Physics Letters B 759 (2016) 622–625

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Interpenetrating subspaces as a funnel to extra space

Sergey G. Rubin

National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI" (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), Russian Federation

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 20 March 2016
Received in revised form 4 May 2016
Accepted 14 June 2016
Available online 17 June 2016
Editor: M. Trodden

ABSTRACT

New solution for two interpenetrating universes is found. Higher derivative gravity acting in 6-dimensional space is the basis of the study that allows to obtain stable solution without introducing matter of any sort. Stability of the solution is maintained by a difference between asymptotic behavior at spacial infinities. For an external observer such a funnel looks similar to a spherical wormhole.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP[3].

1. Introduction

The theory of gravity provides us with great variety of nontrivial objects. Most known of them are black holes and wormholes. The existence of black holes acquires substantial observational background nowadays. Wormholes are considered as a hypothetical way to pass from one large space to another: a property which distinguishes traversable wormholes from black holes [1,2]. Other stable topological configurations of space (geons) are considered as particle-like objects possessing a mass and a charge. The problem of a topology changes is discussed in [3]. A Lorentzian wormhole is a space-time whose spatial sections contain two asymptotically flat regions joined by a "throat". Most of the literature is devoted to 4-dim wormholes, however there is substantial branch concerning spaces of an arbitrary dimensionality, see e.g. [4]. Lorentzian wormholes embedded in the de Sitter space are discussed in [5–7].

In this paper, new solution with properties similar to those of a wormhole is discussed. The result is based on the extra space idea with a dimensionality $D > 5$ and the gravity with higher derivatives. Multidimensional wormholes are also discussed in the literature see e.g. [8]. The interest in $f(R)$ theories is motivated by inflationary scenarios starting from the pioneering work of Starobinsky [9]. A number of viable $f(R)$ models in 4-dim space that satisfy the observable constraints are proposed in Refs. [10–12]. Also, substantially new results may be obtained on the basis of $f(R)$-theories of gravity, see [17,20] and references therein.

In this paper it is supposed that our Universe is described by a D-dim space ($D > 4$) with a topology $T \times \mathbb{V}_{D-1}$. Its volume was comparable with unity in the Planck units at the moment of its origination from the space time foam. In the following three of these dimensions grew while others remained compact and/or small. It seems reasonable to suppose that the choice was made accidentally depending on initial conditions, see e.g. [21]. It is commonly accepted that our Universe belongs to only one of such 3-dim subspaces. If it is not true new space geometry caused by nontrivial boundary conditions at infinity is formed. Its structure is studied below. For an external observer the solution looks like a wormhole though its internal geometry is different.

2. Boundary conditions and funnel geometry

Let us start with metric

$$ds^2 = G_{\mu\nu} dZ^\mu dZ^\nu + G_{ab} dZ^a dZ^b \tag{1}$$

A lot of literature (see [22] for review) is devoted to study this metric. One of the simplest geometries described by metric (1) is the direct products $M_4 \times V_{D-4}$ of the Minkowski space and a $(D-4)$-dim extra space with metric

$$G_{\mu\nu} = diag(1, -1, -Z_2^2, -Z_2^2 \sin^2 Z_3),$$
$$G_{ab} = r_0 \cdot diag(-1, -\sin^2 Z_6, -\sin^2 Z_6 \sin^2 Z_7, \ldots),$$
$$\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad a, b = 5, 6, \ldots, D, \tag{2}$$

where r_0 is a radius of $(D-4)$-dim sphere with coordinates $Z_5, \ldots, Z_D$. The coordinates Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4 ($-\infty < Z_2 < \infty$, $0 < Z_3 < \pi$, $0 < Z_4 < 2\pi$) describe the extended Minkowski space with the Ricci scalar $R_4 = 0$.

Let us consider more interesting case with the 4-dim metric depending on a single coordinate Z_2. It holds if boundary conditions at $Z_2 \to +\infty$ and at $Z_2 \to -\infty$ differ from each other. More definitely, suppose that first condition at $Z_2 \to +\infty$ coincides with static geometry (2). The subspace described by space coordinates 2, 3, 4 is assumed to be large.

E-mail address: sergeirubin@list.ru.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.06.031
0370-2693/© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP[3].




Fig. 1. Interpenetrating spaces in the spherical coordinates look like two intersecting funnels.

