

Article

Functional Bethe Ansatz for a sinh-Gordon Model with Real q

Sergey Sergeev

Special Issue

Symmetry in Statistical Mechanics and Complex Dynamical Systems

Edited by

Dr. Vladimir García-Morales



Article

Functional Bethe Ansatz for a sinh-Gordon Model with Real q

Sergey Sergeev ^{1,2}
¹ Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Canberra, Bruce, ACT 2617, Australia; sergey.sergeev@canberra.edu.au

² Department of Fundamental and Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physics and Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

Abstract: Recently, Bazhanov and Sergeev have described an Ising-type integrable model which can be identified as a sinh-Gordon-type model with an infinite number of states but with a real parameter q . This model is the subject of Sklyanin's Functional Bethe Ansatz. We develop in this paper the whole technique of the FBA which includes: (1) Construction of eigenstates of an off-diagonal element of a monodromy matrix. The most important ingredients of these eigenstates are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the corresponding representation. (2) Separately, we discuss the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, as well as the Wigner's $6j$ symbols, in details. The latter are rather well known in the theory of $3D$ indices. Thus, the Sklyanin basis of the quantum separation of variables is constructed. The matrix elements of an eigenstate of the auxiliary transfer matrix in this basis are products of functions satisfying the Baxter equation. Such functions are called usually the Q -operators. We investigate the Baxter equation and Q -operators from two points of view. (3) In the model considered the most convenient Bethe-type variables are the zeros of a Wronskian of two well defined particular solutions of the Baxter equation. This approach works perfectly in the thermodynamic limit. We calculate the distribution of these roots in the thermodynamic limit, and so we reproduce in this way the partition function of the model. (4) The real parameter q , which is the standard quantum group parameter, plays the role of the absolute temperature in the model considered. Expansion with respect to q (tropical expansion) gives an alternative way to establish the structure of the eigenstates. In this way we classify the elementary excitations over the ground state.

Keywords: Functional Bethe Ansatz; Baxter Equation



Citation: Sergeev, S. Functional Bethe Ansatz for a sinh-Gordon Model with Real q . *Symmetry* **2024**, *16*, 947.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16080947>

Academic Editor: Abraham A. Ungar

Received: 5 July 2024

Revised: 16 July 2024

Accepted: 19 July 2024

Published: 24 July 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we apply Sklyanin's method of the Functional Bethe Ansatz [1] to the recently formulated Ising-type model related to a simple Weyl algebra representation of the $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ quantum algebra [2]. This is in fact a very well-known representation, usually referred to as a “sinh-Gordon” one, usually it was studied in the framework of either cyclic representation (Fateev-Zamolodchikov model, or the Chiral Potts model), or in the framework of the Faddeev's modular double (Faddeev-Volkov model). The case we consider here corresponds to the simple Weyl algebra with $|q| < 1$, however it also can be solved by the Functional Bethe Ansatz method as all models mentioned above.

We construct the Sklyanin basis of the “quantum separation of variables” where the matrix element of an eigenstate is the product of solutions of the Baxter equation (Baxter's \hat{Q} operator [3]). The Baxter equation is later studied in two approaches. The first one is based on a special form of Bethe Ansatz, where the Bethe variables are zeros of some special Wronskian of two well defined “unphysical” solutions of the Baxter equation. Apparently, this idea was suggested long ago by M. Gutzwiller [4], and later re-discovered by the author [5] for the sin-Gordon framework. In this way we derive a functional equation for the density of these zeros for the ground state, and thus we reproduce the partition function of the model. The second approach is based on a so-called “tropical expansion”. This approach allows one to classify the excitations over the ground state.

The paper is organised in a lapidary way. In the rest of this section we remind the method of the Functional Bethe Ansatz, fix the notations for the representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{sl}_2)$ we use, and fix some definitions of several convenient special functions.

Then, in Section 2, we formulate the solution of Sklyanin's problem of diagonalisation of the off-diagonal element $\hat{B}(\lambda)$ of the auxiliary monodromy matrix. This diagonalisation is based on a properly defined Clebsh-Gordan-Wigner functions that appeared in the theory of the invariants of three dimensional manifolds. We devote a special Section 3 to details and to a proof of statements given in Section 2. The structure of the expressions is close to those obtained by Kharchev and Lebedev for the Toda chain and the modular double [6].

The first approach (the Bethe Ansatz approach) to the Baxter equation can be found in Section 4. And, finally, we discuss the excitations in Section 5 using a “tropical expansion” approach.

1.1. The Functional Bethe Ansatz Method

Here we'd like to remind the Reader the Sklyanin method to construct the eigenstates for an auxiliary transfer matrix for a class of $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{sl}_2)$ models.

Everywhere in this paper we use the standard notations:

$$[x] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x - x^{-1}, \quad (x; q^2)_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2k}x). \quad (1)$$

The starting point for the FBA is the intertwining of an auxiliary L -operators with the help of the six-vertex R -matrix,

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} [q\lambda] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [\lambda] & [q] & 0 \\ 0 & [q] & [\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & [q\lambda] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Then, using a standard $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{sl}_2)$ Lax operator,

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda k] & f \\ e & [\lambda k^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}, \quad ke = qek, \quad kf = q^{-1}fk, \quad [e, f] = [q][k^2], \quad (3)$$

satisfying the RLL intertwining relation

$$R\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu) = (1 \otimes L(\mu))(L(\lambda) \otimes 1)R\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right), \quad (4)$$

one obtains the monodromy matrix,

$$M_n(\lambda) = L_1(\lambda)L_2(\lambda) \cdots L_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_n(\lambda) & \hat{B}_n(\lambda) \\ \hat{C}_n(\lambda) & \hat{D}_n(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Here and in what follows n stands for the chain length. The Sklyanin method is based on only two relations for the matrix elements of the monodromy matrix following from (4):

$$\begin{aligned} [q\frac{\lambda}{\mu}]\hat{A}_n(\lambda)\hat{B}_n(\mu) &= [\frac{\lambda}{\mu}]\hat{B}_n(\mu)\hat{A}_n(\lambda) + [q]\hat{A}_n(\mu)\hat{B}_n(\lambda), \\ [q^{-1}\frac{\lambda}{\mu}]\hat{D}_n(\lambda)\hat{B}_n(\mu) &= [\frac{\lambda}{\mu}]\hat{B}_n(\mu)\hat{D}_n(\lambda) - [q]\hat{D}_n(\mu)\hat{B}_n(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let

$$|z\rangle = |z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\rangle \quad (7)$$

be an eigenstate for the operator $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$:

$$\hat{B}_n(\lambda)|z\rangle = |z\rangle \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{z_j} \right]. \quad (8)$$

Then the relation (6) leads to

$$\hat{A}_n(z_j)|z\rangle = a(z_j)|\dots qz_j\dots\rangle, \quad \hat{D}_n(z_j)|z\rangle = d(z_j)|\dots q^{-1}z_j\dots\rangle \quad (9)$$

with some coefficients $a(z)$ and $d(z)$. Thus, an eigenstate of the auxiliary transfer matrix $\langle\P|$,

$$\langle\P|(\hat{A}_n(\lambda) + \hat{D}_n(\lambda)) = T(\lambda)\langle\P|, \quad (10)$$

being considered in the basis of the eigenstates $|z\rangle$ of the operator $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$, has a remarkably simple form,

$$\langle\P|z\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} Q(z_j), \quad (11)$$

where $Q(z)$ is the solution of Baxter's TQ equation

$$T(z)Q(z) = a(z)Q(qz) + d(z)Q(q^{-1}z). \quad (12)$$

A thoughtful Reader may observe that the chain length is n , while the number of parameters in the state $|z\rangle$ is one less. The reason is that there is an analogue of "total spin" operator in the spectrum of the auxiliary transfer matrix,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \cdots \mathbf{k}_n, \quad (13)$$

and its eigenvalue is an additional hidden parameter for all eigenstates.

Thus, the Sklyanin method of the Functional Bethe Ansatz implies three steps:

- (1) To construct the eigenstates of the off-diagonal operator $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$.
- (2) To find the coefficients $a(z)$ and $d(z)$ from Equation (9).
- (3) To solve the Baxter Equation (12).

