



Radiative $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ decay

A. R. Olamaei¹, K. Azizi^{2,3,a}

¹ Department of Physics, Jahrom University, P.O. Box 74137-66171, Jahrom, Iran

² Department of Physics, University of Tehran, North Karegar Avenue, Tehran 14395-547, Iran

³ Department of Physics, Doğuş University, Dudullu-Ümraniye, Istanbul 34775, Turkey

Received: 15 December 2021 / Accepted: 13 January 2022

© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Recently, the LHCb Collaboration performed first search for the rare radiative $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ decay and put an upper limit, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) < 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$, on its branching ratio. The measurement agrees well with existing theory prediction using SU(3) flavor symmetry method, but shows a slight tension with the previous prediction from light-cone sum rules. Inspired by this, we investigate this decay as well as other radiative decays of $\Xi_b^{0(-)}(\Xi_b^{\prime -})$ to $\Xi^{0(-)}$ and $\Sigma^{0(-)}$ baryons using the form factors calculated from light-cone QCD sum rules in full theory. we obtain $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) = 1.08_{-0.49}^{+0.63} \times 10^{-5}$, which lies below the upper limit set by LHCb and is consistent with flavor-symmetry driven prediction. Our predictions on other channels may be checked in experiment and by other phenomenological approaches.

1 Introduction

Based on the successful quark model, the ordinary hadrons are composed of either $q\bar{q}$ (meson) or $qqq/\bar{q}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ (baryon/antibaryon) bound states. Among baryons, the ones consist of one heavy quark (b or c) are of much interest. They can play the role of a rich “laboratory” for theoretical studies. In the limit of infinite mass for the heavy quark ($m_Q \rightarrow \infty$), one can classify the single heavy baryons due to the total flavor-spin wave function of the two remaining light quarks, which has to be symmetric because their color wave function is anti-symmetric. This leads to two different representations ($\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{\bar{3}} \oplus \mathbf{6}$) for the ground state of heavy baryons. Hence, they are members of either sextet of flavor symmetric state $\mathbf{6}$ with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+/J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ for total spin-parity of the ground state, or triplet of flavor anti-symmetric state $\mathbf{\bar{3}}$ with ground state spin-parity of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$.

Several phenomenological methods are exploited to perform extensive theoretical studies on the various properties of spin-1/2 heavy baryons, including chiral perturbation theory [1], quark model [2,3], heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [4–6], hypercentral approach [7–10], relativistic (constituent) quark model [11–18], quark potential model [19], Feynman-Hellman theorem [20,21], lattice QCD simulation [22–25], symmetry-preserving treatment of a vector \times vector contact interaction model [26], chiral quark-soliton model [27], QCD sum rules [28–41], etc.

Thanks to recent progresses in experiments, almost all of the ground states of single heavy baryons are observed [42] and investigations on their excited states are ongoing and remarkably important. Therefore, more studies on these S-wave states are necessary since they are helpful to better understand their excited states both theoretically and experimentally.

Accordingly, investigation of their weak, electromagnetic and strong decays are of much importance. Specially, many theoretical and experimental efforts are concentrated on the radiative weak decays of heavy baryons, since they provide a possibility to investigate probes into the new physics beyond the standard model. Namely, LHC has produced a large number of heavy baryons and hyperons [43–45] and for the first time the rare radiative decay of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \gamma$ is observed by LHCb with a branching ratio of $(7.1 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ [46]. Furthermore, to explain the experimental data, there are some theoretical difficulties lasting for decades [47,48].

One of the most important classes of weak radiative decays is that based on $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ transition at quark level, which is a flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) process. In this process, based on the SM, the W^- boson couples only to the left-handed quarks. Therefore the right-handed photons can be produced just by the helicity flips, meaning that the left- and right-handed amplitudes ratio is of order $\mathcal{O}(m_s/m_b)$. Consequently, to investigate the presence of right-handed contributions, one has to measure branching fractions, angular and

^a e-mail: kazem.azizi@ut.ac.ir (corresponding author)

charge-parity-violating observables in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ transitions. In this regard, the photon polarization can be investigated in the radiative decays of b -baryons. It is because there is no flavor mixing, the ground state spin is $1/2$ and also there are two spectator quarks. Therefore, these b -baryon decays alongside many studies on B -meson decays [49–53] can explore this field well.

After the observation of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ decay [46], recently, LHCb studied the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma$ decay, which is also mediated by $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, and set an upper limit on its branching ratio that is $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma) < 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ [54]. It is consistent with the one calculated using SU(3) flavor symmetry method, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma) = (1.23 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-5}$ [55], but is in slight tension with the prediction of light-cone sum rules (LCSR) method, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma) = (3.03 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ [56].

In this paper we calculate the decay widths of the radiative decays of $\Xi_b^{0(-)}(\Xi_b^{\prime 0(-)})$ to $\Xi^{0(-)}$ and $\Sigma^{0(-)}$ baryons (i.e., $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma$, $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0\gamma$, $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Sigma^-\gamma$, $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$, $\Xi_b^{\prime -} \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma$ and $\Xi_b^{\prime -} \rightarrow \Sigma^-\gamma$ transitions) via LCSR, and for the ones that their mean lifetimes are available, we also present the corresponding branching ratios. We show that the branching ratio for the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\gamma$ decay is consistent with the upper limit obtained by LHCb Collaboration [54] and the so-called tension with the previous LCSR prediction [56] is removed. In the analyses, form factors (FFs) are the main inputs of the problem and we use their values calculated via LCSR in full theory [57].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In next section, we present the formalism to calculate the corresponding decay widths and branching ratios in terms of FFs. In Sect. 3 the numerical results are obtained. The last section is devoted to summary and conclusion.

