Light Hadron Spectroscopy at BESIII

2015 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 599 012003

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/599/1/012003)

Journal of Physics: Conference Series **599** (2015) 012003 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/599/1/012003

Light Hadron Spectroscopy at BESIII

Jifeng Hu
(on behalf of the BESIII Collaboration)
Physics Department, University of Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, Torino
E-mail: hu@to.infn.it

Abstract. With data of 1.3 billion J/ψ events and 106 million $\psi(2S)$ events collected with the BESIII detector operating at the BEPCII, many different analyses were performed. New baryon states were observed in partial wave analyses of charmonium decays. A comparison between the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma f_0(1710))$ to the recent lattice QCD prediction of J/ψ decaying to glueball ground state $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma G(0^{++}))$ benefits from new results of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$. The observation of $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+(\pi^0)\pi^-(\pi^0)$ via the radiative decay of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$ agrees with the combined model of chiral perturbation theory and vector-meson dominance approach.

1. Introduction

Rich quantum states are allowed within the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) theory. However, glue-balls still remain unknown in experiments due to the difficult separation from light mesons. J/ψ radiative decay providing a very clean laboratory of scalar and tensor glueballs, has long been used for hunting for glueballs. The Crystal Ball Collaboration [1] made the first observation of $f_0(1710)$ via $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$, but suffered from low statistics. Recent lattice QCD calculations [2, 3] tell that, the mass of glueball ground state with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ lies in the region of 1.5 to 1.7 GeV/c^2, and the branching fraction of $J/\psi \to \gamma G(0^{++})$ has a value of 3.8×10^{-3}. An comparison could be made by summing up branching fractions of $J/\psi \to \gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma K^+K^-(\gamma\omega\omega, \gamma\pi\pi)$ and the new measurement of $J/\psi \to \gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma\eta\eta$. Another problem known as the "missing baryons" [4, 5] can be further understood by investigating new baryon states beyond fixed target experiments [6, 7, 8], because charmonium decays produced at the BESIII experiment take advantages of 93% acceptance of 4π coverage and high statistics to search for the missing baryons [9] [10] [11, 12]. η' meson interpreted as a singlet state arising due to the axial $U(1)$ anomaly [13, 14], still remains active in theoretical studies aiming at extensions of chiral perturbation theory [17], from its discovery in 1964 [15, 16]. New insight could be made by the four-pion decays of $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^{+(0)}\pi^{-(0)}$, which could be mediated by the pentagon anomaly instead of suppression according to approximate symmetries. In experiment, no observation of the four-pion decays has been made only the best upper limits reported by the CLEO collaboration: $\mathcal{B}_1(\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-) < 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0) < 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ at the 90% confidence level (C.L.) [18] excluding the branching ratio of 1.0×10^{-3} calculated using the broken-$SU_6 \times O_3$ quark model [19] three decades ago. Recent predictions $\mathcal{B}_1 = (1.0\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = (2.4\pm0.7)\times10^{-4}$ employed a combined model of chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) and a vector-meson dominance (VMD) approach [20].

In this paper, the results of partial wave analysis (PWA) on $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$ are presented based on a sample of 225 million J/ψ events [21]. The PWA of the decay $\psi(2S) \to p\bar{p}\pi^0$ is performed using the 106 million $\psi(2S)$ events. The first observation of $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ takes advantage of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta'$ decay with data of 1.3×10^9 J/ψ events (2.25×10^8 events in 2009 and 1.09×10^9 events in 2012) collected at the center of mass energy of 3.097 GeV with the BESIII detector [22].

The BESIII detector is a general-purpose spectrometer located at the Beijing Electron Position Collider (BEPCII) [23], designed with a double-ring e^+e^- collider structure. The designed peak luminosity of 10^{33} cm^{-2}s^{-1} is optimized at the center of mass energy of 3.773 GeV. The cylindrical core of the BESIII detector consists of a helium-based main drift chamber (MDC), a plastic scintillator time-of-flight system (TOF), and a CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC), which are all enclosed in a superconducting solenoidal magnet providing a 1.0 T (0.9 T in 2012) magnetic field. The acceptance of charged particles and photons has 93% over 4π coverage. The charged-particle momentum resolution at 1 GeV/c is 0.5%, and the dE/dx resolution is 6%. The photon energy resolution is 2.5% (5%) at 1GeV in the barrel (endcaps). The time resolution of TOF is 80 ps for the barrel and 110 ps for the end caps.

