Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Nuclear Physics B 945 (2019) 114659

NUCLEAR PHYSICS B

www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysb

The unpolarized two-loop massive pure singlet Wilson coefficients for deep-inelastic scattering

J. Blümlein [a,*], A. De Freitas [a], C.G. Raab [b], K. Schönwald [a]

[a] *Deutsches Elektronen–Synchrotron, DESY, Platanenallee 6, D–15738 Zeuthen, Germany*
[b] *Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, Altenberger Straße 69, A–4040 Linz, Austria*

Received 14 March 2019; received in revised form 24 May 2019; accepted 25 May 2019
Available online 5 June 2019
Editor: Tommy Ohlsson

Abstract

We calculate the massive two–loop pure singlet Wilson coefficients for heavy quark production in the unpolarized case analytically in the whole kinematic region and derive the threshold and asymptotic expansions. We also recalculate the corresponding massless two–loop Wilson coefficients. The complete expressions contain iterated integrals with elliptic letters. The contributing alphabets enlarge the Kummer-Poincaré letters by a series of square-root valued letters. A new class of iterated integrals, the Kummer-elliptic integrals, are introduced. For the structure functions F_2 and F_L we also derive improved asymptotic representations adding power corrections. Numerical results are presented.

1. Introduction

The complete massive two–loop Wilson coefficients for deep–inelastic scattering corresponding to the structure functions $F_2(x, Q^2)$ and $F_L(x, Q^2)$ were only available in numerical form [1–3][1] for a long time. Later the flavor non-singlet Wilson coefficients have been calculated analytically in [5] in the tagged-flavor case and recalculated for the inclusive case [6] to obtain a representation consistent with the associated sum rules.

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: Johannes.Bluemlein@desy.de (J. Blümlein).
[1] Numerical results were also presented in [4].

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114659

In the present paper we calculate the massive pure singlet two–loop Wilson coefficients analytically. Due to the corresponding graphs, the formulae are structurally the same for the charm and the bottom contributions. In the numerical illustrations we will concentrate on the charm contributions, considering the first three quarks as massless. The knowledge of the complete analytic expressions allows to derive important limiting cases such as the limit of large virtualities $Q^2 \gg m^2$, m being the heavy quark mass, or the threshold expansion in a direct way. In the former case it is possible to derive systematic expansions in m^2/Q^2 with coefficients represented in terms of harmonic polylogarithms, while the complete result depends on much more general functions. Harmonic polylogarithms can be easily calculated numerically [7–9]. Furthermore, they can be directly transformed to Mellin space [10,11]. It has been observed numerically in Ref. [5] that the limit of large virtualities is approached beyond some process-dependent scale Q_0^2. The Wilson coefficient in this limit can be calculated with the help of massive operator matrix elements (OMEs) and massless Wilson coefficients, cf. [5]. It is important to prove this analytically. At three-loop order the massive Wilson coefficients are only known in the asymptotic region [12–23]. We also recalculate the corresponding massless two–loop Wilson coefficients given in [24–31] before and compare to these results.

The analytic calculation of the massive pure singlet Wilson coefficient has been envisaged by W.L. van Neerven and one of the authors (J.B.) 20 years ago, after the non-singlet contribution had been obtained in [5]. In retrospect, however, adequate mathematical techniques to perform this task have only become available very recently. This includes the elimination of all functional relations in the final result and techniques to obtain a relatively compact representation. The massive Wilson coefficient is given by a four-fold non-trivial phase space integral. Three of the integrals can be carried out using standard techniques. The integrand of the last integral is obtained as a polynomial of algebraic terms, logarithms and polylogarithms [32,33] with an involved argument structure. Therefore, the last integral is performed after determining the contributing irreducible structure of letters of the contributing iterated integrals, using the techniques described in [34,35]. The Wilson coefficient can finally be obtained as a d'Alembertian integral over a finite alphabet. The analytic results allow to perform expansions in m^2/Q^2 including power corrections, which is of particular importance for the structure function $F_L(x, Q^2)$. Here the corresponding expansion coefficients are then harmonic polylogarithms. Such a representation is easily envisaged for the two–loop non-singlet Wilson coefficients given in [5,6], since there the whole Wilson coefficient depends at most on classical polylogarithms.

We also consider the limit $Q^2 \gg m^2$ of the Wilson coefficient and compare with the results given in Refs. [5,19,36]. Furthermore, the threshold expansion of the Wilson coefficients are derived and numerical results are presented. In the present calculations, the packages `FORM` [37], `Sigma` [38,39], `EvaluateMultiSums` [40,41] and `HarmonicSums` [10,11,35,42–47] have been used.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first illustrate the asymptotic factorization using the example of the $O(\alpha_s)$ calculation. The corresponding scattering cross sections will be used in the two–loop massless and massive calculation later. In Section 3 the massless two–loop pure singlet Wilson coefficients are calculated. The mathematical method used to prepare for the last analytic integral in the massive case is described in Section 4 and in Section 5 we present the analytic results for the massive Wilson coefficients. The asymptotic and threshold expansions are derived in Section 6 and numerical results are presented in Section 7. Section 8 contains the conclusions. Some technical aspects of the calculation are given in the Appendix.



Fig. 1. Diagrams of the $O(a_s)$ contributions to scattering cross section $\gamma^* + g \to q + \overline{q}$.

2. Asymptotic cross section factorization

The massive Wilson coefficients are calculated by factorizing the *massless* initial states (quarks and gluons). In the unpolarized case and for longitudinal polarization the factorization is longitudinal, i.e. by setting $p = zP, z \in [0,1]$. Here P denotes the incoming hadron momentum and p the quark momentum. In the transversal polarized case one has to use the covariant parton model [48], see [49–52]. As an illustrative example we consider the unpolarized one–loop heavy flavor contribution to deep–inelastic scattering [53–57]. As for all the massive Wilson coefficients, it can be written in three parts: the massive operator matrix element, the massless Wilson coefficient and a remainder part. The last one vanishes in the limit $Q^2/m^2 \to \infty$ in the case of *asymptotic factorization*. A simple prediction on the structure of this term is not easily possible, but usually requires the calculation of the whole process followed by the expansion in m^2/Q^2. This term depends on the structure of the phase space and it is a process-dependent quantity. In Fig. 1 the contributing Feynman diagrams are shown.

The massive Wilson coefficients have the following series representation

$$H_{2(L),i}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_s^k H_{2(L),i}^{(k)}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right), \tag{1}$$

where i denotes the incoming parton and $2(L)$ refer to the associated structure functions and $a_s \equiv a_s(\mu_R) = g_s^2/(4\pi)^2$ denotes the strong coupling constant at the renormalization scale μ_R. We work in $d = 4 + \varepsilon$ space-time dimensions. Since we also need the $O(\varepsilon)$ term of the LO result later on, we further define

$$H_{2(L),i}^{(1)}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) = h_{2(L),i}^{(1)} + \varepsilon \bar{b}_{2(L),i}^{(1)}, \tag{2}$$

where we dropped the arguments of the coefficient functions for brevity.

Let us consider the leading order contribution for the process $\gamma^* + g \to Q\overline{Q}$ as an example, cf. [53–57]. In the following we use the variable

$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{Q^2}\frac{z}{1-z}}. \tag{3}$$

The Wilson coefficients $H_{L,g}^{(1)}$ and $H_{2,g}^{(1)}$ are given by

$$h_{L,g}^{(1)}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = 16 T_F \left[\beta z(1-z) + 2\frac{m^2}{Q^2} z^2 \ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right] \theta(a-z), \tag{4}$$

$$h_{2,g}^{(1)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = 8T_F\left\{\beta\left[-\frac{1}{2}+4z(1-z)-2\frac{m^2}{Q^2}z(1-z)\right]\right.$$
$$\left.+\left[-\frac{1}{2}+z-z^2+2\frac{m^2}{Q^2}z(3z-1)+4\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^2 z^2\right]\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right\}$$
$$\times\theta\left(a-z\right), \tag{5}$$

with $\theta(x)$ the Heaviside function, $a = 1/(1+4m^2/Q^2)$ and $T_F = 1/2$ for $SU(N_C)$. The coefficients at $O(\varepsilon)$ read

$$\bar{b}_{L,g}^{(1)} = T_F z(1-z)\left\{2(1-\beta^2)\left[\mathrm{H}_0^2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)-2\mathrm{H}_0\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)[1+\mathrm{H}_0+\mathrm{H}_1-2\mathrm{H}_0(\beta)]\right]\right.$$
$$-8\left[\beta(3+\mathrm{H}_0+\mathrm{H}_1-2\mathrm{H}_0(\beta))+(1-\beta^2)\left[\mathrm{H}_{0,1}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)+[\ln(2)+\mathrm{H}_0(\beta)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.\left.-\mathrm{H}_{-1}(\beta)]\mathrm{H}_0\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)-\zeta_2\right]\right]\right\}\theta(a-z), \tag{6}$$

$$\bar{b}_{2,g}^{(1)} = T_F\left\{2(1-z)(1-\beta^2)\left[\beta^2-z\left(3+\beta^2\right)\right]\mathrm{H}_0\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_0^2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right.$$
$$\times\left[3-\beta^4-2z\left(5-2\beta^2-\beta^4\right)+z^2\left(9-4\beta^2-\beta^4\right)\right]+2\beta[5-2\beta^2$$
$$+2z^2\left(12-\beta^2\right)-2z\left(13-2\beta^2\right)]-2\left[3-\beta^4-2z\left(5-2\beta^2-\beta^4\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+z^2\left(9-4\beta^2-\beta^4\right)\right]\left[-\mathrm{H}_{0,1}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-[\ln(2)+\mathrm{H}_0(\beta)-\mathrm{H}_0(1+\beta)]\mathrm{H}_0\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)+\zeta_2\right]$$
$$+\left[2\beta\left(2-\beta^2+z^2(9-\beta^2)-2z\left(5-\beta^2\right)\right)+\left[3-\beta^4-2z\left(5-2\beta^2-\beta^4\right)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+z^2\left(9-4\beta^2-\beta^4\right)\right]\mathrm{H}_0\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right][\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_0-2\mathrm{H}_0(\beta)]\left.\right\}\theta(a-z). \tag{7}$$

Here we refer to the harmonic polylogarithms [58] defined by

$$\mathrm{H}_{b,\vec{a}}(z) = \int_0^z dy f_b(y)\mathrm{H}_{\vec{a}}(y), \quad \mathrm{H}_\emptyset = 1, \quad b, a_i \in \{-1,0,1\}, \tag{8}$$

and the letters f_c are

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \quad f_1(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad f_{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{1+z}. \tag{9}$$

Here and in the following we use the abbreviation $\mathrm{H}_{\vec{a}}(z) \equiv \mathrm{H}_{\vec{a}}$.

The expansion for large virtualities $Q^2 \gg m^2$ is given by

$$H_{L,g}^{(1)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = 16T_F\left\{z(1-z) - 2\frac{m^2}{Q^2}z^2\left[\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) + 1 - \mathrm{H}_1 - \mathrm{H}_0\right] + O\left(\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^2\right)\right., \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,g}^{(1)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = 4T_F\Bigg\{&-1 + 8z(1-z) + [z^2 + (1-z)^2]\left[\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) - \mathrm{H}_1 - \mathrm{H}_0\right] \\ &+4\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\left[-z(1+2z) + (1-3z)z\left[\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) - \mathrm{H}_1 - \mathrm{H}_0\right]\right] \\ &+O\left(\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^2\right)\Bigg\} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for $z \in [0, a]$.

In the asymptotic case, one has [5]

$$H_{L,g}^{(1)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = \tilde{C}_{g,L}^{(1)}(N_F+1), \tag{12}$$

$$H_{2,g}^{(1)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = A_{Qg}^{(1)}(N_F+1) + \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(1)}(N_F+1), \tag{13}$$

using the definition

$$\tilde{f}(N_F) = \frac{f(N_F)}{N_F}, \qquad \hat{f}(N_F+1) = f(N_F+1) - f(N_F). \tag{14}$$

Note that Eqs. (12), (13) hold for $z \in [0, 1]$. Here $C_{g,2(L)}^{(1)}$ denote the massless two–loop Wilson coefficients and $A_{Qg}^{(1)}$ the massive one–loop operator matrix element (OME) with external gluons [5,19,36]

$$A_{Qg}^{(1)} = -4T_F[z^2 + (1-z)^2]\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right). \tag{15}$$

The massless one–loop Wilson coefficients read [59–61]

$$\tilde{C}_{g,L}^{(1)} = 16T_F z(1-z), \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(1)} =& 4T_F[z^2 + (1-z)^2]\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right), \\ &+4T_F\left\{-1 + 8z(1-z) - [z^2 + (1-z)^2]\,[\mathrm{H}_1 + \mathrm{H}_0]\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where

$$\hat{P}_{qg}(z) = 8T_F[z^2 + (1-z)^2] \tag{18}$$

is a one–loop splitting function [62,63].[2]

[2] For earlier references in QED, see [64].

It can now be seen that the massive Wilson coefficients can be decomposed in terms of the part obtained at large virtualities $Q^2 \gg m^2$, Eqs. (12), (13), consisting of massive OMEs and massless Wilson coefficients, and a remainder part vanishing in the limit $Q^2/m^2 \to \infty$. Whenever this is the case one calls the respective process *asymptotically factorizing*. The factorization scale μ cancels in the cross sections (12), (13) since they are free of collinear singularities. As a peculiarity in this case, the massive OME only contributes to the pure logarithmic term. This, however, is due to its vanishing constant part and is generally not the case.

Numerically it is interesting to see from which value of Q_0^2/m^2 onward the asymptotic representation holds, say at the accuracy of $O(2\%)$ or better, cf. [5,6] and Section 7.

3. The massless Wilson coefficients

The massless pure singlet Wilson coefficients obey the expansion

$$C_{2(L)}^{\rm PS}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) = \delta(1-z)\delta_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_s^k C_{2(L)}^{(k),\rm PS}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right), \tag{19}$$

with $\delta_2 = 1$ for C_2 and $\delta_2 = 0$ for C_L. Throughout this paper we will identify the factorization scale μ_F and the renormalization scale μ_R.

In the following we also recalculate the massless Wilson coefficients $C_L^{\rm PS,(2)}$ and $C_2^{\rm PS,(2)}$ as a limiting case of the present massive calculation. They have been computed in Refs. [24–30] before.

The unrenormalized Wilson coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{L(2),q}$ are related to the hadronic tensor of deeply inelastic scattering in the partonic sub-system, $\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}$, by

$$\mathcal{F}_{L,q} = -\frac{2q^2}{(p.q)^2} p_\mu p_\nu \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{20}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,q} = -\frac{2}{d-2}\left[\hat{W}_\mu^\mu + (d-1)\frac{q^2}{(p.q)^2} p^\mu p^\nu \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\right]. \tag{21}$$

Here p denotes the incoming parton momentum and q the space-like momentum of the virtual photon with $q^2 = -Q^2$.

In the massive case we will also consider the Wilson coefficient

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,q} = -2\hat{W}_\mu^\mu \tag{22}$$

as a subsidiary function in order to avoid redundancies in the calculation. Note that this Wilson coefficient does not correspond to the structure function F_1, cf. [64].