2 Formalism

To investigate the weak radiative decays of $B_b \rightarrow B\gamma$, where B_b is either of the heavy baryons $\Xi_b^{0(-)}$ or $\Xi_b^{\prime 0(-)}$, and B is one of the outgoing $\Xi^{0(-)}$ or $\Sigma^{0(-)}$ baryons, one has to start with writing a suitable effective Hamiltonian defining these processes. In this section, we present the effective Hamiltonian in terms of the relevant Wilson coefficients in the SM. Then, we define the transition amplitude and show how it depends on the FFs. Finally we write the decay width in terms of the corresponding FFs.

2.1 The effective Hamiltonian

The effective Hamiltonian in the SM and at the quark level for $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ and $b \rightarrow sg$ transitions can be written in terms of Wilson coefficients and local operators as [58]

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^6 C_i(\mu) Q_i(\mu) + C_{7\gamma}(\mu) Q_{7\gamma}(\mu) + C_{8G}(\mu) Q_{8G}(\mu) \right]. \quad (1)$$

the Fermi coupling constant, V_{ij} are the elements of the CKM matrix and μ is the QCD renormalization scale. The Wilson coefficients, C_i and $C_{7\gamma,8g}$, may contain non-perturbative effects and can be viewed as the effective coupling constants whereas the local operators, Q_i and $Q_{7\gamma,8g}$, can be considered as the effective vertices. The long-distance strong interaction contributions are coded in the local operators and are given as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A}, \\ Q_2 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha c_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_\beta b_\beta)_{V-A}, \\ Q_3 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A}, \\ Q_4 &= (\bar{s}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\alpha q_\beta)_{V-A}, \\ Q_5 &= (\bar{s}_\alpha b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A}, \\ Q_6 &= (\bar{s}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_q (\bar{q}_\alpha q_\beta)_{V+A}, \\ Q_{7\gamma} &= \frac{e}{4\pi^2} \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (m_b R + m_s L) b_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, \\ Q_{8G} &= \frac{g_s}{4\pi^2} \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (m_b R + m_s L) T_{\alpha\beta}^a b_\beta G_{\mu\nu}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where α and β are the color indices, and the right- and left-handed projectors are $R = (1 + \gamma_5)/2$ and $L = (1 - \gamma_5)/2$, respectively. In the last two operators, e and g_s are the electromagnetic and strong coupling constants, respectively. Each operator corresponds to a certain interaction. $Q_{1,2}$ are current-current or tree operators, $Q_{3,4,5,6}$ are QCD penguin and $Q_{7\gamma,8g}$ the magnetic penguin operators. The electromagnetic field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = -i(\varepsilon_\mu q_\nu - \varepsilon_\nu q_\mu) e^{iqx}, \quad (3)$$

where ε_μ and q_ν are the polarization 4-vector and momentum, respectively. For the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ transition, the most relevant contribution comes from the magnetic penguin operator $Q_{7\gamma}$ which reduces the effective Hamiltonian to

$$\mathcal{H}^{eff}(b \rightarrow s\gamma) = -\frac{G_F e}{4\pi^2 \sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* C_7^{eff}(\mu) \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \times \left[m_b R + m_s L \right] b F^{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

The relevant Wilson coefficient is C_7^{eff} and, in the SM, it is given by [59]

$$C_7^{eff}(\mu_b) = \eta^{\frac{16}{23}} C_7(\mu_W) + \frac{8}{3} \left(\eta^{\frac{14}{23}} - \eta^{\frac{16}{23}} \right) C_8(\mu_W) + C_2(\mu_W) \sum_{i=1}^8 h_i \eta^{a_i}. \quad (5)$$

Here η is defined as

$$\eta = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu_b)}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\alpha_s(x) = \frac{\alpha_s(m_Z)}{1 - \beta_0 \frac{\alpha_s(m_Z)}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{m_Z}{x}\right)}, \quad (7)$$

and $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$ and $\beta_0 = \frac{23}{3}$. The coefficients a_i and h_i in Eq. (5) have the following values:

$$a_i = \left(\frac{14}{23}, \frac{16}{23}, \frac{6}{23}, -\frac{12}{23}, 0.4086, -0.4230, -0.8994, 0.1456 \right), \\ h_i = (2.2996, -1.0880, -\frac{3}{7}, -\frac{1}{14}, -0.6494, -0.0380, -0.0186, -0.0057), \quad (8)$$

and the coefficients $C_{2,7,8}(\mu_W)$ are defined as

$$C_2(\mu_W) = 1, \quad C_7(\mu_W) = -\frac{1}{2} D'_0(x_t), \\ C_8(\mu_W) = -\frac{1}{2} E'_0(x_t), \quad (9)$$

where

$$D'_0(x_t) = -\frac{(8x_t^3 + 5x_t^2 - 7x_t)}{12(1-x_t)^3} + \frac{x_t^2(2-3x_t)}{2(1-x_t)^4} \ln x_t, \quad (10)$$

$$E'_0(x_t) = -\frac{x_t(x_t^2 - 5x_t - 2)}{4(1-x_t)^3} + \frac{3x_t^2}{2(1-x_t)^4} \ln x_t. \quad (11)$$

