



Article

Conformally Compactified Minkowski Space: A Re- Examination with Emphasis on the Double Cover and Conformal Infinity

Arkadiusz Jadczyk



Article

Conformally Compactified Minkowski Space: A Re-Examination with Emphasis on the Double Cover and Conformal Infinity

Arkadiusz Jadczyk

Quantum Future Group Inc., Otto, NC 28763, USA; ajadczyk@physics.org

Abstract

This paper presents a detailed re-examination of the conformal compactification $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ of Minkowski space $\mathbb{M}$, constructed as the projective null cone of the six-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$. We provide an explicit and basis-independent formulation, emphasizing geometric clarity. A central result is the explicit identification of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ with the unitary group $U(2)$ via a diffeomorphism, offering a clear matrix representation for points in the compactified space. We then systematically construct and analyze the action of the full conformal group $O(4,2)$ and its connected component $SO_0(4,2)$ on this manifold. A key contribution is the detailed study of the double cover, $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$, which is shown to be diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$. This construction resolves the non-effectiveness of the $SO(4,2)$ action on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$, yielding an effective group action on the covering space. A significant portion of our analysis is devoted to a precise and novel geometric characterization of the conformal infinity. Moving beyond the often-misrepresented “double cone” description, we demonstrate that the infinity of the double cover, $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$, is a squeezed torus (specifically, a horn cyclide), while the simple infinity, $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$, is a needle cyclide. We provide explicit parametrizations and graphical representations of these structures. Finally, we explore the embedding of five-dimensional constant-curvature spaces, whose boundary is the compactified Minkowski space. The paper aims to clarify long-standing misconceptions in the literature and provides a robust, coordinate-free geometric foundation for conformal compactification, with potential implications for cosmology and conformal field theory.

Keywords: conformal compactification; Minkowski space; Einstein universe; conformal group $O(4,2)$; conformal infinity; double cover; Dupin cyclide; unitary group $U(2)$

MSC: 53B30; 53A30; 83C20; 22E70; 83C05



Academic Editor: Martin Schlichenmaier

Received: 29 December 2025

Revised: 25 February 2026

Accepted: 26 February 2026

Published: 7 April 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the author.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

1. Introduction

The conformal compactification of Minkowski space has long played a central role in both mathematical relativity and conformal field theory. In its standard realization, the compactified space is obtained as the projective null cone of a six-dimensional real vector space of signature $(4,2)$, and is often described, following Penrose, as the “Einstein universe” [1]. Although this construction is classical and widely used, several geometric and conceptual aspects of the compactification and of its conformal infinity are still presented in a manner that can obscure their true structure. In particular, the topology of the compactified space, the role of the natural double cover, and the precise geometry of conformal infinity are sometimes treated in the literature in a way that leads to confusion or to oversimplified pictures, such as the ubiquitous but misleading “double cone at infinity”.

The first aim of this paper is to provide a detailed and explicit re-examination of the conformal compactification of Minkowski space within the framework of the null cone in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$. The construction is carried out in a basis-independent manner whenever possible, with coordinates used only as a technical tool where they clarify the geometry. A central result is an explicit identification of the compactified Minkowski space $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ with the unitary group $U(2)$ by means of a diffeomorphism, which gives a clear matrix representation of points in the compactification. This identification goes back to work of Uhlmann [2], who used the Cayley transform to relate hermitian 2×2 matrices to elements of $U(2)$. Here, an explicit formula is derived that realizes this correspondence directly on the projective null cone and shows in detail how the Cayley transform fits into the general geometric picture.

A second main theme of the paper is the analysis of the full conformal group $O(4,2)$ and its connected component $SO_0(4,2)$ acting on the compactified space. After recalling the standard embedding of Minkowski space into the null cone and the induced conformal structure on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$, several important Lie subgroups of $SO(4,2)$ are described explicitly in terms of their 6×6 matrix representations. These include the Poincaré group, dilatations, conformal inversion, and special conformal transformations. Their action on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ and, in particular, on conformal infinity is analyzed in detail. It is shown that the action of $SO_0(4,2)$ on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is transitive, but not effective, since the central element $-I_6$ acts trivially on the projective null cone.

This observation leads naturally to the consideration of the double cover $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ of the compactified Minkowski space, obtained by quotienting the null cone by positive rescalings rather than by all non-zero real scalars. The resulting manifold can be identified with the Grassmannian of oriented null lines in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ and is shown to be diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$. On $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$, the action of $SO(4,2)$ becomes effective, and two disjoint embeddings of Minkowski space are obtained, corresponding to two non-intersecting copies $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_+$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_-$. The remaining part, $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$, plays the role of a “doubled” conformal infinity. This point of view clarifies statements in the literature where $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is directly identified with $S^3 \times S^1$ (see, for example, [1,3]) and makes explicit the relationship between the simple compactification $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ and its double cover $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$.

A substantial part of the paper is devoted to a precise geometric description of conformal infinity itself. Instead of the frequently drawn “double null cone”, the conformal boundary of the double cover, $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$, is shown to be a squeezed torus, namely a horn-type Dupin cyclide, while the conformal infinity $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$ of the simple compactification is identified with a needle cyclide. These identifications are obtained by an explicit analysis of the intersection of appropriate quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$, followed by a careful projection to three-dimensional Euclidean space. Parametric representations are derived and used to produce graphical illustrations of the resulting surfaces, which make the global structure of conformal infinity transparent and highlight, in particular, the presence of additional two-sphere components that are suppressed in the usual double-one picture (cf. [4–6]).

The final sections place these constructions into a broader geometric context. First, five-dimensional constant-curvature spaces are embedded so that their common boundary is the compactified Minkowski space, and, second, the entire theory is reformulated in a coordinate-free language, following the approach of Kopczyński and Woronowicz [7]. In this formulation the conformal compactification, its double cover, the conformal structure on the tangent bundle, and the null geodesics of the Einstein universe are described purely in terms of the quadratic form Q on a six-dimensional vector space and its isotropic subspaces. This geometric reformulation not only clarifies the role of the tautological bundle and its orthogonal complement over the projective null cone but also provides a natural setting in which to understand the conformal structure as an equivalence class

of scalar products on tangent spaces and to see how null geodesics depend only on the conformal class of the metric.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the basic construction of the compactified Minkowski space as the projective null cone and introduces the identification with $U(2)$. Section 2 defines the embedding of Minkowski space by means of the map τ and the Cayley transform. The conformal group $O(4, 2)$, its connected component $SO_0(4, 2)$, and their important subgroups are then discussed, together with their action on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ and on conformal infinity. The double cover $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ and its decomposition into two copies of Minkowski space and the doubled conformal infinity $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$ are introduced and studied in detail, including the description of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$ as a horn cyclide and the corresponding structure of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$. Subsequent sections address the induced conformal structure and its relation to null geodesics, and the coordinate-free reformulation of the theory in terms of the projective null cone and its tautological and orthogonal bundles. Finally we mention a wider perspective, in which the projective null cone appears as a topological boundary between the two five-dimensional constant-curvature spaces.

2. Definitions

We denote by $\mathbb{M}$ the standard Minkowski space, that is $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^1$, with coordinates $x = (x^1, \dots, x^4)$, endowed with the quadratic form

$$q(x) = (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 - (x^4)^2. \quad (1)$$

Let $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ be $\mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the quadratic form q_2 defined by

$$q_2(x^5, x^6) = (x^5)^2 - (x^6)^2, \quad (x^5, x^6) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2)$$

We denote by $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ the six-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1,1}$, with coordinates $(x^a) = (x, x^5, x^6)$, and endowed with the quadratic form

$$Q(X) = q(x) + q_2(x^5, x^6) = (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 - (x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 - (x^6)^2. \quad (3)$$

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the null cone of $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ minus the origin:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{4,2} : X \neq 0 \text{ and } Q(X) = 0\}, \quad (4)$$

and let $P\mathcal{N}$ be the set of its generators, that is the set of straight lines through the origin in the directions nullifying $Q(X)$. In other words, $P\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N} / \sim$, where, for $X, X' \in \mathcal{N}$, $X \sim X'$ if and only if there exists a nonzero $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $X' = \mu X$. We denote by π the natural projection

$$\pi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow P\mathcal{N}, \quad (5)$$

and by $[X]$ the corresponding equivalence classes. Then $P\mathcal{N}$, with its projective topology, is a compact projective quadric. $P\mathcal{N}$ is called the *compactified Minkowski space*, denoted also by $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$.

A. Uhlmann [2] used the Cayley transform to identify the compactified Minkowski space with the group $U(2)$ of complex unitary 2×2 matrices. The following proposition provides the identification of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ with $U(2)$ in an explicit form.

Proposition 1. For each $X = (x^1, \dots, x^6) \in \mathcal{N}$ the matrix

$$u(X) = \frac{1}{x^6 + ix^4} \begin{bmatrix} x^5 + ix^3 & x^2 + ix^1 \\ -x^2 + ix^1 & x^5 - ix^3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

is unitary and depends only on the equivalence class $[X]$ of X . The map $X \mapsto u(X)$, from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$, descends to a diffeomorphism $\tilde{u}$ from $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ onto the unitary group $U(2)$.

Remark 1. In Section 5, we will introduce a stronger equivalence relation $\approx$, with $X \approx X'$ if and only if $X' = \mu X$, with $\mu > 0$. Its equivalence classes will be denoted by $[[X]]$. Then, $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ will be the double cover of $P\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}/\mathbb{R}^\times$, and will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$, thus $\overline{\mathbb{M}} = \tilde{\mathbb{M}}/\mathbb{Z}_2$. This corresponds to the fact that $U(2)$ can be identified with $(U(1) \times SU(2))/\mathbb{Z}_2$, or, topologically, with $(S^1 \times S^3)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $X = (x^1, \dots, x^6) \in \mathcal{N}$. From the definition (4) of $\mathcal{N}$, we have that $X \neq 0$ and

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 - (x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 - (x^6)^2 = 0. \quad (7)$$

These two conditions are equivalent to

$$\mu(X) := (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = (x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2 > 0. \quad (8)$$

It follows, in particular, that $x^6 + ix^4 \neq 0$. Therefore, the right hand side of Equation (6) is well defined for all $X \in \mathcal{N}$, and is a smooth function of $(x^1, \dots, x^6)$. A straightforward calculation gives us

$$u(X)u(X)^* = \frac{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2}{(x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2} I_2, \quad (9)$$

where I_2 is the 2×2 identity matrix. Taking into account the condition (8), we deduce that for $X \in \mathcal{N}$, the matrix $u(X)$ is in $U(2)$.

Notice that it follows from Equation (6) that for every $X \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$u(\lambda X) = u(X), \quad (10)$$

therefore, the function $X \mapsto u(X)$ is constant on the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation $\sim$ defining $P\mathcal{N}$, and so it defines the map

$$\tilde{u} : [X] \mapsto u(X) \quad (11)$$

from $P\mathcal{N}$ to $U(2)$. We will now show that the map $\tilde{u} : P\mathcal{N} \rightarrow U(2)$ is surjective.