The following expressions will be given in Mellin-N space. They are obtained from the momentum fraction z-space by a Mellin transform

$$\mathbf{M}[f(z)](N) = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} f(z)\ . \tag{23}$$

The unrenormalized Wilson coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{L(2),q}^{(2),\rm PS}$ are given by [61]

$$\mathcal{F}_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = N_F \hat{a}_s^2 S_\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^\varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{gq}^{(0)} c_{L,g}^{(1)} + c_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS} + P_{gq}^{(0)} a_{L,g}^{(1)}\right], \tag{24}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = N_F \hat{a}_s^2 S_\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^\varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{1}{2} P_{qg}^{(0)} P_{gq}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{2} P_{qq}^{(1),\rm PS} + P_{gq}^{(0)} c_{2,g}^{(1)}\right) + c_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS} + P_{gq}^{(0)} a_{2,g}^{(1)}\right], \tag{25}$$

with $\hat{a}_s$ the unrenormalized coupling constant, the spherical factor

$$S_\varepsilon = \exp\left[\tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\gamma_E - \ln(4\pi)\right)\right], \tag{26}$$

and γ_E the Euler–Mascheroni constant. We work in the $\overline{\rm MS}$-scheme and set $S_\varepsilon = 1$ at the end of the calculation. Here the factors of $1/2$ in Eq. (25) emerge since for the splitting into the upper quark-antiquark pair, the quarks are produced correlated. Since the pure singlet contributions start at $O(a_s^2)$ only, the renormalized Wilson coefficients $C_{L,(2)}^{(2),\rm PS}$ are obtained after mass factorization

$$\mathcal{F}_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = C_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS} + \Gamma_{gq}^{(0)} C_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS}, \tag{27}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = C_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS} + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{qq}^{(1),\rm PS} C_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS} + \Gamma_{gq}^{(0)} C_{2,g}^{(1)}, \tag{28}$$

with

$$\Gamma_{gq}^{(0)} = \hat{a}_s S_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\varepsilon/2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{gq}^{(0)}, \tag{29}$$

$$\Gamma_{qq}^{(1),\rm PS} = \hat{a}_s^2 S_\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)^\varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} P_{qg}^{(0)} P_{gq}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{qq}^{(1),\rm PS}\right]. \tag{30}$$

In z-space the functions in Eqs. (24), (25) read

$$a_{L,g}^{(1)} = -8 T_F z(1-z)\left[3 + \mathrm{H}_1 + \mathrm{H}_0\right], \tag{31}$$

$$a_{2,g}^{(1)} = T_F\Big\{[z^2 + (1-z)^2](\mathrm{H}_1 + \mathrm{H}_0)^2 + 2(1 - 8z(1-z))(\mathrm{H}_1 + \mathrm{H}_0) - 3[z^2 + (1-z)^2]\zeta_2 + 6 - 44 z(1-z)\Big\}, \tag{32}$$

see as well Eqs. (16), (17) for $\mu^2 = Q^2$. The splitting functions are

$$P_{qg}^{(0)} = N_F \hat{P}_{qg}^{(0)}, \tag{33}$$

$$P_{gq}^{(0)} = 4 C_F \frac{1 + (1-z)^2}{z}, \tag{34}$$

$$P_{qq}^{(1),\rm PS} = 16 C_F T_F N_F \left[\frac{20}{9}\frac{1}{z} - 2 + 6z - 4\mathrm{H}_0 + z^2\left(\frac{8}{3}\mathrm{H}_0 - \frac{56}{9}\right) + (1+z)\left(5\mathrm{H}_0 - \mathrm{H}_0^2\right)\right]. \tag{35}$$

The massless Wilson coefficients $C_L^{\rm PS,(2)}$ and $C_2^{\rm PS,(2)}$ are thus given by

$$C_L^{\mathrm{PS},(2)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) = -32C_F T_F N_F \left\{\left[zH_0 + \frac{1}{3}\left(3-2z^2-\frac{1}{z}\right)\right]\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) \right.$$
$$\frac{(1-z)\left(1-2z+10z^2\right)}{9z} - (1+z)(1-2z)H_0 - zH_0^2$$
$$\left. + \frac{(1-z)\left(1-2z-2z^2\right)}{3z}H_1 - zH_{0,1} + z\zeta_2\right\}, \tag{36}$$

$$C_2^{\mathrm{PS},(2)}\left(z,\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) = C_F T_F N_F \left\{\left[8(1+z)H_0 + \frac{4}{3}\left(3-4z^2-3z+\frac{4}{z}\right)\right]\ln^2\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right)\right.$$
$$+\left[16(1+z)[-H_{0,1}+\zeta_2-H_0^2] + 32z^2H_0 - \frac{8}{3}\left(3-4z^2\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.-3z+\frac{4}{z}\right)H_1 - \frac{16}{9}\left(39+4z^2-30z-\frac{13}{z}\right)\right]\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right)$$
$$+\frac{4(1-z)\left(172+409z-224z^2\right)}{27z} + \frac{16}{9}\left(63-33z-16z^2\right)H_0$$
$$-\frac{32(1+z)^3H_{-1}H_0}{3z} - \frac{2}{3}\left(3-45z+32x^2\right)H_0^2 + \frac{20}{3}(1+z)H_0^3$$
$$+\left[-\frac{16(1-z)\left(13-26z+4z^2\right)}{9z} + \frac{8\left(4+3z-6z^2-4z^3\right)}{3z}H_0\right]H_1$$
$$+\frac{4\left(4+3z-4z^3\right)H_1^2}{3z} + \left[-\frac{8(1+2z)\left(4-5z+4z^2\right)}{3z}\right.$$
$$\left.+16(1+z)H_0\right]H_{0,1}$$
$$+\frac{32(1+z)^3H_{0,-1}}{3z} + 16(1+z)H_{0,1,1} - \left[\frac{32\left(1+3z^2-3z^3\right)}{3z}\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+32(1+z)H_0\right]\zeta_2 - 16(1+z)\zeta_3\right\}. \tag{37}$$

We agree with the results given in [30,31] and note a typo in [27], Eq. (13), where the next-to-last term should read $(448/27)x^2$. In Appendix A.1 we present details of the calculation in the massless case.

The massless two-loop pure singlet contribution to the structure functions $F_{2(L)}$ for pure virtual photon exchange is given by

$$F_{2(L)}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}(x,Q^2) = a_s^2(Q^2)Q_H^2 x C_{2(L)}^{\mathrm{PS},(2)}\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},x\right)\otimes\Sigma(x,\mu^2), \tag{38}$$

where μ denotes the factorization scale, $Q_H = 2/3$ for charm and $Q_H = -1/3$ for bottom, and

$$\Sigma(x,\mu^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{3}\left[q_k(x,\mu^2)+\overline{q}_k(x,\mu^2)\right] \tag{39}$$

denotes the quark singlet distribution for three light quarks.

4. Systematic integration in the massive case

We will express the scattering cross sections in terms of a minimal number of special functions. In the case of single scale quantities, various methods have been worked out in the past to achieve this; for a recent survey see [65]. In the present case, we deal with a two-scale process, since the cross sections depend on z and m^2/Q^2 in a non-factorizing way. The complete massive Wilson coefficients are represented in terms of four non-trivial integrals. The first three integrations are evaluated in terms of logarithms and polylogarithms at various complex arguments involving square-roots and trigonometric functions. What remains is a one-fold integral with respect to an angular variable φ of a function that also depends on the parameters z and β. The overall aim is to write this integral in terms of nested integrals. To this end, we first write its integrand in terms of nested integrals. First, we apply the change of integration variables

$$t = \sin(\varphi). \tag{40}$$

As a result, we get rid of the trigonometric functions in the integrand. In addition, we introduce the quantity

$$k := \frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{1-(1-z)\beta^2}}, \tag{41}$$

which satisfies $\sqrt{z} < k < 1$. We use it to express β as $\frac{\sqrt{k^2-z}}{k\sqrt{1-z}}$. Altogether, the integrand is then an expression in terms of z, k, and t as well as logarithms and dilogarithms with arguments expressed in terms of square-roots involving these quantities.

Next, we eliminate redundancies among square-root expressions to express the integrand using only the roots $\sqrt{1-k^2}$, $\sqrt{1-t^2}$, and $\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}$. In order to facilitate the conversion of the logarithms and dilogarithms appearing in the integrand to nested integrals, we exploit the argument relations

$$\ln(z) = \ln(-z) + i\pi \qquad \text{for } z < 0 \tag{42}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}_2(z) = -\mathrm{Li}_2(\tfrac{1}{z}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\ln(z)^2 - i\pi\ln(z) + 2\zeta(2) \qquad \text{for } z > 1 \tag{43}$$

to avoid arguments on branch cuts.

After these pre-processing steps, all the following steps for computing the integral are done by our code [66] in `Mathematica`, which also uses the routine `DSolveRational` of the package `HolonomicFunctions` [67]; see [34,68] for the general theory underlying [66]. We also refer to [69] for the simpler case when no singularities are present at the endpoints of integration, which, however, does not apply here.

First, the logarithms and dilogarithms are converted to nested integrals, which is based on repeated differentiation followed by expressing the integrands of these nested integrals in the form developed in (3.16)–(3.19) of [35]. In fact, a generalized version of those forms is used to avoid the necessity of introducing new square-roots in terms of z and k in addition to $\sqrt{1-k^2}$ above. Then, a normal form of the integrand is computed. This affects all parts of the representation, also those that do not depend on t. For the nested integrals we use the shuffle relations and also for their coefficients we compute normal forms in terms of the logarithms and square-roots.

As a result, we obtain a representation of the integrand as a linear combination of nested integrals evaluated at t whose integrands also depend on z and k. Their coefficients only contain

$z, k, t, \sqrt{1-t^2}, \sqrt{1-k^2t^2}, \ln(z), \ln(1-z), \ln(k+z)$, and $\ln(k-z)$. The root $\sqrt{1-k^2}$, as well as all other logarithms and dilogarithms depending on z and k, do not appear in this representation anymore. Moreover, since both the integrand as a whole and all integrands of the nested integrals in its representation are real, all complex expressions drop out of the coefficients as well and we have a completely real representation. This is ensured since the integrands in (3.16)–(3.19) of [35], and also their generalization used here, were designed so that the corresponding nested integrals all are linearly independent.

Finally, the integral over t from 0 to β is computed as a linear combination of nested integrals evaluated at β, again in normal form. Like before, their integrands also depend on z and k and their coefficients only contain $z, k, t, \sqrt{1-t^2}, \sqrt{1-k^2t^2}, \ln(z), \ln(1-z), \ln(k+z)$, and $\ln(k-z)$.

The following letters contribute in the present case:

$$f_{w_1}(t) = \frac{1}{1-kt}, \tag{44}$$

$$f_{w_2}(t) = \frac{1}{1+kt}, \tag{45}$$

$$f_{w_3}(t) = \frac{1}{\beta+t}, \tag{46}$$

$$f_{w_4}(t) = \frac{1}{\beta-t}, \tag{47}$$

$$f_{w_5}(t) = \frac{1}{k-z-(1-z)kt}, \tag{48}$$

$$f_{w_6}(t) = \frac{1}{k+z-(1-z)kt}, \tag{49}$$

$$f_{w_7}(t) = \frac{1}{k-z+(1-z)kt}, \tag{50}$$

$$f_{w_8}(t) = \frac{1}{k+z+(1-z)kt}, \tag{51}$$

$$f_{w_9}(t) = \frac{t}{k^2\left(1-t^2\left(1-z^2\right)\right)-z^2}, \tag{52}$$

$$f_{w_{10}}(t) = \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}, \tag{53}$$

$$f_{w_{11}}(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}, \tag{54}$$

$$f_{w_{12}}(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}\left(k^2\left(1-t^2\left(1-z^2\right)\right)-z^2\right)}. \tag{55}$$

The set of letters

$$\mathfrak{A} = \left\{ \frac{1}{t-a} \middle| a \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \tag{56}$$

span the Kummer-Poincaré iterated integrals [70] defined as

$$\mathrm{K}_{b,\vec{a}}(z) = \int_0^z dy f_b(y) \mathrm{K}_{\vec{a}}(y), \quad \mathrm{K}_\emptyset = 1, \quad f_c \in \mathfrak{A}. \tag{57}$$

The letter f_{w_9} can be rewritten into Kummer-Poincaré letters [70], which we, however, avoid here. Some of the above letters contain the elliptic letter

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}} \tag{58}$$

as a factor. Therefore, one expects that in iterated integrals the incomplete elliptic integrals of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd kind

$$F(x;k) = \int_0^x dt \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}, \tag{59}$$

$$E(x;k) = \int_0^x dt \frac{\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}, \tag{60}$$

$$\Pi(n;x|k) = \int_0^x dt \frac{1}{1-nt^2}\frac{\sqrt{1-kt^2}}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}, \tag{61}$$

cf. [71], are emerging, over which further Kummer-Poincaré letters are iterated. We call iterated integrals of this type *Kummer-elliptic* integrals. Their alphabet is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A} \cup &\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}, \frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}, \frac{\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-a)\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}} \middle| a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm\tfrac{1}{k}\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Note that integrals of depth 1 over the letters f_{w_1} to $f_{w_{12}}$ are (poly)logarithmic, since one may change variables $t \to \sqrt{t}$, cf. Eqs. (52–55).

Yet Kummer-elliptic integrals appear in the iterated case. Therefore, iterated integrals of depth 2 formed out of some of these letters will form results containing incomplete elliptic integrals in part. These iterative integrals cannot be reduced to the Kummer-Poincaré iterated integrals for general values of k. As also the incomplete elliptic integrals, they belong to the d'Alembert class, unlike the complete elliptic integrals [71], which also appear in various higher order calculations, cf. e.g. [72], as letters in other iterated integrals.

5. The massive Wilson coefficients

The unrenormalized two–loop massive pure singlet Wilson coefficients $\mathcal{H}_{i,q}$ with $i = 1, 2, L$, see also Eq. (22), are given in Mellin space by

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = \hat{a}_s^2 S_\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^\varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{gq}^{(0)} h_{i,g}^{(1)} + C_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS,Q} + P_{gq}^{(0)} \bar{b}_{i,g}^{(1)}\right]. \tag{63}$$

The functions $h_{1,g}^{(1)}$ and $\bar{b}_{1,g}^{(1)}$ are given by

$$h_{1,g}^{(1)} = 2h_{2,g}^{(1)} - 3h_{L,q}^{(1)} \tag{64}$$

$$\bar{b}_{1,g}^{(1)} = h_{2,g}^{(1)} - h_{L,q}^{(1)} + 2\bar{b}_{2,g}^{(1)} - 3\bar{b}_{L,q}^{(1)}. \tag{65}$$

Since the two heavy quarks do not induce collinear divergences the mass factorization in the massive case reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = H_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS} + \Gamma_{gq} \otimes H_{i,g}^{(1)} \,. \tag{66}$$