2.2 Transition amplitude

As an example, for the transition $\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi \gamma$, by sandwiching the effective Hamiltonian between the initial heavy baryon Ξ_b and the final baryon Ξ states, one can obtain the corresponding amplitude as

$$\mathcal{M}(\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi \gamma) = \langle \Xi(p_\Xi, s) | \mathcal{H}^{eff}(b \rightarrow s \gamma) | \Xi_b(p_{\Xi_b}, s') \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where p_Ξ and $p_{\Xi_b} = p_\Xi + q$ are momenta of the Ξ and Ξ_b baryons; and s and s' are their spins, respectively. To proceed, one has to write the transition amplitude in terms of relevant FFs. Inserting \mathcal{H}^{eff} from Eq. (4) to the amplitude (12), one finds the corresponding matrix elements that can be written

in terms of $f_2^T(0)$ and $g_2^T(0)$ FFs as follows:

$$\langle \Xi(p_\Xi, s) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (g_V + \gamma_5 g_A) b | \Xi_b(p_{\Xi_b}, s') \rangle \\ = \bar{u}_\Xi(p_\Xi, s) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \left(g_V f_2^T(0) + \gamma_5 g_A g_2^T(0) \right) u_{\Xi_b}(p_{\Xi_b}, s'). \quad (13)$$

Here $\bar{u}_\Xi(p_\Xi, s)$ and $u_{\Xi_b}(p_{\Xi_b}, s')$ are spinors of the Ξ and Ξ_b baryons, respectively; and $g_V = 1 + m_s/m_b$ and $g_A = 1 - m_s/m_b$. The values of FFs will be taken from [57], which are calculated systematically for $B_{b,c} \rightarrow Bl^{+l^-}$ processes via LCSR in the full theory. The matrix elements defining the $B_{b,c} \rightarrow Bl^{+l^-}$ FCNC processes are defined in terms of twelve FFs in full QCD. Among them, f_2^T and g_2^T are relevant to the radiative $B_b \rightarrow B\gamma$ transition. In order to make this issue clear let us note that the FCNC $\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi l^{+l^-}$ transition, which is relevant to our present study, takes place via $b \rightarrow sl^{+l^-}$ at quark level, whose effective Hamiltonian is given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{b \rightarrow sl^{+l^-}} = \frac{G_F \alpha_{em} V_{tb} V_{ts}^*}{2\sqrt{2} \pi} \\ \times \left\{ C_9^{eff} \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu l + C_{10} \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 l \right. \\ \left. - 2m_b C_7^{eff} \frac{1}{q^2} \bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 + \gamma_5) b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu l \right\}. \quad (14)$$

In order to get the relevant amplitude, we need to sandwich the effective Hamiltonian between the initial (Ξ_b) and final (Ξ) baryonic states. The latter effective Hamiltonian, contains two kinds of transition currents, namely $J_\mu^{tr,I} = \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b$ and $J_\mu^{tr,II} = \bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 + \gamma_5) b$. Hence, the relevant matrix elements are parameterized in terms of twelve form factors as

$$\langle \Xi(p_\Xi, s) | J_\mu^{tr,I} | \Xi_b(p_{\Xi_b}, s') \rangle = \bar{u}_\Xi(p_\Xi, s) \\ \times \left[\gamma_\mu f_1(q^2) + i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu f_2(q^2) + q^\mu f_3(q^2) - \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 g_1(q^2) \right. \\ \left. - i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q^\nu g_2(q^2) - q^\mu \gamma_5 g_3(q^2) \right] u_{\Xi_b}(p_{\Xi_b}, s'), \quad (15)$$

and

$$\langle \Xi(p_\Xi, s) | J_\mu^{tr,II} | \Xi_b(p_{\Xi_b}, s') \rangle = \bar{u}_\Xi(p_\Xi, s) \\ \times \left[\gamma_\mu f_1^T(q^2) + i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu f_2^T(q^2) + q^\mu f_3^T(q^2) + \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 g_1^T(q^2) \right. \\ \left. + i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q^\nu g_2^T(q^2) + q^\mu \gamma_5 g_3^T(q^2) \right] u_{\Xi_b}(p_{\Xi_b}, s'), \quad (16)$$

where $f_i(q^2)$, $g_i(q^2)$, $f_i^T(q^2)$ and $g_i^T(q^2)$ (i runs from 1 to 3) are twelve transition form factors that are calculated via LCSR in full QCD in Ref. [57]. For self-consistency of the paper, in Appendix, we briefly present how these form factors are calculated using LCSR. Comparing the effective Hamiltonian of $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ in Eq. (4) with the one in Eq. (14) for the $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ transition, we see that the operator $\bar{s}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu(1+\gamma_5)b$ and the Lorentz structures $i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu$ and $i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5q^\nu$ on the right hand side of Eq. (16), and as a result, the form factors $f_2^T(q^2)$ and $g_2^T(q^2)$ are relevant to the case of $b \rightarrow s\gamma$. For real photon the values of form factors at $q^2 = 0$ are used.

2.3 Decay width

Using the above mentioned matrix elements, one can calculate the total decay width, for $\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi\gamma$ channel as an example, in terms of two FFs as

$$\Gamma_{(\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi\gamma)} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha_{em} |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 m_b^2}{64\pi^4} |C_7^{eff}|^2 \times \left(\frac{m_{\Xi_b}^2 - m_{\Xi}^2}{m_{\Xi_b}} \right)^3 \left(g_V^2 |f_2^T(0)|^2 + g_A^2 |g_2^T(0)|^2 \right), \quad (17)$$

where α_{em} is the fine structure constant evaluated at the Z mass scale. The FFs f_2^T and g_2^T are evaluated at $q^2 = 0$ since the outgoing photon is on-shell. To obtain the corresponding branching ratio, one has to multiply the total decay width by the lifetime of the initial heavy baryon Ξ_b and divide by \hbar . Therefore it is possible to calculate the branching ratio of decays in which the lifetime of the initial heavy baryon is available.

