

Magnetic Moment and Decay properties of Ξ_{cc}^{++} baryon in relativistic Dirac formalism with independent quark model

Rameshri V. Patel^{1*} and Manan Shah^{1†}

¹*Department of Physical Sciences, P. D. Patel Institute of Applied Sciences, Charotar, University of Science and Technology, CHARUSAT, Changa - 388421, Gujarat, INDIA*

Introduction

The observation of the first doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} at LHCb [1, 2] opens up the uncharted territory of double heavy flavour hadrons which are difficult to detect. We continue the method outlined in references [3–5] and extend our study for computing the magnetic moment, radiative decay width, and lifetime of Ξ_{cc}^{++} .

1. Methodology

In our study, we examine the individual confinement of quarks within a baryon employing Martin potential characterized by the form $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_0)(\lambda r^{0.1} + V_0)$ within the framework of relativistic Dirac formalism [3–5]. The spin-average mass of a baryon can be expressed as follows:

$$M_{SA}^{Qqq} = 2E_Q^D + E_q^D - E_{CM}, \quad (1)$$

where, E_Q^D and E_q^D represent the Dirac energy of Q and q quarks respectively, which can be obtained by solving the Dirac equation for this system

$$[\gamma^0 E_q - \vec{\gamma} \vec{P} - m_q - V(r)]\psi_q(\vec{r}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

and E_{CM} is the parametric center of mass correction.

2. Magnetic moments and radiative decay

Magnetic moment can be obtained in terms of the confined quark masses m_q^{eff} as given in

Ref.[6], m_q^{eff} defined as

$$m_q^{eff} = E_q^D \left(1 + \frac{\langle H \rangle - E_{CM}}{\sum_q E_q^D} \right). \quad (3)$$

which follows the property of $M_J = \sum_{q=1}^3 m_q^{eff}$. Our prediction and comparison with other different approaches are given in **TABLE I**.

TABLE I : Magnetic moments in terms of nuclear magneton

State	Our	[7]	[8]	[9]
$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \frac{1}{2}^+$	0.0930	0.031	0.114	0.17
$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \frac{3}{2}^+$	2.060	2.218	2.001	2.54

J. Dey et.al [10] showed the way to calculate the radiative decay widths for the doubly heavy baryon using the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) in terms of the radiative transition magnetic moment.

$$\Gamma = \frac{k^3}{4\pi} \frac{2}{2J+1} \frac{e^2}{m_p^2} \mu_{B_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \quad (4)$$

where the radiative transition magnetic moment can be calculated as $\mu_{B_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}}} = \langle B_{\frac{3}{2}} | \hat{\mu}_{B'z} | B_{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle$. we can find the transition magnetic moments for the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ for Ξ_{cc}^{++} by using the following equation

$$\frac{4}{3\sqrt{2}} (\mu_c - \mu_u) \quad (5)$$

We predict the decay width for $\Xi_{cc}^{++} (\frac{3}{2}^+) \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{++} (\frac{1}{2}^+) \gamma$ to be 1.504 keV which is in accordance with other theoretical model [11, 12].

3. Lifetime

We have estimated the lifetime of the Ξ_{cc}^{++} by considering the two c quarks going to decay

*Electronic address: rameshri.patel1712@gmail.com

†Electronic address: mnshah09@gmail.com

independently[13] as given by Eq.(6)

$$\Gamma(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = \frac{10G_F^2 |V_{cs}| |V_{cd}| M^5(\Xi_{cc}) F(\chi_{cc})}{192\pi^3}, \quad (6)$$

where the kinematic suppression factor can be expressed as

$$F(\chi_{cc}) = F_1 + F_2 + F_3. \quad (7)$$

given

$$F_i = 1 - 8x_i + 8x_i^3 - x_i^4 + 12x_i^2 \ln\left(\frac{1}{x_i}\right). \quad (8)$$

The x_1, x_2 & x_3 are squared ratios $M_{\Xi_c^+}/M_{\Xi_{cc}}$, $M_{\Sigma_c^+}/M_{\Xi_{cc}}$, and $M_{\Lambda_c^+}/M_{\Xi_{cc}}$ respectively. Using this equation, we calculated the total decay width width as shown below

$$\Gamma(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3.1543 \times 10^{-12} (\text{in GeV}). \quad (9)$$

The resultant lifetime 0.205 ps is very close to the experimentally observed value [14]. We anticipate it will overlap with the experimental value after including the omitted two effects (1) a form factor for the weak transitions of Ξ_{cc}^{++} , and (2) the excitation of csu and cds states.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

Our computed magnetic moment, radiative decay width, and total decay width are in good agreement with other theoretical predictions.

Notably, we computed the basic estimation of the lifetime, which closely aligns with the observed experimental value. Our calculated value is 0.205 ps , while the experimental value is 0.256 ps [14]. We anticipate that more experimental details of Ξ_{cc}^{++} will be available.

Acknowledgments

We extend our gratitude to CHARUSAT for the provision of essential research facilities on campus. We also express our sincere appreciation to the University Grants Commission for awarding the SJSGC Fellowship (F No. 82-7/2022 (SA-III)) to Rameshri Patel, which provided the financial support for this research.

References

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, no.10, 112001 (2017).
- [2] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, no.10, 091801 (2018).
- [3] R. Patel, M. Shah and P. C. Vinodkumar, Few Body Syst. **64**, no.2, 30 (2023).
- [4] M. Shah, R. Patel and P. C. Vinodkumar, Few Body Syst. **64**, no.2, 34 (2023).
- [5] M. Shah, B. Patel and P. C. Vinodkumar, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no.1, 014009 (2014).
- [6] B. Patel, A. K. Rai and P. C. Vinodkumar, J. Phys. G **35**, 065001 (2008).
- [7] Z. Shah, A. Kakadiya, K. Gandhi and A. K. Rai, Universe **7**, no.9, 337 (2021).
- [8] A. Bernotas and V. Simonis, [arXiv:1209.2900 [hep-ph]].
- [9] S. K. Bose and L. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 773 (1980).
- [10] J. Dey, V. Shevchenko, P. Volkovitsky and M. Dey, Phys. Lett. B **337**, 185-188 (1994).
- [11] S. Rahmani, H. Hassanabadi and H. Sobhani, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.4, 312 (2020).
- [12] A. Bernotas and V. Simonis, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no.7, 074016 (2013).
- [13] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no.9, 094007 (2014).
- [14] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], PTEP **2022**, 083C01 (2022).