Therefore, we find

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = \hat{a}_s^2 S_\varepsilon^2 \Biggl\{ & \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{gq}^{(0)} h_{i,g}^{(1)} + C_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS,Q} + P_{gq}^{(0)} \bar{b}_{i,g}^{(1)}\right] \\ & - \left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\varepsilon/2} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\varepsilon/2} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{gq}^{(0)} h_{i,g}^{(1)} + P_{gq}^{(0)} \bar{b}_{i,g}^{(1)}\right] \Biggr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Identifying the renormalization and factorization scale, $\mu = \mu_F$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS} &= a_s^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} P_{gq}^{(0)} h_{i,g}^{(1)} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) + C_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS,Q}\right] + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= a_s^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} P_{gq}^{(0)} h_{i,g}^{(1)} \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} P_{gq}^{(0)} h_{i,g}^{(1)} \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right) + C_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS,Q}\right] + O(\varepsilon) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Note that in the pure singlet case the coupling constant is not renormalized at two–loop order. To express our final result in terms of iterated integrals we refer to the letters given in Section 4, supplemented by the letters spanning the harmonic polylogarithms (9); for Eqs. (69) and (70) we use the shorthand notation $\mathrm{H}_{\vec{a}}(\beta) \equiv \mathrm{H}_{\vec{a}}$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} H_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = C_F T_F \Biggl\{ & -\frac{8 P_1}{3z} \Biggl\{ k \Biggl[\mathrm{H}_{w_1}^2 - \mathrm{H}_{w_2}^2 + (1-z)\bigl(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_2} - \mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_2} \\ & - \mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_5}\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_8}\mathrm{H}_{w_1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_6}\mathrm{H}_{w_2} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7}\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\bigr) \Biggr] \\ & + 2\bigl(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,w_4} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2,w_4} + \mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_1} \\ & + \mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_2}\bigr) - \bigl(2\mathrm{H}_{w_3} - 6\ln(k) + \ln\bigl(1-k^2\bigr) - \ln(k^2-z^2) \\ & + 2\ln\bigl(k^2-z\bigr)\bigr)\bigl[\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\bigr] \Biggr\} - \frac{16(1-z)\beta P_2}{3z} \ln(k^2-z^2) - \frac{16(1-z)\beta P_3}{9k^2 z} \\ & + \frac{8(1-k^2)(1-z) P_4}{3k^4 z} \Biggl[\mathrm{H}_{w_5,0} - \mathrm{H}_{w_6,0} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7,0} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8,0} \\ & - \bigl(\mathrm{H}_{w_5} - \mathrm{H}_{w_6} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8}\bigr)\mathrm{H}_0 \Biggr] + \frac{16(1-k^2) P_4}{3k^4 z} \bigl(\mathrm{H}_{w_1} \\ & + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\bigr)\mathrm{H}_0 + \frac{32 P_5}{3k^2}\bigl(\mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_1 - 2\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\bigr) + \frac{32 P_6}{3k^4 z}\bigl(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,0} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2,0}\bigr) \\ & + \frac{16 P_7}{3k^4}\bigl(\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_1} - \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\bigr) + \frac{16 P_8}{3k^4}\bigl(\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_2} - \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_1}\bigr) - \frac{64 P_9}{3k^2 z\beta}\mathrm{H}_{w_3} \\ & - \frac{16(1-k^2)(1-z^2) P_{10}}{3k^2} \Biggl[\mathrm{H}_{w_9,1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,-1} - (1-z)k\bigl(\mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_5} + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_6} \\ & + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_7} + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_8}\bigr) \Biggr] - \frac{16 P_{11}}{3k^2}\bigl(\mathrm{H}_1^2 - \mathrm{H}_{-1}^2\bigr) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{(1-z)P_{12}}{3z^{3/2}k^3}\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_5}-\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_7}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_8}-k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_{11}}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_{11}}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_{11}}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_{11}}\big)+k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\big)\mathrm{H}_{w_{11}}-\frac{2}{1-z}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_2}\big)\Big]\\
&+\frac{4(1+k)(1-z)P_{13}}{3k^4}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_6,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\mathrm{H}_1-\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\\
&+\frac{4(1-k)(1-z)P_{14}}{3k^4}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}\mathrm{H}_1-\mathrm{H}_{w_5}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\\
&+\frac{8P_{15}}{3k^4z}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}\big)-\frac{4(1-z)P_{16}}{3k^4}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)-\frac{4(1-z)P_{17}}{3k^4}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_5}\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)-\frac{2(1-k^2)P_{18}}{3\sqrt{z}k^3}\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_{12},1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_{12},-1}\\
&+(1-z)k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_{12}}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_{12}}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_{12}}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_{12}}\big)\\
&-(1-z)k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\big)\mathrm{H}_{w_{12}}\Big]-\frac{8P_{19}}{3k^4z}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1}\big)+\frac{2P_{20}}{9k^2z(1-k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\frac{2P_{21}}{9k^2z(1+k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\\
&+\frac{(1-z)P_{22}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)+z)(1-k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\frac{2P_{23}}{9k^4z\big(k^2(z-2)^2-z^2\big)}\mathrm{H}_1\\
&-\frac{2P_{24}}{9k^4z\big(k^2(z-2)^2-z^2\big)}\mathrm{H}_{-1}-\frac{(1-z)P_{25}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)-z)(1+k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\\
&+\frac{(1-z)P_{26}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)+z)(1+k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\frac{(1-z)P_{27}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)-z)(1-k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\\
&-32(1-z)^2z(\ln(z)+\ln(1-z))\big(2\beta-\mathrm{H}_1-\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\\
&-64z\big(3-z+\frac{z}{k^2}\big)\ln(k)\big(\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)+\frac{16(-1+z)\beta}{3z}\big(3-k^2\\
&-4z-4z^2\big)\big(6\ln(k)-\ln\big(1-k^2\big)-2\ln\big(k^2-z\big)-2\mathrm{H}_0\big)\\
&-\frac{64z\big(k^2(z-3)-z\big)}{3k^2}\Big[\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_0+\mathrm{H}_{-1,0}-\mathrm{H}_{0,1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{1,w_4}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,w_4}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1}+\bigg(\frac{1}{2}\ln\big(1-k^2\big)+\ln\big(k^2-z\big)+\mathrm{H}_{w_3}\bigg)\\
&\times\big(\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\Big]-\frac{32z}{3k^2}\big(z+k^2\big(6-7z+3z^2\big)\big)\ln(k^2-z^2)\big(\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\Big\}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}P_{gq}^{(0)}\otimes\bar{h}_{L,g}^{(1)}\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right)-P_{gq}^{(0)}\otimes\bar{b}_{L,g}^{(1)}\,, \qquad (69)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & -\frac{4(1-z)P_{28}}{k^2}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_6,-1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8,1} + \mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_8} - \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\Big) \\
& -\frac{8P_{29}}{3k^3}\Big(\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_1} - \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big) - \frac{8P_{30}}{3k^3}\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_2} + \frac{8\big(k^2-z\big)P_{30}}{3k^5(1-z)\beta^2}\mathrm{H}_{w_1}\mathrm{H}_{-1} \\
& +\frac{4(1-z)P_{31}}{k^2}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,-1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_7,1} + \mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_7} - \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_5}\Big) + \frac{8P_{32}}{3z}\Bigg[k\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1}^2 - \mathrm{H}_{w_2}^2\Big) \\
& +2\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,w_4} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2,w_4} + \mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_2}\Big) \\
& +\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big)\Big[6\ln(k) + \ln(k^2-z^2)\Big] \\
& +k(1-z)\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_2} - \mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_2} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_1}\mathrm{H}_{w_5} - \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\mathrm{H}_{w_6} \\
& +\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\mathrm{H}_{w_7} + \mathrm{H}_{w_1}\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\Big) - \Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big)\Big[\ln\big(1-k^2\big) + 2\ln\big(k^2-z\big) + 2\mathrm{H}_{w_3}\Big]\Bigg] \\
& +\frac{16(1-z)\beta P_{33}}{9k^2z} + \frac{32P_{34}}{3k^4}\Bigg[\mathrm{H}_{0,1} - \mathrm{H}_{-1,0} - \mathrm{H}_0\mathrm{H}_1 \\
& +\mathrm{H}_{1,w_4} + \mathrm{H}_{w_3,1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1} + \mathrm{H}_{-1,w_4} \\
& -\Big(\mathrm{H}_1 + \mathrm{H}_{-1}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{2}\ln\big(1-k^2\big) + \ln\big(k^2-z\big) + \mathrm{H}_{w_3}\Big)\Bigg] - \frac{32(1-z^2)P_{35}}{3k^2}\Bigg[\mathrm{H}_{w_9,1} \\
& +\mathrm{H}_{w_9,-1} - (1-z)k\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_5} + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_6} + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_7} + \mathrm{H}_{w_9,w_8}\Big)\Bigg] \\
& +\frac{4(1-z)P_{36}}{3k^3}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1} - \mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_5} + \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_7}\Big) \\
& +\frac{4(1-z)P_{37}}{3k^3}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1} - \mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{w_6} + \mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\Big) \\
& +\frac{16P_{38}}{3k^4}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_1 - 2\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\Big) - \frac{16(1-z)\beta P_{39}}{3k^2z}\ln(k^2-z^2) \\
& -\frac{8P_{40}}{3k^3z}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}\Big) - \frac{8P_{41}}{3k^3z}\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1} \\
& -\frac{16(1-z)\beta P_{42}}{3k^2z}\Bigg[\ln\big(1-k^2\big) + 2\ln\big(k^2-z\big) - 6\ln(k) + 2\mathrm{H}_0 + 4\mathrm{H}_{w_3}\Bigg] \\
& -\frac{16P_{43}}{3k^2z}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,0} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2,0}\Big) - \frac{8P_{44}}{3k^4}\Big(\mathrm{H}_1^2 - \mathrm{H}_{-1}^2\Big) + \frac{16P_{45}}{3k^2z}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big)\mathrm{H}_0 \\
& +\frac{8(1-z)P_{45}}{3k^2z}\Bigg[\mathrm{H}_{w_5,0} - \mathrm{H}_{w_6,0} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7,0} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8,0} \\
& -\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5} - \mathrm{H}_{w_6} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7} - \mathrm{H}_{w_8}\Big)\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg] + \frac{4P_{46}}{3z^{3/2}k^3}\Bigg[2\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_1} + 2\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_2} \\
& -(1-z)\Bigg(\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_5} - \mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_6} + \mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_7} - \mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_8} - k\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_{11}} + \mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_{11}} \\
& +\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_{11}} + \mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_{11}}\Big) + k\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5} + \mathrm{H}_{w_6} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7} + \mathrm{H}_{w_8}\Big)\mathrm{H}_{w_{11}}\Bigg) \\
& +2k(1-k^2)z(1-z)\Bigg(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_{12}} + \mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_{12}} + \mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_{12}} + \mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_{12}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\big)\mathrm{H}_{w_{12}}\Big)\\
&+2(1-k^2)z\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_{12},1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_{12},-1}\big)\Big]+\frac{8P_{47}}{9k^2z(1+k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\\
&-\frac{8P_{48}}{9k^2z(1-k\beta)}\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\frac{4(1-z)^2P_{49}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)-z)}\mathrm{H}_{w_6}-\frac{4(1-z)^2P_{50}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)+z)}\mathrm{H}_{w_5}\\
&-\frac{4(1-z)^2P_{51}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)+z)}\mathrm{H}_{w_7}-\frac{4(1-z)^2P_{52}}{3k^3z(k(z-2)-z)}\mathrm{H}_{w_8}-\frac{8P_{55}}{3k^5(1-z)z\beta^2}\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}\\
&-\frac{8P_{53}}{9k^4z(1+\beta)\big(k^2(z-2)^2-z^2\big)}\mathrm{H}_1+\frac{8P_{54}}{9k^4z(1-\beta)\big(k^2(z-2)^2-z^2\big)}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\\
&-\Bigg[\frac{16\big(1+k^2\big)\big(1-3k^2\big)z^2}{3k^4}\ln(k^2-z^2)+16(1-z)\big(\ln(1-z)+\ln(z)\big)\\
&+32\Bigg(3(1-z)+\frac{\big(1+k^2\big)\big(1-3k^2\big)z^2}{k^4}\Bigg)\ln(k)\Bigg]\big(\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\\
&-8\frac{2k^2+\big(3k^2-1\big)z}{k^2}\Big[4\mathrm{H}_{0,1,1}+4\mathrm{H}_{0,-1,1}-20\mathrm{H}_{1,1,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{1,1,w_4}-4\mathrm{H}_{1,-1,w_4}\\
&+4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1,-1}+4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1,-1}-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1,0}-16\mathrm{H}_{-1,1,1}\\
&+4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1,w_4}-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1,0}-16\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1,1}+4\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1,w_4}-20\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1,-1}\\
&+2\big(\mathrm{H}_1^2-2\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\big)\mathrm{H}_0+2\big(-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}+\mathrm{H}_1^2-\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2+2\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\mathrm{H}_{w_3}\\
&+\big(4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}-5\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2+5\mathrm{H}_1^2-4\mathrm{H}_{0,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{0,-1}-4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1}\big)\mathrm{H}_1\\
&+\big(4\mathrm{H}_0\mathrm{H}_1-\mathrm{H}_1^2+4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1}+4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1}+12\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\\
&+5\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2\big)\mathrm{H}_{-1}-\big[\ln\big(1-k^2\big)-\ln(k^2-z^2)+2\ln\big(k^2-z\big)-6\ln(k)\big]\\
&\times\big(4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2-\mathrm{H}_1^2-2\mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_1\big)\Big]-\frac{16(1-z)\big(z-k^2(2+3z)\big)}{k}\Big[\mathrm{H}_{1,w_4,w_5}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{1,w_4,w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{1,w_4,w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{1,w_4,w_8}-\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_3,1}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_3,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_3,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_3,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_3,1}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_3,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_3,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_3,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1,1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,w_4,w_5}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,w_4,w_6}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,w_4,w_7}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,w_4,w_8}\\
&+k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_2,w_4,w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,w_4,w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,w_4,w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,w_4,w_8}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,w_4,w_5}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,w_4,w_6}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,w_4,w_7}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,w_4,w_8}+\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1,w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1,w_2}+\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_3,w_1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_3,w_2}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1,w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1,w_2}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_3,w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_3,w_2}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_3,w_1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_3,w_2}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1,w_1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1,w_2}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_3,w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_3,w_2}-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1,w_1}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1,w_2}\big)+\big\{\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}+k\big[\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_1}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_2}\big]\big\}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\big)+\big\{\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1}\\
&+k\big[\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_3,w_2}\big]\big\}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\big)\\
&+\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_3}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_3}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_3}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_3}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1}-\big[\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\big]\mathrm{H}_{w_3}\big)\big(\mathrm{H}_1-\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)-k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_5,w_3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6,w_3}+\mathrm{H}_{w_7,w_3}-\mathrm{H}_{w_7,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8,w_3}-\mathrm{H}_{w_8,-1}-\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\Big]\mathrm{H}_{w_3}\Big)\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big)+\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_7}+\mathrm{H}_{w_8}\Big)\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{-1}-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_5}+\mathrm{H}_{w_6}\Big)\mathrm{H}_1^2\Bigg]\\
&+16\Big(z-k^2(2+3z)\Big)\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}\Big]\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big)\\
&+\frac{32(k^2(2+3z)-z)}{k}\Bigg[\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,0}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,w_4}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,0}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,w_4}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,0}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,w_4}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,0}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,w_4}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,-1}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1,w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1,w_2}+\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1,w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1,w_2}+\frac{1}{2}\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}\Big]\Big(2\mathrm{H}_{w_3}+\mathrm{H}_1-\mathrm{H}_{-1}\Big)+\frac{1}{4}\Big[\mathrm{H}_1^2-4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,-1}\\
&-4\mathrm{H}_{w_3,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2+2\mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_1\Big]\Big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\Big)+\frac{1}{2}\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1}\Big]\Big(6\ln(k)-\ln\left(1-k^2\right)+\ln(k^2-z^2)-2\ln\left(k^2-z\right)\Big)\Bigg]\\
&+32(1-z)\beta\Big(\ln(1-z)+\ln(z)\Big)\Bigg\}+\frac{1}{2}P_{gq}^{(0)}\otimes\bar{h}_{1,g}^{(1)}\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}\right)\\
&-P_{gq}^{(0)}\otimes\bar{b}_{1,g}^{(1)}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

with the polynomials

$$P_1 = k^4+k^2(2-6z)-12z^2+6z-3, \tag{71}$$

$$P_2 = -k^2+12z^3-16z^2-4z+3, \tag{72}$$

$$P_3 = 8k^4+k^2\left(-25z^2-28z+12\right)+9z^2, \tag{73}$$

$$P_4 = k^6+k^4\left(3-6z^2\right)-4z^4, \tag{74}$$

$$P_5 = k^2\left(z^2-3z-1\right)-z^2-3z+1, \tag{75}$$

$$P_6 = k^8+k^6\left(-3z^2-3z+2\right)-3k^4\left(z^2-z+1\right)-2k^2z^4+2z^4, \tag{76}$$

$$P_7 = 3k^6(z-1)-2k^5z\left(3z^2-7z+6\right)+k^4(3-9z)-2k^3z^2+2k^2z^3-2z^3, \tag{77}$$

$$P_8 = 3k^6(z-1)+2k^5z\left(3z^2-7z+6\right)+k^4(3-9z)+2k^3z^2+2k^2z^3-2z^3, \tag{78}$$

$$P_9 = k^4+k^2\left(4z^2+3z-3\right)+z\left(-4z^2-4z+3\right), \tag{79}$$

$$P_{10} = k^2\left(5z^2-2\right)+3z^2, \tag{80}$$

$$P_{11} = k^2\left(5z^2-15z+1\right)-5z^2+3z-1, \tag{81}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{12} = {}& k^4\left(-80z^3+35z^2+30z-9\right)+2k^2z\left(19z^2-10z-9\right)\\
&+3z^2\left(5z^2+2z+1\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{82}
$$