1.2. The Algebra and the Representation

Let \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} be the simple Weyl algebra,

$$\mathbf{uv} = q\mathbf{vu}. \quad (15)$$

We use the following representation of simple Weyl algebra:

$$\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle = \psi(v), \quad v = e^{i\varphi}, \quad (16)$$

then

$$\langle\varphi|\mathbf{v}|\psi\rangle = v\psi(v), \quad \langle\varphi|\mathbf{u}|\psi\rangle = \psi(qv). \quad (17)$$

Note that the operator \mathbf{u} becomes diagonal in the Fourier basis,

$$\langle\varphi|\psi_m\rangle = v^m \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}|\psi_m\rangle = |\psi_m\rangle q^m. \quad (18)$$

Let us choose now the following representation π of the algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(sl_2)$,

$$\pi(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{v}, \quad \pi(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{u}, \quad \pi(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{E}(s, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{u}^{-1}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$E(s, v) = [v/s][q/sv] = \frac{q}{s^2} + \frac{s^2}{q} - \frac{q}{v^2} - \frac{v^2}{q}. \quad (20)$$

One usually mentions the Casimir operator at this point.

$$\text{Casimir} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{e}f + q\mathbf{k}^{-2} + q^{-1}\mathbf{k}^2 = qs^{-2} + q^{-1}s^2, \quad (21)$$

in order to emphasise that s is the representation parameter. Thus we study the auxiliary Lax operator of the form

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda v] & \mathbf{u} \\ E(s, v)\mathbf{u}^{-1} & [\lambda v^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Here we “undress” the operator f taking $\pi(f) = \mathbf{u}$ since the first object of our interest is the off-diagonal operator $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$. The Reader may identify this L -operator with the class of so-called sinh-Gorodon L operators [7].

1.3. The Main Special Function and the Main Identity

Concluding the Introduction, we’d like to define our main special function and the identities which it satisfies. Namely, let

$$\sigma(v) = \frac{(-q/v; q)_\infty}{(v; q)_\infty}, \quad \frac{\sigma(qv)}{\sigma(v)} = -[v], \quad \frac{1}{\sigma(v)} = \sigma(-q/v). \quad (23)$$

Garoufalidis and Kashaev mentioned the importance of this function in [8]. It satisfies the fundamental Spiridonov-type identity known as the “beta-integral for the pentagon equation” from one hand side, and also known as the “star-triangle relation” for the model considered from the other hand side [2]:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dv}{v} \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\sigma(a_j v)}{\sigma(b_j v)} = \prod_{i,k=1}^3 \sigma\left(\frac{b_j}{a_k}\right)^{-1}, \quad \frac{b_1 b_2 b_3}{a_1 a_2 a_3} = q^2, \quad (24)$$

where the integration contour could be chosen so that

$$\max(|q/b_j|) < |v| < \min(1/|a_j|). \quad (25)$$

As well, here for the shortness

$$\kappa_s = \frac{(-q; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}. \quad (26)$$

One more useful function is

$$\mathcal{K}(v_1, v_2) = \frac{\sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)}{\sigma(v_1 v_2)}. \quad (27)$$

Garoufalidis and Kashaev called this function “an index for an ideal tetrahedron” [8–10]. Below we will see that it is a $3j$ symbol, i.e., a CG coefficient. The “beta-integral” plays the role of the Biedenharn-Elliott identity for $6j$ symbols. The identity (24) can be re-written as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx'}{x'} \mathcal{K}(x'v_1, \frac{v_2}{x'}) \mathcal{K}(yv_1 v_2, \frac{x'}{y} v_3) \mathcal{K}(\frac{y}{xx'}, xx') = \mathcal{K}(\frac{y}{x} v_1, \frac{v_2 v_3}{y}) \mathcal{K}(xv_2, \frac{v_3}{x}). \quad (28)$$

One more useful identity is the inversion relation for the GC coefficients,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx'}{x'} \mathcal{K}(\frac{y}{xx'}, xx') \mathcal{K}(\frac{x'x''}{y}, \frac{1}{x'x''}) = 2\pi \kappa_s \delta(\varphi_x - \varphi_{x''}), \quad x = e^{i\varphi_x}. \quad (29)$$

Finally, we define one more convenient function,

$$J(s, v) = \frac{\sigma(sv)}{\sigma(s/v)}, \quad \frac{J(s, v)}{J(s, q^{-1}v)} = E(s, v), \quad \frac{J(s, qv)}{J(s, v)} = E(s, v^{-1}), \quad (30)$$

where $E(s, v)$ is defined by (20).

2. The Eigenstates for $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$

An answer to the first two items of Sklyanin's program (14) is formulated in this Section. The final answer is rather lengthy and cumbersome. So, we commence with a set of definitions.

2.1. Definitions and Notations

Let us introduce the following set of primary variables,

$$\mu_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad j = 1, \dots, i, \quad (31)$$

and

$$v_1 = e^{i\varphi_1}, \quad v_2 = e^{i\varphi_2}, \quad \dots, v_n = e^{i\varphi_n}. \quad (32)$$

Next, we define the following uncomfortable and clumsy system of notations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \mu_{1,1}, & v^{(1)} &= v_1, \\ \mu_2 &= \mu_{2,1}\mu_{2,2}, & v^{(2)} &= v_1v_2, \\ \mu_3 &= -\mu_{3,1}\mu_{3,2}\mu_{3,3}, & v^{(3)} &= v_1v_2v_3, \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \mu_{n-1} &= \epsilon_n \mu_{n-1,1}\mu_{n-1,2} \cdots \mu_{n-1,n-1}, & v^{(n-1)} &= v_1v_2 \cdots v_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The signs appeared somewhere here are defined by

$$\epsilon_2 = \epsilon'_2 = 1, \quad \epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon'_n, \quad \epsilon'_{n+1} = (-)^{n+1}\epsilon_n. \quad (34)$$

The meaning of this sequence with period four will become clear later.

Next, we introduce the vector notations: top down for the table (33),

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1}\}, \quad (35)$$

and from left to right,

$$\mu_\ell = \{\mu_{\ell,1}, \mu_{\ell,2}, \dots, \mu_{\ell,\ell}\}, \quad v = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \quad (36)$$

The Clebsh-Gordan coefficients are defined above (27), however we need also a "long CG coefficient from left to right",

$$\mathcal{K}_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{K}(\mu_\ell v^{(\ell)}, \frac{\mu_{\ell-1}}{\mu_\ell} v_{\ell+1}), \quad (37)$$

where formally $\mu_0 = 1$. Next, the kernel for the eigenstate of $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^i J(s, \mu_{i,j}) \right) \times \left(\prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left[\frac{\mu_{k,i}}{\mu_{k,j}} \right] \right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma\left(\frac{\mu_{k+1,i}}{\mu_{k,j}} \right) \right). \quad (38)$$

Here $J(s, v)$ are defined by (30). The Reader can find below few examples of this scary expression (for the sake of shortness we temporarily re-define $\mu_{1,1} = x, \mu_{2,j} = y_j, \mu_{3,j} = z_j$):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2 &= 1, \\ \mathcal{F}_3(\mu) &= J(s, x) \sigma\left(\frac{y_1}{x}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{y_2}{x}\right), \\ \mathcal{F}_4(\mu) &= \mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \left[\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right] J(s, y_1) J(s, y_2) \sigma\left(\frac{z_1}{y_1}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{z_2}{y_1}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{z_3}{y_1}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{z_1}{y_2}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{z_2}{y_2}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{z_3}{y_2}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

In particular,

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(\mu)}{\mathcal{F}_n(\mu)} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} J(s, \mu_{n-1,j}) \right) \times \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left[\frac{\mu_{n-1,i}}{\mu_{n-1,j}} \right] \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma\left(\frac{\mu_{n,i}}{\mu_{n-1,j}}\right) \right). \quad (40)$$

Finally, the last indigestible definition in this section:

$$\Omega_n(v, \mu_{n-1}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^i \oint \frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \frac{d\mu_{i,j}}{\mu_{i,j}} \right) \times \mathcal{K}_n(v, \mu) \mathcal{F}_n(\mu). \quad (41)$$

There are a lot of integrals in all formulas above. The integrals are well defined as the integrals over the unit circle when

$$|q| < |s| < 1, \quad (42)$$

and with the extra convention

$$\sigma(v) \rightarrow \sigma(v e^{-\epsilon}) \quad (43)$$

in the case when a pole of σ lies on the unit circle.

Now we are ready to define the state

$$\langle \varphi | z \rangle = \Omega_n(v; z), \quad (44)$$

where for shortness $z_j = \mu_{n-1,j}$, i.e.,

$$\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\} = \{\mu_{n-1,1}, \mu_{n-1,2}, \dots, \mu_{n-1,n-1}\}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$\varphi = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}, \quad v = \{e^{i\varphi_1}, e^{i\varphi_2}, \dots, e^{i\varphi_n}\}. \quad (46)$$

Often we will write shortly $\Omega_n(v; x) = \Omega_n(z)$. As well, taking into account the Dirac notations, we will imply $u_k \Omega_n(v; z) = \Omega_n(\dots q v_k \dots; z)$.

2.2. The Main Statement

The following statement takes place:

Statement 1. The state $|z\rangle$ defined by (41), (44), (...) satisfies

$$\hat{B}_n(\lambda) |z\rangle = |z\rangle \epsilon_n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{z_j} \right]. \quad (47)$$

In addition

$$\hat{A}_n(z_j) |z\rangle = |\dots q z_j \dots\rangle (-)^n \epsilon_{n-1} E(s, z_j^{-1}), \quad (48)$$

and

$$\hat{D}_n(z_j) |z\rangle = |\dots q^{-1} z_j \dots\rangle \epsilon_{n-1} E(s, z_j)^{n-1}, \quad (49)$$

where the set of “epsilons” is defined by (34).

