



Elementary Particle Physics and the Superconducting Super Collider

C. QUIGG and R.F. SCHWITTERS

ABSTRACT

The present status and future prospects of elementary particle physics are reviewed, and some of the scientific questions that motivate the construction of a major new accelerator complex in the United States are summarized.



INTRODUCTION

Elementary-particle physics, the science of the ultimate constituents of matter and their interactions, has undergone a remarkable development during the past two decades. A host of experimental results made accessible by the present generation of particle accelerators and the accompanying rapid convergence of theoretical ideas have brought to the subject an unprecedented coherence. This clarity, however, brings into sharp focus fundamental limitations in the current picture that raise fresh possibilities and set new goals for advancing the understanding of Nature. The progress in particle physics has been more dramatic and more thoroughgoing than could have been imagined only a dozen years ago. Many of the deep issues then current have been addressed, and many of the opportunities then foreseen have been realized. This progress and the profound questions emerging from it bring particle physics to an intellectual turning point comparable to the synthesis of classical physics in the late nineteenth century that preceded the discovery of relativity and quantum mechanics.

Experimental pursuit of some of the fundamental questions in elementary-particle physics requires energies higher than those provided by any accelerators now in operation or under construction anywhere in the world. For this reason, U.S. physicists are now preparing a proposal for a very high energy superconducting proton-proton collider, the Superconducting Super Collider (SSC).¹ This major new accelerator complex would be based on the accelerator principles and technology that were developed in connection with the construction of the Fermilab Tevatron² and on extensive work on superconducting magnets in the United

States over the past 20 years.³ The proposed supercollider would have an energy about 20 times that of the Tevatron collider recently tested at Fermilab. The high energy of SSC is needed to answer some of today's pressing questions in elementary-particle physics. In addition, such a large increase in energy will open up new and uncharted territory to be explored for the first time. Historically, such openings lead to revolutionary advances for entire fields of science.

In what follows, we summarize current understanding of the basic constituents and forces, which can be expressed entirely by what has come to be known as the "standard model" of particle physics. We describe recent progress, both theoretical and experimental, and we review the questions and problems raised by the standard model. The supercollider complex is then briefly described. The main part of this article treats some specific topics that will be studied with the supercollider to illuminate current issues in particle physics and cosmology.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND^{4,5}

Forty years ago, ordinary matter was thought to consist of protons, neutrons, and electrons. Experiments probed the structure of these particles and explored the forces that bind them together into nuclei and atoms. In the course of these experiments, over a period of twenty years, physicists discovered more than a hundred new particles, called hadrons, which had many similarities to protons and neutrons. None of these particles seemed more elementary than any other, and by the mid 1960s there was little understanding of the mechanisms by which they

interacted.

Since that time, a radically new and simple picture has emerged as a result of many crucial experimental discoveries and theoretical insights.⁶ It is now clear that the proton, neutron, and other hadrons are not elementary. Rather, they are composite systems made of more fundamental particles called quarks, much as an atom is a composite system made up of electrons and a nucleus. The existence of five kinds of quark has been established, and initial experimental evidence for a sixth species has been reported.

Unlike the proton and neutron, the electron does appear to be an elementary constituent of matter, both structureless and indivisible. However, we now know that there are six kinds of electronlike particles called leptons. Both quarks and leptons appear to be grouped in three families of two members each. According to our present understanding, then, all matter is composed of quarks and leptons.

Nature derives enormous complexity of structure and dynamics from the six quarks and six leptons now thought to be the fundamental constituents of matter and from the forces that govern their interactions. All known natural processes may be understood as manifestations of a very small number of fundamental forces. For half a century, physicists have recognized four basic forces: gravitation, electromagnetism, the description of weak interaction responsible for certain radioactive decays, and the strong force that binds atomic nuclei. An important difference between quarks and leptons is that one of these four interactions, the strong force that binds quarks together to form hadrons, does not affect leptons at all. Both quarks and leptons are acted on by the three other fundamental forces.

Over the past two decades, great progress has been made in

understanding the nature of the strong, weak, and electromagnetic forces. The description of weak and electromagnetic forces has been unified⁷ by a theory whose predictions have been verified by many inventive experiments, culminating in the discovery⁸ of the W and Z particles in 1983. These carriers of the weak force are analogs of the photon, the carrier of the electromagnetic interaction, whose existence was postulated early in this century and established experimentally by the 1920s. In addition, there is indirect but persuasive evidence for particles called gluons, the carriers of the strong force. The strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions are all described by similar mathematical theories called gauge theories. At present, the role played by the gravitational force in elementary-particle physics is unclear.⁹ The effect of gravity on the behavior of small numbers elementary particles is so small that it usually can be ignored.

