bowers, J.B.; Macri, L.; Zinn, J.C.; Scolnic, D. Cosmic distances calibrated to 1% precision with Gaia EDR3 parallaxes and Hubble Space Telescope photometry of 75 Milky Way Cepheids confirm tension with Λ CDM. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2021**, *908*, L6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Di Valentino, E.; Mena, O.; Pan, S.; Visinelli, L.; Yang, W.; Melchiorri, A.; Mota, D.F.; Riess, A.G.; Silk, J. In the realm of the Hubble tension—A review of solutions. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2021**, *38*, 153001. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Bellini, M. Fresh inflation with nonminimally coupled inflaton field. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **2002**, *34*, 11. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Lin, J.; Gao, Q.; Gong, Y. The reconstruction of inflationary potentials. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2016**, *459*, 4029. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Chiba, T. Reconstructing the inflaton potential from the spectral index. *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2015**, *2015*, 073E02. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Belfiglio, A.; Luongo, O. Production of ultralight dark matter from inflationary spectator fields. *Phys. Rev. D* **2024**, *110*, 023541. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Luongo, O.; Mengoni, T. Quasi-quintessence inflation with non-minimal coupling to curvature in the Jordan and Einstein frames. *arXiv* **2023**, arXiv:2309.03065.
22. Liddle, A.R.; Parsons, P.; Barrow, J.D. Formalising the slow-roll approximation in inflation. *Phys. Rev. D* **1994**, *50*, 7222. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Linde, A. A new inflationary Universe scenario: A possible solution to the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy, and primordial monopole problems. *Phys. Lett.* **1987**, *108*, 389–393. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Linde, A. Inflation and Quantum Cosmology. In *Inflation and Quantum Cosmology*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1990.
25. Weinberg, S. *Cosmology*; OUP Oxford: Oxford, UK, 2008.
26. Aguiar, O.D.; Andrade, L.A.; Camargo Filho, L.; Costa, C.A.; de Araujo, J.C.N.; del Rey Neto, E.C.; de Souza, S.T.; Fauth, A.C.; Frajula, C.; Frossati, G.; et al. The gravitational wave detector “Mario Schenberg”: Status of the project. *Braz. J. Phys.* **2002**, *32*, 866. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Dimopoulos, K. *Introduction to Cosmic Inflation and Dark Energy*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2021.
28. Casimir, H.B.G.; Polder, D. The influence of retardation on the London-van der Waals forces. *Phys. Rev.* **1948**, *73*, 360. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Linde, A.D. Chaotic inflation. *Phys. Lett. B* **1983**, *129*, 177–181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Watson, G.S. An exposition on inflationary cosmology. *arXiv* **2000**, arXiv:astro-ph/0005003.
31. Lyth, D.H.; Liddle, A.R. *The Primordial Density Perturbation: Cosmology, Inflation and the Origin of Structure*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2009.
32. Guth, A.H.; Kaiser, D.I.; Nomura, Y. Inflationary paradigm after Planck 2013. *Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *733*, 112. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.