$$P_{13} = 6k^5(z-1) + k^4\left(-4z^3+21z^2-30z+8\right) + k^3\left(4z^3-21z^2+12z-2\right)$$
$$+3k^2z^2 + kz^2(4z-3) - 4z^3, \tag{83}$$
$$P_{14} = 6k^5(z-1) + k^4\left(4z^3-21z^2+30z-8\right) + k^3\left(4z^3-21z^2+12z-2\right)$$
$$-3k^2z^2 + kz^2(4z-3) + 4z^3, \tag{84}$$
$$P_{15} = 3k^8 - 6k^6\left(z^2+2z-1\right) + k^5z\left(12z^3-25z^2+6\right) - 3k^4\left(6z^2-4z+3\right)$$
$$-2k^3z\left(z^2-6z+3\right) - 4k^2z^4 + 3kz^3 + 4z^4, \tag{85}$$
$$P_{16} = 6k^6(z-1) + k^5\left(20z^3-35z^2+24z+2\right) + k^4(6-18z)$$
$$+2k^3\left(2z^3-5z^2+6z-1\right) + 4k^2z^3 - 3kz^2 - 4z^3, \tag{86}$$
$$P_{17} = -6k^6(z-1) + k^5\left(20z^3-35z^2+24z+2\right) + 6k^4(3z-1)$$
$$+2k^3\left(2z^3-5z^2+6z-1\right) - 4k^2z^3 - 3kz^2 + 4z^3, \tag{87}$$
$$P_{18} = k^4\left(80z^3-35z^2-30z+9\right) + 2k^2z\left(-19z^2+10z+9\right)$$
$$-3z^2\left(5z^2+2z+1\right), \tag{88}$$
$$P_{19} = 3k^8 - 6k^6\left(z^2+2z-1\right) + k^5\left(-12z^4+25z^3-6z\right) - 3k^4\left(6z^2-4z+3\right)$$
$$+2k^3z\left(z^2-6z+3\right) - 4k^2z^4 - 3kz^3 + 4z^4, \tag{89}$$
$$P_{20} = 16\beta k^7 - 40k^6 + 8\beta k^5\left(18z^2+3z-5\right) + 8k^4\left(36z^3-66z^2-15z+17\right)$$
$$+3\beta k^3\left(192z^4-344z^3+69z^2+82z-31\right)$$
$$-3k^2\left(192z^4-248z^3-59z^2+50z-7\right)$$
$$+3\beta kz\left(25z^2-6z-3\right) + 3z\left(-25z^2+6z+3\right), \tag{90}$$
$$P_{21} = 16\beta k^7 + 40k^6 + 8\beta k^5\left(18z^2+3z-5\right) - 8k^4\left(36z^3-66z^2-15z+17\right)$$
$$+3\beta k^3\left(192z^4-344z^3+69z^2+82z-31\right)$$
$$+3k^2\left(192z^4-248z^3-59z^2+50z-7\right)$$
$$+3\beta kz\left(25z^2-6z-3\right) + 3z\left(25z^2-6z-3\right), \tag{91}$$
$$P_{22} = 8k^8(z-2)(\beta(z-1)+1) - 8k^7\left(-2\beta+\beta z^3+(1-8\beta)z^2+(9\beta-4)z+2\right)$$
$$+k^6\Big(-66\beta+(68\beta-96)z^4+(328-186\beta)z^3+(17\beta-288)z^2$$
$$+(167\beta-24)z+48\Big) + k^5\big(-30\beta-192\beta z^5$$
$$+4(207\beta-41)z^4+(314-935\beta)z^3+3(47\beta+5)z^2+$$
$$(188\beta-199)z+66\big) + k^4\big(-192(\beta-1)z^5+4(94\beta-183)z^4-15(9\beta-41)z^3$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(83-52\beta)z^2+(3\beta-100)z-18\big)+k^3z\big(-6\beta+192z^4+7(\beta-40)z^3\\
&+(7-18\beta)z^2+(17\beta+20)z+21\big)+k^2(z-1)z\Big((4\beta-7)z^2+(3\beta+11)z-6\Big)\\
&-k(z-1)z^2((3\beta+4)z+3)+3(z-1)z^3, \qquad (92)\\
P_{23} =\ & 72k^8(z-2)^2(\beta(z-1)+1)+k^6\big(108(8\beta-7)+8(36\beta+29)z^5\\
&-2(576\beta+539)z^4+(576\beta+1807)z^3+3(768\beta-563)z^2-1440(2\beta-1)z\big)\\
&+k^4z\big(-16(18\beta+17)z^4+208z^3+(504\beta+95)z^2-3(72\beta+145)z+360\big)\\
&+k^2z^2\big(43z^3+99z^2-150z+36\big)-3z^4(z+3), \qquad (93)\\
P_{24} =\ & 72k^8(z-2)^2(\beta(z-1)-1)+k^6\big(108(8\beta+7)+8(36\beta-29)z^5\\
&-2(576\beta-539)z^4+(576\beta-1807)z^3+3(768\beta+563)z^2-1440(2\beta+1)z\big)\\
&-k^4z\big(16(18\beta-17)z^4+208z^3+(95-504\beta)z^2+3(72\beta-145)z+360\big)\\
&-k^2z^2\big(43z^3+99z^2-150z+36\big)+3z^4(z+3), \qquad (94)\\
P_{25} =\ & 8k^8(z-2)(\beta(z-1)+1)+8k^7\big(-2\beta+\beta z^3+(1-8\beta)z^2+(9\beta-4)z+2\big)\\
&+k^6\big(-66\beta+(68\beta-96)z^4+(328-186\beta)z^3\\
&+(17\beta-288)z^2+(167\beta-24)z+48\big)\\
&+k^5\big(30\beta+192\beta z^5+(164-828\beta)z^4+(935\beta-314)z^3-3(47\beta+5)z^2\\
&+(199-188\beta)z-66\big)+k^4\big(-192(\beta-1)z^5+4(94\beta-183)z^4-15(9\beta-41)z^3\\
&+(83-52\beta)z^2+(3\beta-100)z-18\big)-k^3z\big(-6\beta+192z^4+7(\beta-40)z^3\\
&+(7-18\beta)z^2+(17\beta+20)z+21\big)+k^2(z-1)z\big((4\beta-7)z^2+(3\beta+11)z-6\big)\\
&+k(z-1)z^2((3\beta+4)z+3)+3(z-1)z^3, \qquad (95)\\
P_{26} =\ & -8k^8(z-2)(\beta(z-1)-1)+8k^7\big(-2(\beta+1)+\beta z^3-(8\beta+1)z^2+(9\beta+4)z\big)\\
&-k^6\big(-6(11\beta+8)+(68\beta+96)z^4-2(93\beta+164)z^3+(17\beta+288)z^2\\
&+(167\beta+24)z\big)+k^5\big(30\beta+192\beta z^5-4(207\beta+41)z^4+(935\beta+314)z^3\\
&-3(47\beta-5)z^2-(188\beta+199)z+66\big)+k^4\big(192(\beta+1)z^5-4(94\beta+183)z^4\\
&+15(9\beta+41)z^3+(52\beta+83)z^2-(3\beta+100)z-18\big)+k^3z\big(6\beta+192z^4\\
&-7(\beta+40)z^3+(18\beta+7)z^2+(20-17\beta)z+21\big)-k^2(z-1)z\big((4\beta+7)z^2\\
&+(3\beta-11)z+6\big)+k(z-1)z^2((3\beta-4)z-3)+3(z-1)z^3, \qquad (96)\\
P_{27} =\ & 8k^8(z-2)(\beta(z-1)-1)+8k^7\big(-2(\beta+1)+\beta z^3-(8\beta+1)z^2+(9\beta+4)z\big)\\
&+k^6\big(-6(11\beta+8)+(68\beta+96)z^4-2(93\beta+164)z^3+(17\beta+288)z^2\\
&+(167\beta+24)z\big)+k^5\big(30\beta+192\beta z^5-4(207\beta+41)z^4+(935\beta+314)z^3\\
&-3(47\beta-5)z^2-(188\beta+199)z+66\big)+k^4\big(-192(\beta+1)z^5+4(94\beta+183)z^4\\
&-15(9\beta+41)z^3-(52\beta+83)z^2+(3\beta+100)z+18\big)+k^3z\big(6\beta+192z^4\\
&-7(\beta+40)z^3+(18\beta+7)z^2+(20-17\beta)z+21\big)+k^2(z-1)z\big((4\beta+7)z^2\\
&+(3\beta-11)z+6\big)+k(z-1)z^2((3\beta-4)z-3)-3(z-1)z^3 \qquad (97)\\
P_{28} =\ & 3k^4(z-2)+k^3(20-14z)+6k^2(z+1)+2kz-z, \qquad (98)\\
P_{29} =\ & 9k^5(z-2)-6k^4z^2+18k^3(z+1)-4k^2z^2-3kz+2z^2, \qquad (99)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$P_{30} = 9k^5(z-2) + 6k^4z^2 + 18k^3(z+1) + 4k^2z^2 - 3kz - 2z^2, \tag{100}$$
$$P_{31} = 3k^4(z-2) + 2k^3(7z-10) + 6k^2(z+1) - 2kz - z, \tag{101}$$
$$P_{32} = 3k^4 - 2k^2(9z+2) + 18z - 7, \tag{102}$$
$$P_{33} = 30k^4 + k^2\left(-60z^2 + 63z + 28\right) + 16z^2, \tag{103}$$
$$P_{34} = 3k^4\left(z^2 + z - 1\right) + 2k^2z^2 - z^2, \tag{104}$$
$$P_{35} = 3k^4\left(z^2 + 3\right) + k^2\left(2z^2 + 3\right) - z^2, \tag{105}$$
$$P_{36} = -9k^5(z-2) + 6k^4\left(2z^2 - 7z + 10\right) - 18k^3(z+1) + 2k^2z(4z+3) + 3kz - 4z^2, \tag{106}$$
$$P_{37} = 9k^5(z-2) + 6k^4\left(2z^2 - 7z + 10\right) + 18k^3(z+1) + 2k^2z(4z+3) - 3kz - 4z^2, \tag{107}$$
$$P_{38} = 3k^4(z-8)z + k^2\left(2z^2 + 9z - 3\right) - z^2, \tag{108}$$
$$P_{39} = 3k^4 - k^2\left(6z^2 + 7\right) + 2z^2, \tag{109}$$
$$P_{40} = 9k^7 - 3k^5\left(3z^2 + 12z + 4\right) - 6k^4z^2(2z+11) - 3k^3\left(6z^2 - 12z + 7\right) - 2k^2z\left(4z^2 - 9z + 6\right) + 3kz^2 + 4z^3, \tag{110}$$
$$P_{41} = 9k^7 - 3k^5\left(3z^2 + 12z + 4\right) + 6k^4z^2(2z+11) - 3k^3\left(6z^2 - 12z + 7\right) + 2k^2z\left(4z^2 - 9z + 6\right) + 3kz^2 - 4z^3, \tag{111}$$
$$P_{42} = -3k^4 + k^2\left(6z^2 + 6z + 7\right) - 2z^2, \tag{112}$$
$$P_{43} = 6k^6 - k^4\left(9z^2 + 18z + 8\right) - 2k^2\left(9z^2 - 9z + 7\right) + 3z^2, \tag{113}$$
$$P_{44} = 3k^4\left(5z^2 + 14z - 6\right) + k^2\left(10z^2 - 9z + 3\right) - 5z^2, \tag{114}$$
$$P_{45} = 3k^6 - k^4\left(9z^2 + 4\right) - k^2\left(18z^2 + 7\right) + 3z^2, \tag{115}$$
$$P_{46} = 3k^4\left(6z^3 + 9z^2 - z + 2\right) + k^2z\left(3z^2 + 8z + 9\right) - z^2(3z+1), \tag{116}$$
$$P_{47} = 6\beta k^7 + 24k^6 + 2\beta k^5\left(27z^2 + 27z + 28\right) + 2k^4\left(9z^2 + 27z - 2\right) - \beta k^3\left(36z^3 + 27z^2 - 93z + 52\right) + k^2\left(-36z^3 + 21z^2 + 93z - 10\right) + 3\beta kz\left(4z^2 + z - 1\right) + 3z\left(4z^2 - 3z - 1\right), \tag{117}$$
$$P_{48} = 6\beta k^7 - 24k^6 + 2\beta k^5\left(27z^2 + 27z + 28\right) - 2k^4\left(9z^2 + 27z - 2\right) - \beta k^3\left(36z^3 + 27z^2 - 93z + 52\right) + k^2\left(36z^3 - 21z^2 - 93z + 10\right) + 3\beta kz\left(4z^2 + z - 1\right) + 3z\left(-4z^2 + 3z + 1\right), \tag{118}$$
$$P_{49} = -6(\beta-1)k^7(z-2) + 6k^6z(\beta + z - 6) + k^5\left(-28\beta + 3(4\beta-3)z^3 - 3(8\beta-5)z^2 + 2(7\beta-22)z + 40\right) + k^4\left((9-12\beta)z^3 - 8z^2 + (30-14\beta)z + 12\right) + 2k^3z\left(-2\beta z^2 + (4\beta+2)z + 7\right) + 2k^2z\left(2\beta z^2 + z - 1\right) + k(z-3)z^2 - z^3, \tag{119}$$
$$P_{50} = -6(\beta-1)k^7(z-2) - 6k^6z(\beta + z - 6) + k^5\left(-28\beta + 3(4\beta-3)z^3 - 3(8\beta-5)z^2 + 2(7\beta-22)z + 40\right) + k^4\left(3(4\beta-3)z^3 + 8z^2 + 2(7\beta-15)z - 12\right) + 2k^3z\left(-2\beta z^2 + (4\beta+2)z + 7\right) - 2k^2z\left(2\beta z^2 + z - 1\right) + k(z-3)z^2 + z^3, \tag{120}$$
$$P_{51} = 6(\beta+1)k^7(z-2) - 6k^6z(-\beta + z - 6) + k^5\left(28\beta - 3(4\beta+3)z^3 + 3(8\beta+5)z^2 - 2(7\beta+22)z + 40\right) - k^4\left(3(4\beta+3)z^3 - 8z^2 + 2(7\beta+15)z + 12\right)$$

$$+2k^3z\big(2\beta z^2+(2-4\beta)z+7\big)+2k^2z\big(2\beta z^2-z+1\big)+k(z-3)z^2+z^3, \tag{121}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P_{52} &= 6(\beta+1)k^7(z-2)+6k^6z(-\beta+z-6)+k^5\big(28\beta-3(4\beta+3)z^3+3(8\beta+5)z^2\\ &-2(7\beta+22)z+40\big)+k^4\big(3(4\beta+3)z^3-8z^2+2(7\beta+15)z+12\big)\\ &+2k^3z\big(2\beta z^2+(2-4\beta)z+7\big)-2k^2z\big(2\beta z^2-z+1\big)+k(z-3)z^2-z^3,\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P_{53} &= 54\beta k^8(z-2)^2z-3k^6\big(-24(\beta+1)+(\beta-35)z^5+(5\beta+113)z^4\\ &-(47\beta+125)z^3+6(15\beta+31)z^2-240z\big)+k^4z\big(72(3\beta-4)+(59\beta-193)z^4\\ &+(187-173\beta)z^3+2(82\beta-143)z^2-6(17\beta+5)z\big)-k^2z^2\big(12(\beta+1)\\ &+3(23\beta-37)z^3+(11-25\beta)z^2+(103\beta-167)z\big)\\ &+z^4(3\beta+13\beta z-23z+3),\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P_{54} &= 54\beta k^8(z-2)^2z-3k^6\big(-24(\beta-1)+(\beta+35)z^5+(5\beta-113)z^4\\ &+(125-47\beta)z^3+6(15\beta-31)z^2+240z\big)+k^4z\big(72(3\beta+4)+(59\beta+193)z^4\\ &-(173\beta+187)z^3+2(82\beta+143)z^2-6(17\beta-5)z\big)-k^2z^2\big(12(\beta-1)\\ &+3(23\beta+37)z^3-(25\beta+11)z^2+(103\beta+167)z\big)\\ &+z^4(3\beta+13\beta z+23z-3),\end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P_{55} &= 9\beta^2k^9(z-1)+k^7\big(12\beta^2+\big(9-54\beta^2\big)z^2+6\big(7\beta^2-3\big)z\big)+6k^6z^2\big(-11\beta^2\\ &+3\beta^2z^2+8\beta^2z+z\big)+k^5\big(21\beta^2-9z^3+18\big(3\beta^2+2\big)z^2+\big(18-75\beta^2\big)z\big)\\ &+2k^4z\big(-6\beta^2+\big(6\beta^2-3\big)z^3+\big(2-15\beta^2\big)z^2+15\beta^2z\big)\\ &-3k^3z^2(6z+7)-2k^2z^3\big(-3\beta^2+\big(3\beta^2+2\big)z+1\big)+3kz^3+2z^4.\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

The remaining Mellin convolutions in Eqs. (69), (70) are given in Appendix B, with

$$A(x)\otimes B(x)=\int_0^1 dx_1\int_0^1 dx_2\,\delta(x-x_1x_2)A(x_1)B(x_2). \tag{126}$$

The Wilson coefficient $H_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS}$ is given by

$$H_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1,q}^{(2),\rm PS}+3H_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS}\right). \tag{127}$$

In summary, the two–loop massive Wilson coefficients are represented in terms of iterated integrals over the alphabets given in Section 4. The integrals can be arranged such that only the last integral contains elliptic letters and all other integrals can be expressed in terms of classical polylogarithms with involved arguments. Some details are discussed in Appendix C. Similar structures are expected also for other physical processes depending on two scales, z and m^2/Q^2, in a non-factorizing manner. Even more involved structures will emerge in the case of more scales. The two–loop heavy flavor contributions to the structure functions $F_{2(L)}$ are given by

$$F_{2(L)}^{(2),\rm PS,heav.}(x,Q^2)=a_s^2(Q^2)Q_H^2\,x\,H_{2(L)}^{\rm PS,(2)}\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},x\right)\otimes\Sigma(x,\mu^2). \tag{128}$$

6. The asymptotic and threshold expansions

The complete expressions calculated in Section 5 allow now to perform the asymptotic expansion for $Q^2 \gg m^2$ and the threshold expansion for $\beta \ll 1$. In the asymptotic limit $Q^2 \gg m^2$ the massive pure singlet Wilson coefficient have the following representations [5,36]

$$H_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = \tilde{C}_{q,L}^{(2),\rm PS}(N_F+1), \tag{129}$$

$$H_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = A_{Qq}^{(2),\rm PS}(N_F+1) + \tilde{C}_{q,2}^{(2),\rm PS}(N_F+1). \tag{130}$$

Here the massless Wilson coefficients $\tilde{C}_{q,L}^{(2),\rm PS}(N_F+1)$ are the ones given in Section 3 normalized by N_F+1. The massive two–loop operator matrix element $A_{Qq}^{(2),\rm PS}$ in Mellin space in the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme [5,36] reads

$$A_{Qq}^{(2),\rm PS} = -\frac{1}{8}\hat{P}_{qg}^{(0)} P_{gq}^{(0)} \ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}_{qq}^{(1),\rm PS} \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}\hat{P}_{qg}^{(0)} P_{gq}^{(0)} \zeta_2 + a_{Qq}^{(2),\rm PS}. \tag{131}$$

The constant part of the unrenormalized OME $a_{Qq}^{(2),\rm PS}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{Qq}^{(2),\rm PS}(z) = C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & -\frac{4(1-z)\big(112+121z+400z^2\big)}{27z} \\
& -\left(\frac{8}{9}\big(21+33z+56z^2\big) + 8(1+z)\zeta_2\right) \mathrm{H}_0 \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\big(3+15z+8z^2\big)\mathrm{H}_0^2 - \frac{4}{3}(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0^3 + \frac{8(1-z)\big(4+7z+4z^2\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_0\mathrm{H}_1 \\
& -\left[\frac{8(1-z)\big(4+7z+4z^2\big)}{3z} - 16(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0\right]\mathrm{H}_{0,1} \\
& -32(1+z)\mathrm{H}_{0,0,1} - \frac{4(1-z)\big(4+7z+4z^2\big)}{3z}\zeta_2 + 32(1+z)\zeta_3 \Bigg\}
\end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

in z-space.