The experimental measurements and discoveries that shaped the revolution in particle physics were made possible by harnessing new accelerator and detector technologies that permitted the exploration of new energy domains. Accelerator advances included the exploitation of the strong-focusing principle in synchrotrons; the creation of intense high-energy beams of neutrinos; the invention of colliding-beam accelerators (colliders) in which counterrotating beams of high-energy particles collide head on; the development of bright sources of nearly monoenergetic antiprotons,¹⁰ and the introduction of large-scale, energy-efficient, high-field superconducting accelerator magnets.³ Among the advances in observational techniques were the utilization of the bubble chamber for the observation of reaction products and the parallel development of a series of ever more capable electronic detectors; the mastery of fast digital electronics for data acquisition and processing;

the evolution of methods for managing and analyzing vast quantities of data; and the construction of large, complex detector systems exploiting the capabilities of a variety of individual devices. Each sortie into a new energy regime, each improvement in our ability to search for rare processes, and each increase in sensitivity for their detection has led to new insights and, often, to the discovery of unexpected and revealing phenomena.

With the identification of quarks and leptons as elementary particles and the emergence of gauge theories as descriptions of the fundamental interactions, we possess today a coherent point of view and a single language appropriate for the description of all phenomena. This development has made particle physics a much more unified subject, and it has also helped us to perceive common interests and to make common cause with other specialties, notably astrophysics and cosmology, the physics of condensed matter, atomic physics, and intermediate energy nuclear physics. Among many examples, one important by-product of recent developments in elementary-particle physics has been a recognition of the close connection between this field and the study of the early evolution of the Universe from its beginning in a tremendously energetic primordial explosion called the big bang.¹¹ Particle physics provides important insights into the processes and conditions that prevailed in the early Universe. Deductions from the current state of the Universe can, in turn, give us information about particle processes at energies that are too high to be produced in the laboratory — energies that existed only in the first instants after the primordial explosion.

WHAT WE WANT TO KNOW

The quark model of hadrons and the gauge theories of the strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions organize our present knowledge and provide a setting for going beyond what is now known. A useful analogy can be made between our present-day understanding of elementary-particle physics and the situation in the beta-decay studies in the 1930s.

Fermi's weak interaction theory was invented to explain the phenomena in the million-electron-volt (MeV) energy domain that characterizes the spontaneously occurring beta-decay processes. Its extrapolation to higher energies was remarkably fruitful, since it successively provided the appropriate framework to describe muon decay and muon-capture, strange-particle decays, and, finally, neutrino interactions at energies of billions of electron volts (GeV). The precise agreement between theory and experiment was startling because it was apparent that the theory was only a low-energy approximation that broke down when taken to the domain of hundreds of GeV. Because of its successes, however, one could be confident that elements of the Fermi theory would eventually become part of a more complete description. Precisely this occurred when the unified electroweak theory was developed, and the Fermi theory emerged as its low-energy limit.

Particle physics may be in a similar situation today. Although the standard model provides a framework for describing elementary particles and their interactions, its success prompts us to seek a more comprehensive understanding. For example, we do not know what determines such basic properties of quarks and leptons as their masses. Nor do we understand fully the origin of the differences between the

massless electromagnetic force carrier (the photon) and the massive carriers of the weak force (the W and Z particles). Existing methods for dealing with these questions involve the introduction of many unexplained numerical constants into the theory — a situation that many physicists find arbitrary and thus unsatisfying. Physicists are actively seeking more complete and fundamental answers to these questions.

Another set of questions goes beyond the existing synthesis. For example, how many kinds of quark and lepton are there? How are the quarks and leptons related, if they are related? How can the strong force be unified with the electromagnetic and weak forces?

Then there are questions related to our overview of elementary-particle physics. Are the quarks and leptons really elementary? Are there yet other types of forces and elementary particles? Can gravitation be treated quantum mechanically as are the other forces, and can it be unified with them? More generally, will quantum mechanics continue to apply as we probe smaller and smaller distances? Do we understand the basic nature of space and time?