Let U be an arbitrary matrix in $U(2)$. Since $UU^* = I_2$, it follows that $|\det(U)| = 1$. Let c be one of the two (complex) square roots of $1/\det(U)$, so that $c^2 = 1/\det(U)$. Since $|\det(U)| = 1$, we have $|c| = 1$. Then, $U_1 := cU$ is of determinant 1, i.e., U_1 is in the group $SU(2)$, and we also have $U = \bar{c}U_1$. Now, every element u_1 of $SU(2)$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where α, β are complex numbers satisfying $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, which, by the way, gives us the well known topological identification of $SU(2)$ with the sphere S^3 . Defining

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= \text{Im}(\beta), \\ x^2 &= \text{Re}(\beta), \\ x^3 &= \text{Im}(\alpha), \\ x^4 &= \text{Im}(c), \\ x^5 &= \text{Re}(\alpha), \\ x^6 &= \text{Re}(c), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

that is writing the complex numbers c, α, β as

$$\begin{aligned} c &= x^6 + ix^4, \\ \alpha &= x^5 + ix^3, \\ \beta &= x^2 + ix^1, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

one can verify that $U = u(X)$, with $X = (x^1, \dots, x^6)$. Therefore, the map $X \mapsto u(X)$ from $\mathcal{N}$ to $U(2)$ is indeed surjective. Let us finally check the injectivity of the map $\tilde{u} : [X] \mapsto u([X])$. Let $X = (x^1, \dots, x^6)$ and $X' = (y^1, \dots, y^6)$ be in $\mathcal{N}$, and suppose $u(X) = u(X')$. We may assume that $\mu(X)$ and $\mu(X')$, as defined in (8), are both equal to 1, otherwise one can rescale X and X' using property (10) to make it so. In other words, we may assume:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 &= 1, & (x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2 &= 1, \\ (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 + (y^5)^2 &= 1, & (y^4)^2 + (y^6)^2 &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using simple algebra and taking into account Equation (15), we find that the equality $\det(u(X)) = \det(u(X'))$ leads to

$$(x^4)^2 - (x^6)^2 = (y^4)^2 - (y^6)^2, \quad x^4 x^6 = y^4 y^6, \quad (16)$$

which, together with $(x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2 = (y^4)^2 + (y^6)^2 = 1$, entails

$$(x^4, x^6) = \pm(y^4, y^6). \quad (17)$$

From $u(X) = u(X')$ it then immediately follows that $X = \pm X'$, therefore, $[X] = [X']$. $\square$

We thus have the following (commutative) diagram, where doubled arrow ends denote surjections.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{N} & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow u \\ P\mathcal{N} = \overline{\mathbb{M}} & \xleftarrow[\tilde{u}^{-1}]{\tilde{u}} & U(2) \end{array} \quad (18)$$

3. Embedding of $\mathbb{M}$ into $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$

Here, we adapt the standard methods of Möbius geometry of Lie spheres, as discussed, for instance, in [4] (Ch. 2, Equation (2.6)). Consider the following smooth map between manifolds: $\tau : M = \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ given by the formula:

$$\tau(x) = (x, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x))). \quad (19)$$

The map τ is evidently injective. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{4,2} : x^5 - x^6 = 1\}. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 1. *The image $\tau(\mathbb{M})$ of $\mathbb{M}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ coincides with the intersection $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{Z}$ of the null cone $\mathcal{N}$ with the hyperplane $\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. It is clear that, for all $x \in \mathbb{M}$, $\tau(x) \neq 0$. It also follows by a straightforward calculation that $Q(\tau(x)) = 0$, thus $\tau(\mathbb{M}) \subset \mathcal{N}$. From Equation (19) we have that $\tau(x)$ is also in $\mathcal{Z}$. Thus $\tau(\mathbb{M})$ is a subset of $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{Z}$. To show that $\tau(\mathbb{M}) = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{Z}$, let $X = (x, x^5, x^6)$

be in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{Z}$. From $Q(X) = Q(x, x^5, x^6) = 0$ we get $q(x) + (x^5)^2 - (x^6)^2 = 0$. However, $(x^5)^2 - (x^6)^2 = (x^5 - x^6)(x^5 + x^6)$, so that, from $x^5 - x^6 = 1$, it follows that $q(x) + x^5 + x^6 = 0$. Together with $x^5 - x^6 = 1$, it implies $q(x) + 2x^5 = 1$ or $x^5 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x))$ and $x^6 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x))$. It follows that $X = \tau(x)$. $\square$

Remark 2. Equations (19) and (20) take a simpler form when, instead of orthogonal coordinates x^5, x^6 , null coordinates $H = x^5 - x^6$, $N = -(x^5 + x^6)$ are being used, as is done, e.g., in [6]. If we use these coordinates, and if we write X as $X = (x, H, N)$, then the quadratic form Q takes the form $Q(X) = q(x) - HN$, $\tau(x) = (x, 1, q(x))$, $\mathcal{Z} = \{X = (x, H, N) : H = 1\}$.

We now define the embedding $\mathbb{M} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{M}}$ by

$$\overline{\tau} = \pi \circ \tau. \quad (21)$$

3.1. The Conformal Infinity

Now, if $X \in \mathcal{N}$ and $x^5 \neq x^6$, we can set $X' = X/(x^5 - x^6)$. Then, $\pi(X) = \pi(X')$, so that X and X' define the same point in $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. However, now $x'^5 - x'^6 = 1$. It follows that the points of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ which are not in the image $\overline{\tau}(\mathbb{M})$ of $\mathbb{M}$ are equivalence classes of those $X \in \mathcal{N}$ for which $x^5 - x^6 = 0$. These points define the *conformal infinity*. Another method of defining the same set is described in the next section.

3.2. Embedding via the Cayley Transform

Denote by $H(2)$ the set of all complex hermitian 2×2 matrices. $H(2)$ is a real vector space of dimension 4. For $x = (x^1, \dots, x^4) \in M$ let $h(x) \in H(2)$ be defined as

$$h(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^4 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & x^4 - x^3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Then, $h : x \mapsto h(x)$ is an isomorphism of real vector spaces from $\mathbb{M}$ to $H(2)$.

For every $A \in H(2)$ let $c(A)$ denote the matrix

$$c(A) = \frac{A - iI_2}{A + iI_2}. \quad (23)$$

Then, $c(A)$ is in $U(2)$, and the map $c : A \mapsto c(A)$ is injective. The map $c : H(2) \rightarrow U(2)$ is called the Cayley transform. Composing $c \circ h$, we get an embedding of $\mathbb{M}$ into $U(2)$, but the following proposition shows that it is the same as $\tilde{u} \circ \overline{\tau}$.

Proposition 2. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M} & \xrightarrow{h} & H(2) \\ \downarrow \overline{\tau} & & \downarrow c \\ \overline{\mathbb{M}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}} & U(2) \end{array} \quad (24)$$

Proof. Since all the maps in the diagram are given explicitly, checking of the commutativity is a matter of a simple algebra. $\square$

3.3. Conformal Infinity Within $U(2)$

Suppose we want to invert the Cayley transform

$$U = \frac{A - iI_2}{A + iI_2}, \quad (25)$$

and express A in terms of U . We multiply both sides by $A + iI_2$ —it does not matter which side, since A and U commute. We get, after regrouping the terms:

$$(I_2 - U)A = i(I_2 + U). \quad (26)$$

We notice that we can express A in terms of U if and only if $I_2 - U$ is invertible (i.e., iff U does not have 1 as one of its eigenvalues). The set of those matrices for which $\det(I_2 - U) = 0$ is not in the image $c(h(M))$. We denote this set by $\mathcal{J}$

$$\mathcal{J} = \{U \in U(2) : \det(I_2 - U) = 0\} \quad (27)$$

and call it the *conformal infinity*. This is not a one-point compactification. We add to $\tau(\mathbb{M})$ a whole (closed) “cap”—a three-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{J}$.

Remark 3. $\mathcal{J}$ is not exactly a smooth three-dimensional manifold. As we will see it has a singular point, a ‘corner’. Usually $\mathcal{J}$ is referred to, in the literature, as ‘the double cone at infinity’, but it is not a double cone at all (Cf. [5]). It is a Dupin cyclide—Cf. Section 7.5. The smooth part of the conformal infinity is represented by unitary matrices with exactly one of its eigenvalues equal to $+1$, while the corner corresponds to $U = I_2$.

4. Action of the Conformal Group $O(4, 2)$

Let G be the diagonal matrix

$$G = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1), \quad (28)$$

so that for $X \in \mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ we have

$$Q(X) = X^T G X. \quad (29)$$

The group $O(4, 2)$ is defined as the group of all real 6×6 matrices L satisfying

$$L^T G L = G. \quad (30)$$

It is evident that, for every $L \in O(4, 2)$, if X is in $\mathcal{N}$, i.e., if $X \neq 0$ and $Q(X) = 0$, then also LX is in $\mathcal{N}$. Moreover, L maps equivalence classes of $\sim$ into equivalence classes, therefore, the action of $O(4, 2)$ on $\mathcal{N}$ descends to its action on $\overline{\mathbb{M}} = P\mathcal{N}$. We define $SO(4, 2)$ as the subgroup of $O(4, 2)$ consisting of matrices $L \in O(4, 2)$ of determinant 1.

The Group $SO_0(4, 2)$

In order to separate four space-time coordinates from additional two coordinates we have chosen the signature of Q as $(1, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1)$. In this subsection it will be convenient to choose the signature $(1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1)$, the two signatures being equivalent by permutation of coordinates. Formally it can be achieved by switching the coordinates x^4 and x^5 , that is by a similarity transformation $G \mapsto G' = RGR^{-1} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1)$, $L \mapsto L' = RLR^{-1}$, where R is the matrix

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

Notice that $\det L = \det L'$, and that $L^T G L = G$ is equivalent to $L'^T G' L' = G'$. Now it is convenient to write L' in a block matrix form, using matrices A', B', C', D' , of respective dimensions $4 \times 4, 4 \times 2, 2 \times 4, 2 \times 2$:

$$L' = \begin{bmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{bmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Then the condition $L'^T G' L' = G'$ translates to:

$$A'^T A' - C'^T C' = 1, \quad (33)$$

$$A'^T B' - C'^T D' = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$B'^T B' - D'^T D' = -1, \quad (35)$$

where we denoted by 1 the identity matrices of appropriate dimensions. In particular, we have

$$A'^T A' = 1 + C'^T C' \geq 1, \quad D'^T D' = 1 + B'^T B' \geq 1, \quad (36)$$

which entails $(\det A')^2 \geq 1$, and $(\det D')^2 \geq 1$. Therefore, either $\det A' \geq 1$ or $\det A' \leq -1$. Similarly, either $\det D' \geq 1$, or $\det D' \leq -1$. It can be shown (Cf. Refs. [8,9]) that, for $L' \in SO(4, 2)$, $\det A' \geq 1$ if and only if $\det D' \geq 1$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} SO_0(4, 2) &= \{L' \in SO(4, 2) : \det A' \geq 1\} \\ &= \{L' \in SO(4, 2) : \det D' \geq 1\} \\ &= \{L' \in SO(4, 2) : \det A' \geq 1, \det D' \geq 1\} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Then, (Cf. [10], p. 107) $SO_0(4, 2)$ is a connected subgroup—the connected component of identity) of $SO(4, 2)$.

Remark 4. In Ref. [9] (Theorem 7.1), $SO_0(4, 2)$ is denoted $SO_{\uparrow\uparrow}(4, 2)$. It may be also known as the proper orthochronous conformal group. We will be mainly interested in this subgroup, though if some objects are invariant under the whole $O(4, 2)$, as for example $p^\perp$, in Section 8, we will state it explicitly.

Proposition 3. The action of $SO_0(4, 2)$ on the compactified Minkowski space $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is transitive.

Proof. Let $[X]$ and $[Y]$ be any two points in $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. Let us choose the representatives of the equivalence classes so that $\mu(X) = \mu(Y) = 1$. That means $(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 + (y^5)^2 = 1$, and $(x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2 = (y^4)^2 + (y^6)^2 = 1$. The group $SO(4)$ acts transitively on the sphere S^3 , therefore, we can choose an orthogonal rotation from $SO(4) \subset SO(4, 2)$ acting on the variables x^1, x^2, x^3, x^5 , and leaving the variables x^4, x^6 fixed, that transforms (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^5) into (y^1, y^2, y^3, y^5) . Similarly, the group $SO(2)$ acts transitively on the sphere S^1 , therefore, we can choose an orthogonal rotation from $SO(2) \subset SO(4, 2)$ acting on the variables x^4, x^6 , and leaving the variables x^1, x^2, x^3, x^5 fixed, that transforms (x^4, x^6) into (y^4, y^6) . The composition of these two transformations is in $SO_0(4, 2)$ and maps X to Y . $\square$

Remark 5. Notice that the transformation $X \mapsto -X$ is in $SO_0(4, 2)$ and acts on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ as the identity map. Thus, the action of $SO_0(4, 2)$ on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is not an effective action.

5. The Double Cover $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$

For $X, X' \in \mathbb{R}^{4,2} \setminus \{0\}$, we define the equivalence relation $\approx$ as

$$X \approx X' \text{ if and only if } X = \lambda X', \lambda > 0. \quad (38)$$

Definition 1. We will denote by $\tilde{\pi}$ the canonical projection $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\approx$, $\tilde{\pi} : X \mapsto [[X]]$. We denote by $[[X]]$ the equivalence classes of this relation.