Expanding the fully massive result given in Section 5 in the asymptotic limit $Q^2 \gg m^2$ and setting $\mu^2 = Q^2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS} = -32 C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & \frac{(1-z)\big(1-2z+10z^2\big)}{9z} - (1+z)(1-2z)\mathrm{H}_0 - z\mathrm{H}_0^2 \\
& +\frac{(1-z)\big(1-2z-2z^2\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_1 - z\mathrm{H}_{0,1} + z\zeta_2 \\
& +\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\Bigg[-\frac{(1-z)\big(2-z+2z^2\big)}{3z}\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right) \\
& +\frac{(1-z)\big(-22+4z+29z^2\big)}{9z} - \Bigg(\frac{(1-z)\big(20-7z-25z^2\big)}{9z}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{2}{3}(3-6z-2z^2)\mathrm{H}_0\Big)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)+\Big(\frac{2}{9}\big(-6+3z+13z^2\big)\\
&+\frac{2(1+z)\big(-2+z+2z^2+2z^3\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\Big)\\
&\times\mathrm{H}_0-\frac{2}{3}z^3\mathrm{H}_0^2+\Big(-\frac{(1-z)^2(14+13z)}{9z}+\frac{4(1-z)\big(2-z+2z^2\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_0\Big)\mathrm{H}_1\\
&+\frac{(1-z)\big(2-z+2z^2\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_1^2-\frac{2\big(4-3z-4z^3\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{0,1}\\
&+\frac{2(1+z)\big(2-z-2z^2-2z^3\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{0,-1}-\frac{2(1-z)\big(2-z+2z^2+2z^3\big)}{3z}\zeta_2\Big]\\
&+\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^2\Big[\frac{1}{2z}\big(4-2z-z^2-2z^3+4z^4\big)\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)+\Big(2\big(2-3z+4z^3\big)\mathrm{H}_0\\
&+\frac{(1-z)\big(28-20z+13z^2+21z^3\big)}{6z}+\big(2-3z-2z^2+4z^3\big)\mathrm{H}_1\Big)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{1152z}\big(16027-13011z-6267z^2+7571z^3+4320z^4\big)\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\big(24-21z+16z^2-21z^3\big)+\frac{4\big(1-z^2+z^3+2z^4\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\Big)\mathrm{H}_0\\
&-\Big(\frac{1}{6z}\big(4-15z^2-16z^3+21z^4\big)\\
&+\frac{4\big(2-2z+z^2\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_0\Big)\mathrm{H}_1-\frac{1}{2z}\big(4-6z+5z^2+2z^3-4z^4\big)\mathrm{H}_1^2\\
&+\frac{2\big(4-2z-z^2+4z^4\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_{0,1}-\frac{4\big(1-z^2+z^3+2z^4\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_{0,-1}\\
&+\frac{2\big(2-2z+z^2\big)}{z}\zeta_2\Big]\Big\}+O\left(\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^3\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{133}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2,q}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}=C_FT_F\Big\{&-\Big(\frac{4(1-z)\big(4+7z+4z^2\big)}{3z}+8(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0\Big)\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&-\Big(\frac{16(1-z)\big(10+z+28z^2\big)}{9z}+\frac{8}{3}\big(3+15z+8z^2\big)\mathrm{H}_0\\
&-8(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0^2\Big)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)+\frac{16(1-z)\big(5+24z-52z^2\big)}{9z}\\
&+\Big(\frac{8}{9}\big(105-99z-88z^2\big)-\frac{32(1+z)^3}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\Big)\mathrm{H}_0\\
&+8z(5-2z)\mathrm{H}_0^2+\frac{16}{3}(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0^3\\
&-\Big(\frac{16(1-z)\big(13-26z+4z^2\big)}{9z}-\frac{16(1-z)\big(4+7z+4z^2\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_0\Big)\mathrm{H}_1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{4(1-z)\big(4+7z+4z^2\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_1^2+\Bigg(-\frac{16\big(4+3z-3z^2+2z^3\big)}{3z}\\
&+32(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\mathrm{H}_{0,1}+\frac{32(1+z)^3}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{0,-1}-32(1+z)\mathrm{H}_{0,0,1}+16(1+z)\mathrm{H}_{0,1,1}\\
&-\Bigg(\frac{32\big(1+3z^2-3z^3\big)}{3z}+32(1+z)\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\zeta_2+16(1+z)\zeta_3\\
&+\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\Bigg[\Bigg(\frac{16(1-z)\big(1+2z^2\big)}{z}+16z\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&+\Bigg(\frac{64(1-z)\big(2-z-4z^2\big)}{3z}+32\big(1-3z-2z^2\big)\mathrm{H}_0-16z\mathrm{H}_0^2\Bigg)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&+\frac{8\big(76-24z-102z^2+59z^3\big)}{9z}+\Bigg(\frac{32(1+z)\big(1-z-2z^2-2z^3\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\\
&+\frac{16}{3}\big(6+27z-20z^2\big)\Bigg)\mathrm{H}_0+32z\big(1+z^2\big)\mathrm{H}_0^2-\frac{32}{3}z\mathrm{H}_0^3\\
&-\frac{16(1-z)\big(1+2z^2\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_1^2+\Bigg(\frac{16\big(4-6z-9z^2+8z^3\big)}{3z}\\
&-\frac{64(1-z)\big(1+2z^2\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\mathrm{H}_1+\Bigg(\frac{32\big(2-z+z^2-4z^3\big)}{z}-64z\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\mathrm{H}_{0,1}\\
&-\frac{32(1+z)\big(1-z-2z^2-2z^3\big)}{z}\mathrm{H}_{0,-1}+64z\mathrm{H}_{0,0,1}-32z\mathrm{H}_{0,1,1}\\
&+\Bigg(\frac{32(1+z)\big(1-2z+2z^2-2z^3\big)}{z}+64z\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\zeta_2-32z\zeta_3\Bigg]\\
&+\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^2\Bigg[-\frac{4P_{61}}{3z}\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)-\Bigg(\frac{4P_{65}}{9(1-z)z}+\frac{16}{3}\big(9-33z-16z^2+72z^3\big)\mathrm{H}_0\\
&+8\big(3-11z-12z^2+24z^3\big)\mathrm{H}_1\Bigg)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)+\frac{64P_{59}}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{0,-1}-\frac{4P_{60}}{3z}\mathrm{H}_1^2\\
&-\frac{16P_{62}}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{0,1}-\frac{P_{66}}{72(1-z)^2z}-\Bigg(\frac{64P_{59}}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{-1}+\frac{16P_{63}}{9(1-z)}\Bigg)\mathrm{H}_0+64z^2\mathrm{H}_0^2\\
&-\Bigg(\frac{4P_{64}}{9(1-z)z}-\frac{32\big(16-9z-3z^2+8z^3\big)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_0\Bigg)\mathrm{H}_1\\
&-\frac{16\big(16-9z-3z^2+24z^3\big)}{3z}\zeta_2\Bigg]\Bigg\}+O\left(\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^3\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\right)\,, \qquad (134)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the polynomials

$$P_{59}=18z^4+7z^3-9z^2+4\,, \qquad (135)$$

$$P_{60}=72z^4-52z^3-27z^2+27z-32\,, \qquad (136)$$

$$P_{61}=72z^4-20z^3-39z^2-9z+32\,, \qquad (137)$$

$$P_{62}=72z^4-8z^3-39z^2-9z+32\,, \qquad (138)$$

$$P_{63} = 180z^4 - 391z^3 + 265z^2 - 111z + 66\,, \tag{139}$$

$$P_{64} = 360z^5 - 898z^4 + 667z^3 - 132z^2 + 118z - 88\,, \tag{140}$$

$$P_{65} = 360z^5 - 826z^4 + 529z^3 + 180z^2 - 362z + 128\,, \tag{141}$$

$$P_{66} = 12816z^6 - 6615z^5 - 51371z^4 + 62178z^3 + 7650z^2 - 43867z + 17673\,. \tag{142}$$

We note that the asymptotic terms are exactly reproduced, cf. [5,12,36], proving the asymptotic factorization in this process. The additional power suppressed terms can be used to obtain fast numerical implementations for the heavy quark Wilson coefficients which are valid for lower values of Q^2. The reach of these approximations is discussed in Section 7.

The threshold expansion of the Wilson coefficients for $\beta \ll 1$ is given by

$$H_{L,g}^{(1)}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = 32T_F z(1-z)\beta^3 \left\{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\beta^2}{15} + \frac{\beta^4}{35} + \frac{\beta^6}{63}\right\} + O(\beta^{11}), \tag{143}$$

$$H_{2,g}^{(1)}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = 4T_F\beta\left\{1 + \frac{2}{3}(3-2z)\beta^2 - \frac{2}{15}\left(3 - 10z + 4z^2\right)\beta^4 + \frac{2}{105}\big(5 + 2z + 8z^2\big)\beta^6 + \frac{2}{315}\big(21 - 22z + 36z^2\big)\beta^8\right\} + O(\beta^{11}), \tag{144}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = {} & C_F T_F z(1-z)^2\beta^5\Bigg[-\frac{9856}{225} + \frac{128}{15}\big[\ln(1-z) - \ln(z) + 4\ln(2\beta)\big] \\ & -\beta^2\Bigg(\frac{256}{11025}(2785 - 2186z) - \frac{256}{105}(5-4z)\big[\ln(1-z) \\ & - \ln(z) + 4\ln(2\beta)\big]\Bigg) - \beta^4\Bigg(\frac{256}{297675}\big(93721 - 162830z + 73888z^2\big) \\ & - \frac{128}{945}\big(121 - 200z + 88z^2\big)\big[\ln(1-z) - \ln(z) + 4\ln(2\beta)\big]\Bigg)\Bigg] \\ & + O(\beta^{11})\,, \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m^2}\right) = {} & C_F T_F (1-z)\beta^3\Bigg[-\frac{208}{9} + \frac{16}{3}\big[\ln(1-z) - \ln(z) + 4\ln(2\beta)\big] \\ & -\beta^2\Bigg(\frac{16}{225}(817 - 496z) - \frac{16}{15}(11-8z)\big[\ln(1-z) - \ln(z) \\ & +4\ln(2\beta)\big]\Bigg) - \beta^4\Bigg(\frac{64}{11025}\big(10649 - 11942z + 2358z^2 + 1260z^3\big) \\ & - \frac{16}{105}\big(79 - 112z + 48z^2\big)\big[\ln(1-z) - \ln(z) + 4\ln(2\beta)\big]\Bigg) \\ & -\beta^6\Bigg(\frac{32}{297675}\big(673297 - 1361520z + 934476z^2 - 13048z^3 \end{aligned}$$



Fig. 2. The Wilson coefficients $H_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS}$ (upper panel) and $H_{L,q}^{(2),\rm PS}$ (lower panel) as a function of z for different values of Q^2 and the scale choice $\mu^2 = \mu_F^2 = Q^2$. Lower full line (Blue): $Q^2 = 10^4$ GeV^2; lower dashed line (Orange): $Q^2 = 10^3$ GeV^2; lower dotted line (Magenta): $Q^2 = 500$ GeV^2; dash-dotted line (Blue): $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2; upper full line (Red): $Q^2 = 50$ GeV^2; upper dashed line (Gray): $Q^2 = 25$ GeV^2; upper dotted line (Brown): $Q^2 = 10$ GeV^2. (For interpretation of the colors in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

$$-120960z^4) - \frac{16}{945}\big(817 - 1800z + 1536z^2$$
$$-448z^3)\big[\ln(1-z) - \ln(z) + 4\ln(2\beta)\big]\Big)\Big] + O(\beta^{11})\,. \tag{146}$$

7. Numerical results

Let us now illustrate the analytic results numerically. In Fig. 2 the two–loop heavy flavor Wilson coefficients are illustrated as a function of z for different values of $Q^2 \in [10, 10^4]$ GeV^2, setting the charm quark mass to $m_c = 1.59$ GeV, cf. [15]. For large values of Q^2 these results compare to Ref. [16] for $H_{2,q}^{(2),\rm PS}$.

Next we study the ratios

$$R_{i,q}^{(1)} = \frac{H_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS}}{\tilde{H}_{i,q}^{(2),\rm PS}}(\mu = \mu_F = m)\,, \tag{147}$$



Fig. 3. The ratios $R^{(1)}_{2,q}$ (left) and $R^{(1)}_{L,q}$ (right), Eq. (147), as a function of $\chi = Q^2/m^2$. Solid line: $z = 10^{-4}$; dotted line: $z = 10^{-2}$; dashed line: $z = 1/2$.

cf. also [5], comparing the full (69), (127) and the asymptotic results, $\tilde{H}$, (129), (130) in Fig. 3. For $H^{(2),\rm PS}_{2,q}$ the asymptotic expansion agrees with the full calculation up to $Q^2/m^2 \equiv \chi = 100$ to about 2% for the small values of $z = 10^{-4}, 10^{-2}$. Extending the asymptotic representation down to $\chi = 10$ does not introduce an error larger than 5% in this region. At larger z (here $z = 1/2$) the asymptotic representation begins to deviate significantly from the full calculation beginning at $\chi \sim 1000$. However, the Wilson coefficients are very small in this region. As it was already noted earlier [5] the asymptotic representation for $H^{(2),\rm PS}_{L,q}$ is only valid for much higher values of χ. Demanding an agreement of $\leq 2\%$ requires $\chi > 900$ for the small values of z and even higher values for larger z. Similar to the ratio of the full and asymptotic Wilson coefficient we define the ratio

$$R_{F_i} = \frac{F^{(2),\rm PS}_{i,q}}{\tilde{F}^{(2),\rm PS}_{i,q}}, \tag{148}$$

where $\tilde{F}^{(2),\rm PS}_{i,q}$ is the structure function obtained by using the expansion of the respective Wilson coefficient up the desired level. The corresponding results are depicted in Fig. 4. We use the parameterization of the parton distribution [73] at NNLO to better compare previous numerical results [16]. We used the LHAPDF interface [74]. Demanding an agreement within $\pm 2\%$ for F_2 in the range $z \in [10^{-4}, 10^{-2}, 1/2]$ leads to values $Q^2_0/m^2 \in [8, 9, 15]$ of the $O((m^2/Q^2)^2)$ improved result, $Q^2_0/m^2 \in [10, 12, 30]$ of the $O(m^2/Q^2)$ improved result, and $Q^2_0/m^2 \in [70, 80, 300]$ for the asymptotic result. For F_L the corresponding values are $Q^2_0/m^2 \in [15, 15, 30]$ of the $O((m^2/Q^2)^2)$ improved result, $Q^2_0/m^2 \in [15, 18, 40]$ of the $O((m^2/Q^2)$ improved result, and $Q^2_0/m^2 \in [200, 200, 700]$ for the asymptotic result. The values of Q^2_0 for F_L are thus larger than those for F_2.

In Figs. 5 we show the complete results for the two–loop pure singlet contributions to F_2 and F_L as a function of x for a series of Q^2-values. At large values of Q^2 the corrections are negative and turn to positive values around $Q^2 \sim 10\,\text{GeV}^2$. In the small x region the corrections are large and grow with Q^2. The absolute corrections to F_L are smaller in size than those to F_2.

In Fig. 6 we illustrate the ratios Eq. (148) as a function of x for different values of Q^2 for F_2 and F_L comparing the asymptotic result to the full result. The corrections behave widely flat in x, turning to lower values in the large x region. For F_2 the ratios are larger than 0.96 for



Fig. 4. The ratios $R^{(1)}_{2,q}$ (left) and $R^{(1)}_{L,q}$ (right), Eq. (147), as a function of $\chi = Q^2/m^2$ for different values of z gradually improved with κ suppressed terms. Dotted lines: asymptotic result; dashed lines: $O(m^2/Q^2)$ improved; solid lines: $O((m^2/Q^2)^2)$ improved.

$Q^2 \geq 500\,\text{GeV}^2$. At $Q^2 = 100\,\text{GeV}^2$, values of ~ 0.85 are obtained. For lower values of Q^2 the ratio is even smaller.

For F_L the corrections are generally larger. At $Q^2 = 10^4\,\text{GeV}^2$ one obtains a ratio of 0.96, for $Q^2 = 10^3\,\text{GeV}^2$ 0.85, and for $Q^2 = 500\,\text{GeV}^2 \sim 0.75$, with even larger deviations from one for lower values of Q^2.

In Fig. 7 we depict the ratio of the full result over the $O((m^2/Q^2)^2)$ improved asymptotic results for F_2 and F_L as a function of x for a series of Q^2-values. In the region $x < 0.1$ the ratios for F_2 are larger than 0.98 for $Q^2 > 50\,\text{GeV}^2$ and grow for larger values of x. Stronger deviations are observed for lower Q^2 values. For F_L the corrections are larger. In the region $x < 0.3$ and $Q^2 > 100\,\text{GeV}^2$ the ratio is larger than 0.97, while for lower scales Q^2 the deviations are larger.