Proof of this statement—the whole next section.

3. Proof of the Statement 1

For the proof of the Statement 1 we will use the mathematical induction.

3.1. Induction Base: $n = 2$

As the base, we take $n = 2$ and consider explicit form of $\hat{B}_2(\lambda)$:

$$\hat{B}_2(\lambda) = [\lambda v_1]u_2 + u_1[\lambda v_2^{-1}]. \quad (50)$$

Taking now

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 | \Omega \rangle = \Omega(v_1, v_2), \quad (51)$$

where notations (16), (17) are implied and $\Omega(v_1, v_2)$ is unknown, we obtain equation for the eigenstate:

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 | \hat{B}_2(\lambda) | \Omega \rangle = [\lambda v_1]\Omega(v_1, qv_2) + [\lambda/v_2]\Omega(qv_1, v_2) = q^m \left[\frac{\lambda}{x} \right] \Omega(v_1, v_2). \quad (52)$$

This equation comprises two independent equations corresponding to the order λ and to the order λ^{-1} , so that the solution is unique:

$$\frac{\Omega(qv_1, v_2)}{\Omega(v_1, v_2)} = q^m \frac{[xv_1]}{[v_1v_2]}, \quad \frac{\Omega(v_1, qv_2)}{\Omega(v_1, v_2)} = q^m \frac{[v_2/x]}{[v_1v_2]}. \quad (53)$$

Checking this with (18), (23), (27), we can fix

$$\Omega(v_1, v_2) = \mathcal{K}(xv_1, v_2/x)(v_1v_2)^m. \quad (54)$$

All similar expressions with CG coefficients will contain simple factors like $(v_1v_2)^m$, $(v_1v_2v_3)^m$, and so on, so for shortness we will omit such factor by putting $m = 0$. This corresponds to the ground state.

Expression (50) justifies the term “Clebsch-Gordan coefficient” since $\hat{B}_2(\lambda) = \lambda \Delta^{(-)}(e) - \lambda^{-1} \Delta^{(+)}(e)$ has the structure of a co-product, see below.

So, taking $m = 0$, we obtain

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 | x \rangle = \Omega_2(x) = \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}), \quad \hat{B}_2(\lambda) | x \rangle = | x \rangle \left[\frac{\lambda}{x} \right]. \quad (55)$$

Now, consider $\hat{A}_2(\lambda)$ and $\hat{D}_2(\lambda)$:

$$\hat{A}_2(\lambda) = [\lambda v_1][\lambda v_2] + E(s, v_2)u_1u_2^{-1}, \quad \hat{D}_2(\lambda) = [\lambda v_1^{-1}][\lambda v_2^{-1}] + E(s, v_1)u_1^{-1}u_2. \quad (56)$$

The direct verification gives

$$\hat{A}_2(x) | x \rangle = | qx \rangle E(s, x^{-1}), \quad \hat{D}_2(x) | x \rangle = | q^{-1}x \rangle E(s, x). \quad (57)$$

Thus the base for the mathematical induction is satisfied.

3.2. Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients and Wigner 6j Symbols

Before we continue the proof of the Statement 1, let us discuss the general construction of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

To do it, consider two co-products:

$$\Delta_n^{(-)}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_1 \cdots v_{j-1} u_j v_{j+1}^{-1} \cdots v_n^{-1}, \quad (58)$$

and

$$\Delta_n^{(+)}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_1^{-1} \cdots v_{j-1}^{-1} u_j v_{j+1} \cdots v_n. \quad (59)$$

Note,

$$\hat{B}_n(\lambda) = \lambda^{n-1} \Delta_n^{(-)}(f) + \cdots + (-\lambda)^{-n+1} \Delta_n^{(+)}(f). \quad (60)$$

“Long CG coefficients” appear as the basis for an Eigenspace of these co-products. A list of “long CG coefficients from left to right” is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_2(x) &= \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}), \\ \mathcal{K}_3(x, y) &= \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}) \mathcal{K}(yv_1v_2, \frac{x}{y}v_3), \\ \mathcal{K}_4(x, y, z) &= \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}) \mathcal{K}(yv_1v_2, \frac{x}{y}v_3) \mathcal{K}(zv_1v_2v_3, \frac{y}{z}v_4), \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{K}_n(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{K}(\mu_j v_1 \cdots v_j, \frac{\mu_{j+1}}{\mu_j} v_{j+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The last expression is a copy of (37). For all these “long CG coefficients” we have

Statement 2.

$$\Delta_n^{(\pm)}(f) \mathcal{K}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}) = \mathcal{K}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}) \mu_{n-1}^{\pm 1} \quad \forall \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-2}. \quad (62)$$

where bra-s and ket-s are implied but omitted for the shortness.

Proof. Computation is straightforward and simple. \square

However, there exists also a set of “long CG coefficients from right to left”:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}'_2(x) &= \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}), \\ \mathcal{K}'_3(x, y) &= \mathcal{K}(\frac{y}{x}v_1, \frac{v_2v_3}{y}) \mathcal{K}(xv_2, \frac{v_3}{x}), \\ \mathcal{K}'_4(x, y, z) &= \mathcal{K}(\frac{z}{y}v_1, \frac{v_2v_3v_4}{z}) \mathcal{K}(\frac{y}{x}v_2, \frac{v_3v_4}{y}) \mathcal{K}(xv_3, \frac{v_4}{x}), \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{K}'_n(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{K}(\frac{\mu_{n-j}}{\mu_{n-j-1}} v_j, \frac{v_{j+1} \cdots v_n}{\mu_{n-j}}). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

They also satisfy the Statement 2. Therefore, there exists a linear operator transforming one basis to the other.

One can use the completeness relation in order to obtain a kernel of this linear operator. In two sites it reads:

$$\kappa_s \sum_m \frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx}{x} \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}) \mathcal{K}(\frac{1}{xv'_1}, \frac{x}{v'_2}) \left(\frac{v_1 v_2}{v'_1 v'_2} \right)^m = (2\pi \kappa_s)^2 \delta(\varphi_1 - \varphi'_1) \delta(\varphi_2 - \varphi'_2) ,$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i \kappa_s)^2} \oint \oint \frac{dv_1}{v_1} \frac{dv_2}{v_2} \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}) \mathcal{K}(\frac{1}{x'v'_1}, \frac{x'}{v'_2}) (v_1 v_2)^{m-m'} = 2\pi \delta(\varphi_x - \varphi_{x'}) \delta_{m,m'} .$$

These formulas are related to the inversion (29).

Applying the completeness relations, one can obtain the intertwiner for $\mathcal{K}_3(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{K}'_3(x', y)$. The final form of the intertwining relation reads

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx}{x} \mathcal{K}_3(x, y) \mathcal{K}(\frac{y}{xx'}, xx') = \mathcal{K}'_3(x', y) ,$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx'}{x'} \mathcal{K}'_3(x', y) \mathcal{K}(\frac{xx'}{y}, \frac{1}{xx'}) = \mathcal{K}_3(x, y) .$$

This linear operator is by definition the Wigner $6j$ symbol which apparently coincide with the $3j$ symbol, and the first relation of (65) is given in the Introduction, Equation (28).

3.3. Proof of the Statement 1 Continued. The Induction Step

Suppose now, the Statement 1 is true for some n . Namely, there is defined a function

$$\Omega_n(z) ,$$

constructed with the help of definitions (37), (38), including the “long CG coefficient”, and where for shortness

$$z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\} = \{\mu_{n-1,1}, \mu_{n-1,2}, \dots, \mu_{n-1,n-1}\} .$$

and where

$$z = \epsilon_n z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{n-1} ,$$

and which satisfies

$$\hat{B}_n(\lambda) \Omega_n(z) = \Omega_n(z) \epsilon_n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{z_j} \right] , \quad \hat{A}_n(z_j) \Omega_n(z) = \Omega(\dots q z_j \dots) \epsilon'_n E(s, z_j^{-1}) .$$

Consider next the case $n + 1$, when the monodromy matrix has the form

$$M_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_n(\lambda) [\lambda v_{n+1}] + E(s, v_{n+1}) \hat{B}_n(\lambda) u_{n+1}^{-1} & \hat{A}_n(\lambda) u_{n+1} + \hat{B}_n(\lambda) [\lambda v_{n+1}^{-1}] \\ \dots & \hat{C}_n(\lambda) u_{n+1} + \hat{D}_n(\lambda) [\lambda v_{n+1}^{-1}] \end{pmatrix} ,$$

so that

$$\hat{B}_{n+1}(\lambda) = \hat{A}_n(\lambda) u_{n+1} + \hat{B}_n(\lambda) [\lambda v_{n+1}^{-1}] .$$