Notice that while $[X] = [-X]$, we have $[[X]] \neq [[-X]]$. In fact, each equivalence class of $\sim$ contains two equivalence classes of $\approx$. The projective space $P\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}/\sim$ is known as the Grassmannian of null lines in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$. We define

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}} = \tilde{P}\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}/\approx, \quad (39)$$

i.e., as the Grassmannian of *oriented* null lines in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$.

Proposition 4. $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$.

Proof. Each equivalence class $[[\cdot]]$ has now a unique representative X with $\mu(X) = 1$. Namely, if $X \in \mathcal{N}$ is arbitrary, then $X/\mu(X)^{1/2}$ is this representative. Now, if $\mu(X) = 1$, we define $Z(X) = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^5)$, $z(X) = (x^4, x^6)$, and then $Z \in S^3$ and $z \in S^1$. Conversely, any such pair of (Z, z) defines $X \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\mu(X) = 1$. $\square$

Remark 6. Penrose and Rindler, in their classic monograph [1] (p. 298–299), state (Equation (9.2.1)) that $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ (denoted there $M^\#$) is homeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$. For a non-expert reader this can be somewhat confusing. As we have seen $S^3 \times S^1$ can be identified with $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$, which is a double cover of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. In Ref. [3] $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is denoted $\widehat{\text{Ein}^{3,1}}$ and called “the Einstein space”.

The action of $O(4, 2)$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is defined the same way as for $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. We define

$$L[[X]] = [[LX]]. \quad (40)$$

Proposition 5. The action of $SO(4, 2)$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is transitive.

Proof. The proof goes exactly the same way as for $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. $\square$

6. Important Subgroups of $O(4, 2)$

In Equation (19), we have defined the embedding τ of $\mathbb{M}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$. We will use this map to identify important Lie subgroups of $SO(4, 2)$ (and discrete transformations in $O(4, 2)$).

6.1. Translation Subgroup

We start with translations $x \mapsto x' = x + a$, where $x, a \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

Let

$$X = \tau(x) = (x, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x))), \quad (41)$$

and let

$$X' = \tau(x') = (x + a, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x + a)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x + a))). \quad (42)$$

We have

$$q(x + a) = q(x) + 2a \cdot x + a^2, \quad (43)$$

where $a \cdot x$ is the scalar product of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, and $a \cdot a = a^2 = q(a)$. Thus,

$$X' = (x + a, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x) - 2a \cdot x - a \cdot a), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x) + 2a \cdot x + a \cdot a)), \quad (44)$$

or

$$X' = X + (a, -a \cdot x - a \cdot a/2, -a \cdot x - a \cdot a/2). \quad (45)$$

But we want the transformation to be linear in X . The trick is to multiply the constant terms on right hand side by $1 = x^5 - x^6$. We obtain in this way the desired formula:

$$X' = X + (a(x^5 - x^6), -a \cdot x - \frac{a \cdot a}{2}(x^5 - x^6), -a \cdot x - \frac{a \cdot a}{2}(x^5 - x^6)). \quad (46)$$

The transformation $X \mapsto X'$ is now implemented by the following matrix written in a block matrix form:

$$L(a) = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$A = I_4, B = \begin{bmatrix} a & -a \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} -a^T G \\ -a^T G \end{bmatrix}, D = I_2 + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -q(a) & q(a) \\ -q(a) & q(a) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (48)$$

or explicitly:

$$L(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a^1 & -a^1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & a^2 & -a^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & a^3 & -a^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & a^4 & -a^4 \\ -a^1 & -a^2 & -a^3 & a^4 & 1 - q(a)/2 & q(a)/2 \\ -a^1 & -a^2 & -a^3 & a^4 & -q(a)/2 & 1 + q(a)/2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

One can easily check (for instance using algebra software) that

$$L(a)^T G L(a) = G, \det(L(a)) = 1, \quad (50)$$

and that

$$L(a + b) = L(a)L(b). \quad (51)$$

6.2. Lorentz Rotations Subgroup

For any Lorentz rotation $\Lambda \in O(3, 1)$, we have $q(\Lambda x) = q(x)$, therefore, the way the Lorentz rotations are embedded in $O(4, 2)$ (using our definition of G) is evident. We simply set, using block matrix form,

$$L(\Lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (52)$$

6.3. Dilation Subgroup

This time we choose a different way. There is a natural subgroup of $SO(4, 2)$, similar to the Lorentz rotations case, but putting a “Lorentz rotation” in the lower right corner. This one-parameter Abelian subgroup is defined as follows:

$$L(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & d(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (53)$$

where

$$d(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh \alpha & \sinh \alpha \\ \sinh \alpha & \cosh \alpha \end{bmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

Proposition 6. For $x \in M$ we have

$$L(\alpha)\tau(x) = e^{-\alpha}\tau(e^{\alpha}x). \quad (55)$$

Therefore, the matrices $L(\alpha)$ implement dilations $x \mapsto e^{\alpha}x$ of the Minkowski space.

Proof. For $X = \tau(x)$, we have

$$X = (x, x^5, x^6), \quad (56)$$

where

$$x^5 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), \quad (57)$$

$$x^6 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x)). \quad (58)$$

Let $X' = L(\alpha)X$. Then,

$$X' = (x, x'^5, x'^6), \quad (59)$$

where

$$x'^5 = \cosh(\alpha)x^5 + \sinh(\alpha)x^6, \quad (60)$$

$$x'^6 = \sinh(\alpha)x^5 + \cosh(\alpha)x^6. \quad (61)$$

Now, $\cosh(\alpha) = (e^{\alpha} + e^{-\alpha})/2$, $\sinh(\alpha) = (e^{\alpha} - e^{-\alpha})/2$. Using also the definitions of x'^5 and x'^6 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x'^5 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}(e^{\alpha} + e^{-\alpha})(1 - q(x)) - \frac{1}{2}(e^{\alpha} - e^{-\alpha})(1 + q(x)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{-\alpha} - e^{\alpha}q(x)) \\ &= e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{2\alpha}q(x)) \\ &= e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(e^{\alpha}x)) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Similarly,

$$x'^6 = -e^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{2}(1 + q(e^{\alpha}x)). \quad (63)$$

Thus,

$$X' = e^{-\alpha} \left(e^{\alpha}x, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(e^{\alpha}x)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(e^{\alpha}x)) \right) = e^{-\alpha}\tau(e^{\alpha}x). \quad (64)$$

□

6.4. The Conformal Inversion

Conformal inversion is defined in $\mathbb{M}$ as the map

$$x \mapsto \frac{x}{q(x)}. \quad (65)$$

We assume that x is dimensionless (without units), otherwise we would have to define it as $R^2x/q(x)$, where R is some “radius”. Furthermore, notice that on $\mathbb{M}$ the transformation is singular on the null cone at the origin $\{x \in M : q(x) = 0\}$. Under conformal inversion,

the null cone is mapped into a set of points at the conformal infinity of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. How? It will be clear after we derive the matrix $O(4,2)$ representation of the conformal inversion, which we will do right now.

Assuming $x \in M$, $q(x) \neq 0$, and with $x' = x/q(x)$, consider the following simple calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(x') &= (x', \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x')), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x'))) \\ &= (\frac{x}{q(x)}, \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{q(x)}), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{q(x)})) \\ &= \frac{1}{q(x)}(x, \frac{1}{2}(q(x) - 1), -\frac{1}{2}(q(x) + 1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q(x)}(x, -\frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), -\frac{1}{2}(q(x) + 1)).\end{aligned}\tag{66}$$

But the end result is the same as acting on $\tau(x)$ with the following matrix $\mathcal{C}$ from the group $O(4,2)$:

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},\tag{67}$$

and then multiplying the resulting vector by $1/q(x)$, which is inessential when projecting on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ using the projection π . In other words, conformal inversion is implemented on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ by the reflection in the variable x^5 . Notice that

$$\mathcal{C}^2 = I, \text{ and } \det(\mathcal{C}) = -1,\tag{68}$$

so $\mathcal{C}$ is in $O(4,2)$, but not in $SO(4,2)$.

Finally, let us address the question of the image of the null cone at the origin by the conformal inversion. It follows from Equation (66) that this image is the ‘double null cone at infinity’: $\{(x, 1, 1) : q(x) = 0\}$. However, that is not yet the whole conformal infinity. What remains is the set $\{[(x, 0, 0)] : q(x) = 0, x \neq 0\}$, which is homeomorphic to the sphere S^2 . It is this sphere that connects the lower and upper branches of the null cone at infinity, and makes the conformal infinity into a compact set—Cf. Figure 1 in Section 7.8. Many published papers on the subject omit this two-sphere and incorrectly identify the conformal infinity with the ‘double null cone’, as, e.g., in [6] (p. 263).




Figure 1. Pictorial representation of the simple conformal infinity with one dimension (coordinate x^3) skipped. Parametric plot of the surface defined by Equations (138)–(140), with $u \in [0, \pi)$, $v \in [0, 2\pi)$. A needle cyclide made of a one parameter family of null geodesics trapped at infinity.

6.5. Special Conformal Transformations

This four-parameter Abelian subgroup of $SO(4, 2)$ is defined as:

$$K(a) = CL(a)C, a \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}. \quad (69)$$

The matrices representing $K(a)$ are similar to those representing $L(a)$, since the bracketing of any matrix A with C , $A \mapsto CAC$, results only in changing signs of the elements A_{5i} in the fifth row and A_{i5} in the fifth column, the element A_{55} changes sign twice, so it stays unaltered. Thus, we have:

$$K(a) = L(a) = I_6 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^1 & -a^1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^2 & -a^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^3 & -a^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a^4 & -a^4 \\ a^1 & a^2 & a^3 & -a^4 & -q(a)/2 & -q(a)/2 \\ -a^1 & -a^2 & -a^3 & a^4 & q(a)/2 & q(a)/2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (70)$$

It is easy to obtain the action of the special conformal transformations on $x \in M$. From the definition, we have

$$x \mapsto x' = \frac{\frac{x}{q(x)} + a}{q(\frac{x}{q(x)} + a)}, \quad (71)$$

which simplifies to

$$x' = \frac{x + q(x)a}{1 + 2a \cdot x + q(x)a \cdot a}. \quad (72)$$

Evidently, for a given a , the points x for which $1 + 2a \cdot x + q(x)a \cdot a = 0$ are transformed onto points in the conformal infinity.

6.6. Conformal Structure

Let p be a point in $\overline{M}$, and let ξ be a vector tangent to $\overline{M}$ at p . A vector tangent at p to $\overline{M}$ is a vector tangent to a smooth curve $\gamma(s)$, such that $\gamma(0) = p$. We will write it as

$$\xi = \dot{\gamma}(0). \quad (73)$$

We will use now one of the intuitively clear important properties of projective manifolds, such as $P\mathcal{N}$. Namely, given any $X \in \pi^{-1}(p)$, there always exists a smooth curve $\tilde{\gamma}_X(s)$, defined for s in a neighborhood of $s = 0$, such that $\tilde{\gamma}_X(0) = X$ and $\pi(\tilde{\gamma}_X(s)) = \gamma(s)$. We say that $\tilde{\gamma}_X(s)$ is a (local) lift of γ through X .

Of course, such a lift is not unique. If $\lambda(s)$ is any smooth function such that $\lambda(0) = 1$, then, locally,

$$\tilde{\gamma}'_X(s) = \lambda(s)\tilde{\gamma}_X(s) \quad (74)$$

is another lift of γ through X . In fact, any two lifts of γ through X are related that way.

Now, in coordinates, $\tilde{\gamma}_X(s)$ is described as a function $X(s) \in \mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,2}$, with $X(0) = X$. It is convenient to use the notation $X \cdot Y$ for the scalar product $X^T G Y$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$. Since $X(s)$ is a null vector, we have $X(s) \cdot X(s) = 0$. Taking the derivative with respect to s at $s = 0$, we find that

$$X \cdot \dot{X}(0) = 0. \quad (75)$$

In other words, $\dot{X}(0)$ is perpendicular to X .