Given this list of questions, it is not surprising that there are many directions of theoretical speculation departing from the current paradigm. Many of these speculations imply important phenomena at energies that are beyond our present reach. Although theoretical speculation and synthesis are valuable and necessary, particle physics cannot advance without new observations. In the recent past, crucial observations have come from a variety of sources, including experiments at accelerators and nuclear reactors, nonaccelerator experiments (cosmic-ray studies and the search for proton decay), and deductions from astrophysical measurements. All our current ideas, embodied in the

standard model, point to one trillion electron volts (1 TeV is an energy equivalent to approximately 1,000 proton masses) as the mass scale on which new phenomena can be expected. But a diversity of experimental initiatives will have to be mounted in order to explore thoroughly the new regime of energy and distance.¹² A detailed examination of a great variety of conjectured extensions of the standard model shows that the supercollider, with the wealth of different measurements that its detectors would support, is the instrument of choice for exploring this new domain.¹³ At the same time, these extensions of the standard model set the parameters for the new accelerator.

Experiments underway and planned for accelerators now being built (like the electron-positron colliders at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center [SLAC] and the European Laboratory for Particle Physics [CERN], and the Tevatron proton-antiproton collider at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory) will provide detailed quantitative tests of the standard model up to mass scales of order 0.2-0.3 TeV. These experiments will continue over several years, by which time new facilities will be needed so that high-energy physics can progress to the 1 TeV mass scale. The timely construction of the SSC will provide this needed opportunity in the mid-1990's. More sensitive proton decay experiments, better neutrino mass measurements, improved searches for monopoles in cosmic rays, and more precise searches for forbidden decay processes are examples of other important lines of non-SSC investigations that will continue to be pursued. However, according to our present knowledge of elementary-particle physics, our physical intuition, and our past experience, most clues and information will come from experiments at the highest-energy accelerators.

DESCRIPTION OF THE SUPERCOLLIDER

The supercollider would have two counterrotating beams of protons guided along circular orbits by superconducting magnets. Each beam would be accelerated to 20 TeV and the two beams would be brought into collision at several (approximately six) different interaction regions around the circumference of the accelerator. Sophisticated detectors would be installed at the interaction regions, or collision points. Many crucial experimental tests have already been framed, and preliminary designs of detectors have been carried out.

We must note that it is not the collisions of protons on protons as such that are of primary interest. Protons are composite systems formed of quarks and gluons, the latter providing the binding force that holds the proton together. The "hard" collisions of a constituent of one proton with a constituent of the other provide us with information about the fundamental interactions. Very roughly, each constituent carries on average about one tenth of the proton's total energy and thus colliding beams of 20-TeV protons were chosen for the SSC to produce numerous constituent-constituent collisions at a few TeV. The clarity with which the relatively rare hard scatterings of constituents have been observed in proton-antiproton collisions at the CERN collider (with a beam energy of about 0.3 TeV) gives us confidence that important physics results can be derived from the complex collisions that would occur in a super collider.

The proposed accelerator scheme makes use first of an injector system consisting of a linear accelerator followed by two circular accelerators. This system accelerates protons to about 1 TeV, at which point they are injected into the main ring for the final acceleration

phase. The diameter of the main ring will be approximately 30 km, depending on details of the magnets used to guide the protons.

The magnets will use superconducting wire to carry the electric current that sets up the magnetic field and will require major cryogenic systems to establish superconductivity. Such systems can confidently be planned for the supercollider because they have been successfully employed on a large scale at the recently completed Tevatron ring at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory. Superconducting magnets make the supercollider feasible by significantly reducing power consumption and making possible higher magnetic field strengths than are attainable with conventional room-temperature magnets.

The SSC will use mature technology that must be applied on an unprecedented scale. The linear dimensions of the supercollider would be about 15 times larger than those of the Tevatron and 4 times larger than those of the electron-positron collider (LEP)¹⁴ currently under construction by CERN. While the Tevatron consists of about 1,000 superconducting magnets, the supercollider would employ approximately 8,000. This very large scale presents extremely challenging problems in such areas as manufacturing techniques, quality control, reliability, civil engineering, instrumentation and controls, communications, and installation and repair logistics. The magnitude of these problems is rather new to accelerator science and technology but manageable by an appropriate extension of present skills and experience. Creative solution of these problems calls for a new partnership between the basic research community and industry, that is sure to bring additional advances in technology that can be applied elsewhere.

