

p-p PHASE SHIFTS AT 970 MeV

Y. Hama, N. Hoshizaki

Research Institute for Fundamental Physics Kyoto

University, Kyoto

(Presented by Sh. OGAWA)

The program of highenergy nucleon-nucleon phase-shift analysis [1, 2] has been extended to the *p-p* data at 970 MeV. The available data at this energy are differential cross section including recently measured Coulomb interference data, polarization and pion-production data. The real parts of the phase shifts have been assumed to be of the Stapp No. 1 type. For partial waves with $L > 5$, we have used the one-pion exchange contribution. As for the imaginary part the reflection parameters have been calculated on the assumption that the pion production takes place in a (3.3)-state with the remaining nucleon being in an *S-P*- or *D*-state with respect to the center of mass of the system.

Two solutions, *A* and *B*, corresponding respectively to peripheral and central absorption types have been obtained.

Some of the prominent features of the solutions obtained are: i) solution *A* shows a peripheral absorption, quite similar to that found at 660 MeV, whereas solution *B* exhibits a central absorption. To see this point clearer, the reflection parameters specified with *l* and averaged over *J* are calculated (see Table 1).

Table 1

Parameters	$r(^1S)$	$r(^3P)$	$r(^1D)$	$r(^3F)$	$r(^1G)$
660 MeV	1	0.94	0.64	0.87	1
{ sol. <i>A</i>	0.98	0.76	0.39	0.79	0.88
{ sol. <i>B</i>	0.12	0.49	0.56	0.86	0.91

It is not possible, at the present stage, to decide which of the types of solutions, *A* or *B*, is correct. The final choice between the two types of absorption must be decided by the triple

scattering experiments. If sol. *A* is true, it becomes that the waves are absorbed mainly at a distance of $b_D = 0.7 \sim 0.9 \times 10^{-13}$ cm in the energy region of 660 \sim 970 MeV, b_D being the impact parameter for *D*-state.

ii) The values of 1S_0 real phase shift $\delta_R(^1S_0)$ still decreases at this energy. This fact is consistent with the existence of a hard-core-like repulsion having a radius of about 0.5×10^{-13} cm.

iii) The 3p -wave splitting is consistent with the strong LS model, while the 3F -phase shifts seem to indicate a strong reduction of the LS interaction effects in these states. This reduction may be understood as due to a cancellation, in 3F -state, of LS interaction effects by the nonstatic effects of higher order in *L*.

Predictions of some of the triple scattering parameters by sols. *A* and *B* are presented in Table 2.

Table 2

Solution	$D(\theta \sim 90^\circ)$	$R(\theta \sim 110^\circ)$	$C_{kp}(\theta \sim 90^\circ)$	$C_{nn}(\theta \sim 60^\circ \sim 70^\circ)$
<i>A</i>	—	+	+	+
<i>B</i>	+	—	—	—

REFERENCES

1. Hoshizaki N., Machida S. Progr. Theoret. Phys., 29, 44 (1963).
2. Hoshizaki N., Machida S. Progr. Theoret. Phys., 29, 49 (1963).
3. Hama Y., Hoshizaki N. Progr. Theoret. Phys., 31, 609 (1964).