Let now ξ_1, ξ_2 be any two tangent vectors at p , and let γ_1, γ_2 be two curves through p such that

$$\xi_1 = \dot{\gamma}_1(0), \xi_2 = \dot{\gamma}_2(0). \quad (76)$$

Let $X_1(s)$ and $X_2(s)$ be the coordinate expressions of lifts of $\gamma_1(s)$ and $\gamma_2(s)$ through the same X . We define the scalar product of tangent vectors by the formula

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2)_X = \dot{X}_1 \cdot \dot{X}_2. \quad (77)$$

For this definition to make sense, we must show that the scalar product defined in this way does not depend on the choice of lifts through X . To this end suppose

$$X'_1(s) = \lambda_1(s)X_1(s), \quad X'_2(s) = \lambda_2(s)X_2(s) \quad (78)$$

are two other lifts. Differentiating with respect to s at $s = 0$, we get

$$\dot{X}'_1(0) = \dot{X}_1(0) + \dot{\lambda}_1(0)X, \quad \dot{X}'_2(0) = \dot{X}_2(0) + \dot{\lambda}_2(0)X. \quad (79)$$

Now, taking into account the fact that $X \cdot X = 0$ and $X \cdot \dot{X}_1(0) = X \cdot \dot{X}_2(0) = 0$, we immediately find that

$$\dot{X}'_1 \cdot \dot{X}'_2 = \dot{X}_1 \cdot \dot{X}_2, \quad (80)$$

therefore, the scalar product $(\xi_1, \xi_2)_X$ is indeed well defined. It is now immediate that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2)_{\lambda X} = \lambda^2(\xi_1, \xi_2)_X. \quad (81)$$

Therefore, what is defined at p is not a scalar product, but an equivalence class of scalar products, where two scalar products are considered to be equivalent if they are proportional, with a proportionality constant that is strictly positive.

We say that we have defined this way a *conformal structure* on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. To give a Riemannian (or pseudo-Riemannian) structure on a manifold is to specify a scalar product at each tangent space. When only a class of proportional scalar products is defined, we call it a conformal structure.

6.7. Calculating the Conformal Structure of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ Explicitly

The map τ defined in Equation (19) defines a coordinate system on an open dense subset of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. We will now derive the expression of the $O(4, 2)$ invariant conformal structure in the domain of this coordinate chart. Let p be a point in this domain, and let $x \in M$ be the point in the Minkowski space $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ for which $[\tau(x)] = p$. So p is represented by

$$X = \tau(x) = (x, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x))). \quad (82)$$

Of course, p can be represented as well by λX , $\lambda \neq 0$, but let us concentrate on X . In coordinates, a tangent vector at x has coordinates ξ^μ , ($\mu = 1, \dots, 4$). Let $\gamma(s)$ be a curve through p , $\gamma(0) = p$. In coordinates, it is represented by a curve $x(s)$, $x(0) = x$. Then, $X(s)$, defined by

$$X(s) = (x(s), \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x(s))), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x(s)))), \quad (83)$$

is a lift of $\gamma(s)$ to $\mathcal{N}$. Differentiating the last equation with respect to s at $s = 0$, and taking into account the explicit form of $q(x) = x^T g x = x \cdot x$, we obtain

$$\dot{X}(0) = (\dot{x}(0), -x \cdot \dot{x}(0), -x \cdot \dot{x}(0)). \quad (84)$$

Let us calculate an explicit formula for $(\xi_1, \xi_2)_X$. With $\xi_1 = \dot{x}_1(0)$, $\xi_2 = \dot{x}_2(0)$, from Equation (84), we easily get

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2)_X = \dot{X}_1(0) \cdot \dot{X}_2(0) = \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 = \xi_1^T g \xi_2, \quad (85)$$

the other two terms cancel each other.

In other words: the $O(4,2)$ invariant conformal structure on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ in a local chart of Minkowski space coordinates consists of scalar products proportional to the standard Minkowski space scalar product.

7. The Case of the Double Covering Compactification $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$

Here we will find out what needs to be changed and how if we replace the simple compactification $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ with its double cover $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$.

7.1. The Action of $O(4,2)$ Becomes Effective

If G is a group acting on a set S , we say that the action is effective (resp. free) if, for $g \in G$ and $x \in S$, $gx = x$ for all (resp. for some) $x \in S$ implies that g is the identity element of G . In other words, the action is effective if every different from the identity element of G does something nontrivial to something (to some element of S), and free if every different from the identity element of G does something nontrivial to everything (to every point of S). Thus, every free action is effective, but there exist actions which are effective but not free.

Consider the matrix $-I_6$, which is in $SO(4,2)$. It acts on every $X \in \mathcal{N}$ replacing it with $-X$. However, X and $-X$ are in the same equivalence class of $\sim$, so $\pi(X) = \pi(-X)$ —they define the same point of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$. The matrix $-I_6$ does nothing to all points of $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ —the action of the group $SO(4,2)$ on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is not effective—we are losing information. However, for $X \in \mathcal{N}$, X and $-X$ define two different points of $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$. Therefore, $-I_6$ acts nontrivially on $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$. In fact, we have an effective action of $SO(4,2)$ on $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$. (Proposition 8, Ref. [11]) ascertains the existence of the action of $SO(4,2)$ on $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$. Here we have its explicit realization).

7.2. The Two Embeddings $\tau_{\pm}$

Equation (19) defines the embedding of $\mathbb{M}$ into $\mathcal{N}$:

$$\tau(x) = (x, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x))) \quad (86)$$

Then, $-X$ becomes

$$-X = (-x, -\frac{1}{2}(1 - q(-x)), \frac{1}{2}(1 + q(-x))). \quad (87)$$

Replacing x by $-x$ and taking into account the fact that $q(-x) = q(x)$, we obtain another embedding, we call it τ_- :

$$\tau_-(x) = (x, -\frac{1}{2}(1 - q(-x)), \frac{1}{2}(1 + q(-x))), \quad (88)$$

while the first embedding, we call τ_+

$$\tau_+(x) = (x, \frac{1}{2}(1 - q(x)), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + q(x))). \quad (89)$$

We define

$$\hat{\tau}_{\pm} = \tilde{\pi} \circ \tau_{\pm}, \quad (90)$$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ is as in the Definition 1, Section 5.

Remark 7. We could as well define $\tau_-(x) = -\tau(x)$. It would also work. Which definition will prove to be more convenient will be seen only after a physical interpretation will be given to these constructions. As above, the transformation $-I_6$ implements space and time inversion on $\mathbb{M}$.

7.3. The Doubled Conformal Infinity

$\hat{\tau}_+(\mathbb{M})$ and $\hat{\tau}_-(\mathbb{M})$ are two nonintersecting copies of $\mathbb{M}$, embedded in $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$. Their union $\hat{\tau}_+(\mathbb{M}) \cup \hat{\tau}_-(\mathbb{M})$ forms an open dense set in $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$.

Let us define

$$\mathcal{N}_+ = \{X \in \mathcal{N} : x^5 - x^6 > 0\}, \quad (91)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_- = \{X \in \mathcal{N} : x^5 - x^6 < 0\}, \quad (92)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{X \in \mathcal{N} : x^5 - x^6 = 0\}. \quad (93)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_+ = \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{N}_+), \quad (94)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_- = \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{N}_-), \quad (95)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty = \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{N}_0). \quad (96)$$

Then, $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is a sum of three disjoint sets

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}} = \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_+ \cup \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_- \cup \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty. \quad (97)$$

We have two copies of $\mathbb{M}$: $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_+$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_-$, and the *doubled conformal infinity* $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$.

The matrix $-I_6$ is in $SO(4, 2)$ and it maps $\mathcal{N}$ into $\mathcal{N}$, and equivalence classes of $\approx$ into equivalence classes. Therefore, it defines a unique mapping $\iota : \tilde{\mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ such that:

$$\iota \circ \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi} \circ (-I_6). \quad (98)$$

We evidently have $\iota^2 = \text{Id}$.

Remark 8. The action of ι on $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is free. Or more precisely: the action of the two-element group $\{\text{Id}, \iota\}$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ is free. The two-element group $\{\text{Id}, \iota\}$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ —the additive group of integers modulo 2. It acts freely on $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$. $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ can be identified with the quotient $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

We will now analyze the structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$ and, after skipping one space dimension, provide its graphical representation. To this end, consider the two equations defining $\mathcal{N}_0$, written as

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = (x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2, \quad (99)$$

$$x^5 = x^6. \quad (100)$$

Clearly, the number $(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = (x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2$ is positive, it cannot be zero because that would imply $X = 0$, and the origin is excluded. Therefore, we can always choose a unique positive scaling factor and get two equations in $\mathbb{R}^6$: $(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = 1$, and $(x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2 = 1$. These are two intersecting cylinders. The infinity plane $x^6 = x^5$ cuts this intersection effectively reducing the number of dimensions to 3. We obtain:

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = 1, \quad (101)$$

$$(x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 = 1. \quad (102)$$

Notice that $(x^4)^2 \leq 1$, therefore, $(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 \leq 2$. Thus, the whole surface in $\mathbb{R}^5$ is contained within a ball of radius $\sqrt{2}$. In order to arrive at a graphics representation in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we suppress one space dimension, say x^3 , so that two-spheres will

be represented by circles. We are left now with four variables (x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5) , and the intersection of two cylinders:

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^5)^2 = 1, \quad (103)$$

$$(x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 = 1, \quad (104)$$

7.4. Conceptual Structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$

Let us start with considering the variable x^5 , which plays a special role—it appears in both Equations (103) and (104). It can vary in the closed interval $[-1, 1]$. Consider first the two endpoints of this interval. If $(x^5)^2 = 1$, it follows from Equations (103) and (104) that the remaining variables cannot vary at all, they must all have value 0. These will be two special “endpoints” of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$.

Assume now that $(x^5)^2 < 1$. In that case, x^1, x^2 satisfy the equation

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 = 1 - (x^5)^2 > 0. \quad (105)$$

This equation describes a circle of radius $\sqrt{1 - (x^5)^2}$. The radius of this circle first grows from 0 to 1 when x^5 varies from -1 to 0, then the radius decreases monotonically when x^5 varies from 0 to 1. It becomes a point when $x^5 = 1$. For each value of $x^5 \in (-1, 1)$, the variable x^4 takes one of the two possible values $x^4 = \pm\sqrt{1 - (x^5)^2}$.

The circles (in the plane (x^1, x^2)) corresponding to x^4 positive and those corresponding to x^4 negative are disjoint, as $[[X]]$ and $[[-X]]$ are always two disjoint circles in $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$.

It follows from the above discussion that we can safely conjecture that we do not really need four dimensions spanned by x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5 . The whole $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$ should have a faithful representation (with one space dimension skipped) in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We will now prove that this is indeed the case.

7.5. Graphical Representation of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$

We will provide a particular implementation, using mathematical formulas, the intuition provided by the reasoning above. One easily verifies that we have the following:

Proposition 7. *Let a be a real number $a > 1$. For any x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5 satisfying Equations (103) and (104), let $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined as*

$$x(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5) = \frac{ax^4}{a - x^1} \quad (106)$$

$$y(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5) = \frac{ax^2}{a - x^1} \quad (107)$$

$$z(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5) = \frac{ax^5}{a - x^1}. \quad (108)$$

Then, the mapping from $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$ to $\mathbb{R}^3$, given by

$$(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5) \rightarrow (x(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5), y(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5), z(x^1, x^2, x^4, x^5)),$$

is injective.

To obtain a parametrization of this two-dimensional surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we first parametrize, using the parameter u , $(-\pi \leq u < \pi)$, the circle given by Equation (104):

$$x^4 = \cos(u), \quad (109)$$

$$x^5 = \sin(u). \quad (110)$$

From Equation (103), we then have

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 = 1 - (x^5)^2 = \cos^2(u). \quad (111)$$

This is a circle of radius $|\cos(u)|$. We will use parameter v , $-\pi \leq v < \pi$ for this circle. Thus, we can set

$$x^1 = |\cos(u)| \cos(v),$$

$$x^2 = |\cos(u)| \sin(v).$$

But it is convenient to reshuffle the sub-ranges of the parameters, and get rid of the absolute value setting simply

$$x^1 = \cos(u) \cos(v), \quad (112)$$

$$x^2 = \cos(u) \sin(v). \quad (113)$$

Equations (106)–(108) now become:

$$x(u, v) = \frac{a \cos(u)}{a - \cos(u) \cos(v)}, \quad (114)$$

$$y(u, v) = \frac{a \cos(u) \sin(v)}{a - \cos(u) \cos(v)}, \quad (115)$$

$$z(u, v) = \frac{a \sin(u)}{a - \cos(u) \cos(v)}. \quad (116)$$

The resulting parametric surface is represented in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Double cover of the conformal infinity, with space coordinate x^3 suppressed. Parametric plot of the surface defined by Equations (114)–(116), with u, v varying in $[-\pi, \pi]$, and $a = 1.5$. The lines of parameter u connect the two singular points. A squeezed torus, known also as “Horn cyclide” (Cf. [4] (Section 5.4 Cyclides of Dupin, p. 158)).