Consider now the action of $\hat{B}_{n+1}(\lambda)$ on the state

$$\Psi(z; \mu) = \Omega_n(z) \mathcal{K}(\mu v^{(n)}, \frac{z}{\mu} v_{n+1}) ,$$

where the additional CG coefficient brings the previous “long CG coefficient”, already included into Ω_n , to the required length. The scalar parameter μ is yet undefined. The straightforward consequence of (68) is

$$\hat{B}_{n+1}(z_j) \Psi(z; \mu) = \epsilon'_n E(s, z_j^{-1}) \Psi(\dots q z_j \dots; \mu) .$$

Therefore, it follows

Statement 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}_{n+1}(\lambda)\Psi(z;\mu) &= \epsilon_n \left[\frac{\lambda z}{\mu} \right] \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{z_j} \right] \Psi(z;\mu) \\ &+ \epsilon'_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Psi(\dots qz_j \dots; \mu) E(s, z_j^{-1}) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\left[\frac{\lambda}{z_k} \right]}{\left[\frac{z_j}{z_k} \right]}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Proof. This formula is an identity for the polynomials in λ of degree n . It is satisfied in $n-1$ points $\lambda = z_j$, and also it has consistent asymptotic when $\lambda \rightarrow 0, \infty$ (see the Statement 2). These are the sufficient requirements. \square

We take next (yet undefined) function

$$\mathcal{G}_n(z; \mu), \quad \mu = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}, \quad \mu = \epsilon_{n+1} \prod_j \mu_j, \quad (74)$$

and try

$$\Omega_{n+1}(\mu) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \oint \frac{dz_j}{z_j} \right) \Psi(z; \mu) \mathcal{G}(z; \mu). \quad (75)$$

In this case the desired eigenstate equation

$$B_{n+1}(\lambda) \Omega_{n+1}(\mu) = \Omega_{n+1}(\mu) \epsilon_{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^n \left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu_\ell} \right], \quad (76)$$

together with (73), gives

$$\epsilon_n \left[\frac{\lambda z}{\mu} \right] \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{z_j} \right] + \epsilon'_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E(s, qz_j^{-1}) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\left[\frac{\lambda}{z_k} \right]}{\left[q^{-1} \frac{z_j}{z_k} \right]} \frac{\mathcal{G}_n(\dots q^{-1} z_j \dots; \mu)}{\mathcal{G}_n(z; \mu)} = \epsilon_{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^n \left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu_\ell} \right]. \quad (77)$$

Leading in λ terms here are cancelled. Taking $\lambda = z_j$ in this equation, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}_n(\dots q^{-1} z_j \dots; \mu)}{\mathcal{G}_n(z; \mu)} = \epsilon'_n \epsilon_{n+1} \frac{1}{E(s, qz_j^{-1})} \times \left(\prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\left[q^{-1} \frac{z_j}{z_k} \right]}{\left[\frac{z_j}{z_k} \right]} \right) \times \prod_{\ell=1}^n \left[\frac{z_j}{\mu_\ell} \right], \quad (78)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{G}_n(z; \mu) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} J(s, z_j) \right) \times \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left[\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right] \right) \times \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma \left(\frac{\mu_\ell}{z_j} \right) \right), \quad (79)$$

if $\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon'_n$, what is one of the conditions (34).

Comparing this expression with (40), one can verify their equivalence upon the identification $z = \mu_{n-1}$ and $\mu = \mu_n$, so that the expression (38) is reproduced. Thus, the Formula (47) from the Statement 1 is proven.

The proof of the Equation (48) is trivial. It is enough to take the Formula (69) and to derive after simple computations

$$\hat{A}_{n+1}(\lambda) = [\lambda v_{n+1}] u_{n+1}^{-1} \hat{B}_{n+1}(\lambda) + E(s, \lambda^{-1}) u_{n+1}^{-1} \hat{B}_n(\lambda), \quad (80)$$

so that (48) is provided instantly, and since

$$u_{n+1}^{-1} \hat{B}_n(\mu_\ell) \Psi(z; \mu) = \Psi(x; \mu) \epsilon_n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\mu_\ell}{z_j} \right] = (-)^{n-1} \epsilon_n \Psi(z; q\mu) \frac{\mathcal{G}(z; \dots q\mu_\ell \dots)}{\mathcal{G}(z; \mu)}, \quad (81)$$

then

$$\hat{A}_{n+1}(\mu_\ell) \Omega_{n+1}(\mu) = (-)^{n-1} \epsilon_n E(s, \mu_\ell^{-1}) \Omega_{n+1}(\dots q\mu_\ell \dots), \quad (82)$$

and thus the condition $\epsilon'_{n+1} = (-)^{n-1} \epsilon_n$, cf. (34), is fixed.

Finally in this Section, we turn to the proof of the Formula (49). It could be proven as follows. Instead of “the long CG coefficients from left to right”, one have to consider “the long CG coefficients from right to left”. This means that one has to take the Formula (41) and to start to push the CG coefficients from right to left with the help of the relation (65), using the identity (24) on each step. This computation in details is too lengthy. Here we demonstrate this procedure for $n = 3$. The initial Formula (41) for $n = 3$ reads

$$\Omega_3(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx}{x} \mathcal{K}(xv_1, \frac{v_2}{x}) \mathcal{K}(y_1 y_2 v_1 v_2, \frac{x}{y_1 y_2} v_3) \frac{\sigma(sx)}{\sigma(s/x)} \sigma(\frac{y_1}{x}) \sigma(\frac{y_2}{x}). \quad (83)$$

The same $\Omega_3(y_1, y_2)$, rewritten “from right to left”, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_3(y_1, y_2) &= \frac{\sigma(sy_1) \sigma(sy_2)}{\sigma(s/y_1) \sigma(s/y_2)} \times \\ &\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa_s} \oint \frac{dx}{x} \mathcal{K}(\frac{y_1 y_2}{x} v_1, \frac{v_2 v_3}{y_1 y_2}) \mathcal{K}(xv_2, \frac{v_3}{x}) \frac{\sigma(s/x)}{\sigma(sx)} \sigma(\frac{x}{y_1}) \sigma(\frac{x}{y_2}). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The structure of the integral here corresponds to the construction of the Eigenvector $|z\rangle$ using a similar mathematical induction but “from right to left” rather than “from left to right”. However, this computation provides an extra multiplier, which is responsible for the power $n - 1$ of E in the action of \hat{D}_n , see (49).

Just for reference, the induction “from right to left” reads

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}_{n+1}(\lambda) &= [\lambda v_1] \hat{B}_n(\lambda) + u_1 \hat{D}_n(\lambda), \\ \hat{D}_{n+1}(\lambda) &= E(s, \lambda) u_1^{-1} \hat{B}_n(\lambda) + [\lambda v_1^{-1}] u_1^{-1} \hat{B}_{n+1}(\lambda), \\ \Psi'_n(z; \mu) &= \mathcal{K}(\frac{\mu}{z} v_1, \frac{v^{(1)}}{\mu}) \Omega'_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

As the consequence, $\hat{D}_{n+1}(\mu_\ell) \Omega_{n+1}(\mu) = \epsilon_n E(s, \mu_\ell)^{n-1} \Omega_{n+1}(\dots q^{-1} \mu_\ell \dots)$.

An important conclusion to be made from the relations (83) and (84) is the following. The function $\Omega_n(z)$ is by construction analytical for $|z_j| \leq 1$. One can make the analytical continuation

$$\Omega_n(z) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} J(s, z_j) \right)^{n-2} \Omega'_n(z), \quad (85)$$

this transformation is in particular given by (83), (84), so that $\Omega'_n(z)$ is analytical for $|z_j| \geq 1$. This observation is important for the next section.

4. Baxter Equation

The separated basis $|z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\rangle$ of the Eigenstates of operator $\hat{B}_n(\lambda)$ has been constructed in the previous Sections, so that the problem of the diagonalization of the auxiliary transfer matrix is reduced by means of (11) to the solution of the Baxter equation.

4.1. Formulation of the Problem

So, gathering together (12) and (48), (49), we come to the following equation for the component of the wave function (11),

$$T(z)Q(z) = \epsilon_{n-1} \left((-)^n E(s, z^{-1}) Q(qz) + E(s, z)^{n-1} Q(q^{-1}z) \right). \quad (86)$$

where z now is a scalar complex variable, and $\epsilon_{4n} = -1$, otherwise $\epsilon_n = 1$. This is a strange prediction. The sign $(-)^n$ in (86) is good, but the common multiplier ϵ_{n-1} , which equals to -1 for the chain lengths 5, 9, 13, ..., looks strange. We do not have explanation to this. In what follows, we accept everywhere $\epsilon_{n-1} = 1$.