Costs and engineering questions for the SSC were studied carefully by a group of approximately 150 physicists and engineers during Spring,

1984 in a Reference Design Study sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy. Three different magnet styles were considered so that different budget scenarios could be examined. The total estimated cost of the accelerator itself, including contingencies, was consistent for the different approaches and ranged between \$2.7 and \$3B (1984 dollars). Since that study, a design group has continued to study technical and financial aspects of the SSC and the budget figures have remained stable. A complete conceptual design based on a recently selected magnet style is due in the Spring of 1986.

International participation in the construction and operation of the Supercollider would build upon the the existing tradition of collaboration in high energy physics, and would be of great practical interest in view of the estimated costs. Exploitation of all high-energy accelerators in the western world is governed by the scientific merit of experimental proposals, irrespective of the national origin or institutional affiliation of the proponents. It is hoped that the Supercollider will foster a new level of international collaboration. As the premier accelerator in the world, it will surely attract many of the best particle physicists from all parts of the world. Early formation of international collaborations could allow significant foreign participation in the design and construction of the SSC and its detectors, not just in their utilization.

The detectors provide another great challenge to the physicists doing experiments with the SSC. The events resulting from collisions of interest will be very complicated with hundreds of particles flying out from the collision point. These must be tracked and measured to reconstruct the underlying physics responsible for the event. The detectors will generally be large enterprises involving international

collaborations among many different university and laboratory groups. One particular challenge to SSC experimenters will be the very high rate of collisions — about 100 million per second — out of which only a very tiny fraction will be of interest for a specific investigation. Developing the techniques necessary to identify and record these interesting events in a small fraction of a second will advance the frontiers of electronics and computer technology.

SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS FOR THE SUPERCOLLIDER

A major accelerator facility is not constructed to carry out a single experiment or measurement but rather to make possible a great diversity of investigations over the accelerator's lifetime, which is measured in decades. The evolution of the experimental program is guided by results of early experiments, by improvements in detector and accelerator technology, and by hunches and theoretical insights. Because of this, we cannot describe in advance the full scope of the research program for a supercollider. We indicate, however, some of the sorts of issues to be addressed in the first round of experiments. These are representative of the questions that form the basis for the scientific justification for constructing a supercollider and of the opportunities that a supercollider would present.¹⁵

THE ORIGIN OF MASS

Imagine a universe pervaded everywhere by a uniform magnetic field. In such a universe, the motion of charged particles would appear to be rather complicated, because the particles' motions would be influenced by the universal magnetic field as well as by specifically applied forces. Eventually, as physics developed, some genius would realize that a simple law of motion (namely Newton's) is really the basic one and that all the complicated spiralings observed in his universe are caused by a pervasive background field.

The current standard model of the weak interactions suggests that a similar situation is realized in our universe. The field involved is not a magnetic field but rather what is called a Higgs field. The equations describing the weak interactions would take a simpler and more symmetrical form if there were no background Higgs field. One simplification that would occur is that the masses of the W and Z bosons and of many other particles would vanish. This simplification evidently goes too far for the real world. The best we can do is to postulate that the basic model operates in a world pervaded by a background Higgs field that partially hides its full symmetry and simplicity. The standard model, built on this assumption, accurately describes a wide variety of observations—including the existence and mass of the W and Z bosons recently found at CERN—but requires the existence of one or more spinless particles associated with the background field.

Certainly one main area that we can hope to clarify with the supercollider is the exact nature of the Higgs field and its interactions with other matter. Why is the supercollider likely to be an appropriate tool? To see the answer, consider the reason that the

Higgs field pervades our universe. It must be because the total energy density is minimized by its presence. To change the magnitude of the field significantly, or make manifest one of its particles, one must supply enough energy density to overcome the natural tendency of the field to revert to its normal universal background value. The energies that would be available at the supercollider are sufficient to do so.

Present theoretical understanding of Higgs fields is primitive. There must be at least one such field, but there may well be many, each associated with its own particle. The supercollider will open a window on this now dimly perceived sector of elementary-particle theory and help us to understand the reason for the apparently disorderly pattern of elementary-particle masses, caused, according to the present theory, by the interactions with the Higgs field or fields.