Essentially, what we have here is a torus that has been squeezed to a point at two opposite perimeters.

7.6. Action of the Poincaré Group and Dilatations on Conformal Infinity

With the notation as in Section 7.3, the infinity is characterized by the condition $x^5 - x^6 = 0$, therefore,

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 = (x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 > 0. \quad (117)$$

If $x^4 = 0$, then $x^1 = x^2 = x^3 = 0$, and we obtain two possibilities $x^5 > 0$, and $x^5 < 0$. These are the two endpoints in Figure 2. One can easily check that each of these two endpoints is stable under the action of translations, Lorentz transformations, and dilatations. Let us remove these two points from our considerations. Assuming now that $x^4 > 0$, we can choose a unique representative point of the corresponding open subset of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ with $\mu(X) = 1$.

We then denote by u the real parameter $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and set $x = (\mathbf{x}, x^4)$. Then, every point of the surface is uniquely represented in the form:

$$X(\mathbf{x}, u) = (\mathbf{x}, 1, u, u) / \sqrt{1 + u^2}, \quad (118)$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$. We obtain an infinite cylinder $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. If we set $\alpha = \arctan(u)$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we get

$$X(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) = ((\cos(\alpha)\mathbf{x}, \cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha), \sin(\alpha))), \quad (119)$$

$\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$, $\alpha \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, with $\mu(X) = 1$.

Lorentz rotations, translations, and dilatations are acting on the conformal infinity leaving this cylinder invariant as a set. We will now calculate the corresponding actions and vector fields corresponding to one-parameter subgroups.

7.6.1. Translations

Equation (49) gives us the action of translations subgroup. At the infinity $x^6 = x^5$, skipping the third space coordinate, translation by a , for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$, is realized by

$$X = (x, x^5) \mapsto X' = (x + a, x^5 - a \cdot x). \quad (120)$$

In order to satisfy Equations (103) and (104), we have to take the quotient by $\sqrt{(x'^4)^2 + (x'^5)^2}$. Therefore, we obtain the following non-linear translation transformation:

$$L(a, X) = \frac{(x, x^5 - a \cdot x)}{\sqrt{(x^4)^2 + (x^5 - a \cdot x)^2}}. \quad (121)$$

Taking partial derivatives of $L(a, x)$ with respect to a^i at $a = 0$, we get vector fields P_i —generators of translations:

$$P_i = \frac{\partial L(a, x)}{\partial a^i} \Big|_{a=0}, \quad (122)$$

$$P_i = g_{ij} x^j x^5 \left(x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \right). \quad (123)$$

where $g_{ij} = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$, $i, j = 1, 2, 4$. These vector fields are tangent to the infinity surface defined by Equations (103) and (104) and can be expressed in terms of basic vector fields ∂_u, ∂_v on this surface. One can easily check that the following formulas hold:

$$P_1 = -\cos^2 u \cos v \partial_u, \quad (124)$$

$$P_2 = -\cos^2 u \sin v \partial_u, \quad (125)$$

$$P_4 = \cos^2 u \partial_u. \quad (126)$$

Evidently,

$$P^2 = P_1^2 + P_2^2 - P_4^2 = 0. \quad (127)$$

7.6.2. Lorentz Transformations

Let us first consider a pure space rotation:

$$\begin{aligned} x'^1 &= x^1 \cos \alpha + x^2 \sin \alpha, \\ x'^2 &= -x^1 \sin \alpha + x^2 \cos \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

while $x'^4 = x^4$, $x'^5 = x^5$. The normalization in this case is unnecessary and differentiating with respect to α at $\alpha = 0$, we obtain the vector field M_{12} of pure space rotations:

$$M_{12} = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} = -\partial_v. \quad (129)$$

Next, let us consider Lorentz boosts in the direction of the x^1 axis:

$$\begin{aligned} x'^1 &= x^1 \cosh \beta + x^4 \sinh \beta, \\ x'^4 &= x^1 \sinh \beta + x^4 \cosh \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

while $x'^2 = x^2$, $x'^5 = x^5$. This time we have to normalize as in Equation (121). We get

$$L_{14}(\beta, X) = \frac{(x^1 \cosh \beta + x^4 \sinh \beta, x^2, x^1 \sinh \beta + x^4 \cosh \beta, x^5)}{\sqrt{(x^5)^2 + (x^4 \cosh \beta + x^1 \sinh \beta)^2}} \quad (131)$$

Differentiating with respect to β at $\beta = 0$, and using the fact that $(x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2 = 1$, we obtain the vector field M_{14} :

$$M_{14} = x^4(1 - (x^1)^2)\partial_1 - x^1x^2x^4\partial_2 + x^1(x^5)^2\partial_4 - x^1x^4x^5\partial_5. \quad (132)$$

Using Equations (109), (110), (112) and (113), we can provide its expression in term of basic vector fields ∂_u, ∂_v as follows:

$$M_{14} = -\sin u \cos u \cos v \partial_u - \sin v \partial_v. \quad (133)$$

Similarly, we get

$$M_{24} = -\sin u \cos u \sin v \partial_u + \cos v \partial_v. \quad (134)$$

Using the same method, we obtain the expression for the generator D of the dilatations:

$$D = \sin u \cos u \partial_u. \quad (135)$$

A straightforward calculation leads to the Poincaré group (extended by dilatations) commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [M_{12}, P_1] &= P_2, \\ [M_{12}, P_2] &= -P_1, \\ [M_{12}, M_{14}] &= M_{24}, \\ [M_{12}, M_{24}] &= -M_{14}, \\ [M_{14}, M_{24}] &= -M_{12}, \\ [D, P_i] &= -P_i, \quad (i = 1, 2, 4) \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

7.7. Simple Conformal Infinity

By taking the quotient by $\sim$ rather than by $\approx$, we arrive at the same Equations (101) and (102), but this time x and $-x$ describe the same point.

Jakob Steiner faced a similar problem when studying the method of representing the projective plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$. One possible solution was to use quadratic expressions in the coordinates—Cf [12] and [13] (p. 340). Let us first follow a similar method. In order to represent the resulting variety graphically, we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *With the notation as in Section 7.5 introduce the following variables:*

$$y_\alpha = x^\alpha x^4. \quad (137)$$

Then, assuming that x^α, x'^α satisfy (103) and (104), we have $y_\alpha = y'_\alpha$ if and only if either $x^\alpha = x'^\alpha$ or $x^\alpha = -x'^\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 5$.

Proof. The variables y being quadratic in x , it is clear that the ‘if’ part holds. Now suppose we have $y_\alpha = y'_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 5$. If $x^4 = 0$, then $x'^4 = 0$, therefore, from (104) we have that $x^5 = \pm 1$ and $x'^5 = \pm 1$. It follows then from (103) that $x^1 = x^2 = x^3 = 0$, and the same for x' . Therefore, $x = (0, 0, 0, 0, \pm 1)$ and $x' = (0, 0, 0, 0, \pm 1)$, thus, $x' = \pm x$. If $x^4 \neq 0$, then, $x'^4/x^4 = \pm 1$ and $y'_\alpha = (x'^4/x^4)y_\alpha$. $\square$

7.8. Graphical Representation of Simple Infinity

To obtain a graphic representation, we proceed as before and arrive, after renaming of the variables, at the following set of parametric equations

$$x(u, v) = \frac{2 \cos^2 u}{2 - \cos^2 u \cos v} \quad (138)$$

$$y(u, v) = \frac{2 \cos^2 u \sin v}{2 - \cos^2 u \cos v} \quad (139)$$

$$z(u, v) = \frac{2 \cos u \sin u}{2 - \cos^2 u \cos v} \quad (140)$$

The resulting surface has the shape of a simple elliptic supercyclyde *needle (horn) cyclide* as in Figure 1—[14] (Figure 6, p. 80), [4] (Figure 5.7, p. 156), or, in French, *croissant simple* [15]. The surface is, in fact, made of closed null geodesics, all intersecting at the point with homogeneous coordinates $(0, 0, 1, 1) \sim (0, 0, -1, -1)$. Each of these geodesics is uniquely determined by a point on the two-sphere $(\mathbf{n}, 1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$. The geodesic is then given by the formula

$$\gamma(v) = [(\cos(v)\mathbf{n}, \cos v, \sin(v), \sin(v))], \quad v \in [0, \pi). \quad (141)$$

7.9. Conformal Structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$

Let us begin with a simplified case, with a suppressed x^3 coordinate. As described above in Section 7.5, we will use the u, v parametrization. We have the following equations parametrizing $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$:

$$x^1 = |\sin(u)| \cos(v), \quad (142)$$

$$x^2 = |\sin(u)| \sin(v), \quad (143)$$

$$x^4 = \sin(u), \quad (144)$$

$$x^5 = \cos(u), \quad (145)$$

$$x^6 = x^5. \quad (146)$$

Using this parametrization,

$$X(u, v) = (x^1(u, v), x^2(u, v), x^4(u, v), x^5(u, v), x^6(u, v)), \quad (147)$$

we calculate

$$ds^2 = (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 - (dx^4)^2 + (dx^5)^2 - (dx^6)^2. \quad (148)$$

Since on $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$, we have $x^6(u, v) = x^5(u, v)$, the last two terms cancel, so effectively

$$ds^2 = (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 - (dx^4)^2. \quad (149)$$

Assuming $\sin(u) \neq 0$, we easily get

$$ds^2 = \sin^2(u) dv^2. \quad (150)$$

Therefore, the metric on our two-dimensional squeezed torus has the form

$$g_\infty(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2(u) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (151)$$

At the two squeezed points, we have $\sin(u) = 0$, and the metric becomes totally degenerate. For other values of u , we have a scaled (by $\sin^2(u)$), standard metric on the circles: dv^2 . The metric along the u -lines, connecting the two end-points, is identically zero. Notice that the metric itself is defined up to a scale, since X and λX , $\lambda > 0$, describe the same point in $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_\infty$. So, in fact, we have a conformal structure, not a metric.

Let us now return to the full case, including the x^3 coordinate. The calculations become somewhat more complicated, but the end result is similar. Now, instead of the coordinate v , we introduce spherical coordinates θ, ϕ , $\theta \in (0, \pi]$, $\phi \in (0, 2\pi)$, and set

$$x^1 = |\sin(u)| \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi), \quad (152)$$

$$x^2 = |\sin(u)| \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi), \quad (153)$$

$$x^3 = |\sin(u)| \cos(\theta). \quad (154)$$

Calculating ds^2 , we get

$$ds^2 = \sin^2(u) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2), \quad (155)$$

which is also degenerate:

$$g_\infty(u, \theta, \phi) = \sin^2(u) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin^2(\theta) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (156)$$

The θ, ϕ part of the metric is the standard metric on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

8. Geometrizing It All—Getting Rid of the Basis

In this section, we will make use of the constructions in a paper [7] by W. Kopczyński and L.S. Woronowicz. They have built a really beautiful and solid foundation. Whenever there is a need, we will adapt their methods to our purposes (for instance, in [7], the authors did not consider the double cover). We will use coordinates only when it is convenient to use them in order to prove some properties. Thus, we are allowed to use a basis inside the proof, but not in definitions and in statements about the properties of objects and morphisms. Our reasonings will thus be more abstract, but it will be easier to grasp their geometrical meaning.

In a sense we will repeat all what has been done so far, rephrase it, but now, in definitions and in statements, applying only geometrical invariant concepts.