Another boundary condition at $Z_2 \to -\infty$

$$G_{\mu\nu}(Z_2 \to -\infty) = diag(1, -1, -Z_2^2, -Z_2^2 \sin^2 Z_6),$$
$$G_{ab}(Z_2 \to -\infty) = r_0 \cdot diag(-1, -\sin^2 Z_3, -\sin^2 Z_3 \sin^2 Z_4, ...),$$
$$\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 6, 7 \quad a, b = 5, 3, 4, 8..., D, \tag{3}$$

relates to another static large subspace with space coordinates 2, 6, 7.

Both boundary conditions (2) and (3) represent similar geometries with index permutation. Nevertheless the physical volume $v(Z_2)$ of the 2-dim subspace described be coordinates Z_3, Z_4 is different at $Z_2 \to \pm\infty$. Therefore a nontrivial solution connecting asymptotic regions $Z_2 \to +\infty$ and $Z_2 \to -\infty$ should exist in analogy with well known kink solutions [23].

One of the possible forms of such a space is shown in Fig. 1. This form is confirmed by a numerical simulation discussed below. If an observer moves along the Z_2-coordinate and intersects a point $Z_2 = 0$ she/he finds out an increasing of one large subspace and decreasing of another. Far from the transition at $Z_2 = 0$ both of these subspaces are described by the Minkowski geometry.

3. Funnel-like solution

3.1. Direct product of the Minkowski space and compact extra space

Let us specify a geometry of the space and consider the space $\mathbb{V}_D$ with $D = 6$ and metric in the form

$$ds^2 = e^{2\alpha(u)}dt^2 - du^2 - e^{2\beta_1(u)}G_{1,ab}dy^a dy^b - e^{2\beta_2(u)}G_{2,mn}dz^m dz^n \tag{4}$$

where $-\infty < u < \infty$. There are three independent functions – $\beta_1(u)$, $\beta_2(u)$ and the redshift function $\alpha(u)$. The variable u is a proper distance coordinate. The 2-dim subspaces $\mathbb{W}_{1,2}$ are described by coordinates y_a, z_m $(a = 3, 4;\ m = 5, 6)$ and represent two spheres of radius $r_1(u) = e^{\beta_1(u)}$ and $r_2(u) = e^{\beta_2(u)}$.

The action is supposed contains the higher order derivatives of metric in the form

$$S = \frac{m_D^4}{2}\int d^6Z\sqrt{|G|}\left[F(R) + c_1 R_{AB}R^{AB}\right]. \tag{5}$$

$$F(R) = R + cR^2 - 2\Lambda \tag{6}$$

Here c, c_1 and Λ are physical parameters of order m_D. By common views, higher order curvature terms appear due to quantum corrections, and it seems natural to include the Ricci tensor squared $R_{AB}R^{AB}$ and the term $\sim R^2$ on equal footing.

To make speculation as clear as possible suppose that the space $\mathbb{V}_D$ represents direct product of the 4-dim "large" space with coordinates t, u, y^1, y^2 and 2-dim sphere of radius $e^{\beta_2(u)}$, see (4). Due




Fig. 2. The plot of the potential density V. The Lagrangian parameters $c = 5$, $\Lambda = 0.01$, $c_1 = -27$.

to the extremal smallness of the cosmological constant, we neglect its value, $\Lambda_0 = 0$. As is shown below this approximation imposes a condition to the Lagrangian parameters c, c_1 and Λ.

It is well known that the F(R) theory can be cast in the form of Einstein–Hilbert theory with a potential for the effective scalar-field degree of freedom [13–15] which strongly facilitate an analysis. Another method for the same purpose is developed in [16]. Both of these methods include the conformal transformation which holds only if $F'(R) \neq 0$. This condition has a profound basis. Indeed, as was shown in [18,19], theories of F(R) gravity are unstable at the hypersurface $F'(R) = 0$.

To proceed, let us use the method of slow motion [16]. More definitely, consider the limit

$$R_{(4)} \ll R_{(2)} \tag{7}$$

and assume that the metric tensor g_{AB} varies slowly with the coordinate u. After some calculations [16,17] we obtain the effective action in the Einstein frame

$$S_{eff} = \frac{v_2}{2}\int d^4x(signF')\left[R_{(4)} + \frac{k(\phi)}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - V(\phi)\right] \tag{8}$$

$$k(\phi) = \frac{1}{\phi}\left[3\phi^2\left(\frac{F''}{F}\right)^2 - 2\phi\left(\frac{F''}{F}\right) + 2\right] \tag{9}$$

$$V(\phi) = -sign(1 + 2c\phi)\frac{1}{2}\frac{|\phi|[(c + c_1/2)\phi^2 + \phi - 2\Lambda]}{(1 + 2c\phi)^2}. \tag{10}$$

Here and in the following $m_D = 1$ and the Planck mass $M_{Pl} = \sqrt{v_2}$. v_2 is the volume of 2-dim sphere of unit radius.