FAMILIES

The recent discovery of members of a third family of quarks and leptons has sharpened the problem of understanding the replication of particles in families. The families of elementary particles that have, within a very well-defined sense, identical strong, electromagnetic, and weak interactions but different masses. Why does nature repeat itself in this way? Are there still more families? Do Higgs particles come in families? Are there other particles that do not fit into the same repetitious pattern? There are many such questions; they will only be answered by experiments at higher energies than those that we can now attain.

One theoretical approach to understanding families postulates new

symmetries under which the different families are interchanged. Given such symmetries, the observation of one family implies the existence of others. A particularly appealing version of this idea involves combining the family symmetry and the gauge symmetries of the strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions into one all-encompassing symmetry, hidden by the presence of suitable background Higgs fields. Implementing such symmetries could lead one to expect a new class of "family interactions" mediated by heavier analogs of the W and Z bosons that might be created at supercollider energies.

CHIRALITY

There is a peculiar asymmetry in the weak interactions of the observed quarks and leptons. Simply put, the W bosons prefer to couple to these particles if they appear to be spinning clockwise, as viewed by an observer that they are approaching. We say that the weak interactions mediated by W bosons couple to left-handed quarks and leptons. Many people have speculated that this left-right asymmetry arises by a mechanism analogous to the one that causes the electromagnetic and weak interactions to appear different in the standard model. According to this view, there will be either W' bosons that couple preferentially to right-handed quarks and leptons, or new sets of quarks and leptons with right-handed couplings to the familiar W boson. In many models, the symmetry between left and right is broken by the same Higgs fields that conceal the fundamental electroweak symmetry. In such models, the masses of the new gauge bosons involved, or of the right-handed quarks and leptons, cannot be much larger than the known

masses of the W and Z—which would make them observable at supercollider energies. At the SSC, it will be possible to establish intermediate bosons up to at least $5000 \text{ GeV}/c^2$: fifty times the mass of the known W and Z.

SUPERSYMMETRY

Recently there have been many theoretical investigations of the physical consequences of a new kind of symmetry called supersymmetry that implies the existence of integral-spin partners of particles of half-integral spin and vice versa. If it is relevant to physics at all, supersymmetry must be a broken symmetry—for example, there is definitely no particle having the mass and charge of the spin-1/2 electron that has integral spin. Such a particle would have been observed long ago if it existed.

If supersymmetry plays a role in the mechanism for electroweak symmetry breaking, many supersymmetric partners of known particles could be produced at supercollider energies. The advantages of such a scheme include resolution of the mathematical inconsistencies that arise in the calculation of many physical quantities — specifically the masses of the Higgs particles.

The conjectured supersymmetry would lead to a Higgs boson mass less than $1 \text{ TeV}/c^2$ and yield supersymmetric particles with masses also less than about $1 \text{ TeV}/c^2$. Up to the present, there is no conclusive experimental evidence for any superpartners, but there have been attempts to interpret some unusual events (with large amounts of energy radiated in an invisible form) observed at the CERN Collider in terms of

supersymmetric models.

Significant progress has been made recently in building unified field theories that include gravity. These ambitious theories, which incorporate supersymmetry in a fundamental way, involve objects called superstrings. They will be confirmed, significantly constrained, or ruled out by experiments that elucidate the possible role of supersymmetry at supercollider energies.

DYNAMICAL SYMMETRY BREAKING

A second possible solution to the Higgs problem assumes that the Higgs boson is not an elementary particle at all but a composite object made of elementary constituents analogous to quarks and leptons. Although they would resemble the usual quarks and leptons, these new constituents would be subject to a new kind of strong interaction that would confine them in bound states within about 10^{-17} cm. Such new forces could yield new phenomena as rich and diverse as the conventional strong interactions, but on an energy scale a thousand times greater — around 1 TeV. The new phenomena would include a rich spectrum of new bound states akin to the spectrum of known hadrons. Again, there is no evidence yet for these new particles.

COMPOSITENESS

The pioneering experiments at the CERN collider have recorded many events in which well collimated sprays or jets of energetic particles are emitted at large angles to the axis of the colliding beams. These "hard scatters," so called because of their resemblance to billiard ball collisions, must result from the collision of an individual quark or gluon from a proton with a individual constituent of an antiproton. The CERN experiments have recorded collisions in which the total energy of the constituents is as high as 200 GeV. The SSC will make possible the detailed study of these elementary collisions among the fundamental particles for energies up to 10,000 GeV. These studies will stringently test the predictions of quantum chromodynamics, the theory of the strong force for the interactions among quarks and gluons, and will yield new insight into the way that quarks and gluons materialize into the observed hadrons.