Fig. 5. The pure singlet contributions $F_{2,q}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}$ (upper panel) and $F_{L,q}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}$ (lower panel) for different values of Q^2 and the scale choice $\mu^2 = \mu_F^2 = Q^2$. Full line (Blue): $Q^2 = 10^4$ GeV^2; dashed line (Orange): $Q^2 = 10^3$ GeV^2; dotted line (Magenta): $Q^2 = 500$ GeV^2; dash-dotted line (Blue): $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2; full line (Red): $Q^2 = 50$ GeV^2; dashed line (Gray): $Q^2 = 25$ GeV^2; dotted line (Brown): $Q^2 = 10$ GeV^2, using the parameterization of the parton distribution [73].



Fig. 6. The ratios of the structure functions $F_{2,q}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}$ (left) and $F_{L,q}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}$ (right) in the full calculation over the asymptotic approximation for different values of Q^2 and the scale choice $\mu^2 = \mu_F^2 = Q^2$. Full line (Black): $Q^2 = 10^4$ GeV^2; dashed line (Gray): $Q^2 = 10^3$ GeV^2; dotted line (Brown): $Q^2 = 500$ GeV^2; lower dashed line (Blue): $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2; dash-dotted line (Red): $Q^2 = 50$ GeV^2, using the parameterization of the parton distribution [73].



Fig. 7. The ratios of the structure functions $F_{2,q}^{2,\mathrm{PS}}$ (left) and $F_{L,q}^{2,\mathrm{PS}}$ (right) in the full calculation over the $O((m^2/Q^2)^2)$ improved approximation for different values of Q^2 and the scale choice $\mu^2 = \mu_F^2 = Q^2$. Full lines (Black): $Q^2 = 10^4$ GeV^2; dashed lines (Gray): $Q^2 = 10^3$ GeV^2; dotted lines (Brown): $Q^2 = 500$ GeV^2; lower dashed lines (Blue): $Q^2 = 100$ GeV^2; dash-dotted lines (Red): $Q^2 = 50$ GeV^2; lower dotted lines (Green): $Q^2 = 25$ GeV^2, using the parameterization of the parton distribution [73].

We limited the expansion to terms of $\sim O((m^2/Q^2)^2)$ in the present paper, but higher order terms can be given straightforwardly. The expanded expressions do also allow direct Mellin transforms and provide a suitable analytic basis for Mellin-space programmes.[3]

8. Conclusions

We have calculated the massless and massive two–loop unpolarized pure singlet Wilson coefficients of deep-inelastic scattering for the structure functions F_2 and F_L. In the massless case, we confirmed earlier analytic results in the literature, which can be expressed by harmonic polylogarithms. In the massive case, the Wilson coefficients are calculated analytically for the first time. They are also given in terms of iterative integrals, including now, however, Kummer-elliptic integrals. The corresponding alphabets contain also elliptic letters. All integrals can be represented by classical (poly)logarithms with involed arguments with partly one more (elliptic) letter iterated upon. This representation is very well suited to obtain numerical results.

We have studied systematic expansions in the ratio m^2/Q^2 in the asymptotic region and the velocity parameter β in the threshold region. In the former case the leading asymptotic result has been recovered, known from calculations based on massive OMEs and massless Wilson coefficients, proving asymptotic factorization in the present case. We have obtained a series of power corrections. Here the expansion coefficients are also spanned by harmonic polylogarithms. Retaining these terms extends the validity of the cross sections to lower scales of Q^2, which is relevant for experimental analyses. In particular, the predictions for the structure function $F_L(x, Q^2)$ are significantly improved. In general, the Kummer-elliptic integrals, also obeying shuffling relations, span a wide class of iterative integrals which play a role as well in other multi-scale calculations.

Acknowledgements

We thank would like to thank J. Ablinger, A. Behring, and C. Schneider for discussions. This work has been funded in part by EU TMR network SAGEX agreement No. 764850 (Marie Skłodowska-Curie), COST action CA16201: Unraveling new physics at the LHC through the precision frontier and from the Austrian FWF grants P 27229 and P 31952 in part. The Feynman diagrams have been drawn using `Axodraw 2` [81].

Appendix A. Details of the calculation

Our calculation closely follows classical calculations in the literature, cf. e.g. [61,76–78]. Although these calculations are typically well documented, we encountered subtleties at several points of our calculation. Therefore, we provide a more detailed discussion of our calculation in the massless and massive case in this Appendix. First we will give the parametrization of the phase space we used in the massless and massive case, then we will proceed by explaining the angular integration and give explicit results for the angular integrals in d dimensions. In the end, we will comment on our resolution of the poles in ε and subtleties encountered in the massless case.

[3] In [75] precise numerical N-space implementations were given.

A.1. Phase space parametrization

The $2 \to 2$ *process* In the $2 \to 2$ case in Fig. 1 we refer to the invariants

$$s = (q+p)^2, \quad t = (q-k_1)^2, \quad u = (q-k_2)^2 \tag{149}$$

with

$$s + t + u = -Q^2 + 2m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q^2 = -q^2. \tag{150}$$

We will also use the notation $\beta = \sqrt{1-4m^2/s}$. In the cms of the outgoing particles, $\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = 0$, the scattering angle θ is defined by

$$t = -Q^2 + m^2 - 2q^0 k_1^0 + |\vec{k}_1||\vec{q}|\cos(\theta) = m^2 - \frac{Q^2}{2x}(1 - \beta\cos(\theta)), \tag{151}$$

with

$$q^0 = \frac{s-Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, \quad |\vec{q}| = \frac{s-Q^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, \tag{152}$$

$$k_1^0 = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}, \qquad |\vec{k}_1| = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\beta \tag{153}$$

and

$$\lambda(a,b,c) = (a-b-c)^2 - 4bc. \tag{154}$$

The phase space integral is given by

$$\int d\,\mathrm{PS}_2 = 2^{4-2d}\frac{\pi^{1-d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)} s^{d/2-2}\beta^{d-3}\int_0^\pi d\theta\,\sin^{d-3}(\theta). \tag{155}$$

The limit $m \to 0$ is easily obtained by setting $m = 0$ and $\beta = 1$.

The $2 \to 3$ *process* The $2 \to 3$ process is slightly more involved. The contributing Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 8. We use

$$\begin{aligned}
\int d\,\mathrm{PS}_3 = &\int \frac{d^dp_2}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\int\frac{d^dk_1}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\int\frac{d^dk_2}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\delta^+\left(p_2^2\right)\delta^+\left(k_1^2-m^2\right)\delta^+\left(k_2^2-m^2\right)\\
&\times(2\pi)^d\delta^{(d)}\left(p_1+q-p_2-k_1-k_2\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d-3}}\int ds_{12}\Bigg\{\int d^dp_2\int d^dK\,\delta^+\left(p_2^2\right)\\
&\times\delta^+\left(K^2-s_{12}\right)\delta^{(d)}\left(p_1+q-p_2-K\right)\Bigg\}\\
&\times\left\{\int d^dk_1\int d^dk_2\delta^+\left(k_1^2-m^2\right)\delta^+\left(k_2^2-m^2\right)\delta^{(d)}\left(k_1+k_2-K\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

Here

$$1 = \int ds_{12}\int d^dK\,\delta^+\left(K^2-s_{12}\right)\delta^{(d)}\left(k_1+k_2-K\right) \tag{157}$$



Fig. 8. Diagrams of the $O(a_s^2)$ contributions to the pure singlet scattering cross section $\gamma^* + q \to Q + \overline{Q} + q$.

was introduced to factorize the $2 \to 3$ phase space into a $(2 \to 2) \times (1 \to 2)$ phase space. Both can now be calculated in the most appropriate system independent from each other. Integrating the first factor in the cms system of the process and the second in the cms of the two heavy quarks one obtains

$$\int d\,\mathrm{PS}_3 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{(s-q^2)^{3-d}}{\Gamma(d-3)} \int\limits_{s_{12}^-}^{s_{12}^+} ds_{12} \int\limits_{t^-}^{t^+} dt \int\limits_0^\pi d\theta \int\limits_0^\pi d\phi\, [\sin(\theta)]^{d-3} [\sin(\phi)]^{d-4}$$
$$\times\, s_{12}^{d/2-2} \left[1 - \frac{4m^2}{s_{12}}\right]^{d/2-3/2} \Big[(s-q^2)u - q^2 t\Big]^{d/2-2} t^{d/2-2}, \tag{158}$$

where we have chosen the kinematic invariants

$$t = 2p_1.p_2, \qquad u = 2p_2.q, \qquad s = (p_1+q)^2, \qquad s_{12} = s - t - u. \tag{159}$$

The phase space boundary is given by

$$s_{12}^- = 4m^2, \quad s_{12}^+ = s, \tag{160}$$
$$t^- = 0, \qquad t^+ = \frac{1}{s}(s-q^2)(s-s_{12}). \tag{161}$$

We can use the following explicit parameterization of the vectors

$$k_1 = \Big(k^0, 0, \ldots, |\vec{k}|\sin(\phi)\sin(\theta), |\vec{k}|\cos(\phi)\sin(\theta), |\vec{k}|\cos(\theta)\Big), \tag{162}$$
$$k_2 = \Big(k^0, 0, \ldots, -|\vec{k}|\sin(\phi)\sin(\theta), -|\vec{k}|\cos(\phi)\sin(\theta), -|\vec{k}|\cos(\theta)\Big), \tag{163}$$
$$p_1 = \frac{s - t - q^2}{2\sqrt{s_{12}}}\,(1, \ldots, 0, 0, 1)\,, \tag{164}$$
$$p_2 = \frac{s - s_{12}}{2\sqrt{s_{12}}}\,(1, 0, \ldots, \sin(\chi), \cos(\chi))\,, \tag{165}$$
$$q = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s_{12}}}\Big(q^2 + s_{12} + t, \ldots, 0, 0, (s-s_{12})\sin(\chi), q^2 + t - s + (s-s_{12})\cos(\chi)\Big), \tag{166}$$

$$\cos(\chi) = 1 - \frac{2 s_{12} t}{(s - t - q^2)(s - s_{12})}, \tag{167}$$

$$k^0 = \frac{\sqrt{s_{12}}}{2}, \tag{168}$$

$$|\vec{k}| = \frac{\sqrt{s_{12}}}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s_{12}}}. \tag{169}$$

In the limit $m \to 0$, we recover the parameterization given in [61].

In a next step we want to introduce dimensionless variables with support over the unit cube. Here it is advantageous to distinguish between the massless and the massive case. In the massless case, we follow [61] and introduce the new variables

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -\frac{q^2}{s - q^2}, \\ u &= [1 - x - y - (1 - x)(1 - y) z](s - q^2), \\ t &= y(s - q^2). \end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

The massless three-particle phase space then reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mathrm{PS}_3(m = 0) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{(s - q^2)^{3-d}}{\Gamma(d-3)} \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^\pi d\phi \, (\sin(\theta))^{d-3} \, (\sin(\phi))^{d-4} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{s-q^2} dt \int_{t q^2/(s-q^2)}^{s-t} du \, s_{12}^{d/2-2} t^{d/2-2} \Big[(s - q^2) u - q^2 t \Big]^{d/2-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{(s - q^2)^{3-d}}{\Gamma(d-3)} (1 - x)^{d-3} \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^\pi d\phi \, (\sin(\theta))^{d-3} \, (\sin(\phi))^{d-4} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \, y^{d/2-2} (1 - y)^{d-3} \, [z(1 - z)]^{d/2-2} . \end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

In the massive case the change to the following variables is useful

$$z = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(1 - \frac{4m^2}{s_{12}} \right), \qquad s_{12} = \frac{4m^2}{1 - \beta^2 z}, \tag{172}$$

$$y = \frac{st}{(s - q^2)(s - s_{12})}, \qquad t = (s - q^2) \beta^2 y \frac{1 - z}{1 - \beta^2 z}. \tag{173}$$

The new parameterization then reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mathrm{PS}_3 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{s^{d-3}}{\Gamma(3-d)} \beta^{3d-7} (1 - \beta^2)^{d/2-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 dy \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^\pi d\phi \, [\sin(\theta)]^{d-3} \, [\sin(\phi)]^{d-4} \\ &\quad \times y^{d/2-2} (1 - y)^{d/2-2} z^{d/2-3/2} (1 - z)^{d-3} (1 - \beta^2 z)^{3-3d/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{174}$$

The limit $m \to 0$ is not easily recovered, because of the mass dependent transformation.

A.2. Angular integrals

The massless case There are four angle dependent denominator structures appearing for the pure singlet process:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= (p_1 - k_1)^2 = -2p_1.k_1 = a\,(1 - \cos(\theta))\,,\\
N_2 &= (p_1 - k_2)^2 = -2p_1.k_2 = a\,(1 + \cos(\theta))\,,\\
N_3 &= (q - k_1)^2 = q^2 - 2q.k_1 = A + B\cos(\theta) + C\cos(\phi)\sin(\theta),\\
N_4 &= (q - k_2)^2 = q^2 + 2q.k_1 = A - B\cos(\theta) - C\cos(\phi)\sin(\theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{175}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= -\frac{s - t - q^2}{2},\\
A &= \frac{1}{2}\left(q^2 - s_{12} - t\right),\\
B &= \frac{1}{2}\left[q^2 - s + t + (s - s_{12})\cos(\chi)\right],\\
C &= \frac{s - s_{12}}{2}\sin(\chi).
\end{aligned} \tag{176}$$

Using partial fractioning we can express all angular integrals via

$$I_{l,k} = \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^\pi d\phi \frac{\sin^{d-3}(\theta)}{a^l\,[1 - \cos(\theta)]^l} \frac{\sin^{d-4}(\phi)}{[A + B\cos(\theta) + C\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi)]^k}. \tag{177}$$

We only encounter integrals with $k \leq 0$, however, it is possible to find closed form solutions for $k \leq 0$ and $l \leq 0$ in the massless case. In the following we will list the result for these angular integrals in d-dimensions.
l negative:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{l,k} = &\sum_{m=0}^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{-l-m} \binom{-l}{m}\binom{-k-m}{n} 2^{2d-7} a^{-l} (B^2 + C^2)^{l/2} \left(B + \sqrt{B^2 + C^2}\right)^{-l-m-n}\\
&\times (-2B)^n \left(A - \sqrt{B^2 + C^2}\right)^{-k} (2C)^m \frac{\Gamma^2(d/2 - 3/2)}{\Gamma(d-3)}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-m, d/2 - 3/2\\ d-3\end{matrix}, 2\right]\\
&\times \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1 + n + m/2)\Gamma(d/2 - 1 + m/2)}{\Gamma(d - 2 + m + n)}\\
&\times {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}k, d/2 - 1 + n + m/2\\ d - 2 + m + n\end{matrix}, -\frac{2\sqrt{B^2 + C^2}}{A - \sqrt{B^2 + C^2}}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

For $l = 0$ this reduces to

$$I_{0,k} = 2^{2d-7}\left[A - \sqrt{B^2 + C^2}\right]^{-k} \frac{\Gamma^2(d/2 - 3/2)}{\Gamma(d-3)} \frac{\Gamma^2(d/2 - 1)}{\Gamma(d-2)}$$

$$\times {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} k, d/2-1 \\ d-2 \end{matrix}, -\frac{2\sqrt{B^2+C^2}}{A-\sqrt{B^2+C^2}}\right]. \tag{178}$$

k negative:

$$I_{l,k} = \sum_{m=0}^{-k} \binom{-k}{m} \frac{2^{2d-7-l}}{a^l} (A-B)^{-k-m} (-2z)^m \frac{\Gamma^2(d/2-3/2)}{\Gamma(d-3)} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -m, d/2-3/2 \\ d-3 \end{matrix}, 2\right]$$
$$\times \frac{\Gamma(d/2-1+m/2)\Gamma(d/2-1+m/2-l)}{\Gamma(d-2+m-l)} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} m+k, d/2-1+m/2 \\ d-2+m-l \end{matrix}, -\frac{2B}{A-B}\right].$$

For $k = 0$ this reduces to

$$I_{l,0} = \frac{2^{2d-7-l}}{a^l} \frac{\Gamma(d/2-1-l)\Gamma(d/2-1)}{\Gamma(d-2-l)} \frac{\Gamma^2(d/2-3/2)}{\Gamma(d-3)}. \tag{179}$$

Expanding these results around $\varepsilon = d-4$ dimensions we recover the integrals given in [76].