3 Numerical results

To perform the numerical analysis, we need some input parameters like the quark and baryon masses, some physical constants, elements of CKM matrix and baryon lifetimes. They are collected in Tables 1 and 2. As we previously mentioned, the main inputs of the analyses are the FFs, $f_2^T(0)$ and $g_2^T(0)$. We use their values from Ref. [57] obtained using LCSR in full theory and presented in Table 3. As we also previously noted, in Ref. [57], all the twelve form factors defining the $B_b \rightarrow Bl^+l^-$ transitions are calculated as functions of q^2 in full theory and using the most general forms of the interpolating currents for the initial and final baryonic states. Among them, the two form factors $f_2^T(q^2)$ and $g_2^T(q^2)$ at $q^2 = 0$ are needed in the numerical analyses of the radiative $B_b \rightarrow B\gamma$ channels under consideration, which are presented in Table 3. The form factors $f_2^T(q^2)$ and $g_2^T(q^2)$ only at $q^2 = 0$ are also calculated in Ref. [56] using specific currents for the participating baryons that contain only

Table 1 The values of quark, W boson and baryon masses [42]

Particle	Mass
m_s	93_{-5}^{+11} MeV
m_b	(4.78 ± 0.06) GeV
m_t	(172.76 ± 0.30) GeV
m_W	(80.379 ± 0.012) GeV
$m_{\Xi_b^-}$	(5797.0 ± 0.6) MeV
$m_{\Xi_b^0}$	(5791.9 ± 0.5) MeV
$m_{\Xi_b'^-}$	(5935.02 ± 0.05) MeV
m_{Ξ^-}	(1321.71 ± 0.07) MeV
m_{Ξ^0}	(1314.86 ± 0.20) MeV
m_{Σ^-}	(1197.45 ± 0.04) MeV
m_{Σ^0}	(1192.642 ± 0.024) MeV

Table 2 The values of physical constants, elements of CKM matrix and baryon lifetimes [42]

Constant	Value
\hbar	6.582×10^{-22} MeV s
G_F	1.166×10^{-5} GeV $^{-2}$
α_{em}	1/137
$ V_{ts} $	$(38.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$
$ V_{tb} $	1.013 ± 0.030
$\tau_{\Xi_b^-}$	$(1.572 \pm 0.040) \times 10^{-12}$ s
$\tau_{\Xi_b^0}$	$(1.480 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-12}$ s

Table 3 The values of corresponding FFs at $q^2 = 0$ [57]

Decay	$f_2^T(0)$	$g_2^T(0)$
$\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi\gamma$	0.157 ± 0.041	0.155 ± 0.040
$\Xi_b \rightarrow \Sigma\gamma$	0.049 ± 0.012	0.013 ± 0.003
$\Xi_b' \rightarrow \Xi\gamma$	0.109 ± 0.028	0.024 ± 0.006
$\Xi_b' \rightarrow \Sigma\gamma$	0.138 ± 0.036	0.085 ± 0.021

one possible diquark and the third quark attached, for each case. In Ref. [56], the variations of form factors at $q^2 = 0$ with respect to the Borel parameter are presented, but their final numerical values with related uncertainties are not given explicitly. Hence, we can not include them in Table 3 in order to compare their values with the values taken from Ref. [57].

Inserting the numerical values of the parameters, one can find the total decay width of the corresponding decays. For the decays that the lifetime of the initial heavy baryon is available (those with initial states $\Xi_b^{0(-)}$), one can easily find the branching ratios. The values of total decay widths and branching ratios as well as the existing results for these parameters in SU(3) flavor symmetry method and previous LCSR (Ref. [56]) are presented in Tables 4 and 5. In Table

Table 4 Decay widths for different channels obtained in the present study compared to the one from previous LCSR

Decay	Γ [GeV] (present work)	Γ [GeV] (LCSR) [56]
$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$	$4.52_{-2.05}^{+2.66} \times 10^{-18}$	$(1.34 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-16}$
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \gamma$	$4.52_{-2.04}^{+2.65} \times 10^{-18}$	–
$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Sigma^- \gamma$	$2.57_{-1.10}^{+1.41} \times 10^{-19}$	–
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$	$2.57_{-1.10}^{+1.40} \times 10^{-19}$	–
$\Xi_b^{\prime -} \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$	$1.31_{-0.59}^{+0.76} \times 10^{-18}$	–
$\Xi_b^{\prime -} \rightarrow \Sigma^- \gamma$	$2.78_{-1.25}^{+1.61} \times 10^{-18}$	–

Table 5 Branching ratios for different channels obtained in the present study compared with the results of previous LCSR, SU(3) flavor symmetry method and existing experimental data

Decay	\mathcal{B} (present work)	\mathcal{B} (LCSR) [56]	\mathcal{B} (SU(3)) [55]	\mathcal{B} (experiment) [54]
$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$	$1.08_{-0.49}^{+0.63} \times 10^{-5}$	$(3.03 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.23 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-5}$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \gamma$	$1.02_{-0.46}^{+0.60} \times 10^{-5}$	–	$(1.16 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-5}$	–
$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Sigma^- \gamma$	$6.14_{-2.63}^{+3.36} \times 10^{-7}$	–	$(5.74 \pm 3.21) \times 10^{-7}$	–
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$	$5.77_{-2.47}^{+3.16} \times 10^{-7}$	–	$(2.71 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-7}$	–

5, the upper limit for the branching ratio of the radiative $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ mode recently set by LHCb Collaboration is also presented. From Tables 4 and 5, we see that our prediction on the width and branching ratio of $\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi \gamma$ channel differs considerably from the previous LCSR result provided by Ref. [56]. This difference can be attributed to different interpolating currents, different input parameters as well as different working windows for the auxiliary parameters that Refs. [56, 57] use in the analyses. As it is clear from Tables 4 and 5, we calculate the width and branching ratio of more radiative modes with initial Ξ_b and Ξ_b' baryons that were not considered by Ref. [56].