Let V be a six-dimensional real vector space endowed with a quadratic form of signature $(4, 2)$. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the unique scalar product on V such that $Q(X) = (X, X)$ for all $X \in V$. For $X, Y \in V$, we will write $X \cdot Y$ for (X, Y) .

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the null cone of Q with removed origin:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{X \in V : X \neq 0 \text{ and } Q(X) = 0\}. \quad (157)$$

For $X, X' \in V \setminus \{0\}$, we define the equivalence relation $\approx$ as

$$X \approx X' \text{ if and only if } X = \lambda X', \lambda > 0. \quad (158)$$

We denote by $[[X]]$ the equivalence class of X with respect to $\approx$, and denote by $\tilde{\pi}$ the natural projection.

From now on, we define $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ as

$$\tilde{P}\mathcal{N} = \tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{N}). \quad (159)$$

We denote by $O(Q)$ the group of linear isometries of (V, Q) , i.e., the group of all linear operators $A : V \rightarrow V$ for which $Q(AX) = Q(X)$ for all $X \in V$. We denote by $SO(Q)$ its subgroup of isometries of determinant one. Notice that $Q(AX) = Q(X)$ for all $X \in V$ implies $(AX) \cdot (AY) = X \cdot Y$ for all $X, Y \in V$. Furthermore, notice that the determinant of the matrix of a linear transformation does not depend on a choice of a basis.

Proposition 8. *The group $SO(Q)$ acts on $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ transitively.*

Proof. The proof has been done choosing an orthonormal basis for Q . $\square$

For $X \in \mathcal{N}$ and $A \in O(Q)$ we will write

$$A \cdot [[X]] = [[AX]]. \quad (160)$$

We will denote by ι the transformation

$$\iota(p) = (-I) \cdot p. \quad (161)$$

It is then immediate that the two-element subgroup $\{1, \iota\}$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$, acts freely on $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$.

If p and q are two points in $V / \approx$, then the properties $X \cdot Y > 0$, $X \cdot Y = 0$, $X \cdot Y < 0$, do not depend of the choice of $X \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(p)$, and $Y \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(q)$. This fact justifies the following definition:

Definition 2. *For $p = [[X]]$, $q = [[Y]]$, we will write $p \perp q$ if $X \cdot Y = 0$. For any $p \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ we define $p^\perp$ as*

$$p^\perp = \{q \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N} : q \perp p\}. \quad (162)$$

It is then evident that for $A \in O(Q)$, we have

$$(A \cdot p)^\perp = A \cdot p^\perp. \quad (163)$$

We also have

$$\iota(p^\perp) = p^\perp. \quad (164)$$

We will call $p^\perp$ the conformal infinity at p .

Definition 3. Given any $p \in P\mathcal{N}$, we define

$$M_p = \tilde{P}\mathcal{N} \setminus p^\perp. \quad (165)$$

Definition 4. For $p = [[X]] \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$, let

$$M_p^+ = \{[[Y]] \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N} : X \cdot Y > 0\}, \quad (166)$$

$$M_p^- = \{[[Y]] \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N} : X \cdot Y < 0\}. \quad (167)$$

One easily shows that the sets $M_p^\pm$ are open in $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$. Moreover,

$$M_p = M_p^+ \dot{\cup} M_p^-, \quad (168)$$

and

$$\tilde{P}\mathcal{N} = p^\perp \dot{\cup} M_p, \quad (169)$$

where the dot in $\dot{\cup}$ denotes the fact that we are dealing with a union of disjoint sets. Notice also that

$$\iota(M_p^+) = M_p^-, \quad \iota(M_p^-) = M_p^+. \quad (170)$$

8.1. Relation to Coordinate Description

Here, we will relate the above geometrical picture to the coordinate description that was used before. To this end, we select an arbitrary point $p \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$, (cf. Equation (159)), and an arbitrary point $o \in M_p^+$, (cf. Equation (166)). If X and Y are the two points in $\mathcal{N}$ representing p and o , respectively, then $X \cdot Y > 0$, and we can always choose X and Y , within their equivalence classes, so that $X \cdot Y = 1$. Then, there exists an orthonormal basis (cf. Lemma 3 below) in which $X = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$ and $Y = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1/2, -1/2)$. If we use such an adapted basis, we are back to the standard coordinate description that we were using before. Selecting a point p reduces the isometry group to the Poincaré subgroup (extended by dilatations) of the full conformal group, while selecting additionally a point o , as above, restricts it further, to the extended (by dilatations) Lorentz group.

8.2. Conformal Structure on the Tangent Bundle

Section 6.6 can be repeated here without any changes. We did not use coordinates there at all. However, we will now discuss in some detail the tangent bundle $T\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ of $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$, that is the (disjoint) union of all tangent spaces

$$T\tilde{P}\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{p \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N}} T_p\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}. \quad (171)$$

As a manifold, $T\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ is eight-dimensional.

The Tautological Bundle

Every projective manifold comes with a gratis bundle—the tautological bundle. In our case, we have the bundle $\mathcal{T}\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ with one-dimensional fibers $\mathcal{T}_p\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$

$$\mathcal{T}\tilde{P}\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{p \in \tilde{P}\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{T}_p\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}, \quad (172)$$

where, for $p = [[X]]$

$$\mathcal{T}_p P\mathcal{N} = \{\lambda X : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (173)$$

Thus, over each point $p = [[X]]$, we have one-dimensional fiber—the subspace spanned by X . Notice that the zero vector of V is in each of these fibers, nevertheless the fibers over different points are disjoint, because, strictly speaking, these “zeros” are ordered pairs $(p, 0)$, and $(p, 0) \neq (q, 0)$ if $q \neq p$.

Now, since V is equipped with a scalar product, we also have the orthogonal bundle $\mathcal{T}^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$

$$\mathcal{T}^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}, \quad (174)$$

where, for $p = [[X]]$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} = \{Y \in V : Y \cdot X = 0\}. \quad (175)$$

The fibers of $\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ are five-dimensional. Notice that since $X \cdot X = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}. \quad (176)$$

Therefore, we can take the quotient bundle $\mathcal{T}^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ whose fibers are the quotient spaces $\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$.

Using the reasoning in section 8.2 about the conformal structure, it is clear that the tangent bundle $\mathcal{T} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ can be naturally identified with the quotient bundle $\mathcal{T}^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$.

Let us choose any $q = [[Y]] \in M_p$, such that $X \cdot Y = 1$. Notice that such a q always exists.

Definition 5. With $p = [[X]]$, $q = [[Y]]$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}$, $X \cdot Y = 1$, we define $M_{p,q}$ as follows

$$M_{p,q} = \{Z \in V : X \cdot Z = Y \cdot Z = 0\}. \quad (177)$$

Remark 9. Notice that $M_{p,q}$ is four-dimensional and that, in this definition, Z is assumed to be only in V , not necessarily in $\mathcal{N}$. In fact no such Z exists in $\mathcal{N}$. Proving this is another good exercise.

It is clear from the definition that $M_{p,q}$ is a vector space. We can even easily argue that it is four-dimensional—we have two linear conditions on a vector in a six-dimensional space.

We will now construct a vector space isomorphism between $\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ and $M_{p,q}$.

Proposition 9. With the notation as above the following map $\pi_{p,q} : M_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ is a vector space isomorphism

$$\pi_{p,q}(Z) = [Z]_p, \quad (178)$$

where $[Z]_p$ denotes the equivalence class defining the quotient of vector spaces $\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Since Z is in $M_{p,q}$, we certainly have $X \cdot Z = 0$, therefore, Z is in $\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$. Let us first see that $\pi_{p,q}$ is injective. For this it is enough to show that if $\pi_{p,q}(Z) = 0$, then $Z = 0$. Now, from the very definition of the quotient of vector spaces it follows that $[Z]_p = 0$ means that $Z = \lambda X$ for some real λ . If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $Z \cdot Y = \lambda X \cdot Y = \lambda \neq 0$, which contradicts the assumption that Z is in $M_{p,q}$. Therefore, $\lambda = 0$ and so $Z = 0$. To prove that π_p is “onto” it would be enough to bring out the fact that both the domain and the range are vector spaces of the same dimension—four. However, it is easy to show it explicitly. To this end, let $[Z_1]_p$ be an arbitrary element of the quotient space, with $Z_1 \cdot X = 0$. Now, Z_1 is not necessarily in $M_{p,q}$ since $Z_1 \cdot Y$ is not necessarily zero. Let $Z_1 \cdot Y = \lambda$. Define $Z = Z_1 - \lambda X$. Then, $[Z_1]_p = [Z]_p$, $Z \cdot X = 0$, and

$$Z \cdot Y = (Z_1 - \lambda X) \cdot Y = \lambda - \lambda = 0, \quad (179)$$

Therefore, Z is in $M_{p,q}$. $\square$

Now, since we have already identified the quotient $\mathcal{T}_p^\perp \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ with the tangent space $T_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$, we have a vector space isomorphism between $T_p \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ and $M_{p,q}$ —different one for different choices of q .

Let us now recall that, for $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$, we have defined M_q as $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ with removed $q^\perp$ —we remove the infinity cap at q . If $q = [[Y]]$, then M_q consists of all those $[[X]] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ for which $X \cdot Y \neq 0$. Then, M_q splits into a disjoint union of M_q^+ and M_q^- according to whether $X \cdot Y$ is positive or negative. Let us concentrate now on the positive case. Then, we can always choose Y so that $X \cdot Y = 1$. We can therefore construct $M_{p,q}$. We will now construct an embedding, which we will denote $\tau_{p,q}$, of the vector space $M_{p,q}$ into the projective null cone manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ such that the image $\tau_{p,q}(M_{p,q})$ is exactly M_p . It is similar to a stereographic map when we embed the plane—the tangent plane at the South Pole p into the sphere, so that the image of this tangent plane is the sphere with removed the North Pole q —the “infinity”.

Proposition 10. Choose a point $p_\infty = [[X_\infty]] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$ —an ‘origin of infinity’. Choose another point $p_0^+ \in M_{p_\infty}^+$, and let X_0^+ be its representative in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $X_\infty \cdot X_0^+ = 1$. The point p_0^+ will be the “origin of the visible ‘+’ universe”. Define

$$\hat{\tau}_{X_\infty^+, X_0^+}^+ : M_{p_\infty, p_0^+} \rightarrow M_{p_\infty}^+ \quad (180)$$

by the following formula:

$$\hat{\tau}_{X_\infty^+, X_0^+}^+ : X \mapsto [[X']], \quad X' = X - \frac{1}{2}(X \cdot X)X_\infty + X_0^+. \quad (181)$$

Then, $\hat{\tau}_{X_\infty^+, X_0^+}^+$ is injective, and its image is exactly $M_{p_\infty}^+$.

Similarly, if we chose $p_0^- = [[X_0^-]] \in M_{p_\infty}^-$, for instance, we can set $p_0^- = \iota(p_0^+)$, and define

$$\hat{\tau}_{X_\infty^+, X_0^-}^- : X \mapsto [[X']], \quad X' = X + \frac{1}{2}(X \cdot X)X_\infty + X_0^-, \quad (182)$$

we obtain an onto diffeomorphism

$$\hat{\tau}_{X_\infty^+, X_0^-}^- : M_{p_\infty, p_0^-} \rightarrow M_{p_\infty}^- \quad (183)$$

Before stating the proof, we first note that the mapping $X \mapsto X'$ is non-linear. It should not be a surprise, since we are wrapping a linear four-dimensional space M_{p_∞, p_0} around a compact space M_{p_∞} diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$. Then, we need to show that X' is indeed in $\mathcal{N}$, that is that $X' \cdot X' = 0$. Instead of doing just this, let us contemplate X' of a more general form

$$X' = X + \lambda X_\infty + X_0^+, \quad (184)$$

and find the condition on λ so that X' is a null vector. Using the facts that $X_0^+ \cdot X_0^+ = X_\infty \cdot X_\infty = 0$, $X_0^+ \cdot X_\infty = 1$, and $X \cdot X_0^+ = X \cdot X_\infty = 0$, we instantly obtain

$$X' \cdot X' = X \cdot X + 2\lambda. \quad (185)$$

Therefore, X' is a null vector if and only if $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}X \cdot X$.