The massive case In the massive case the four denominator structures read

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= (p_1-k_1)^2 = -2p_1.k_1 = a + b\cos(\theta), \\ N_2 &= (p_1-k_2)^2 = -2p_1.k_2 = a - b\cos(\theta), \\ N_3 &= (q-k_1)^2 = q^2 - 2q.k_1 = A + B\cos(\theta) + C\cos(\phi)\sin(\theta) \\ N_4 &= (q-k_2)^2 = q^2 - 2q.k_2 = A - B\cos(\theta) - C\cos(\phi)\sin(\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

with

$$a = -\frac{s-t-q^2}{2}, \tag{181}$$

$$b = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{s_{12}}}(q_2-s-t), \tag{182}$$

$$A = \frac{q^2-s_{12}-t}{2}, \tag{183}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{s_{12}}}\left(q^2-s+t+(s-s_{12})\cos(\chi)\right), \tag{184}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{s_{12}}}(s-s_{12})\sin(\chi). \tag{185}$$

Therefore, we have to consider the more general angular integral

$$I_{l,k} = \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^\pi d\phi \frac{\sin^{d-3}(\theta)}{[a+b\cos(\theta)]^l} \frac{\sin^{d-4}(\phi)}{[A+B\cos(\theta)+C\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi)]^k} \tag{186}$$

in the following. For $l \geq 0$ and arbitrary k (the only case we encounter), we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{l,k} = & \sum_{n=0}^{-l} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{-l}{n} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{i} \left(\frac{bC}{\sqrt{B^2+C^2}} \right)^{-l-n} \\
& \times a^{n-m} \left(\frac{bB}{\sqrt{B^2+C^2}} \right)^{m} \left(A - \sqrt{B^2+C^2} \right)^{-k} \\
& \times 2^{2d-7-n-l+i} (-1)^{-n-l+m-i} \frac{\Gamma^2(d/2-3/2)}{\Gamma(d-3)} \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma(d/2-1-n/2-l/2+i)\Gamma(d/2-1-n/2-l/2)}{\Gamma(d-2-n+l+i)} \\
& \times {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} n+l, d/2-3/2 \\ d-3 \end{matrix}, 2 \right] {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} k, d/2-1-n/2-l/2+i \\ d-2-n-l+i \end{matrix}, -\frac{2\sqrt{B^2+C^2}}{A-\sqrt{B^2+C^2}} \right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{187}
$$

A.3. Regularization

In order to perform the ε-expansion of the functions we use a simple subtraction term for $y = 0$. However, there is a subtlety hiding in this limit. The hypergeometric functions of interest are all of the argument

$$
X = -\frac{2\sqrt{B^2+C^2}}{A-\sqrt{B^2+C^2}}. \tag{188}
$$

Inserting the coefficients from Eqs. (176), we see that

$$
X = 1 + \mathcal{O}(y), \tag{189}
$$

which means that there is a potential logarithmic singularity for $y \to 0$ in the massless case. This divergence can be made explicit by transforming the ${}_2F_1$'s from argument x to $(1-x)$ [79]

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}, z \right] = & \Gamma \left[\begin{matrix} c, c-a-b \\ c-a, c-b \end{matrix} \right] {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ a+b-c+1 \end{matrix}, 1-z \right] \\
& + (1-z)^{c-a-b} \Gamma \left[\begin{matrix} c, a+b-c \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right] {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{matrix}, 1-z \right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{190}
$$

The new hypergeometric functions have Taylor expansions around $y = 0$. The only singular behavior can now occur for $y \to 0$. This means that we can resolve the divergences via

$$
F(x) = \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 dy y^{-2+\varepsilon/2} f(x,y,z) \tag{191}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 dy y^{-2+\varepsilon/2} \left[f(x,y,z) - f^{(0)}(x,0,z) - y f^{(1)}(x,0,z) \right] \\
& - \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 dy y^{-2+\varepsilon/2} \left[f^{(0)}(x,0,z) + y f^{(1)}(x,0,z) \right] \\
\equiv & (A) - (B),
\end{aligned}
\tag{192}
$$

where we used the notation

$$f(x,y,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y^i f^{(i)}(x,0,z). \tag{193}$$

In the massive case we have

$$X = -\frac{\sqrt{B^2+C^2}}{A-\sqrt{B^2+C^2}} = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{z}}{1+\beta\sqrt{z}} + \mathcal{O}(y), \tag{194}$$

which means that this divergence is regulated by the quark mass. The subtraction term (B) can be trivially integrated over y, which will lead to poles in ε. In the massless case the expansion in ε can be performed afterwards and the last integration over z can be carried out. In the massive case there can be additional singularities hiding in the $z \to 1$ limit. Therefore, term (B) has to be regularized accordingly. Term (A) is not singular in the limit $y \to 0$ and can be expanded in ε and then integrated over y and z.

Appendix B. Contributing expressions due to renormalization

In the following we list some Mellin-convolutions, which occurred in Eqs. (69), (70). These are convolutions with leading order splitting functions, using the parameter $\kappa = m^2/Q^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{gq}^{(0)} \otimes h_{L,g}^{(1)} = C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & 64\beta(1-z)\frac{1+6\kappa-(8\kappa+2)z-(8\kappa+2)z^2}{3z(1+4\kappa)} \\
& -\frac{64}{3}z(3+4\kappa z)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \\
& +\frac{64}{3}\frac{4\kappa(1+3\kappa)-6\kappa(1+4\kappa)z+3(1+4\kappa)^2z^2}{z(1+4\kappa)^{3/2}}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\Bigg\},
\end{aligned} \tag{195}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{gq}^{(0)} \otimes \bar{b}_{L,g}^{(1)} = C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & -\frac{32(1-z)\big(3-4z-6z^2\big)\beta}{3z} + \frac{8}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\ln^2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \\
& -\frac{64}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\big[\mathrm{Li}_2\big(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\big) - \mathrm{Li}_2(1-\beta) - \mathrm{Li}_2(-\beta)\big] \\
& -\frac{8}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}}\Big[2\kappa^2(1+\kappa) - 3z\kappa^2(1+4\kappa) \\
& +3z^2(1+4\kappa)^2\big(\kappa+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}\big) + 4z^3\kappa(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}\Big]\ln^2(1-z) \\
& -\frac{8\kappa R_3}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}}\Bigg[\ln^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right) + \ln^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right) \\
& -4\ln(\kappa)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right) - 8\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right) \\
& +8\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right) + 8\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-8\ln(2)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right)+8\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\beta-\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}{\beta+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right)\\
&-8\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\left(\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1\right)\left(\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta\right)}{\left(1+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}\right)\left(\beta+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}\right)}\right)\\
&-2\ln(1-z)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right)\Bigg]+\frac{64}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\ln(\beta)\ln(2)\\
&+\frac{16R_7}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\\
&+\frac{32R_5}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}}\left[\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\right]\\
&-\frac{32R_4}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{3/2}}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\\
&-\frac{32R_2}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{3/2}}\Bigg[2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right)\\
&-2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right)\\
&-2\ln(\beta)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\Bigg]+\frac{32}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}}\Bigg[6\kappa^2(1+\kappa)\\
&-9z\kappa^2(1+4\kappa)+3z^2(1+4\kappa)^2\left(3\kappa-\sqrt{1+4\kappa}\right)-4z^3\kappa(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}\Bigg]\zeta_2\\
&+\frac{32\beta R_1}{3z(1+4\kappa)}\ln(1-z)+\frac{16R_6}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{5/2}}\ln(1-z)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\\
&-\frac{16}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\left[\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)-\ln(z)+2\ln(\beta)-\ln(\kappa)\right]\ln(1-z)\\
&-\frac{32\beta R_1}{3z(1+4\kappa)}\ln(z)+\frac{16}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\left[\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)+2\ln(\beta)-\ln(\kappa)\right]\ln(z)\\
&-\frac{8}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\ln^2(z)+\frac{64\beta R_1}{3z(1+4\kappa)}\ln(\beta)\\
&-\frac{32}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\left[\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)-\ln(\kappa)\right]\ln(\beta)\\
&-\left[\frac{32}{3}\left(3-6z-4z^2\kappa-\frac{1+6\kappa}{z(1+4\kappa)}\right)+\frac{16}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\ln(\kappa)\right]\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\\
&-\frac{8}{3}z(3+4z\kappa)\ln^2(\kappa)\Bigg\}\,, \qquad (196)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we introduced the polynomials

$$R_1 = 6\kappa + (8\kappa+2)z^3 - (14\kappa+3)z + 1\,, \tag{197}$$
$$R_2 = 4\kappa(1+3\kappa) + 3(1+4\kappa)^2 z^2 - 6\kappa(1+4\kappa)z\,, \tag{198}$$
$$R_3 = 2\kappa(1+\kappa) + 3(1+4\kappa)^2 z^2 - 3\kappa(1+4\kappa)z\,, \tag{199}$$
$$R_4 = 24\kappa^2 + 12\kappa - 3(1+4\kappa)^2 z + 6(1+4\kappa)^2 z^2 + 1\,, \tag{200}$$
$$R_5 = 4\kappa\left(11\kappa^2+6\kappa+1\right) - 6\kappa\left(12\kappa^2+7\kappa+1\right)z + 3(1+2\kappa)(1+4\kappa)^2 z^2\,, \tag{201}$$
$$R_6 = 2\kappa\left(23\kappa^2+13\kappa+2\right) - 3\kappa\left(28\kappa^2+15\kappa+2\right)z + 3(1+3\kappa)(1+4\kappa)^2 z^2\,, \tag{202}$$
$$R_7 = 2\kappa\left(25\kappa^2+15\kappa+2\right) - 3\kappa\left(36\kappa^2+17\kappa+2\right)z + 3(1+5\kappa)(1+4\kappa)^2 z^2\,. \tag{203}$$

For F_1 the corresponding quantities read

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{gq}^{(0)} \otimes h_{1,g}^{(1)} = C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & (1+z-2z\kappa)\Bigg[-32\ln^2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) - 64\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right) \\
& + 64\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1+\beta}{2}\right) - 64\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\beta+1}{1-\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right) + 64\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}\right) \\
& + 64\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right) - 64\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}}\right) \\
& + \Bigg(-64\ln(1+\beta) - 128\ln\left(1+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}\right) \\
& + 128\ln\left(\beta+\sqrt{1+4\kappa}\right) - 64\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+1}\right) + 64\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right) \\
& + 64\ln(2)\Bigg)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\Bigg] - \frac{64(1-z)\beta}{3z(1+4\kappa)}\big(3z(1+4\kappa) + 2z^2(1-2\kappa)(1+4\kappa) \\
& + 2(1+7\kappa)\big) - \frac{32}{3}\big(3-3z-4z^2(1-2\kappa)(1+2\kappa)\big)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \\
& - \frac{128}{3z(1+4\kappa)^{3/2}}\big(1+9(1-z)\kappa+2(7-18z)\kappa^2\big)\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}-\beta}{\sqrt{1+4\kappa}+\beta}\right)\Bigg\}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{204}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{gq}^{(0)} \otimes \bar{b}_{1,g}^{(1)} = C_F T_F \Bigg\{ & \frac{2(1+k)^3 R_8}{3k^4 z}\Big[k\mathrm{H}_{w_1} - k\mathrm{H}_{w_2} + \ln(1-k^2) - \ln(1-z)\Big]\mathrm{H}_0 \\
& + \frac{32R_9}{3z}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\big) - \frac{R_{10}}{6k^2 z}\ln(1-k)\mathrm{H}_{w_2} \\
& + \frac{R_{11}}{6k^2 z}\big[\ln(1-k)\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \ln(1+k)\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\big] + \frac{8R_{12}}{3z} \\
& \times\Big[\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_2,1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1} + 2\ln(k)\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2}\big)\Big] \\
& + \frac{96kz(1+z)}{3z}\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_2,1} - \mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}\big) \\
& + \frac{-16R_{12}}{3z}\left(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,0} + \mathrm{H}_{w_2,0} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}\right) + \frac{96kz(1+z)}{3z}\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\left(1-3k^2\right)R_{13}}{6k^3z}\Big[\ln^2(1-k)-\ln^2(1+k)\\
&-\ln(1-z)\big\{\ln(1-k)-\ln(1+k)\big\}\Big]\\
&+\frac{R_{14}}{6k^2z}\ln(1+k)\mathrm{H}_{w_1}+\frac{16R_{15}}{3k^4}\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_{-1}+\frac{16R_{16}}{3k^4}\Big[2\mathrm{H}_{0,1}-2\mathrm{H}_{-1,0}\\
&-2\mathrm{H}_1\mathrm{H}_0-\big[\ln(1-k^2)-2\ln(k)\big]\big(\mathrm{H}_1+\mathrm{H}_{-1}\big)\Big]+\frac{16(1-z)\beta R_{17}}{3k^2z}\\
&-\frac{8R_{18}}{3k^4z}\ln(2)\Big[\ln(1-z)-\ln(1-k^2)-k\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\big)\Big]\\
&+\frac{16R_{19}}{3k^4z(1-\beta)}\big(z-k^2(1-(1-z)\beta)\big)\Big[\ln(1-k^2)\\
&-2\ln(k)\Big]-\frac{32(1-z)\beta R_{20}}{3k^2z}\mathrm{H}_0-\frac{8R_{21}}{3k^4z}\mathrm{H}_1+\frac{8R_{22}}{3k^4z}\mathrm{H}_{-1}\\
&-\frac{8}{3}\Big[3+9z-\frac{\left(1+k^2\right)\left(1-3k^2\right)z^2}{k^4}\Big]\big(\mathrm{H}_1^2-\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2\big)\\
&+\frac{32}{3}\Big[9+3z+\frac{\left(1+k^2\right)\left(1-3k^2\right)z^2}{k^4}\Big]\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\\
&+\Big(\frac{16z}{k}-16k(2+3z)\Big)\Big[-2\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,0}-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1,-1}-2\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,0}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1,-1}+2\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,0}+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1,-1}+2\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,0}\\
&+\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1,-1}-\big(\zeta_2-\ln^2(2)\big)\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2}\big)-\big(\mathrm{H}_{w_1,1}+\mathrm{H}_{w_1,-1}\\
&-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,1}-\mathrm{H}_{w_2,-1}\big)\big\{\ln(1-k^2)-2\ln(k)\big\}\Big]\\
&+\big(2+\big(3-\frac{1}{k^2}\big)z\big)\Big[-\frac{8}{3}\big(\mathrm{H}_{-1}^3+\mathrm{H}_1^3\big)-32\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\mathrm{H}_{-1}+32\mathrm{H}_{-1,0,1}\\
&+64\mathrm{H}_{-1,1,0}+64\mathrm{H}_{-1,1,1}+32\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1,0}+64\mathrm{H}_{-1,-1,1}-32\mathrm{H}_{0,1,1}\\
&+16\big[\ln(1-z)-\ln(1-k^2)\big]\big(\ln^2(2)-\zeta_2\big)+8\big(\mathrm{H}_{-1}-2\mathrm{H}_0\big)\mathrm{H}_1^2+8\big(\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2\\
&+4\mathrm{H}_{0,1}-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,0}-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}\big)\mathrm{H}_1-8\big[\ln(1-k^2)-2\ln(k)\big]\big\{2\mathrm{H}_{-1}\mathrm{H}_1\\
&-4\mathrm{H}_{-1,1}+\mathrm{H}_1^2-\mathrm{H}_{-1}^2\big\}\Big]\Big\}\,, \qquad (205)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the polynomials

$$R_8 = 99k^6 - 297k^5 + 270k^4 - 18k^3 - 77k^2 + 39k - 8, \qquad (206)$$

$$R_9 = k^4 + k^2(3z+2) + 6z - 3, \qquad (207)$$

$$R_{10} = 9k^8 + 48k^6(3z-2) + k^4(214 - 552z) + 48k^2(9z-5) - 24z + 17, \qquad (208)$$

$$R_{11} = 9k^8 + 48k^6(3z-4) + 6k^4(4z+57) - 16k^2(9z+1) - 24z + 17, \qquad (209)$$

$$R_{12} = 3k^4 - 2k^2(9z+2) + 18z - 7, \qquad (210)$$

$$R_{13} = 3k^6 + k^4(48z-47) + k^2(77-72z) + 24z - 17, \qquad (211)$$

$$R_{14} = -9k^8 - 48k^6(3z-2) + k^4(552z-214) - 48k^2(9z-5) + 24z - 17, \tag{212}$$
$$R_{15} = 3k^4\left(z^2 - z - 3\right) + 2k^2z^2 - z^2, \tag{213}$$
$$R_{16} = 3k^4\left(z^2 + z - 1\right) + 2k^2z^2 - z^2, \tag{214}$$
$$R_{17} = 2k^4 + k^2\left(2z^2 + 9z + 12\right) - 2z^2, \tag{215}$$
$$R_{18} = 9k^4z(z+3) + 2k^2\left(3z^2 - 9z + 5\right) - 3z^2 + 3z - 2, \tag{216}$$
$$R_{19} = 3k^4 - k^2\left(6z^2 + 6z + 7\right) + 2z^2, \tag{217}$$
$$R_{20} = -3k^4 + k^2\left(6z^2 + 6z + 7\right) - 2z^2, \tag{218}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{21} = {} & 6k^6(\beta(z-1)+1) + k^4\left(14(\beta-1) - 2(6\beta-5)z^3 + 3z^2 - 2(\beta-15)z\right) \\ & + k^2z^2(-4\beta + 4(\beta-1)z + 3) + 2z^3, \end{aligned} \tag{219}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{22} = {} & 6k^6(\beta(z-1)-1) - k^4\left(-14(\beta+1) + 2(6\beta+5)z^3 + 3z^2 + 2(\beta+15)z\right) \\ & + k^2z^2(-4\beta + 4(\beta+1)z - 3) - 2z^3\,. \end{aligned} \tag{220}$$

Appendix C. Remarks on the encountered iterated integrals

In this calculation a large number of generalized iterated integrals appear. If no elliptic letter is present, it is possible to represent them using harmonic polylogarithms when the letters do not involve kinematic variables or polylogarithms at involved arguments. The expressions become large already in simple situations. In total about 1050 logarithms, di- and trilogarithms contribute. In a series of cases a further elliptic letter is integrated over these structures.