It can be easily seen from Table 5 that our result on the branching ratio of $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ decay lies below the upper limit put by LHCb and there is no any tension. We also see good agreements among our results and those of SU(3) flavor symmetry method for this channel and other presented modes. Our results for the branching ratios of the presented channels as well as the decay widths of the channels with initial Ξ_b' baryon, whose lifetime is not available from the experiment, may shed light on future related experiments.

4 Summary and conclusions

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration put an upper limit on the branching ratio of the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ decay: $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) < 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ [54]. Although it is consistent with prediction of the SU(3) flavor symmetry method, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) = (1.23 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-5}$ [55], it is in a tension with the previous prediction made by the method of LCSR, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) = (3.03 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ [56]. Inspired

by this, we investigated this decay mode and other radiative decays of $\Xi_b^{0(-)}(\Xi_b^{\prime -})$ to $\Xi^{0(-)}$ and $\Sigma^{0(-)}$ baryons ($\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \gamma$, $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$, $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$, $\Xi_b^{\prime -} \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ and $\Xi_b^{\prime -} \rightarrow \Sigma^- \gamma$) using the FFs calculated in light-cone QCD sum rules in full theory. The values of FFs were borrowed from Ref. [57], which are systematically obtained for $B_{b,c} \rightarrow Bl^+l^-$ processes via LCSR in full QCD without any approximation. The matrix elements defining the $B_{b,c} \rightarrow Bl^+l^-$ FCNC processes are defined in terms of twelve FFs in full theory. Two of these FFs, namely $f_2^T(q^2)$ and $g_2^T(q^2)$ for real photon at $q^2 = 0$, are involved in each radiative process considered in the present study.

We obtained the decay width for all of the considered channels as well as branching ratio for those that the lifetime of the initial state is experimentally available. Our result on $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) = 1.08_{-0.49}^{+0.63} \times 10^{-5}$, lies below the upper limit set by LHCb and we witness no tension. For this channel and some other modes, our results agree well with the predictions of the SU(3) flavor symmetry method, as well. The difference between our result on branching ratio of $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ with prediction of Ref. [56] may be attributed to the fact that the two studies use different interpolating currents, different input parameters as well as different working windows for the auxiliary parameters in the analyses.

Our results on widths and branching ratios presented in Tables 4 and 5 can shed light on future experiments. They may also be checked via other phenomenological approaches.

Acknowledgements K. Azizi is thankful to Iran Science Elites Federation (Saramadan) for the partial financial support provided under the Grant Number ISEF/M/400150.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: All the numerical and mathematical data have been included in the paper and we have no other data regarding this paper.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.
Funded by SCOAP³.

Appendix: LCSR for form factors

In this appendix, we give some details of calculations for the form factors $f_i^T(q^2)$ and $g_i^T(q^2)$, as an example for $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0$ channel and by using the tensor transition current $J_\mu^{Tr,II} = \bar{s}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu(1 + \gamma_5)b$. The starting point is to consider the following correlation function:

$$\Pi_\mu^{II}(p, q) = i \int d^4x e^{iqx} \langle \Xi^0(p) \times | T \{ J_\mu^{Tr,II}(x), \bar{J}^{\Xi_b^0}(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (18)$$

where $J^{\Xi_b^0}$ is the interpolating current for the initial Ξ_b^0 baryon (hereafter we omit the electric charge) and T is the time-ordering operator. Considering all quantum numbers, the interpolating current in its general form is given as

$$J^{\Xi_b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{abc} \left\{ 2(u^{aT} C s^b) \gamma_5 b^c + 2\beta(u^{aT} C \gamma_5 s^b) b^c + (u^{aT} C b^b) \gamma_5 s^c + \beta(u^{aT} C \gamma_5 b^b) s^c + (b^{aT} C s^b) \gamma_5 u^c + \beta(b^{aT} C \gamma_5 s^b) u^c \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where C is the charge conjugation operator; a, b and c are the color indices and β is an arbitrary mixing parameter, which is fixed based on the standard prescriptions of the LCSR method. The value $\beta = -1$ corresponds to the Ioffe current.