The potential density $V(\phi)$ represented in Fig. 2 depends on the scalar field which is connected to the Ricci scalar $R_{(2)}$ of the extra space, $\phi(u) \equiv R_{(2)} = 2e^{-2\beta(u)}$. The presence of the potential minimum indicates stationarity of extra space of constant curvature.

Necessary conditions for the cosmological constant be equal zero have the form

$$V(\phi_M) = 0, \quad V'(\phi_M) = 0. \tag{11}$$

These equations fix the field

$$\phi_M = 4\Lambda \tag{12}$$

and give the connection between the physical parameters

$$\Lambda = \frac{-1}{4(2c + c_1)}. \tag{13}$$

The radius r_0 of the extra space is expressed in the form

$$r_0 = e^{\beta_M} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\phi_M}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Lambda}} \tag{14}$$

As the result we have the 6-dim space as the direct product of the 4-dim Minkowski space and static 2-dim extra space with metric functions

$$\alpha(u) = 0,\ \beta_1(u) = ln|u|,\ \beta_2(u) = ln\,(r_0) \tag{15}$$

in the interval (4). One can easily check that

$$F'(R) = 1 + 2c\phi_M = 1 + 8c\Lambda \tag{16}$$

is not equal zero for the numerical values of parameters listed in the capture of Fig. 2. Now let us come back to more complex metrics with different boundary conditions.

3.2. Funnel solution

The choice of boundary conditions is the key point for a search of new stationary metrics. Let the first of them (at $u \to +\infty$) coincide with stationary solution (15). The second boundary condition (at $u \to -\infty$) in the form

$$\alpha = 0,\ \beta_2 = ln|u|,\ \beta_1 = ln\,(r_0)\,,\quad u \to -\infty \tag{17}$$

is obtained by the index substitution $1 \longleftrightarrow 2$. Metric (17) relates to the Minkowski space with coordinates t, u, z^1, z^2. A kink-like solution should fit two essentially different stationary metrics at $u \to \pm\infty$.

In this paper, numerical solution was found by the Ritz method. To this end one should perform minimization procedure of action (5) on a class of trial functions. Let us choose them in the form

$$\alpha(u, w) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\cosh(wu)} + 1\right),$$

$$r_1(u; w) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{u}(\tanh(wx) + 1)dx + r_0$$

$$r_2(u; w) = r_1(-u; w) \tag{18}$$

with free parameter w. Here the definitions $r_{1,2}(u) \equiv e^{\beta_{1,2}(u)}$ are used. Asymptotic behavior

$$\alpha(u \to \pm\infty; w) = 0,$$

$$r_1(u \to +\infty; w) = u,\ r_1(u \to -\infty; w) = r_0,$$

$$r_2(u \to -\infty; w) = u,\ r_2(u \to +\infty; w) = r_0 \tag{19}$$

of these functions meet boundary conditions (15) and (17).

Numerical value of the adjusted parameter w is obtained by optimizing the action (5) as the function of w. The Ricci scalar and the Ricci tensor squared depend on the functions α, β_1, β_2 as follows

$$R = R(\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2) = 2e^{-2\beta_1} + 2e^{-2\beta_2} - 2\alpha'' - 4\beta_1'' - 4\beta_2'' - 2\alpha'^2 - 6\beta_1'^2 - 6\beta_2'^2 - 8\beta_1'\beta_2' \tag{20}$$

and

$$R_{AB}R^{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{6}(R_i^i)^2,$$

$$R_1^1 = -\alpha'' - \alpha'^2,$$

$$R_2^2 = -\alpha'' - \alpha'^2 - 2(\beta_1'^2 + \beta_2'^2 + \beta_1'' + \beta_2''),$$

$$R_3^3 = R_4^4 = e^{-2\beta_1} - 2\beta_1'^2 - 2\beta_1'\beta_2' - \beta_1'',$$

$$R_5^5 = R_6^6 = e^{-2\beta_2} - 2\beta_2'^2 - 2\beta_2'\beta_1' - \beta_2'' \tag{21}$$

for metric (4).




Fig. 3. The Lagrangian density (5) depending on the radial coordinate u for funnel solution. The Lagrangian density equals zero if the boundary conditions are equal at $u = \pm\infty$.




Fig. 4. The action dependence on the parameter w with the minimum at $w = 0.05$. The Lagrangian parameters $c = 5$, $\Lambda = 0.01$, $c_1 = -27$.