Next we need an intermediate step. Equation (86) is not symmetric, one can bring it to a symmetric form introducing

$$Q'(z) = J(s, z) Q(z), \quad (87)$$

so that the Baxter equation becomes

$$T(z)Q'(z) = (-)^n Q'(qz) + E(s, z)^n Q'(q^{-1}z). \quad (88)$$

Note, transformation (87) is indeed the symmetrisation since the same equation for an inhomogeneous chain looks as follows:

$$T(z)Q(z) = (-)^n E(s_n, z^{-1}) Q(qz) + \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} E(s_k, z) \right) Q(q^{-1}z).$$

Now we can turn to an analytical structure of $Q'(z)$. Function $Q'(z)$, as well as the function $\Omega_n(z)$, is analytical for $|z| \leq 1$. Expression (85) gives the analytical continuation of $\Omega_n(z)$ to $|z| \geq 1$. During this continuation, it seems, some zeros may disappear, so the change (87) seems to be reasonable. As the result, we postulate that the poles of $Q'(z)$ are given by

$$Q'(z) = \frac{H(z)}{(sz, -qz/s; q)_{\infty}^n}, \quad (89)$$

where $H(z)$ is an entire function. Then the Baxter equation for the entire H becomes

$$T(z)H(z) = (-)^n (1 - sz)^n (1 + q \frac{z}{s})^n H(qz) + (1 - \frac{s}{z})^n (1 + \frac{q}{sz})^n H(q^{-1}z). \quad (90)$$

4.2. Comparison with Previous Results

It could be interesting to compare the Baxter Equation (86) arisen in this paper with the same equation from [2,11] and obtained in a completely different way.

The result from [2,11] reads: the Baxter equation for the operator \hat{Q} becomes (after transformation of notations there to the current ones)

$$T(z)\hat{Q}(z) = [sz]^n \hat{Q}(qz) + [z/s]^n \hat{Q}(q^{-1}z). \quad (91)$$

To be explicit, the L - and Q - operators from [11],

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} [\frac{xx'}{\lambda^2} v] & u[\frac{x'}{x} v] \\ u^{-1}[\frac{x'}{x} v^{-1}] & [\frac{xx'}{\lambda^2} v^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle a | \hat{Q}(\lambda) | b \rangle = \prod_i \frac{W_{x/\lambda}(a_i - b_i) W_{\lambda/x'}(a_{i+1} - b_i)}{W_{x/x'}(b_{i+1} - b_i)} \quad (92)$$

where

$$W_x(n) = x^n \frac{(-q^{1+n} x^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q^{1+n}/x^2; q^2)_{\infty}},$$

give

$$T(\lambda)\hat{Q}(\lambda) = \left[\frac{x'^2}{\lambda^2}\right]^n \hat{Q}(q^{1/2}\lambda) + \left[\frac{x^2}{\lambda^2}\right]^n \hat{Q}(q^{-1/2}\lambda),$$

what corresponds to (91) with the identification $z = \frac{xx'}{\lambda^2}$, $s = \frac{x}{x'}$, $\frac{x'^2}{\lambda^2} = \frac{z}{s}$, $\frac{x^2}{\lambda^2} = sz$.

The operator $\hat{Q}(z)$, satisfying (91), has the following set of poles according to [2,11]:

$$\hat{Q}(z) = \frac{H(z)}{(-q/sz, -qz/s; q)_{\infty}^n}, \quad (93)$$

where $H(z)$ – entire.

One can verify straightforwardly, function $H(z)$ from (93) satisfies the same Equation (90) as required.

Note, the operator $\hat{Q}(z)$ satisfies also the Wronskian relation,

$$\hat{Q}(q^{-1}z)\hat{Q}(-z) - \hat{Q}(z)\hat{Q}(-q^{-1}z) = \text{const} \times \left(\left(\frac{\sigma(-z/s)}{\sigma(-sz)} \right)^n - \left(\frac{\sigma(z/s)}{\sigma(sz)} \right)^n \right), \quad (94)$$

where $\text{const} = \kappa_s^{-2N}$ in the normalisation (92).

4.3. The Method to Solve the Baxter Equation for Entire $H(z)$

The following statement is true:

Statement 4. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the quantisation of the spectrum of $T(z)$ is the entireness of function $H(z)$ in the Baxter Equation (90).*

We will formulate the method of solution of (90) as the proof of this statement. The method we use is closely related to the results obtained in e.g., [4,12–16].

Ergo, we consider equation

$$T(z)H(z) = (-)^n a(z)H(qz) + d(z)H(q^{-1}z), \quad (95)$$

where

$$a(z) = (1-sz)^n \left(1 + q\frac{z}{s}\right)^n, \quad d(z) = \left(1 - \frac{s}{z}\right)^n \left(1 + \frac{q}{sz}\right)^n, \quad (96)$$

and, what is essential,

$$T(z) = 2z^n + \dots + 2(-z)^{-n}, \quad (97)$$

where the ground state condition

$$v_1 v_2 \dots v_n = 1 \quad (98)$$

is taken into account. Since Equation (95) is the second order difference equation, we can talk about a two-dimensional basis of its solution space. We suggest the following particular basis:

$$H_{\pm}(z) = F_{\pm}(z) \chi_{\pm}(z), \quad (99)$$

where

$$\frac{F_+(z)}{F_+(q^{-1}z)} = (-z)^n d(z), \quad (100)$$

so that

$$F_+(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s}{z}, -\frac{q}{sz}; q\right)_{\infty}^n}{V_+(z)}, \quad \frac{V_+(q^{-1}z)}{V_+(z)} = (-z)^n, \quad (101)$$

and where $V_+(z)$ is some theta-function, yet undefined. The resulting equation for $\chi_+(z)$ becomes

$$(-z)^n T(z) \chi_+(z) = \chi_+(q^{-1}z) + (1 - s^2 z^2)^n (1 - q^2 \frac{z^2}{s^2})^n \chi_+(qz). \quad (102)$$

In its turn,

$$\frac{F_-(z)}{F_-(qz)} = (-z)^{-n} a(z), \quad (103)$$

so that

$$F_-(z) = \frac{(sz, -q\frac{z}{s}; q)_\infty^n}{V_-(z)}, \quad \frac{V_-(z)}{V_-(qz)} = (-z)^n, \quad (104)$$

and the resulting equation for χ_- becomes

$$z^{-n} T(z) \chi_-(z) = \chi_-(qz) + (1 - \frac{s^2}{z^2})^n (1 - \frac{q^2}{s^2 z^2})^n \chi_-(q^{-1}z). \quad (105)$$

So far it is unclear why we need these transformations. The reason is the following:

Statement 5. Equations (102) and (105) have unique series solutions

$$\chi_+(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{+,k} z^{2k}, \quad \chi_-(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{-,k} z^{-2k} \quad (106)$$

with the infinite convergency radius for the arbitrary coefficients inside the polynomial $T(z)$, Equations (97).

Proof. Consider for instance Equation (102). It can be identically rewritten in the matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_+(q^{-1}z) \\ \chi_+(z) \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} (-z)^n T(z) & -(1 - s^2 z^2)^n (1 - q^2 \frac{z^2}{s^2})^n \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{L}(z)} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+(z) \\ \chi_+(qz) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (107)$$

Note, $\mathcal{L}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a Jordan cell with the property $\mathcal{L}(0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so that the infinite matrix product

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_+(q^{-1}z) \\ \chi_+(z) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{L}(z) \mathcal{L}(qz) \mathcal{L}(q^2 z) \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (108)$$

is well defined and it is convergent everywhere in $z \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

The next step is to understand what theta-functions enter the definition of H_{\pm} . But, before doing this, consider the Wronskian of χ_+ and χ_- :

$$\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z) = \chi_+(q^{-1}z) \chi_-(z) - (-q)^n \left[\frac{z}{s}\right]^n \left[\frac{sZ}{q}\right]^n \chi_+(z) \chi_-(q^{-1}z), \quad (109)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z) = (-z^2)^n \mathcal{W}_{\chi}(qz). \quad (110)$$

Since $\chi_{\pm}(z)$ are uniquely defined, the zeros of $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z)$ are also uniquely defined in the rectangle of periods:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z) = \text{const} \times \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{z^2}{w_k^2}, q^2 \frac{w_k^2}{z^2}; q^2 \right), \quad \prod_{k=1}^n w_k = 1. \quad (111)$$

Consider next the ratio,

$$\mathcal{C}(z) = \frac{H_+(z)}{H_-(z)}. \quad (112)$$

Equation $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z) = 0$ can be identically rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{C}(qz) = \mathcal{C}(z). \quad (113)$$

Now everything is ready to define finally the theta-functions V_{\pm} and to construct the desired solution of (95). Define

$$V_-(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{z}{w_k}, q \frac{w_k}{z}; q \right)_{\infty}, \quad V_+(z) = (-z)^{-n} V_-(z). \quad (114)$$

Thus we make the denominators of $H_+(z)$ and $H_-(z)$ to be the same. Note, substituting (99), (101), (104) into (94), one obtains identically $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z) = V_+(-z)V_-(z)$. The required entire solution of (95) is thus given by the linear combination

$$H(z) = H_+(z) - CH_-(z), \quad (115)$$

where the poles cancellation condition is the Gutzwiller-type equation [4]

$$\mathcal{C}(z) = C \quad \forall z : V_-(z) = 0. \quad (116)$$

According to (113), the number of equations here is $n - 1$, namely

$$\mathcal{C}(w_j) = \mathcal{C}(w_k) \quad \forall j, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (117)$$

while the number of unknowns is also $n - 1$ according to the condition (111). The Equation (117) have the meaning of the Bethe Ansatz equations where w_k play the role of Bethe variables. The real unknowns, however, are the coefficients of the polynomial $T(z)$. This makes a numerical analysis of the Equations (117) rather difficult. However, the number of variables equals to the number of equations and therefore the problem is well posed and therefore solvable.