We calculate this correlation function once in terms of hadronic parameters, then, in terms of QCD degrees of freedom in deep Euclidean region and the final baryon distribution amplitudes (DAs). In hadronic window, the above mentioned correlation function is saturated by a complete set of Ξ_b baryon. By performing the four integral over x , we get

$$\Pi_\mu^{II}(p, q) = \sum_s \frac{\langle \Xi(p) | J_\mu^{Tr,II} | \Xi_b(p+q, s') \rangle \langle \Xi_b(p+q, s') | \bar{J}^{\Xi_b}(0) | 0 \rangle}{m_{\Xi_b}^2 - (p+q)^2} + \dots, \quad (20)$$

where \dots stands for the contributions of the higher states and continuum. To proceed, besides the transition matrix elements defined in terms of the corresponding form factors in the body text, we need to introduce the residue λ_{Ξ_b} through the matrix element,

$$\langle \Xi_b(p+q, s') | \bar{J}^{\Xi_b}(0) | 0 \rangle = \lambda_{\Xi_b} \bar{u}_{\Xi_b}(p+q, s'). \quad (21)$$

Using all the definitions in Eq. (20) requires applying the completeness relation for spin-1/2 Dirac particle as

$$\sum_{s'} u_{\Xi_b}(p+q, s') \bar{u}_{\Xi_b}(p+q, s') = \not{p} + \not{q} + m_{\Xi_b}, \quad (22)$$

which leads to final representation of the correlation function in the hadronic side in terms of the related form factors and other hadronic parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\mu^{II}(p, q) = & \frac{\lambda_{\Xi_b} u_{\Xi}(p, s)}{m_{\Xi_b}^2 - (p+q)^2} \\ & \times \left\{ 2f_1^T(q^2) p_\mu + 2f_2^T(q^2) p_\mu \not{q} + \left[f_2^T(q^2) + f_3^T(q^2) \right] q_\mu \not{q} - 2g_1^T(q^2) p_\mu \gamma_5 \right. \\ & - 2g_2^T(q^2) p_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 - \left[g_2^T(q^2) + g_3^T(q^2) \right] q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 \\ & \left. + \text{other structures} \right\} + \dots, \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

To find the form factors, one needs to select the corresponding Lorentz structures, coefficients of which will be equated to the ones in the QCD side of the correlation function.

On QCD side, we insert the explicit form of the current J^{Ξ_b} into the correlation function Eq. (18), after contracting the quark fields using Wick's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\mu^{II} = & \frac{-i}{\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{abc} \int d^4x e^{-iqx} \\ & \times \left\{ \left(\left[2(C)_{\phi\eta}(\gamma_5)_{\rho\beta} + (C)_{\phi\beta}(\gamma_5)_{\rho\eta} + (C)_{\beta\eta}(\gamma_5)_{\rho\phi} \right] \right. \right. \\ & + \beta \left[2(C\gamma_5)_{\phi\eta}(I)_{\rho\beta} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (C\gamma_5)_{\phi\beta}(I)_{\rho\eta} + (C\gamma_5)_{\beta\eta}(I)_{\rho\phi} \right] \right) \left[i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) \right]_{\sigma\theta} \left. \right\} \\ & \times S_b(-x)_{\beta\sigma} \langle 0 | s_\eta^a(0) s_\theta^b(x) u_\phi^c(0) | \Xi(p) \rangle, \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

where, $S_b(x)$ is the heavy quark propagator given by

$$S_b(x) = \frac{m_b^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{K_1(m_b\sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}} - i \frac{m_b^2}{4\pi^2 x^2} K_2(m_b\sqrt{-x^2}) - i g_s \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \times \int_0^1 dv \left[\frac{k+m_b}{(m_b^2 - k^2)^2} G^{\mu\nu}(vx) \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{m_b^2 - k^2} v x_\mu G^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu \right], \quad (25)$$

with K_i being the Bessel functions of the second kind. In Eq. (24), $\langle 0 | s_\eta^a(0) s_\theta^b(x) u_\phi^c(0) | \Xi(p) \rangle$ is the wave function of Ξ baryon. It is parameterized in terms of different calligraphic functions ($\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{A}_i$ and \mathcal{T}_i), mass and momentum of the corresponding baryon and Dirac matrices and is given generally for spin-1/2 octet B baryons as

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \langle 0 | \epsilon^{abc} q_{1\alpha}^a(a_1 x) q_{2\beta}^b(a_2 x) q_{3\gamma}^c(a_3 x) | B(p) \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{S}_1 m_B C_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_5 B)_\gamma + \mathcal{S}_2 m_B^2 C_{\alpha\beta}(k \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{P}_1 m_B (\gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} B_\gamma + \mathcal{P}_2 m_B^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} (k B)_\gamma \\ &+ (\mathcal{V}_1 + \frac{x^2 m_B^2}{4} \mathcal{V}_1^M) (\not{p} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{V}_2 m_B (\not{p} C)_{\alpha\beta} (k \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{V}_3 m_B (\gamma_\mu C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 B)_\gamma + \mathcal{V}_4 m_B^2 (k C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{V}_5 m_B^2 (\gamma_\mu C)_{\alpha\beta} (i \sigma^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{V}_6 m_B^3 (k C)_{\alpha\beta} (k \gamma_5 B)_\gamma + (\mathcal{A}_1 \\ &+ \frac{x^2 m_B^2}{4} \mathcal{A}_1^M) (\not{p} \gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} B_\gamma + \mathcal{A}_2 m_B (\not{p} \gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} (k B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_3 m_B (\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\mu B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_4 m_B^2 (k \gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} B_\gamma + \mathcal{A}_5 m_B^2 (\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} (i \sigma^{\mu\nu} x_\nu B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_6 m_B^3 (k \gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} (k B)_\gamma \\ &+ (\mathcal{T}_1 + \frac{x^2 m_B^2}{4} \mathcal{T}_1^M) (p^\nu i \sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_2 m_B (x^\mu p^\nu i \sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_3 m_B (\sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_4 m_B (p^\nu \sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{\mu\rho} x_\rho \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_5 m_B^2 (x^\nu i \sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_6 m_B^2 (x^\mu p^\nu i \sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (k \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_7 m_B^2 (\sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{\mu\nu} k \gamma_5 B)_\gamma \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_8 m_B^3 (x^\nu \sigma_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{\mu\rho} x_\rho \gamma_5 B)_\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where B denotes the spinor of the related baryon in the right hand side of the above expression. The calligraphic functions in Eq. (26) have not definite twists, but, they can be written in terms of the B baryon DAs of definite twists. The expressions of these calligraphic functions and their relations with the scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial vector and tensor DAs for Ξ baryon together with all the related parameters and constants are given in Refs. [57, 60].