Violent collisions among quarks also provide a window on the possible internal structure of quarks. Some physicists feel that the known quarks and leptons are too numerous to be the ultimate elementary particles. If quarks are themselves composite, it should be possible to excite their internal structure in violent collisions. One sign of this kind of internal excitation would be spectacular multijet events quite unlike those anticipated in the standard model. The absence of such events and the agreement of the observed quark-quark scattering cross section with the standard-model predictions imply upper limits on the size of quarks. It will be possible with the supercollider to look for quark substructure down to a distance of about 10^{-18} cm.

COSMOLOGY AND THE SUPER COLLIDER

Over the past few years, cosmology and particle physics have become increasingly interwoven. To understand what took place in the high-temperature, high-density early universe, one is forced to look at the physics of elementary particles. Similarly, the unified theories of elementary-particle physics have striking consequences at extremely high temperatures and energies. The only laboratory available to check these extrapolations of unified theories is the first instants after the big bang when extraordinarily high temperatures and densities were reached. The supercollider will be operating at energies far beyond those previously achievable in a laboratory and will simulate the conditions that prevailed about 10^{-15} second after the primordial explosion when the temperature of the universe was about 10^{17} K.

Direct observations by optical telescopes are limited to events that occurred some 300,000 years after the big bang because the universe was opaque to photons at earlier times. To reconstruct what happened in the early universe, it is necessary to know the nature of basic interactions at high energies and the complete spectrum of elementary particles. In particular, the relics left over from those early times are of basic importance to cosmology. Any long-lived particle produced in the primordial explosion would survive and be an ingredient in the present-day universe.

One of the major issues in cosmology is to find the "dark matter" of the universe. Studies of the motion of stars within galaxies and of galaxies within clusters have established that these systems must contain a great deal of matter in addition to what is visible in the stars. This nonluminous matter may in fact account for the bulk of the

mass in the universe. The properties that we impute to the dark matter depend on the character of the small density fluctuations in the early universe that grew into the galaxies and clusters observed today. According to current ideas on galaxy formation, the dark matter may be quite different from the ordinary matter of which we are made. Particle physics yields a mechanism for generating the primordial density fluctuations and provides candidates for the dark matter as well. Experimentation at the supercollider will allow broad searches for new particles that may play the role of the dark matter.

In addition to the possibility of illuminating the question of dark matter of the universe, the supercollider will clarify the structure and symmetry of the fundamental interactions and allow us to extrapolate with greater confidence back to early times. One of the most interesting recent developments in cosmology has been the suggestion that the large-scale homogeneity and isotropy of the universe were established during an early symmetry-breaking phase transition.¹⁶ According to these ideas, the universe began in a highly symmetric phase in which all the fundamental interactions were equivalent and evolved to the present phase in which different forces have different manifestations. The vacuum state of the Universe is modified when the symmetry is broken. Below the transition temperature the vacuum is occupied by a Bose condensate of a type of Higgs particles, so the vacuum energy of the Universe changes during the transition. It is possible that during the transition the vacuum energy of the Universe was large enough to cause the Universe to expand exponentially. This exponential expansion, or inflation, is capable of explaining in a natural way a great deal about the present structure of the Universe: homogeneity and isotropy in the large scale distribution of galaxies,

the large age of the Universe, the spatial flatness of the Universe, the large entropy of the Universe, and possibly the existence of small primordial perturbations in the distribution of matter that eventually grew to become galaxies, stars, planets, and people.

We know that the inflationary phase did not occur in the electroweak symmetry breaking transition. However, if Nature is more symmetric at high energies than at low energies, the electroweak transition is but the last in a series of similar transitions. Various scenarios have been proposed for the inflationary phase, including the supersymmetry breaking transition and the transition accompanying the breakdown of the "grand unified" electronuclear symmetry. Almost all proposals for inflation are associated with spontaneous symmetry breaking, and have their own types of Higgs systems. We are now faced with the prospect of the most revolutionary and exciting development in cosmology depending upon the least understood part of particle physics — the Higgs sector. Detailed exploration of the electroweak (1 TeV) scale at the SSC will give us a clearer picture of how the electroweak symmetry is hidden, and point the way to an understanding of the Higgs system of inflation.