4.4. The Ground State in the Thermodynamical Limit

Function (112) in details becomes

$$\mathcal{C}(z) = \frac{H_+(z)}{H_-(z)} = (-z)^n \frac{\sigma(sz)^n}{\sigma(s/z)^n} \frac{\chi_+(z)}{\chi_-(z)}. \quad (118)$$

What we expect after taking into account the results of [12]:

$$\chi_+(z) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - q^{2m} \frac{z^2}{w_{k,m}^2} \right), \quad \chi_-(z) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - q^{2m} \frac{w_{k,m}^2}{z^2} \right). \quad (119)$$

where

$$\frac{w_k}{w_{k,m}} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{when} \quad m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (120)$$

As well, we expect that the set of Bethe variables w_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, in the thermodynamic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ form some distribution on the unit circle with some distribution density. At

the same time, the set of $w_{k,m}$ for fixed m forms a distribution along some curve with its own density, but such contour can be smoothly deformed to the unit circle so that the density of $w_{k,m}$ smoothly become the density of w_k . With this *essentially strong assumptions* we obtain the following limit for $\mathcal{C}(z)$:

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{C}(z) = \log(-z) + \log \frac{\sigma(sz)}{\sigma(s/z)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dw}{w} P(w) \log \frac{(q^2 \frac{z^2}{w^2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2 \frac{w^2}{z^2}; q^2)_\infty} = 0, \quad (121)$$

Here the density $P(w)$ is defined by the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{2\pi} (\varphi_{k+1} - \varphi_k) P(e^{i\varphi_k}) = 1, \quad P(e^{i\varphi}) \geq 0, \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} P(e^{i\varphi}) = 1. \quad (122)$$

There is the helpful observation:

$$\frac{(q^2 x^2; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2 x^{-2}; q^2)_\infty} = -x^{-1} \frac{\sigma(x^{-1})}{\sigma(x)}. \quad (123)$$

Then the equation for the density can be rewritten as

$$\log \frac{\sigma(sz)}{\sigma(s/z)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dw}{w} P(w) \log \frac{\sigma(w/z)}{\sigma(z/w)} = 0. \quad (124)$$

This equation has the following solution:

$$P(w) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^m + (-q/s)^m}{1 + (-q)^m} (w^m + w^{-m}). \quad (125)$$

Expression (125) for the density of zeros in the thermodynamical limit gives some bell-shape peak near $\varphi = 0$, as expected.

Expression (125) has been obtained under rather strong assumptions. Hopefully, one can verify it. The first verification approach is the so-called “tropical limit”, the limit $q \rightarrow 0$. In this limit $\chi_{\pm}(z) = 1$, so that

$$\mathcal{C}(w) = \left(-w \frac{\sigma(sw)}{\sigma(s/w)} \right)^n \rightarrow \left(\frac{s-w}{1-sw} \right)^n = -1, \quad (126)$$

what gives the solution

$$\frac{s-w_k}{1-sw_k} = e^{2\pi i(k+1/2)/n}. \quad (127)$$

The auxiliary transfer matrix then is given by the following expression:

$$(-z)^n T(z) = (1-s^{2n}) \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{w_k^2} \right) + (1-s^2 z^2)^n + (s^2 - z^2)^n. \quad (128)$$

Combining then (127) and (122) in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains

$$P(w) = 1 + \frac{sw}{1-sw} + \frac{sw^{-1}}{1-sw^{-1}}, \quad (129)$$

what explicitly corresponds to (125) in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$. What else could be mentioned in regard to the tropical limit. The Reader can see -1 on the right hand side of (126). Thus the ground state is symmetric as it should be according to the general ideas of the quantum mechanics. As well, the tropical limit in its simple form reproduces the ground state only. In other words, parameter $-q$ plays the role of the absolute temperature, and the tropical

limit corresponds to the freezing of the system. More general approach to the tropical expansion will be formulated in the next section.

As the second consistency test, one can recalculate the partition function already known [2]. The partition function is the Eigenvalue of \hat{Q} per one site:

$$\hat{Q}(z) \sim \frac{\chi_+(z)}{\sigma(s/z)^n V_+(z)} \sim \frac{\chi_-(z)}{\sigma(sz)^n V_-(z)}, \quad (130)$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \hat{Q}(z) = -\log \sigma(sz) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dw}{w} P(w) \log \sigma\left(\frac{z}{w}\right), \quad (131)$$

and, correspondingly, the partition function per one site is

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \hat{Q}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-q)^m (s^{-m} - s^m) (z^m + z^{-m})}{m(1 - q^m)(1 + (-q)^m)}. \quad (132)$$

This expression reproduces the result from [2]. The same result can be directly obtained from the Wronskian relation (94) without any assumption about the structure if the Bethe Ansatz. Recall, the physical regime in our notations is $0 < -q < sz, s/z < 1$.

5. Tropical Expansion and the Structure of the Spectrum

5.1. Method of the Tropical Expansion

The method of the tropical expansion developed here is similar to those developed in [17].

For Reader's convenience we commence with the repetition of the equations to be solved. The first one is the Baxter Equation (90):

$$T(z)H(z) = (-)^n (1 - sz)^n (1 + q \frac{z}{s})^n H(qz) + (1 - \frac{s}{z})^n (1 + \frac{q}{sz})^n H(q^{-1}z), \quad (133)$$

where $H(z)$ is entire. In addition, sometimes it is convenient to use the Wronskian Equation (94), rewritten in the terms of the entire function H :

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{z}{s})^n (1 + \frac{q}{sz})^n H(q^{-1}z)H(-z) - (1 + \frac{z}{s})^n (1 - \frac{q}{sz})^n H(z)H(-q^{-1}z) = \\ & = W \times \left(h(\frac{z}{s})^n h(-sz)^n - h(-\frac{z}{s})^n h(sz)^n \right), \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

where W is a multiplier introduced since a normalisation of $H(z)$ is undefined. Also, $h(z) = (z, q/z; q)_{\infty}$ is in fact Jacoby's theta-one.

Since $H(z)$ is entire, it has the following structure:

$$H(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^k q^{Q_k} H_k(q), \quad (135)$$

where Q_k for sufficiently big k is some positive quadratic function. The coefficients $H_k(q)$ can also be decomposed with respect to q ,

$$H_k(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{k,j} q^j. \quad (136)$$

Evidently, this form of $H(z)$ is nothing but the q decomposition. The limit $q \rightarrow 0$ is known as the tropical limit, so we call the q -decomposition as the tropical expansion. The Baxter Equation (133), where the coefficients of $T(z)$ also are some series in q , is the subject of the tropical expansion,

To construct the solutions to (133) as the expansion in q , we have to define firstly the “initial condition”, or “seeding state”. Such a seeding state would define completely the whole q expansion. We will not give the complete q series in this paper, we will give only the “seeds”.

5.2. Equations for the Tropical Expansion

For the beginning we collect all equations that can be used for the construction of the seeds. We could use polynomials $H_0(z)$, $H_0(qz)$ and $H_0(q^{-1}z)$ representing $H(z)$, $H(qz)$ and $H(q^{-1}z)$ in the leading order of q when $q \rightarrow 0$. They are different polynomials related however by a gluing principle. For instance, if

$$H_0(z) = az + bz^{-1}, \quad (137)$$

then,

$$H_0(q^{-1}z) = q^{-1}(az + a'z^2 + \dots) \quad (138)$$

where a', \dots appear from the elements of explicit $H(z)$ which disappear in the definition of $H_0(z)$. Coefficient a is the same in $H_0(z)$ and in $H_0(q^{-1}z)$. This simple observation we call the gluing principle.

When $H_0(z)$, $H_0(q^{\pm 1}z)$ are defined, then the required seed is defined:

$$H(z) = az + bz^{-1} + qa'z + qb'z^{-1} + \dots \quad (139)$$

The main observation following from all these considerations is that for the seeding we need to construct three different polynomials related by the gluing principle.