A few examples are given in the following. Let us refer to the letters f_{w_9} and f_{w_6}. The corresponding iterated integral reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_{w_9,w_6}(\beta) = {} & \frac{1-\beta^2(1-z)}{2k(1-z)^2z(z+1)}\Bigg\{-\mathrm{Li}_2\left[\frac{\sqrt{z+1}(k+z)}{z\sqrt{z+1}+k\left((1-z)\sqrt{z\beta^2+1}+\sqrt{z+1}\right)}\right] \\ & +\mathrm{Li}_2\left[\frac{\sqrt{z+1}((z-1)\beta k+k+z)}{z\sqrt{z+1}+k\left((1-z)\sqrt{z\beta^2+1}+\sqrt{z+1}\right)}\right] \\ & -\mathrm{Li}_2\left[\frac{\sqrt{z+1}(k+z)}{z\sqrt{z+1}-k\left((1-z)\sqrt{z\beta^2+1}-\sqrt{z+1}\right)}\right] \\ & +\mathrm{Li}_2\left[\frac{\sqrt{z+1}((z-1)\beta k+k+z)}{z\sqrt{z+1}-k\left((1-z)\sqrt{z\beta^2+1}-\sqrt{z+1}\right)}\right] \\ & +\ln(k+z)\Bigg\{-\ln\left(1-\beta^2\right) \\ & -\ln\left(-\frac{k(z-1)\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}}{k\left(-z\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}+\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}+\sqrt{z+1}\right)+\sqrt{z+1}z}\right) \\ & -\ln\left(\frac{k(z-1)\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}}{k\left(-(1-z)\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}+\sqrt{z+1}\right)+z\sqrt{z+1}}\right)+\ln\left(\beta^2z+1\right)\Bigg\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\ln(\beta k(z-1)+k+z)$$
$$\times\left\{\ln\left(-\frac{k(z-1)\left(\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}+\beta\sqrt{z+1}\right)}{k\left((1-z)\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}+\sqrt{z+1}\right)+z\sqrt{z+1}}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\ln\left(\frac{k(z-1)\left(\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}-\beta\sqrt{z+1}\right)}{k\left(-(1-z)\sqrt{\beta^2z+1}+\sqrt{z+1}\right)+z\sqrt{z+1}}\right)\right\}\Bigg\}. \tag{221}$$

Examples of the contributing functions are

$$\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+z}(k+z)}{z\sqrt{1+z}+k\left(\sqrt{1+z}-\sqrt{1+z\beta^2}+z\sqrt{1+z\beta^2}\right)}\right), \tag{222}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{k\sqrt{1-z^2}(-z+k(1+(1-z)\beta)}{-zk\sqrt{1-z^2}+k(k\sqrt{1-z^2}+\sqrt{k^2-z^2}(1-z))}\right), \tag{223}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}_3\left(-\frac{2(1-k)z\beta}{(1-\beta)(z-k(1+(1-z)\beta))}\right) \tag{224}$$

and logarithms of similar arguments.

Finally, we expand one of the iterated integrals, containing an elliptic letter, in the ratio m^2/Q^2. While the asymptotic expansion of the functions in Appendix B is straight forward after the integration into polylogarithmic expressions, the asymptotic expansion of the Kummer-elliptic integrals is more involved. Here we rely heavily on the techniques developed in the context of Ref. [80] for the expansion of massive iterative integrals in the Drell–Yan process. The main idea is to perform the first integration analytically and then regularize the integrand in the limit $Q^2 \gg m^2$ before the expansion. Since we aim for a deeper expansion in this paper, the term for the regularization turns out to be a power series in κ. For example, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{w_{10},w_7}(\beta) = \frac{1}{1-z}\Bigg\{&\frac{1}{4}\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln(1-z)-\ln(2)-2\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\right)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&+\left(2\ln(1-z)-\frac{5}{4}\ln(z)\right)\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-\frac{3}{4}\ln^2\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-\ln^2(1-z)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\ln(1-z)\ln(z)-\frac{1}{16}\ln^2(z)-\mathrm{Li}_2\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{z}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{2\sqrt{z}}\right)+\frac{11}{4}\zeta_2+\frac{1}{4}\Big(6\ln(1-z)\\
&-6\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-\ln(z)\Big)\ln(2)-\frac{1}{4}\ln^2(2)+\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&-\left(\frac{5-10\sqrt{z}-3z}{4\left(1-z\right)}+2\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-\ln(1-z)+\ln(2)\right)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1-8\sqrt{z}+z}{4(1-z)}+\left(\frac{5+6\sqrt{z}-3z}{2(1-z)}+4\ln(1-z)-\frac{5}{2}\ln(z)\right)\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\\
&-\frac{3}{2}\ln^2\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-\left(\frac{5+22\sqrt{z}-3z}{4(1-z)}-\ln(z)\right)\ln(1-z)-2\ln^2(1-z)\\
&-\frac{1}{8}\ln^2(z)-2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{z}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\\
&-2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\right)-\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{2\sqrt{z}}\right)+\frac{2}{(1-z)}\sqrt{z}\ln(z)+\frac{11}{2}\zeta_2\\
&+\left(\frac{3+10\sqrt{z}-z}{2(1-z)}-3\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)+3\ln(1-z)-\frac{1}{2}\ln(z)\right)\ln(2)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\ln^2(2)\Bigg]+\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)^2\Bigg[-\frac{1}{2}\ln^2\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)\\
&+\left(-\frac{15(1+z^2)-6z-100\sqrt{z}(1+z)}{32(1-z)^2}+2\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\ln(1-z)+\ln(2)\right)\ln\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)+\left(\frac{15-6z+15z^2+28\sqrt{z}+28z^{3/2}}{16(1-z)^2}\right.\\
&\left.-4\ln(1-z)+\frac{5}{2}\ln(z)\right)\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\ln^2\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\\
&+\left(-\frac{3(5-2z+5z^2+52\sqrt{z}+52z^{3/2})}{32(1-z)^2}-\ln(z)\right)\ln(1-z)\\
&+2\ln^2(1-z)+\frac{1}{8}\ln^2(z)+2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{2\sqrt{z}}\right)\\
&+2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{z}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)+2\mathrm{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)\right)+\frac{2(1+z)}{(1-z)^2}\sqrt{z}\ln(z)\\
&+\frac{97-202z+33z^2-324\sqrt{z}+316z^{3/2}}{64(1-z)^2}\\
&+\left(\frac{7(1+z^2)+10z+60\sqrt{z}(1+z)}{16(1-z)^2}\right.\\
&\left.+3\ln\left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)-3\ln(1-z)+\frac{1}{2}\ln(z)\right)\ln(2)-\frac{11}{2}\zeta_2+\frac{1}{2}\ln^2(2)\Bigg]\Bigg\}\\
&+O(\kappa^3\ln^2(\kappa)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{225}
$$

and similar expressions for the other Kummer-elliptic integrals. When calculating the complete expansion all dependence on $\sqrt{z}$ drops out of the Wilson coefficients. We did not exploit here the well-known relations for the dilogarithm of different arguments [33].

References

[1] E. Laenen, S. Riemersma, J. Smith, W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 392 (1993) 162–228.

[2] E. Laenen, S. Riemersma, J. Smith, W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 392 (1993) 229–250.
[3] S. Riemersma, J. Smith, W.L. van Neerven, Phys. Lett. B 347 (1995) 143–151, arXiv:hep-ph/9411431.
[4] F. Hekhorn, M. Stratmann, Phys. Rev. D 98 (1) (2018) 014018, arXiv:1805.09026 [hep-ph].
[5] M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, R. Migneron, W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 472 (1996) 611–658, arXiv:hep-ph/9601302.
[6] J. Blümlein, G. Falcioni, A. De Freitas, Nucl. Phys. B 910 (2016) 568–617, arXiv:1605.05541 [hep-ph].
[7] T. Gehrmann, E. Remiddi, Comput. Phys. Commun. 141 (2001) 296–312, arXiv:hep-ph/0107173.
[8] D. Maitre, Comput. Phys. Commun. 174 (2006) 222–240, arXiv:hep-ph/0507152.
[9] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, M. Round, C. Schneider, Comput. Phys. Commun. (2019), arXiv:1809.07084 [hep-ph], in print.
[10] J.A.M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999) 2037–2076, arXiv:hep-ph/9806280.
[11] J. Blümlein, S. Kurth, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 014018, arXiv:hep-ph/9810241.
[12] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, W.L. van Neerven, S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. B 755 (2006) 272–285, arXiv:hep-ph/0608024.
[13] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. B 820 (2009) 417–482, arXiv:0904.3563 [hep-ph].
[14] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, C. Schneider, F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B 844 (2011) 26–54, arXiv:1008.3347 [hep-ph].
[15] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider, F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B 886 (2014) 733–823, arXiv:1406.4654 [hep-ph].
[16] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. B 890 (2014) 48–151, arXiv:1409.1135 [hep-ph].
[17] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider, F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B 882 (2014) 263–288, arXiv:1402.0359 [hep-ph].
[18] J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider, DESY 15–112.
[19] A. Behring, I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, S. Klein, F. Wißbrock, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (9) (2014) 3033, arXiv:1403.6356 [hep-ph].
[20] J. Blümlein, J. Ablinger, A. Behring, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider, PoS (QCDEV2017) (2017) 031, arXiv:1711.07957 [hep-ph].
[21] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, C. Schneider, F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B 921 (2017) 585–688, arXiv:1705.07030 [hep-ph].
[22] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. Schneider, K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B 927 (2018) 339–367, arXiv:1711.06717 [hep-ph].
[23] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, C. Schneider, K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B 932 (2018) 129–240, arXiv:1804.02226 [hep-ph].
[24] D.I. Kazakov, A.V. Kotikov, Nucl. Phys. B 307 (1988) 721–762;
Erratum: D.I. Kazakov, A.V. Kotikov, Nucl. Phys. B 345 (1990) 299.
[25] D.I. Kazakov, A.V. Kotikov, G. Parente, O.A. Sampayo, J. Sanchez Guillen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 1535–1538;
Erratum: D.I. Kazakov, A.V. Kotikov, G. Parente, O.A. Sampayo, J. Sanchez Guillen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2921.
[26] J. Sanchez Guillen, J. Miramontes, M. Miramontes, G. Parente, O.A. Sampayo, Nucl. Phys. B 353 (1991) 337–345.
[27] W.L. van Neerven, E.B. Zijlstra, Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 127–133.
[28] E.B. Zijlstra, W.L. van Neerven, Phys. Lett. B 297 (1992) 377–384.
[29] S.A. Larin, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Z. Phys. C 57 (1993) 93–98.
[30] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. B 573 (2000) 853–907, arXiv:hep-ph/9912355.
[31] J.A.M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt, S. Moch, Nucl. Phys. B 724 (2005) 3–182, arXiv:hep-ph/0504242.
[32] A. Devoto, D.W. Duke, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 7 (6) (1984) 1–39.
[33] L. Lewin, Dilogarithms and Associated Functions, Macdonald, London, 1958;
L. Lewin, Polylogarithms and Associated Functions, North Holland, New York, 1981.
[34] C.G. Raab, G. Regensburger, The fundamental theorem of calculus in differential rings, in preparation.
[35] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C.G. Raab, C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 112301, arXiv:1407.1822 [hep-th].
[36] I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. B 780 (2007) 40–75, arXiv:hep-ph/0703285.
[37] J.A.M. Vermaseren, New features of FORM, arXiv:math-ph/0010025;
M. Tentyukov, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1419–1427, arXiv:hep-ph/0702279.
[38] C. Schneider, Sémin. Lothar. Comb. 56 (2007) B56b.
[39] C. Schneider, in: C. Schneider, J. Blümlein (Eds.), Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functions, in: Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation, Springer, Wien, 2013, pp. 325–360, arXiv:1304.4134 [cs.SC].

[40] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 205–206 (2010) 110–115, arXiv:1006.4797 [math-ph].
[41] C. Schneider, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 523 (2014) 012037, arXiv:1310.0160 [cs.SC].
[42] J. Ablinger, PoS LL 2014 (2014) 019, arXiv:1407.6180 [cs.SC].
[43] J. Ablinger, A Computer Algebra Toolbox for Harmonic Sums Related to Particle Physics, Master Thesis, JKU Linz, arXiv:1011.1176 [math-ph].
[44] J. Ablinger, Computer Algebra Algorithms for Special Functions in Particle Physics, PhD Thesis, JKU Linz, arXiv:1305.0687 [math-ph].
[45] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 102301, arXiv:1105.6063 [math-ph].
[46] J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013) 082301, arXiv:1302.0378 [math-ph].
[47] J. Ablinger, PoS (RADCOR2017) (2018) 001, arXiv:1801.01039 [cs.SC].
[48] P.V. Landshoff, J.C. Polkinghorne, Phys. Rep. 5 (1972) 1–55.
[49] J.D. Jackson, G.G. Ross, R.G. Roberts, Phys. Lett. B 226 (1989) 159–166.
[50] R.G. Roberts, G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) 235–245, arXiv:hep-ph/9601235.
[51] J. Blümlein, N. Kochelev, Phys. Lett. B 381 (1996) 296–304, arXiv:hep-ph/9603397.
[52] J. Blümlein, V. Ravindran, W.L. van Neerven, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 114004, arXiv:hep-ph/0304292.
[53] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 104 (1976) 445–476.
[54] J. Babcock, D.W. Sivers, S. Wolfram, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 162–181.
[55] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 136 (1978) 157–176, Yad. Fiz. 27 (1978) 455–469.
[56] J.P. Leveille, T.J. Weiler, Nucl. Phys. B 147 (1979) 147–173.
[57] M. Glück, E. Hoffmann, E. Reya, Z. Phys. C 13 (1982) 119–130.
[58] E. Remiddi, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 725–754, arXiv:hep-ph/9905237.
[59] A. Zee, F. Wilczek, S.B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2881–2891.
[60] W. Furmanski, R. Petronzio, Z. Phys. C 11 (1982) 293–314, and references given therein.
[61] E.B. Zijlstra, W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 383 (1992) 525–574.
[62] D.J. Gross, F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 980–993.
[63] H. Georgi, H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 416–420.
[64] J. Blümlein, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 69 (2013) 28–84, arXiv:1208.6087 [hep-ph].
[65] J. Blümlein, C. Schneider, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 33 (17) (2018) 1830015, arXiv:1809.02889 [hep-ph].
[66] C.G. Raab, unpublished.
[67] C. Koutschan, HolonomicFunctions (User's Guide), Technical report no. 10-01 in RISC Report Series, University of Linz, Austria, Jan. 2010, http://www.risc.uni-linz.ac.at/publications/download/risc_3934/hf.pdf.
[68] C.G. Raab, On the arithmetic of d'Alembertian functions, in preparation.
[69] Li Guo, G. Regensburger, M. Rosenkranz, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014) 456–473.
[70] E.E. Kummer, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle) 21 (1840) 74–90;
H. Poincaré, Acta Math. 4 (1884) 201–312.
[71] F.G. Tricomi, Elliptische Funktionen, Geest & Portig, Leipzig, 1948; übersetzt und bearbeitet von M. Krafft;
E.T. Whittaker, G.N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996; reprint of the 4th edition (1927).
[72] A. Sabry, Nucl. Phys. 33 (1962) 401–430;
D.J. Broadhurst, Z. Phys. C 47 (1990) 115–124;
S. Laporta, E. Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. B 704 (2005) 349–386, arXiv:hep-ph/0406160;
S. Bloch, P. Vanhove, J. Number Theory 148 (2015) 328–364, arXiv:1309.5865 [hep-th];
L. Adams, C. Bogner, S. Weinzierl, J. Math. Phys. 56 (7) (2015) 072303, arXiv:1504.03255 [hep-ph];
J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. van Hoeij, E. Imamoglu, C.G. Raab, C.-S. Radu, C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. 59 (6) (2018) 062305, arXiv:1706.01299 [hep-th];
J. Brödel, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, L. Tancredi, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2018) 093, arXiv:1712.07089.
[73] S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, S. Moch, R. Placakyte, Phys. Rev. D 96 (1) (2017) 014011, arXiv:1701.05838 [hep-ph].
[74] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht, M. Schönherr, G. Watt, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 132, arXiv:1412.7420 [hep-ph].
[75] S.I. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, Phys. Lett. B 594 (2004) 299–307, arXiv:hep-ph/0404034.
[76] W. Beenakker, H. Kuijf, W.L. van Neerven, J. Smith, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 54–82.
[77] T. Matsuura, S.C. van der Marck, W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B 319 (1989) 570–622.
[78] R. Hamberg, W.L. van Neerven, T. Matsuura, Nucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 343–505, Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B 644 (2002) 403.

[79] F. Klein, Vorlesungen über die hypergeometrische Funktion, Wintersemester 1893/94, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 39, Springer, Berlin, 1933;
W.N. Bailey, Generalized Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935;
L.J. Slater, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.
[80] J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C.G. Raab, K. Schönwald, Phys. Lett. B 791 (2019) 206–209, arXiv:1901.08018 [hep-ph].
[81] J.C. Collins, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Axodraw version 2, arXiv:1606.01177 [cs.OH].