CONCLUSION

The advances of the past decade have brought us tantalizingly close to a profound new understanding of the fundamental constituents of Nature and their interactions. The standard model based on quarks and leptons organizes current knowledge and defines the horizon of particle physics at constituent energies of about 1 TeV and the horizon of

cosmology at times of about 10^{-15} second. Important answers are to be found on the 1 TeV scale: there we await new discoveries about the unification of the forces of nature, the patterns of the fundamental constituents of matter, and the origin of the universe. The SSC is the instrument to lead this quest. The boldness of the project and the significance of the questions it will address give it the potential to be one of the great examples of the United States' commitment to excellence in science.

Chris Quigg is Head of the Theoretical Physics Department at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510, and Professor of Physics at The University of Chicago. Roy Schwitters is Professor of Physics at Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, and Visiting Scientist at Fermilab where he is co-leader of the Collider Detector.

REFERENCES

1. *Report of the Reference Designs Study Group on the Superconducting Super Collider*, Department of Energy Report, May 8, 1984.
2. H. Edwards, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **35**, 605(1985).
3. R.B. Palmer and A.V. Tollestrup, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **34**, 247(1984).
4. S. Weinberg, *The Discovery of Subatomic Particles*, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1983.
5. M. Stanley Livingston, *Particle Accelerators: A Brief History*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1969.
6. J.H. Mulvey (editor), *The Nature of Matter*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1981;
C. Quigg, *Scientific American* **252**(4), 84(April, 1985); *Physics in the 1980s: Elementary Particle Physics*, National Academy Press, Washington, 1985.
7. S.L. Glashow, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 539(1980);
A. Salam, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 525(1980);
S. Weinberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 515(1980).
8. G. Arnison, et al. (UA-1 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett.* **122B**, 103(1983); *ibid* **126B**, 398(1983); *ibid.* **129B**, 273(1983).
M. Banner, et al. (UA-2 Collaboration), *Phys. Lett.* **122B**, 476(1983);
P. Bagnaia, et al. (UA-2 Collaboration), *ibid.* **129B**, 130(1983).

See also the Nobel Lecture of C. Rubbia, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 699(1985). For the history of the intermediate boson idea, see P.Q. Hung and C. Quigg, Science **210**, 1205(1980).

9. Superstring theories aim to unify all the fundamental interactions. For reviews see J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Rep. **89**, 223(1982); M.B. Green, Surveys in H.E. Physics **3**, 127(1983).
10. A good introduction appears in the Nobel Lecture by S. van der Meer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 689(1985).
11. Valuable introductions to cosmology are given by P.J.E. Peebles, *Physical Cosmology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971;
S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, Wiley, New York, 1972.
The relation between cosmology and particle physics is stressed by G. Steigman, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **29**, 313(1979); and by A.D. Dolgov and Ya. B. Zeldovich, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 1(1981).
12. E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **56**, 579(1984).
13. R. Donaldson, R. Gustafson, and F. Paige (editors), *Proceedings of the 1982 DPF Summer Study on Elementary Particle Physics and Future Facilities*, Fermilab, Batavia, Illinois, 1982;
R. Donaldson and J.G. Morfin (editors), *Proceedings of the 1984 Summer Study on the Design and Utilization of the Superconducting Super Collider*, Fermilab, Batavia, Illinois, 1984;

M. Jacob (editor), *Large Hadron Collider in the LEP Tunnel*,
Proceedings of the ECFA-CERN Workshop, CERN Report 84-10.

14. LEP Design Report, Vol. II, CERN-LEP/84-01 (June, 1984).
15. For further details and references, see Ref. 12.
16. A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D23, 347(1981). For reviews see A.D. Linde, Rep. Prog. Phys. 47, 925(1984); R.H. Brandenburger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 1(1985).
17. This article is based on the Report of the Research Briefing Panel on Scientific Frontiers and the Superconducting Super Collider prepared under the aegis of the Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy of the National Academies of Sciences and Engineering and the Institute of Medicine. Other members of the panel were S. Wojcicki (Chairman), B. Barish, K. Fowler, B.H. Honig, A.K. Kerman, C.K.N. Patel, D. Schramm, S.B. Treiman, S. Weinberg, F. Wilczek, and H. Woolf. We are grateful to Donald C. Shapero and Robert L. Riemer of the Board on Physics and Astronomy for advice and assistance.