Now we can collect the equations defining the seeds. They are the Baxter Equation (133):

$$T_0(z)H_0(z) = (-)^n(1-sz)^nH_0(qz) + (1-\frac{s}{z})^nH_0(q^{-1}z), \quad (140)$$

and the Wronskian:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\frac{z}{s})^nH_0(q^{-1}z)H_0(-z) - (1+\frac{z}{s})^nH_0(z)H_0(-q^{-1}z) \\ & = W_0 \times \left((1-\frac{z}{s})^n(1+sz)^n - (1+\frac{z}{s})^n(1-sz)^n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

A similar equation can be written for the shift $z \rightarrow qz$. Remarkably, the Wronskian relations in the tropical limit can be “solved”:

$$\begin{cases} H_0(q^{-1}z)H_0(-z) = W_0 \times ((1+sz)^n - (s+z)^nK(z)), \\ H_0(qz)H_0(-z) = W_0 \times ((1+sz^{-1})^n - (s+z^{-1})^nK'(z)), \end{cases} \quad (142)$$

where $K(z) = K(-z)$ and $K'(z) = K'(-z)$ – arbitrary symmetrical functions.

In addition, we will need the holomorphic functions χ_{\pm} introduced in the previous section. Since we will look for excited states, we need more general expression for the auxiliary transfer matrix (97):

$$T(z) = (v + v^{-1})z^n + \dots + (v + v^{-1})(-z)^{-n}, \quad (143)$$

where

$$v = v_1v_2 \dots v_n. \quad (144)$$

For the model considered in this paper, v is an unitary number. Note that for $v \neq 1$ the holomorphic approach requires an essential revision since the matrix product (108) is not well defined.

However, there is another model [2], a dual one, for which the Baxter equation as well as the Wronskian equation remain the same, but the spectrum of v becomes discrete:

$$v = q^{m/2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (145)$$

This dual model has in particular another physical regime, $q > 0$, so that $q^{1/2}$ is acceptable. There is a temptation to call m the complete spin. The formulas for the holomorphic functions from the previous section require a minimal change:

$$H_+(z) = \frac{(s/z, -q/sz; q)_\infty^n}{V(z)} z^{n+m/2} \chi_+(z), \quad H_-(z) = \frac{(sz, -qz/s; q)_\infty^n}{V(z)} z^{-m/2} \chi_-(z), \quad (146)$$

where

$$V(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{z}{w_k}, q \frac{w_k}{z}; q \right)_\infty, \quad \prod_{k=1}^n w_k = \pm 1, \quad (147)$$

and the holomorphic solutions are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_+(q^{-1}z) &= (-z)^n q^{m/2} T(z) \chi_+(z) - q^m (1 - s^2 z^2)^n (1 - q^2 z^2 / s^2)^n \chi_+(qz), \\ \chi_-(qz) &= z^{-n} q^{m/2} T(z) \chi_-(z) - q^m (1 - s^2 / z^2)^n (1 - q^2 / s^2 z^2)^n \chi_-(q^{-1}z). \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

These solutions are well defined for $m \geq 0$, and moreover, the matrix products in (108) are absolutely convergent for $m > 0$. The change in the Wronskian is also minimal:

$$\mathcal{W}_\chi(z) = \chi_+(q^{-1}z) \chi_-(z) - q^{n+m} [z/s]^n [q/sz]^n \chi_+(z) \chi_-(q^{-1}z) \sim V(z) V(-z). \quad (149)$$

5.3. Ground States

We start the construction of the seeds with the ground state for general unitary v , what includes the case $m = 0$. This seed is described uniquely by the trivial condition $H_0(z) = 1$. Looking at (140), one concludes that $H_0(q^{-1}z)$ is a polynomial of the positive power n , while $H_0(qz)$ is a polynomial of the negative power n . Looking at (142), one concludes that K, K' are constants. Taking then into account the gluing condition, one deduces

$$H_0(q^{-1}z) = \frac{(1 + sz)^n - (s + z)^n K}{1 - s^n K}, \quad H_0(qz) = \frac{(1 + sz^{-1}) - (s + z^{-1}) K'}{1 - s^n K'}. \quad (150)$$

Using these $H_0(q^{\pm 1}z)$ in the Baxter Equation (140) and inspecting the powers z^n and $(-z)^{-n}$ in order to fix K, K' , one obtains

$$K = K' = -\frac{v}{1 - s^n v} - \frac{v^{-1}}{1 - s^n v^{-1}}. \quad (151)$$

Thus, the seed is constructed uniquely for the arbitrary unitary v .

It could be helpful to obtain all leading coefficients in the decomposition of (135). It is not difficult to do considering Equation (133) with $z \rightarrow q^k z$. Omitting details, we give the final answer:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(q^{-k}z) &= q^{-nk(k-1)/2} z^{n(k-1)} \left(\frac{v^k - v^{-k}}{v - v^{-1}} H_0(q^{-1}z) - \frac{v^{k-1} - v^{1-k}}{v - v^{-1}} (s + z)^n \right), \\ H_0(q^kz) &= q^{-nk(k-1)/2} z^{-n(k-1)} \left(\frac{v^k - v^{-k}}{v - v^{-1}} H_0(qz) - \frac{v^{k-1} - v^{1-k}}{v - v^{-1}} (s + z^{-1})^n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (152)$$

These formulas satisfy the gluing principle. They define the whole perimeter of the decomposition (135). It can be seen as a boundary condition for the perturbation theory in q being understood as a sort of Cauchy problem. Having the boundary condition defined, the

whole series in q can be elementary constructed since in each new order of q the coefficients of decomposition are the subject of a over-defined system of linear equations.

5.4. Excitations for $m > 0$

It worth to note ones more, the excitations for $m > 0$ are not defined for the model considered. The aim of this subsection is a sort of logical completeness, to demonstrate “one-partical states” before we turn to “two-particle states” in the next subsection.

In order to understand what happens for $v = q^{m/2}$ and $m > 0$, we suggest to inspect the holomorphic Equation (148). The second term in the right hand side there disappear in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ for $m > 0$. Thus, taking $\chi_{\pm}(z) = 1$, we obtain for the seed

$$\chi_+(q^{-1}z) = (-z)^n q^{m/2} T_0(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{w_k^2}\right), \quad \chi_-(qz) = z^{-n} q^{m/2} T_0(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{w_k^2}{z^2}\right), \quad (153)$$

where

$$\prod_{k=1}^N w_k = 1, \quad (154)$$

what can be established by inspection of the outmost elements of $T_0(z)$. The Wronskian (149) is simple,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(z) = \chi_+(q^{-1}z), \quad \text{so that} \quad V_0(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{z}{w_k}\right). \quad (155)$$

Now we can construct $H_0(z)$:

$$H_0(z) = H_+(z) + CH_-(z) = z^{-m/2} \frac{z^m (z-s)^n + C(1-sz)^n}{V_0(z)}. \quad (156)$$

As the result, we come to a simple quantisation condition: the coefficient C must be chosen so that $V_0(z)$ cancel out. In addition,

$$H_0(q^{-1}z) = q^{-m/2} (-)^n z^{m/2} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{z}{w_k}\right), \quad H_0(qz) = C q^{-m/2} z^{-m/2} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{w_k}{z}\right). \quad (157)$$

We can demonstrate how this condition works in the case of a “one-particle states” $m = 1$. It is expected in this case,

$$P(z, C) = z(z-s)^n + C(1-sz)^n = C \prod_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{z}{w_k}\right), \quad \prod_{k=0}^n w_k = (-)^{n+1} C. \quad (158)$$

Since $\prod_{k=1}^n w_k = 1$, we obtain

$$w_0 = (-)^{n+1} C. \quad (159)$$

The condition for C is then

$$P((-)^{n+1} C, C) = 0, \quad (160)$$

and solving it, we obtain

$$C = C_j = (-)^{n+1} \frac{1 - \omega^j s}{s - \omega^j}, \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/n}. \quad (161)$$

This expression demonstrates a one-particle state with the momentum ω^j , as expected. The resulting seed state is

$$H_0(z) = (-)^{n+1} z^{-1/2} \left(\frac{1 - \omega^j s}{s - \omega^j} - z \right), \quad (162)$$

and the values of $H_0(q^{\pm 1}z)$ are given by (157), where (158) and (161) are to be taken into account.

5.5. Even Excitations for $m = 0$

This subsection is devoted to the construction of seeds in the sector of unitary v , which includes the case $m = 0$ of the dual model. The result can be interpreted as an “even number of particles”.

Since the holomorphic approach does not work, we will consider the Baxter Equation (140) and the Wronskian Equation (142).

The logic is the following. Presumably, $H_0(z)$ is a Laurent polynomial of degree m ,

$$H_0(z) = z^m + \dots + z^{-m}, \quad (163)$$

where all coefficients are undefined. What could be a form of $H_0(q^{-1}z)$ in this case? Evidently,

$$H_0(q^{-1}z) = q^{-m}z^m A_+(z), \quad (164)$$

where $A_+(z)$ is a polynomial of some positive degree. Similarly,

$$H_0(qz) = q^{-m}z^{-m} A_-(z), \quad (165)$$

where $A_-(z)$ is a polynomial of some negative degree.