2. Partial wave analysis of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$

This analysis[24] was performed using the relativistic covariant tensor amplitude method. The resonance parameters and branching fractions are listed in Table 1. Projections shown in Fig. 1 indicate that the dominant 0^{++} and 2^{++} components are from the $f_0(1710)$, $f_0(2100)$, $f_0(1500)$, $f_2'(1525)$, $f_2(1810)$ and $f_2(2340)$. Among all scalar components, the measured properties of dominant $f_0(1710)$ are consistent with results of $J/\psi \to \gamma K\bar{K}$ [25] and $J/\psi \to \gamma\pi\pi$ [26] at BESII. The production rate for the $f_0(1500)$ is lower than the one for $f_0(1710)$ and $f_0(2100)$ by almost one order. The first experimental evidence for the $f_0(1790)$ was observed in $J/\psi \to \phi\pi\pi$ but no evidence was observed in $J/\psi \to \phi K\bar{K}$ [27]. Tensor components, shown as the histogram in Fig. 1 (i), stand for their total contribution, where the peak component around 1.5 GeV/c^2 is the well-established resonance $f_2'(1525)$ and the components contributing to the bump around 2.1 GeV/c^2 are from $f_2(1810)$ and $f_2(2340)$. A tensor component around 1.8 GeV/c^2 with a statistical significance of 6.4σ exists and can not be distinguished from $f_2(1810)$, $f_2(1910)$ and $f_2(1950)$ with the present statistics, denoted as $f_2(1810)$ in this analysis, and the ambiguous assignment of $f_2(1810)$ or $f_2(1950)$ is considered as a source of systematic error. Other possible tensor resonances, $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2150)$, $f_J(2220)$, $f_2(2300)$ and $f_2(2340)$, are also considered in alternative combinations to get the optimized solution. The best fit favors the presence of $f_2(2340)$. Since the mass of $f_2(2300)$ is close to $f_2(2340)$, an attempt was made by replacing $f_2(2340)$ with $f_2(2300)$ using the fixed mass and width referenced to PDG [28], and the log likelihood value gets worse by 15. The narrow $f_J(2220)$ (also known as $\xi(2230)$) reported by MarkIII [29] and BES [30] shows no evidence in this analysis. Component $f_J(2220)$ has a significance of 0.4σ. None of the other contributions from scalar mesons, $f_0(1370)$, $f_0(2020)$, $f_0(2200)$ and $f_0(2330)$, have a significance greater than 5.0σ, thus they are excluded.

Table 1. Summary of the PWA results. The first errors are statistical and the second ones are systematic.

Resonance	Mass(MeV/c^2)	Width(MeV/c^2)	$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma X \to \gamma\eta\eta)$	Significance
$f_0(1500)$	1468^{+14+23}_{-15-74}	$136^{+41+28}_{-26-100}$	$(1.65^{+0.26+0.51}_{-0.31-1.40})\times10^{-5}$	8.2 σ
$f_0(1710)$	$1759\pm6^{+14}_{-25}$	$172\pm10^{+32}_{-16}$	$(2.35^{+0.13+1.24}_{-0.11-0.74})\times10^{-4}$	25.0 σ
$f_0(2100)$	$2081\pm13^{+24}_{-36}$	273^{+27+70}_{-24-23}	$(1.13^{+0.09+0.64}_{-0.10-0.28})\times10^{-4}$	13.9 σ
$f_2'(1525)$	$1513\pm5^{+4}_{-10}$	75^{+12+16}_{-10-8}	$(3.42^{+0.43+1.37}_{-0.51-1.30})\times10^{-5}$	11.0 σ
$f_2(1810)$	1822^{+29+66}_{-24-57}	$229^{+52+88}_{-42-155}$	$(5.40^{+0.60+3.42}_{-0.67-2.35})\times10^{-5}$	6.4 σ
$f_2(2340)$	$2362^{+31+140}_{-30-63}$	$334^{+62+165}_{-54-100}$	$(5.60^{+0.62+2.37}_{-0.65-2.07})\times10^{-5}$	7.6 σ



Figure 1. Contribution of the components. (a) $f_0(1500)$, (b) $f_0(1710)$, (c) $f_0(2100)$, (d) $f_2^{'}(1525)$, (e) $f_2(1810)$, (f) $f_2(2340)$, (g) 0^{++} phase space, (h) total 0^{++} component, and (i) total 2^{++} component. The dots with error bars are data with background subtracted, and the solid histograms are the projection of the PWA.