Inserting the heavy quark propagator and the wave function of the Ξ baryon into Eq. (24) and performing the lengthy but straightforward calculations, one finds the expression of the correlation function on QCD side in momentum space. Matching the coefficients of the corresponding Lorentz structures both from the hadronic and QCD sides of the correlation function leads to the expressions for the corresponding form factors. To suppress the contributions of the higher states and continuum and enhance the ground state contribution, we apply the Borel transformation and continuum subtraction according to the standard prescriptions of the LCSR method. These procedures brings two more auxiliary parameters, namely, the Borel mass parameter and continuum threshold that together with the previously introduced mixing parameter β in the initial baryon's current are fixed in Ref. [57] based on the standard criteria like the dominance of the ground state over the higher states and continuum and convergence of the light cone series obtained. The working intervals of these helping parameters are used to find the q^2 dependence of the form factors. As we mentioned in the body text, the values of the form factors $f_2^T(q^2 = 0)$ and $g_2^T(q^2 = 0)$ are needed in this study that are given in Table 3 for the decay modes under consideration.

References

1. M.J. Savage, Charmed baryon masses in chiral perturbation theory. Phys. Lett. B **359**, 189 (1995)
2. W. Roberts, M. Pervin, Heavy baryons in a quark model. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2817 (2008)
3. M. Karliner, B. Keren-Zura, H.J. Lipkin, J.L. Rosner, The quark model and b baryons. Ann. Phys. **324**, 2 (2009)
4. Y.B. Dai, C.S. Huang, C. Liu, C.D. Lu, 1m corrections to heavy baryon masses in the heavy quark effective theory sum rules. Phys. Lett. B **371**, 99 (1996)
5. X. Liu, H.X. Chen, Y.R. Liu, A. Hosaka, S.L. Zhu, Bottom baryons. Phys. Rev. D **77**, 014031 (2008)
6. J.G. Körner, M. Krämer, D. Pirjol, Heavy baryons. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **33**, 787 (1994)
7. Z. Ghalenovi, A.A. Rajabi, A. Tavakolinezhad, The heavy baryon masses and spin-isospin dependence. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **347**, 012015 (2012)
8. Z. Ghalenovi, A.A. Rajabi, M. Hamzavi, The heavy baryon masses in variational approach and spin-isospin dependence. Acta Phys. Polon. B **42**, 1849 (2011)
9. B. Patel, A.K. Rai, P.C. Vinodkumar, Masses and magnetic moments of charmed baryons using hyper central model. arXiv:0803.0221 [hep-ph]
10. B. Patel, A.K. Rai, P.C. Vinodkumar, Heavy flavor baryons in hypercentral model. Pramana **70**, 797 (2008). arXiv:0802.4408v1 [hep-ph]
11. D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Masses of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model. Phys. Rev. D **72**, 034026 (2005)
12. D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Masses of excited heavy baryons in the relativistic quark-diquark picture. Phys. Lett. B **659**, 612 (2008)