Next, it will be handy to use the following lemma:

Lemma 3. Let us choose an arbitrary orthonormal basis in V , thus identifying V with $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$. There exists a transformation $A \in SO_0(4, 2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [[AX_\infty]] &= [[(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)]], \\ [[AX_0]] &= [[(0, 0, 0, 1/2, -1/2)]]. \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

Proof. Since $SO_0(4, 2)$ acts on $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ transitively, we can always find a group element that transforms p_∞ into $[[Y_\infty]]$, where $Y_\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$. After this transformation, p_0 will have the form $p_0 = [Y_0]$, $Y_0 = (y^1, \dots, y^6)$, with $Q(Y_0) = 0$ and $Y_0 \cdot Y_\infty = 1$. To transform further Y_0 into $(0, 0, 0, 0, 1/2, -1/2)$, we will use transformations from $SO(4, 2)$ that do not affect the point p_∞

$$p_\infty = [[(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)]]. \quad (187)$$

These are translations, dilatations, and 3D rotations. We will use a translation, rotations and dilatations will not be needed. From Equation (45), it is clear that translating by $a = (-y^1, \dots, -y^4)$ we assure that Y_0 takes the form $(0, 0, 0, 0, y^5, y^6)$. However, then we still have the two conditions: $Q(Y_0) = 0$ and $Y_0 \cdot Y_\infty = 1$. They give us two equations: $(y^5)^2 - (y^6)^2 = 0$, and $y^5 - y^6 = 1$, with a unique solution $y^5 = 1/2, y^6 = -1/2$. $\square$

We will now use this lemma to prove Proposition 10.

Proof of Proposition 10. Using Lemma 3 without any loss of generality, we may assume that $p_\infty = [[(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)]]$ and $p_0 = [[(0, 0, 0, 0, -1/2, 1/2)]]$. However, then our $\hat{\tau}_{X_\infty, X_0}^+$ is the same as $\hat{\tau}_+$ in Section 7.2, which we already know that it is an embedding. $\square$

8.3. Another Take on Conformal Structure—Null Geodesics

We have identified $M_{p,q}$, defined in the Definition 5, with the tangent space $T_p\mathcal{N}$. Now, $M_{p,q}$ is a vector subspace of V , therefore, it inherits from V a scalar product. This induces a scalar product on $T_p\mathcal{N}$. It can be shown that this scalar product is compatible with the conformal structure already defined on $T_p\mathcal{N}$ —it is a good exercise. With a fixed p but different q , these scalar products must thus be proportional (another exercise).

8.3.1. Null Geodesics in General Relativity

In this paragraph, let $\mathbb{M}$ be a four-dimensional manifold of general relativity. In local coordinates x^μ , let $g_{\mu\nu}$ be the metric tensor of signature $(3, 1)$. Metric tensor induces the Levi-Civita connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}). \quad (188)$$

Levi-Civita connection defines covariant derivative ∇_μ . For vector fields, we have

$$\nabla_\mu X^\rho = \partial_\mu X^\rho + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho X^\nu. \quad (189)$$

A curve $x^\rho(\lambda)$ is called a geodesic (or autoparallel) if the tangent vector $\dot{x}^\rho = dx^\rho/d\lambda$ parallelly transported along the curve remains tangent to it. This condition entails the equation:

$$\frac{D\dot{x}^\rho}{d\lambda} = f(\lambda)\dot{x}^\rho(\lambda), \quad (190)$$

where, for a vector field X^ρ , $DX^\rho/d\lambda$ denotes the covariant derivative along the path:

$$\frac{D\dot{X}^\rho}{d\lambda} = \dot{x}^\mu \nabla_\mu X^\rho. \quad (191)$$

One can then always choose a parameter λ in such a way that $f(\lambda) \equiv 0$. The geodesic equations then become

$$\frac{d^2 x^\rho}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} = 0. \quad (192)$$

Such a parameter is called an affine parameter for the geodesic.

Let us see how the geodesic Equations (192) change when we replace $g_{\mu\nu}$ by another metric

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) = e^{2\phi(x)} g_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (193)$$

in the same conformal class? A straightforward calculation results in

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho + \delta_\mu^\rho \phi_\nu + \delta_\nu^\rho \phi_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} \phi^\rho, \quad (194)$$

where

$$\phi_\sigma = \partial_\sigma \phi, \quad \phi^\rho = g^{\rho\mu} \phi_\mu. \quad (195)$$

If $\bar{\lambda}$ is an affine parameter for the geodesics of the metric $\bar{g}$, the equation of geodesics for the new metric become

$$\frac{d^2 x^\rho}{d\bar{\lambda}^2} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\rho \frac{dx^\mu}{d\bar{\lambda}} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\bar{\lambda}} = 0, \quad (196)$$

or, using Equation (194):

$$\frac{d^2 x^\rho}{d\bar{\lambda}^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \frac{dx^\mu}{d\bar{\lambda}} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\bar{\lambda}} + 2 \left(\frac{dx^\mu}{d\bar{\lambda}} \phi_\mu \right) \frac{dx^\rho}{d\bar{\lambda}} - \phi^\rho g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\bar{\lambda}} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\bar{\lambda}} = 0. \quad (197)$$

Assume now that we dealing with a null geodesic, that is that the tangent vector is always a null vector, so that

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\bar{\lambda}} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\bar{\lambda}} = 0. \quad (198)$$

The last term in (197) vanishes. Setting $f(\bar{\lambda}) = -2\phi^\rho(x(\bar{\lambda}))$, (197) takes the form of Equation (190). Therefore, we have the following result.

Proposition 11. *While in general geodesics for two conformally equivalent metrics are different, null geodesics are the same. They depend only on the conformal structure and not on a particular choice of the representative of this structure.*

8.3.2. Coordinate Free Description of Null Geodesics in $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$

$\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ is a compact manifold diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$. It cannot be covered with one coordinate patch. When we describe null geodesics by geodesic equations, we use coordinates. Suppose we find a particular solution, a path given in a coordinate system. Then, the question arises: and what happens next? How is this particular path going to continue after the coordinates patch ends? In our case, one can give an elegant answer to this question by providing a coordinate-free description of a null geodesic, the whole of it, without invoking differential equations. We follow here [7] (Theorem 5), modifying the proof to suit our needs.

Proposition 12. *Let Γ be a two-dimensional isotropic subspace of V . Then, the submanifold*

$$\gamma = \tilde{\pi}(\Gamma \setminus \{0\}) \quad (199)$$

is a null geodesic in $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$. Every null geodesic of $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ is obtained this way. If $p = [[X]]$ is any point in $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$, then the set of all null geodesics through p corresponds to the set of all isotropic planes containing X —cf. See Figure 1 for an illustration.

Proof. In order to show that γ is a null geodesic, it is enough to show that γ can be covered by open neighbourhoods, and the intersection of each of these neighbourhoods with γ is an open segment of a null geodesic. Let $p_0 = [[X_0]]$, $p_0 \in \Gamma$, be an arbitrary point of γ . We will show that γ is a null geodesic in a certain neighbourhood of p_0 . In order to analyze a neighborhood of p_0 , we choose a point at infinity $p_\infty = [[X_\infty]]$ satisfying

$$X_\infty \cdot X_0 = 1. \quad (200)$$

We will now apply the machinery developed in Proposition 10, and use Lemma 3 to introduce an orthonormal basis in V in which

$$\begin{aligned} X_\infty &= (0, 1, 1), \\ X_0 &= (0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned} \quad (201)$$

Here, and in what follows, we will use a shortcut notation, namely we will write $(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6)$ as (x, x^5, x^6) , understanding that x is a four-vector. We have now an open neighbourhood M_{p_0, p_∞} of p_0 that is diffeomorphic to the Minkowski space. Since Γ is two-dimensional, there exists in Γ another null vector, linearly independent from X_0 . We call it X_1 . If we choose X_1 close enough to X_0 , the scalar product $X_\infty \cdot X_1$ will still be positive, and we can scale X_1 so that

$$X_\infty \cdot X_1 = 1. \quad (202)$$

From Equation (89), we know that X_1 is of the form

$$X_1 = (a, \frac{1}{2}(1 - a \cdot a), -\frac{1}{2}(1 + a \cdot a)), \quad (203)$$

where a is Minkowski space four-vector, $a \in T_{p_0}\mathcal{N}$. The condition $X_0 \cdot X_1 = 0$ immediately implies $q(a) = 0$, thus a is a null vector in the Minkowski space, therefore

$$X_1 = (a, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), \quad (204)$$

Now, every nonzero vector X in Γ can be uniquely represented as

$$X = \lambda(\cos(t)X_0 + \sin(t)X_1), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad -\pi \leq t < \pi. \quad (205)$$

Using expressions (201) and (204), we get

$$X(t) = \left(\sin(t)a, \frac{1}{2}(\sin(t) + \cos(t)), -\frac{1}{2}(\sin(t) + \cos(t)) \right). \quad (206)$$

The scalar product

$$X_\infty \cdot X(t) = \sin(t) + \cos(t) \quad (207)$$

is positive for $-\pi/4 < t < 3\pi/4$, zero for $t = -\pi/4$ and $t = 3\pi/4$, and negative otherwise. That means the trajectory is in M_{p_∞, p_0}^+ for $-\pi/4 < t < 3\pi/4$, crosses the conformal infinity for $t = \pi/4$ and $t = 3\pi/4$, and is in M_{p_∞, p_0}^- for other values of t .

Now that we have a global picture, we concentrate on a neighborhood of the point p_0 . Here it is enough to take

$$X(t) = X_0 + tX_1 = \left(ta, \frac{1}{2}(1+t), -\frac{1}{2}(1+t) \right) = (1+t) \left(\frac{t}{1+t}a, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (208)$$

which, for t small enough, is a part of a null geodesic in Minkowski space through the origin, in the direction of the null vector a . $\square$

We thus have the following picture: null geodesics through an arbitrary point p_0 are represented by a pencil of maximal isotropic subspaces (necessarily two-dimensional), containing the line representing p_0 , as visualized in Figure 3.




Figure 3. The pencil (sheaf) of light rays through a space–time point.

8.4. Light Circling Forever at Infinity and Never Entering Minkowski Space

Given $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{N}$, we have the conformal infinity $p^\perp$ at p . If $X \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(p)$, the image by $\tilde{\pi}$ is contained in $p^\perp$. Therefore, every null geodesic crossing p is totally contained in $p^\perp$. We will now see how it can be described in coordinates. To this end, we will use the parametrization of $p^\perp$ as in Section 7.5. We will use an adapted orthonormal basis in which $p = [[X_0]]$, $X_0 = (0, 1, 1)$. Then, any vector orthogonal to X_0 is of the form (x, α, α) , where x is a null vector of the Minkowski space. As we want this vector to be linearly independent of X_0 , we must have $x \neq 0$. In such a case, the vectors X_0 and $X_1 = (x, 0, 0)$ span the same isotropic plane as X_0 and (x, α, α) . Now, x being a null vector, we can choose it of the form $x = (\mathbf{a}, 1)$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbf{a}^2 = 1$,—it will span, with X_0 , the same isotropic plane. Now, any vector in this plane is of the form

$$X(t) = \cos(t)X_0 + \sin(t)X_1 = (\cos(t)\mathbf{a}, \cos(t), \sin(t), \sin(t)). \quad (209)$$

Comparing the above formula for $X(t)$ with Equations (109) and (110), we see that these are exactly the lines of the parameter u in Equations (114)–(116)—Cf. Figure 2.

8.5. Segal’s ‘Unitime’

Segal’s ‘chronometric cosmology’ model [16] differs from the standard cosmological models by the fact that it is based on a priori selected geometry, not Einstein’s theory of General Relativity, even though it uses many of the concepts of General Relativity. The main **physical** idea at the foundations of Segal’s cosmology is the **postulate** of existence of a distinguished cosmic **time flow**—he calls it **unitime**. The prefix ‘uni’ comes probably from the word ‘unitary’, as it relates to the action of a specific subgroup of the conformal group $SO(4, 2)$, a subgroup isomorphic to the one-parameter Abelian group $U(1) \approx S^1$ of complex numbers of modulus 1. A theoretical physicist would probably ask: “Why should it be so? What is the mechanism of such a symmetry breaking? Where is the Lagrangian?” However, Segal, a mathematician, has an immediate answer to these questions: “Better

check if it IS really so as I say, and if it is so, then you will certainly be motivated to find answers to your questions all by yourself."