3. Observation of $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$

This analysis [37] provides clean η' data via J/ψ radiative decay. The $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 2(a), where an η' peak is clearly observed in the inset plot. The projections of the fit to $M_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^{+(0)}\pi^{-(0)}}$ in the η' mass region are shown in Figs. 2(b) and (c), where the shape of the sum of signal and background shapes agree well with data. 199 ± 16 $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ events was observed with a statistical significance of 18σ and 84 ± 16 $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ events with a statistical significance of 5σ respectively. The $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ spectrum of data carrying key information of η' decay mechanism is extracted from fitting the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum and subtracting background. The MC spectrum employs two models, a phase space model and a combined model of ChPT and VMD implemented using decay amplitudes in Ref. [20]. To make comparison, the MC $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ spectrum is divided into 38 bins in the region of [0.28, 0.66] GeV/c^2 for decay of $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$, as shown in Fig. 2 (d) (four entries per event), where the errors are statistical only. Clearly, the combined model agrees with data better than the phase space model. Branching fractions of $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta', \eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta', \eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)$ are determined to be $(4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$ and $(9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$ respectively.

4. Observation of two new N^* resonances in $\psi(3686) \to p\bar{p}\pi^0$

This analysis[31] takes advantage of a data set with larger statistics than that at CLEOc shows more than one N^* state below 1700 MeV/c^2, which are easily seen in the $p\pi^0$ and $\bar{p}\pi^0$ mass



Figure 2. (a) The invariant mass distributions of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$. Results of the fits to (b) $M_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-}$ and (c) $M_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0}$, where the background contributions are displayed as the hatched histograms. (d) The comparison of $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ (four entries per event) between data and two different models, where the dots with error bars are for the background-subtracted data, the solid line is for the ChPT and VMD model, and the dashed line is for the phase space.

spectra, and the threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{p}$ mass spectrum. To better understand the structure of multi-resonances and their interference, a partial wave analysis is performed and components' contributions are shown in Fig. 3. Plot (a) shows the contributions of $N(1440)$, $N(1520)$, $N(1535)$ and $N(1650)$ with clear peaks, the tails at the high mass region come from the interference effects. Plot (b) shows the contributions of $N(940)$, $N(1720)$, $N(2300)$ and $N(2570)$. Two new N^* resonances, $N(2300)$ and $N(2570)$ are observed with number of events 948 ± 68 and 795 ± 45 respectively. No clear evidence for $N(1885)$ or $N(2065)$ were found. More investigations such as $J/\psi \to \eta p\bar{p}$[33], $J/\psi \to \lambda\Sigma^0$[34], $\psi' \to \bar{p}K\Sigma^0$[35], $\chi_{c0} \to p\bar{n}\pi^-$[36] also explored new baryon states.

5. Summary

The PWA results of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$ as summarized in Table 1 combining with branching fractions of $J/\psi \to \gamma f_0(1710) \to \gamma XX$, were employed to compare the recent lattice QCD prediction of $J/\psi \to \gamma G(0^{++})$. A series of recently observed baryon states aim to improve further understanding of the quark model. The analyses of $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ agree with the combined model of chiral perturbation theory and vector-meson dominance approach.

References

[1] C. Edwards *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 458 (1982).
[2] Y. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 014516 (2006).
[3] E. Gregory *et al.*, JHEP **1210**, 170 (2012).
[4] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1994 (1993).



Figure 3. The contribution of each intermediate resonance in the $p\pi^0$ mass spectra. The interferences with other resonances are included.

[5] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2653 (1979).
[6] S. Stepanyan *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 252001 (2003)
[7] I. Horn *et al.* (CB-ELSA Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 202002 (2008)
[8] E. F. McNicoll *et al.* (Crystal Ball Collaboration at MAMI) Phys. Rev. C **82**, 035208 (2010)
[9] H. B. Li *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A **675**, 189C (2000).
[10] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **510**, 75 (2001).
[11] R. Koniuk and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 1868(1980).
[12] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4570(1994).
[13] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 3583 (1975).
[14] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976) 3432 [Erratum-ibid. D **18** (1978) 2199].
[15] G. R. Kalbfleisch *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 527 (1964).
[16] M. Goldberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 546 (1964).
[17] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465 (1985).
[18] P. Naik *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 061801 (2009).
[19] D. Parashar, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 268 (1979).
[20] Feng-Kun Guo, Bastian Kubis and Andreas Wirzba, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 014014 (2012).
[21] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C **36**, 915 (2012).
[22] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **614**, 345 (2010).
[23] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **344**, 319 (1994); Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **458**, 627 (2001).
[24] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys.Rev.D 87, 092009 (2013).
[25] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **68**, 052003 (2003).
[26] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **642**, 441 (2006).
[27] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **607**, 243 (2005).
[28] J. Beringer, *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
[29] R. M. Baltrusaitis *et al.* (MARKIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 107 (1986).
[30] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1179 (1998).
[31] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 022001 (2013)
[32] J. C. Chen, G. S. Huang, X. R. Qi, D. H. Zhang and Y. S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 034003 (2000).
[33] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **88**, 032010 (2013)
[34] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **87**, 012007 (2013)
[35] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 032008 (2012)
[36] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 052011 (2012)
[37] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **112**, 251801 (2014)