13. D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Spectroscopy and Regge trajectories of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark-diquark picture. *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 014025 (2011)
14. S. Migura, D. Merten, B. Metsch, H.R. Petry, Charmed baryons in a relativistic quark model. *Eur. Phys. J. A* **28**, 41 (2006)
15. S.M. Gerasyuta, D.V. Ivanov, Charmed baryons in bootstrap quark model. *Nuovo Cim. A* **112**, 261 (1999)
16. S.M. Gerasyuta, E.E. Matskevich, Charmed $(70, 1^-)$ baryon multiplet. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **17**, 585 (2008)
17. S.M. Gerasyuta, E.E. Matskevich, S-wave bottom baryons. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **18**, 1785 (2009)
18. H. Garcilazo, J. Vijande, A. Valcarce, Faddeev study of heavy-baryon spectroscopy. *J. Phys. G Nucl. Part. Phys.* **34**, 961 (2007)
19. S. Capstick, N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics. *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 2809 (1986)
20. R. Roncaglia, D.B. Lichtenberg, E. Predazzi, Predicting the masses of baryons containing one or two heavy quarks. *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 1722 (1995)
21. R. Roncaglia, A. Dzierba, D.B. Lichtenberg, E. Predazzi, Predicting the masses of heavy hadrons without an explicit Hamiltonian. *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 1248 (1995)
22. Z.S. Brown, W. Detmold, S. Meinel, K. Orginos, Charmed bottom baryon spectroscopy from lattice QCD. *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 094507 (2014)
23. N. Mathur, R. Lewis, R.M. Woloshyn, Charmed and bottom baryons from lattice nonrelativistic QCD. *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 014502 (2002)
24. R. Lewis, N. Mathur, R.M. Woloshyn, Charmed baryons in lattice QCD. *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 094509 (2001)
25. H. Bahtiyar, K.U. Can, G. Erkol, P. Gubler, M. Oka, T.T. Takahashi, Charmed baryon spectrum from lattice QCD near the physical point. *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 054513 (2020)
26. P.-L. Yin, Z.-F. Cui, C.D. Roberts, J. Segovia, Masses of positive- and negative-parity hadron ground-states, including those with heavy quarks. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 327 (2021)
27. J.Y. Kim, H.C. Kim, G.S. Yang, Mass spectra of singly heavy baryons in a self-consistent chiral quark-soliton model. *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 054004 (2018)
28. E.V. Shuryak, Hadrons containing a heavy quark and QCD sum rules. *Nucl. Phys. B* **198**, 83 (1982)
29. E. Bagan, M. Chabab, H.G. Dosch, S. Narison, The heavy baryons $\Sigma_{c,b}$ from QCD spectral sum rules. *Phys. Lett. B* **278**, 367 (1992)
30. K. Azizi, N. Er, H. Sundu, Scalar and vector self-energies of heavy baryons in nuclear medium. *Nucl. Phys. A* **960**, 147 (2017)
31. Z.G. Wang, Analysis of Σ_Q baryons in nuclear matter with QCD sum rules. *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 045204 (2012)
32. Z.G. Wang, Analysis of the Λ_Q baryons in the nuclear matter with the QCD sum rules. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1816 (2011)
33. Z.G. Wang, Analysis of the $\frac{1}{2}^\pm$ flavor antitriplet heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68**, 479 (2010)
34. J.R. Zhang, M.Q. Huang, Mass spectra of the heavy baryons Λ_Q and $\Sigma_Q^{(*)}$ from QCD sum rules. *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 094002 (2008)
35. J.R. Zhang, M.Q. Huang, Heavy baryon spectroscopy in QCD. *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 094015 (2008)
36. S.S. Agaev, K. Azizi, H. Sundu, Newly discovered Ξ_c^0 resonances and their parameters. *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**, 201 (2021)
37. S.S. Agaev, K. Azizi, H. Sundu, Decay widths of the excited Ω_b baryons. *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 094011 (2017)
38. S.S. Agaev, K. Azizi, H. Sundu, Interpretation of the new Ω_c^0 states via their mass and width. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 395 (2017)
39. S.S. Agaev, K. Azizi, H. Sundu, On the nature of the newly discovered Ω_c^0 states. *EPL* **118**, 61001 (2017)
40. K. Azizi, S. Kartal, A.T. Olgun, Z. Tavukoglu, Analysis of the radiative $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$ transition in SM and scenarios with one or two universal extra dimensions. *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 015030 (2013)
41. K. Azizi, S. Kartal, A.T. Olgun, Z. Tavukoglu, Radiative $\Sigma_b \rightarrow \Sigma \gamma$ decay in SM and BSM. *J. Phys. G* **41**, 095006 (2014)
42. P.A. Zyla, et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics. *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2020**, 083C01 (2020)
43. A. Cerri et al., Report from working group 4: opportunities in flavour physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC. CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. **7**, 867 (2019)
44. R. Aaij, et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Evidence for the rare decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**(22), 221803 (2018)
45. A.A. Alves Junior, et al., Prospects for measurements with strange hadrons at LHCb. *JHEP* **1905**, 048 (2019)
46. R. Aaij, et al. (LHCb Collaboration), First Observation of the Radiative Decay $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**(3), 031801 (2019)
47. J. Lach, P. Zenczykowski, Hyperon radiative decays. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10**, 3817 (1995)
48. J.F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, B.R. Holstein, Low-energy weak interactions of quarks. *Phys. Rep.* **131**, 319 (1986)
49. R. Aaij, et al. [LHCb], Observation of photon polarization in the $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**(16), 161801 (2014)
50. D. Dutta, et al. [Belle], Search for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and a measurement of the branching fraction for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$. *Phys. Rev. D* **91**(1), 011101 (2015)
51. R. Aaij, et al. [LHCb], First experimental study of photon polarization in radiative B_s^0 decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**(2), 021801 (2017)
52. R. Aaij, et al. [LHCb], Measurement of CP -violating and mixing-induced observables in $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**(8), 081802 (2019)
53. R. Aaij, et al. [LHCb], Strong constraints on the $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ photon polarisation from $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} e^+ e^-$ decays. *JHEP* **12**, 081 (2020)
54. R. Aaij, et al. [LHCb], Search for the radiative $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ decay. [arXiv:2108.07678](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.07678) [hep-ex]
55. R.M. Wang, X.D. Cheng, Y.Y. Fan, J.L. Zhang, Y.G. Xu, Studying radiative baryon decays with the SU(3) flavor symmetry. *J. Phys. G* **48**(8), 085001 (2021)
56. Y.L. Liu, L.F. Gan, M.Q. Huang, The exclusive rare decay $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ of heavy b-baryons. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 054007 (2011)
57. K. Azizi, Y. Sarac, H. Sundu, Light cone QCD sum rules study of the semileptonic heavy Ξ_Q and Ξ_Q' transitions to Ξ and Σ baryons. *Eur. Phys. J. A* **48**, 2 (2012)
58. G. Buchalla, A.J. Buras, M.E. Lautenbacher, Weak decays beyond leading logarithms. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 1125–1144 (1996)
59. A.J. Buras, M. Misiak, M. Munz, S. Pokorski, Theoretical uncertainties and phenomenological aspects of $B \rightarrow \Xi_s \gamma$ decay. *Nucl. Phys. B* **424**, 374–398 (1994)
60. Y.L. Liu, M.Q. Huang, Light-cone distribution amplitudes of Ξ and their applications. *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 055015 (2009)