As we already know, $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ is isomorphic to $U(1) \times SU(2) \approx S^1 \times S^3$. In an orthonormal basis, we identify V with $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ and $\tilde{P}\mathcal{N}$ with the manifold of all $X \in \mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + (x^5)^2 &= R^2, \\ (x^4)^2 + (x^6)^2 &= R^2,\end{aligned}\tag{210}$$

The action of $U(1)$ corresponds to the Euclidean rotation of the x^4, x^6 coordinates, other variables being fixed:

$$\begin{aligned}x^4(s) &= \cos(s/R)x^4 - \sin(s/R)x^6, \\ x^6(s) &= \sin(s/R)x^4 + \cos(s/R)x^6.\end{aligned}\tag{211}$$

Notice that now we pay attention to the physical dimensions and have replaced the parameter s with s/R , and have replaced 1 on the right-hand-side of the above equations by a dimensional constant R —the 'radius of the universe'.

Remark 10. *In such an approach, the 'flow of time' has an objective reality, which agrees with our observations of reality—if the flow of time would be entirely subjective, it would be impossible to explain why most of the people agree on the duration of time sequences of events and respect their friends' birthday dates.*

Remark 11. *Speaking about 'physics': Segal allows s to be going from minus infinity to infinity, not just from 0 to $2\pi R$. In other words, we replace S^1 by its universal cover, that is by $\mathbb{R}$. Cosmology becomes cyclic. We have an infinite number of cycles. There is still a question about what is the elementary cycle? Should we identify X with $-X$, or not? Is the Minkowski space simple or doubled? Usually, it is assumed that it is a simple one, therefore, already the interval $[0, \pi R]$ is assumed to be a cycle. I see no valid reason for such a postulate.*

Let us see how Segal's 'unitime' relates to the coordinate time of the Minkowski space. To this end, let us analyze the 'unitime line' through the origin of the Minkowski space in adapted coordinates. We represent Minkowski space event with coordinates x by its image $\tau_+(X)$ as in Equation (89). The origin is then represented by $X_0 \in \mathcal{N}$

$$X_0 = (0, 0, R/2, -R/2),\tag{212}$$

therefore, using Equations (211), the unitime line becomes

$$x^4(s) = \frac{R}{2} \sin(s/R),\tag{213}$$

$$x^6(s) = -\frac{R}{2} \cos(s/R).\tag{214}$$

Thus,

$$X(s) = (0, \frac{R}{2} \sin(s/R), \frac{R}{2}, -\frac{R}{2} \cos(s/R)).\tag{215}$$

In order to find $x^4(s)$, we divide $x^4(s)$ by $x^5(s) - x^6(s)$ to obtain

$$x^4(s) = \frac{2R \sin(s/R)}{1 + \cos(s/R)} = 2R \tan\left(\frac{s}{2R}\right).\tag{216}$$

$$s(x^4) = 2R \arctan\left(\frac{x^4}{2R}\right).\tag{217}$$

The space origin $X = 0$ remains constant. We see that the unitime line is the same as the time line of the Minkowski space in an adapted reference frame, but **the rate of time is different**. Developing into the Taylor series, we get

$$x^4(s) = s + \frac{s^3}{12R^2} + O(s^4), \quad (218)$$

$$s(x^4) = x^4 - \frac{(x^4)^3}{12R^2} + O((x^4)^4), \quad (219)$$

The difference between the two times becomes significant only for x^4 big enough in the R -scale.

8.6. Guessing the Metric

In this section, to simplify the notation, let us call the x^4 coordinate simply t (assuming units in which $c = 1$). Inverting Equation (217), we have

$$s(t) = 2R \arctan\left(\frac{t}{2R}\right). \quad (220)$$

Let us now try to find a metric in the conformal class of the flat Minkowski metric for which s would be the proper time on the unitime line. We look for a metric of the form

$$ds^2 = a(t)^2(dx^2 - dt^2). \quad (221)$$

Along the time line $dx = 0$, therefore, for the proper time parameter s , we get

$$a(t) = \frac{ds}{dt}. \quad (222)$$

Using Equation (220), we obtain

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{t^2}{4R^2}}. \quad (223)$$

9. Compactified Minkowski Space as a Boundary of Five-Dimensional Domains

The null cone $\mathcal{N}$ of V splits the space into two regions $D_5^\pm$ given by

$$D_5^+ = \{X \in V : Q(X) > 0\}, \quad D_5^- = \{X \in V : Q(X) < 0\}. \quad (224)$$

We look at their images $\mathcal{D}_5^+$ and $\mathcal{D}_5^-$ under the projection modulo the equivalence relation $\approx$. Each point of $\mathcal{D}_5^+$ (respectively, $\mathcal{D}_5^-$) has a unique representative $X \in D_5^+$ (respectively, $X \in D_5^-$) satisfying $Q(X) = 1$ (respectively, $Q(X) = -1$). Hence $\mathcal{D}_5^\pm$ can be identified with the five-dimensional hyperboloids $\Sigma_\pm$ defined by

$$\Sigma^\pm = \{X \in V : Q(X) = \pm 1\}. \quad (225)$$

The projectivized cone $\mathcal{N}/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is then a four-dimensional topological boundary for both Σ^+ and Σ^- , separating these two five-dimensional regions. The topology of Σ^+ (resp. Σ^-) is that of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ (resp. $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^4$). Details concerning the geometry of these domains can be found in Ref. [17].

Remark 12. In the discussion above, we dealt only with the equivalence relation $\approx$. In projective geometry, however, one usually works with the weaker relation $\sim$. The standard projective map can be developed in an analogous way to the construction given above.

Remark 13. The manifolds $\Sigma_{\pm}$, whose topological types are $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, are non-compact. They are naturally connected with the Möbius geometry of hyperboloids in Minkowski space. There is, however, a broader framework, namely Lie sphere geometry, initiated by Sophus Lie and further developed by Wilhelm Blaschke and Thomas E. Cecil [4]. Adopting the Lie sphere viewpoint, one arrives at a projectivized null cone in a seven-dimensional space, which produces compactified versions of $\Sigma_{\pm}$. These compact models carry conformal structures invariant under $O(4,3)$ (respectively, $O(5,2)$). Upon restricting the symmetry group to $O(4,2)$, we then recover the compactified counterparts of our pseudo-Riemannian five-dimensional manifolds $\Sigma_{\pm}$.

10. Conclusions

In this work, the conformal compactification of Minkowski space has been revisited in an explicit and pedagogical manner, starting from the projective null cone in $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ and its identification with the unitary group $U(2)$. The role of the double cover $\tilde{M} \simeq S^3 \times S^1$ has been clarified, with particular emphasis on the effectiveness of the $SO(4,2)$ action and on the detailed geometry of conformal infinity, described in terms of horn and needle Dupin cyclides. By combining concrete coordinate constructions, explicit matrix formulas for the conformal group and its subgroups, and a coordinate-free reformulation in the spirit of Kopczyński and Woronowicz, the paper aims to provide a transparent geometric picture that can serve both as a reference and as a pedagogical introduction to conformal compactification in mathematical relativity and conformal field theory.

Several aspects of the constructions presented here are closely related to earlier analyses in mathematical physics and conformal geometry, and the reader may consult [18–28] for complementary perspectives.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Acknowledgments: Thanks are due to R. Coquereaux for their interest and for a stimulating discussion on related subjects at the early stage of this work. I wish to thank my wife, Laura, for her constant support. Thanks are also due to anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions. This work was supported by Quantum Future Group Inc.

Conflicts of Interest: Author Arkadiusz Jadczyk was employed by the company Quantum Future Group Inc. The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Penrose, R.; Rindler, W. *Spinors and Space-Time*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1986.
2. Uhlmann, A. The Closure of Minkowski Space. *Acta Phys. Pol.* **1963**, XXIV, 295–296.
3. Barbot, T.; Charette, V.; Drumm, T.; M. Goldmann, W.N.; Melnick, K. A Primer on the (2+1) Einstein Universe. Available online: <https://math.uni.lu/~melnick/docs/esi.pdf> (accessed on 8 February 2026).
4. Cecil, T.E. *Lie Sphere Geometry*, 2nd ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2008.
5. Morava, J. At the boundary of Minkowski space. *arXiv* **2021**, arXiv:2111.08053. [PubMed]
6. Lester, J.A. Conformal Minkowski Space-time. *Il Nuovo C* **1982**, 72, 261–272. [CrossRef]
7. Kopczyński, W.; Woronowicz, L.S. A Geometrical Approach to the Twistor Formalism. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **1971**, 2, 35–51. [CrossRef]
8. Lester, J.A. Orthochronous Subgroups of $O(p, q)$. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **1993**, 36, 111–113. [CrossRef]
9. Shirokov, D.S. Lectures on Clifford Algebras and Spinors. Available online: https://www.mathnet.ru/php/archive.phtml?wshow=paper&jrnid=lkn&paperid=19&option_lang=eng (accessed on 8 February 2026). (In Russian)
10. Mneimné, R.; Testard, F. *Groupes de Lie Classiques*; Éditions Hermann: Paris, France, 2009.
11. Werth, J.E. Conformal Group Actions and Segal’s Cosmology. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **1986**, 23, 257–268. [CrossRef]
12. Artmann, B. Pictures of the Projective Plane. In *Beliefs and Mathematics: Festschrift in Honor of Günter Törner’s 60th Birthday*; Törner, G., Sriraman, B., Eds.; Information Age: Charlotte, NC, USA, 2008. Available online: https://www.math-art.eu/Documents/pdfs/Artmann_Monograph3_pp.3_16.pdf (accessed on 25 February 2026).

13. Hilbert, D.; Cohn-Vossen, S. *Geometry and the Imagination*; Ams Chelsea: Providence, RI, USA, 1999.
14. Schrott, M.; Odehnal, B. Ortho-Circles of Dupin Cyclides. *J. Geom. Graph.* **2006**, *1*, 73–98. Available online: <http://www.heldermann-verlag.de/jgg/jgg10/j10h1schr.pdf> (accessed on 8 February 2026).
15. Feréol, R. Cyclide de Dupin. Available online: <https://www.mathcurve.com/surfaces/cyclidedupin/cyclidedupin.shtml> (accessed on 8 February 2026).
16. Segal, I.E. *Mathematical Cosmology and Extragalactic Astronomy*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1976.
17. Jadczyk, A. START in a five-dimensional conformal domain. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **2012**, *22*, 689–701. [CrossRef]
18. Berestovskiĭ, V.N. To the Segal Chronometric Theory. *Sib. Adv. Math.* **2023**, *33*, 165–180. [CrossRef]
19. Müller, T.; Weiskopf, D. Detailed Study of Null and Timelike Geodesics in the Alcubierre Warp Spacetime. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **2011**, *44*, 509–533. [CrossRef]
20. Huggett, S.A.; Tod, K.P. *An Introduction to Twistor Theory*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1994.
21. Daigneault, A. Irving Segal’s Axiomatization of Spacetime and Its Cosmological Consequences. *arXiv* **2005**, arXiv:gr-qc/0512059.
22. Ingraham, R.L. Conformal Relativity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1952**, *38*, 921–925. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Ingraham, R.L. Particle Masses and the Fifth Dimension. *Ann. Fond. Louis Broglie* **2000**, *29*, 989–1004.
24. Hartle, J.B. *Gravity: An Introduction to Einstein’s General Relativity*; Mathematica Notebooks; Cambridge Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2021. Available online: <https://web.physics.ucsb.edu/~gravitybook/mathematica.html> (accessed on 8 February 2026).
25. Wolf, J.A. *Spaces of Constant Curvature*; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 2023.
26. Elstrodt, J.; Grunewald, F.; Mennicke, J. *Groups Acting on Hyperbolic Space*; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013.
27. Tod, P. Penrose’s Weyl Curvature Hypothesis and Conformally—Cyclic Cosmology. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2010**, *229*, 02013. [CrossRef]
28. Penrose, R. On the Gravitization of Quantum Mechanics 2: Conformal Cyclic Cosmology. *Found. Phys.* **2013**, *44*, 873–890. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.