Next, we are looking for excited states in the sector $v \in \mathbb{T}$, so that the $z^{\pm n}$ elements in $T_0(z)$ become neglectable in all orders q^{-m} , $m > 0$, so that the Baxter Equation (140) provides

$$\deg A \leq n - 2. \quad (166)$$

We take this observation into account and turn to the degrees in the Wronskian Equation (142):

$$\deg A + 2m = N + \deg K. \quad (167)$$

It follows, under condition (166) and at least when $m = 1$, one obtains

$$\deg K = 0. \quad (168)$$

Therefore, at least for $m = 1$ the following scenario for excited states can be formulated: we take

$$K, K' = \text{const}, \quad (169)$$

and try to solve (142), which takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_+(z)z^m H_0(-z) &= q^m W_0((1+sz)^n - K(s+z)^n), \\ A_-(z)z^{-m} H_0(-z) &= q^m W_0((1+sz^{-1})^n - K'(s+z^{-1})^n). \end{aligned} \quad (170)$$

Since $H_0(-z)$ is the common multiplier, the resultant of the right hand sides must be zero. It gives the relation between K and K' :

$$KK' = 1. \quad (171)$$

It is convenient to introduce parameter k instead of K, K' as follows:

$$K = k^n, \quad K' = k^{-n}. \quad (172)$$

More detailed analysis of the Wronskian relations allows one to fix parameter k .

Using (172), one can rewrite the Wronskian relations as

$$A_+(z)z^m H_0(-z) = q^m W_0(1 - k^n s^n) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + z \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j}\right), \quad (173)$$

$$A_-(z) = -k^{-n} z^{2m-n} A_+(z).$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$. One can fix $H_0(-z)$ now. Namely, one can disjoin the set \mathbb{Z}_n into two subsets:

$$\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\} = I_{2m} \cup I_{n-2m}, \quad (174)$$

where the number of the elements in I_{2m} equals to $2m$. We can fix then

$$H_0(z) = (-z)^{-m} \prod_{j \in I_{2m}} \left(1 - z \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j}\right). \quad (175)$$

This choice corresponds to the choice of momenta of $2m$ particles. This scheme evidently works only for $2m < N$. As the result, A_{\pm} become

$$\begin{aligned} A_+(z) &= q^m W_0(1 - k^n s^n) \prod_{j \in I_{n-2m}} \left(1 + z \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j}\right), \\ A_-(z) &= -k^{-n} q^m W_0(1 - k^n s^n) \prod_{j \in I_{n-2m}} \left(z^{-1} + \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

The last effort is the gluing of H_0 and A_{\pm} . Namely,

$$H_0(z) = (-z)^{-m} + \dots + (-z)^m \prod_{j \in I_{2m}} \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j} \quad (177)$$

and hence

$$H_0(q^{-1}z) \sim q^{-m} z^m (-)^m \prod_{j \in I_{2m}} \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j} = q^{-m} z^m A_+(0) \quad (178)$$

and

$$H_0(qz) \sim q^m z^{-m} (-)^m = q^m z^{-m} A_-(\infty). \quad (179)$$

Extracting $A_+(0)$ and $A_-(\infty)$, one obtains

$$q^m W_0(1 - k^n s^n) = (-)^m \prod_{j \in I_{2m}} \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j} = -(-)^m k^n \prod_{j \in I_{n-2m}} \frac{1 - ks\omega^j}{s - k\omega^j} \quad (180)$$

with the consistency condition

$$k^{2n} = 1. \quad (181)$$

Two branches are obtained as the result,

$$k = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad k = \omega^{1/2} \quad (182)$$

they correspond to symmetric and antisymmetric wave functions from the point of view of Quantum Mechanics ($k = 1$ gives antisymmetric wave function for $n = 2$ while $k^2 = -1$ gives the antisymmetric one.). The resulting formula for $T_0(z)$ becomes

$$T_0(z) = q^{-m} (1 - k^n s^n) \prod_{j \in I_{n-2m}} \left(z \frac{s - k\omega^j}{1 - ks\omega^j} - z^{-1} \frac{1 - ks\omega^j}{s - k\omega^j} \right). \quad (183)$$

As the result, the seed for $2m$ -particles states in the regime $v \in \mathbb{T}$ is given now by (164), (165), (175), (176), (180).

6. Summary

We consider in this paper the spectral problem for a quantum spin chain which is associated with an infinite dimensional representation of simple $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ with $|q| < 1$. Such representation for a long time was supposed to be badly defined due to an excessive size of its center. However, recently this problem was fixed in [2] without an extension to a modular double. This representation inherits some properties of the cyclic or modular representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, namely, it is the subject of the Functional Bethe Ansatz, for which the primary step of the solution of the spectral problem is the diagonalisation of an off-diagonal element of the monodromy matrix.

The second general part of the spectral problem is the analysis of the Baxter $T - Q$ equation. The main obstacle is that Q -operator is not a polynomial, it is an entire function, so it is not a subject of the standard Bethe Ansatz equations. The spectral variables are the zeros of a Wronskian of two well-defined solutions of $T - Q$ equation (analogues of the Jost functions in the Quantum Mechanics).

Both counterparts of the spectral problem are elaborated here. The main results of this paper can be sketched as follows.

1. The Clebsh-Gordan basis for our particular representation is constructed.
2. The CG basis was used for the construction of separated states, i.e., the Eigenstates for the off-diagonal element $\hat{B}(\lambda)$ of the monodromy matrix.
3. Construction of the separated basis can be extended to e.g., Faddeev–Volkov [7] and other models where $6j$ symbols are known as the quantum dilogarithms.
4. The Eigenstate of the model in the separated basis is the product of solutions of the Baxter equation. Traditionally we call the solution of Baxter equation Baxter’s \hat{Q} operator.
5. The Functional Bethe Ansatz approach fixes the class of functions \hat{Q} operator. The procedure of construction of the solutions to Baxter equation in the required class of functions is formulated.
6. This procedure allows one to construct a solution of our analogue of the Bethe Ansatz for the ground state in the thermodynamical limit.
7. Thus, the eigenstate is constructed.
8. In addition, the Baxter equation is studied using the tropical expansion method. In addition to the ground state, the lowest elementary excitations are described and classified.

Funding: The work was partially supported by the ARC grant DP190103144.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analysed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank R. Kashaev, V. Bazhanov and V. Mangazeev for valuable discussions.

Conflicts of Interest: The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Sklyanin, E.K. Functional Bethe Ansatz. *Integr. Superintegrable Syst.* **1990**, 8–33. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Bazhanov, V.; Sergeev, S. A distant descendant of the six-vertex model. *Nucl. Phys. B* **2024**, 1004, 116558. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Baxter, R.J. *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1982.
4. Gutzwiller, M. The quantum mechanical Toda lattice II. *Ann. Phys.* **1981**, 133, 304–331 [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Sergeev, S.M. Quantization scheme for modular q -difference equations. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **2005**, 142, 422–430. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Kharchev, S.; Lebedev, D. Integral representation for the eigenfunctions of quantum periodic Toda chain. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **1999**, 50, 53–77. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

7. Faddeev, L.D.; Volkov, A.Y. Hirota equation as an example of an integrable symplectic map. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **1994**, *32*, 125–135. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Garoufalidis, S.; Kashaev, R. A meromorphic extension of the 3D index. *Res. Math. Sci.* **2019**, *6*, 8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Dimofte, T.; Gaiotto, D.; Gukov, S. 3-Manifolds and 3d indices. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2013**, *17*, 975–1076. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Kashaev, R. The Yang–Baxter relation and gauge invariance. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* **2016**, *49*, 164001. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Sergeev, S. On Faddeev’s equation. *arXiv* **2024**, arXiv:2404.00499.
12. Sergeev, S. Spectral equations and ground state for the modular sinh-Gordon model. *arXiv* **2023**, arXiv:2303.17725.
13. Sergeev, S. Bethe Ansatz and Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities. *arXiv* **2023**, arXiv:2303.17729.
14. Kashaev, R.; Sergeev, S. Spectral equations for the modular oscillator. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **2018**, *30*, 1840009. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Babelon, O.; Kozlowski, K.K.; Pasquier, V. Baxter operator and Baxter equation for q -toda and toda₂ chains. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **2018**, *30*, 1840003. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Kashaev, R.; Sergeev, S. On the spectrum of the local \mathbb{P}^2 mirror curve. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **2020**, *21*, 3479–3497. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Sergeev, S. Elliptic Sklyanin Algebra, Baxter Equation and Discrete Liouville Equation. *arXiv* **2023**, arXiv:2303.17715.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.