Fig. 5. Two intersecting funnels as the result of numerical simulations. The size $r_{1,2}(u)$ of the extra spaces $W_{1,2}$ vs. the coordinate u. The horizontal line denotes the size r_0 of extra space at $u \to \pm\infty$.

The result of numerical calculation is represented in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The profound minimum of the action in Fig. 4 is attained at the point $w = w_m = 0.05$ for the Lagrangian parameter listed in the figure capture. Therefore we may choose trial functions $\alpha(u, w_m), r_{1,2}(u, w_m) = \exp\{\beta_{1,2}(u, w_m)\}$ from (18) as the approximate solution for metric (4). The latter is represented in Fig. 5 and Fig. 1. An observer that is moving to the point $u = 0$ feels the increase in the size of extra space. After passing through the point $u = 0$, roles of the 2-dimensional subspaces are reversed. Those which were large became small and vise versa.

Note that conditions $\beta_1'(u = 0) = \beta_2'(u = 0) = 0$ crucial for wormholes are not necessary now. Hence we can obtain stationary wormhole-like solutions without introducing phantom fields.

4. Conclusion

New solution connecting two universes is found. For an external 4-dim observer such a funnel looks similar to a spherical wormhole though its internal structure is different. Wormhole rep-

resents two 4-dim space areas smoothly connected to each other while the solution discussed in the paper represents two 6-dim interpenetrating space areas each containing 4-dim Minkowski space and 2-dim compact extra space.

Higher derivative gravity acting in 6-dimensional space is the basis of the study that allows to obtain the solution (funnel) without introducing a matter. Stability of the solution is maintained by the difference in asymptotic behavior at $\pm\infty$.

The funnel is observed as a massive object with a "throat" size smaller than $\sim 10^{-18}$ cm. They could contribute to the dark matter provided that their abundance is sufficient.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to K. Bronnikov, V. Dokuchaev for interesting communications and to V. Berezin, K. Belotsky, A. Grobov, A. Dmitriev and A. Kirillov for helpful discussions.

This work was performed within the framework of the Center FRPP supported by MEPhI Academic Excellence Project (contract 02.03.21.0005, 27.08.2013) and was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, Project No. 3.472.2014/K.

References

[1] K.A. Bronnikov, Acta Phys. Pol., B 4 (1973) 251.
[2] C. Armendariz-Picon, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 104010, arXiv:gr-qc/0201027.
[3] L.C. de Albuquerque, P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, S. Vaidya, J. High Energy Phys. 0410 (2004) 024, arXiv:hep-th/0407041.
[4] A. DeBenedictis, A. Das, Nucl. Phys. B 653 (2003) 279, arXiv:gr-qc/0207077.
[5] T.A. Roman, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 1370, arXiv:gr-qc/9211012.
[6] C. Krishnan, S. Paban, M. Zanic, J. High Energy Phys. 0505 (2005) 045, arXiv:hep-th/0503025.
[7] S.V. Sushkov, S.W. Kim, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 36 (2004) 1671, arXiv:gr-qc/0404037.
[8] Vladimir D. Dzhunushaliev, D. Singleton, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 064018, arXiv:gr-qc/9807086.
[9] A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 99.
[10] L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski, S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 083504.
[11] A.A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 86 (2007) 157.
[12] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani, S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 046009.
[13] J.D. Barrow, S. Cotsakis, Phys. Lett. B 214 (1988) 515–518.
[14] K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 3159–3162.
[15] G. Magnano, L.M. Sokolowski, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 5039–5059, arXiv:gr-qc/9312008.
[16] K.A. Bronnikov, S.G. Rubin, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 124019.
[17] Kirill A. Bronnikov, Sergey G. Rubin, Black Holes, Cosmology and Extra Dimensions, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2012.
[18] H. Nariai, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49 (1973) 165.
[19] V.Ts. Gurovich, A.A. Starobinsky, Sov. Phys. JETP 50 (1979) 844.
[20] R. Di Criscienzo, R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani, Class. Quantum Gravity 30 (2013) 235013, arXiv:1306.4750 [gr-qc].
[21] E.A. Leon, R. Nunez-Lopez, A. Lipovka, J.A. Nieto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 26 (2011) 805–814, arXiv:1012.3556.
[22] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos, P.G.O. Freund, Modern Kaluza–Klein Theories, Frontiers in Physics, vol. 65, 1987.
[23] R. Rajaraman, Solitons and Instantons, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1982.