Simulation and reconstruction of particle trajectories in the CEPC drift chamber

Meng‑Yao Liu1 · Wei‑Dong Li2,3 · Xing‑Tao Huang1 · Yao Zhang2,3 · Tao Lin2,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6450-9629) · Ye Yuan2,3

Received: 12 February 2024 / Revised: 22 March 2024 / Accepted: 21 April 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

The circular electron-positron collider (CEPC) is designed to precisely measure the properties of the Higgs boson, study electroweak interactions at the Z-boson peak, and search for new physics beyond the Standard Model. As a component of the 4th conceptual CEPC detector, the drift chamber facilitates the measurement of charged particles. This study implemented a Geant4-based simulation and track reconstruction for the drift chamber. For the simulation, detector construction and response were implemented and added to the CEPC simulation chain. The development of track reconstruction involves track fnding using the combinatorial Kalman flter method and track ftting using the tool of GenFit. Using the simulated data, the tracking performance was studied. The results showed that both the reconstruction resolution and tracking efficiency satisfed the requirements of the CEPC experiment.

Keywords CEPC · Drift chamber · Detector simulation · Track reconstruction

1 Introduction

In 2012, the discovery of the Higgs boson by the ATLAS [\[1](#page-12-0)] and CMS [[2\]](#page-12-1) experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [[3\]](#page-12-2) confrmed the predictions of the Standard Model (SM) [[4\]](#page-12-3) with tremendous success. However, the Standard Model does not adequately explain fundamental physical phenomena such as dark matter, dark energy, and neutrino oscillations. With the distinct properties predicted in different theoretical models, the Higgs boson has become a critical piece of the puzzle in the search for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM) [\[5](#page-12-4), [6\]](#page-13-0). There are several future collider experiments, including Future Circular Collider (FCC) [[7](#page-13-1)], Circular Electron-Positron Collider (CEPC) [\[8\]](#page-13-2), International Linear Collider (ILC) [[9\]](#page-13-3), and Compact

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Nos. 12025502 and 12341504).

- ¹ Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science, Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China
- ² Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China
- ³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Linear Collider (CLIC) [[10](#page-13-4)], which aim to precisely measure the properties of the Higgs boson $[11–14]$ $[11–14]$ $[11–14]$ $[11–14]$. The CEPC is a 100 km circular electron-positron collider proposed by Chinese physicists. It has been designed to provide electronpositron collisions at a central mass energy of approximately 240 GeV for the Higgs boson study through the e^+e^- → *ZH* process, as well as collisions at the Z-boson peak for precise electroweak physics measurements.

The abundant physics research programs at CEPC impose stringent requirements on detector performance. The detailed performance requirements can be found in the CEPC Conceptual Design Report (CEPC CDR) [[8\]](#page-13-2) published in October 2018. For the tracker, a tracking efficiency of better than 99% is required for charged particles with a transverse momentum greater than 1 GeV, and momentum resolutions of the reconstructed tracks should be achieved per mille level.

The 4th conceptual detector is another option for detector design, which was proposed based on the CEPC CDR. The frst three detector designs do not include a drift chamber, which is an innovative detector design. Other important parameters, such as the magnetic feld and materials, are consistent with the CEPC CDR and will be updated based on performance testing and future research. As shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0), the detector design comprises seven subdetectors. From the innermost to outermost radii, they comprise the VerteX Detector

 \boxtimes Xing-Tao Huang huangxt@sdu.edu.cn

(VXD), Silicon Internal Tracker (SIT), Drift Chamber (DC), Silicon External Tracker (SET), Transverse Crystal-bar Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL), Scintillator Glass Hadronic Calorimeter (HCAL), and Muon Tracker. The coil of a 3 Tesla superconducting magnet is located outside the ECAL, and a fux return yoke is embedded in the Muon Tracker. One of the characteristics of this detector is the combination of silicon trackers with a multilayer drift chamber to achieve a higher performance for both tracking and particle identifcation. For this detector design, the DC functions as a tracking detector that provides an accurate measurement of the position of a charged particle $[15-17]$ $[15-17]$ and is applied to identify the particle according to the number of ionization clusters lying on the particle track [[18](#page-13-9)].

Both the detector design and potential physics studies require strong support from detector simulation and event reconstruction. Therefore, software development for DC simulation and track reconstruction is critical for CEPC Research and Development (CEPC R &D). This study elucidates the details of the implementation of the DC software and is organized as follows. Section [2](#page-1-1) provides an overview of the CEPC software and the workfow of ofine data processing. In Sect. [3,](#page-2-0) the DC simulation is introduced, including a geometry description [[19](#page-13-10)], detector construction, and digitization [[20](#page-13-11)]. Then, Sect. [4](#page-5-0) describes the implementation of track reconstruction, including track fnding based on a combinatorial Kalman flter (CKF) [\[21](#page-13-12), [22](#page-13-13)] and track ftting using GenFit [\[23](#page-13-14)]. Finally, the physics performance is presented in Sect. [5,](#page-8-0) followed by a conclusion in Sect. [6](#page-11-0).

2 Software overview

CEPC Software (CEPCSW) [\[24](#page-13-15)] is an offline software system developed to support data processing and analysis in the CEPC experiments. The components in the data processing chain include physics generators, Geant4-based detector simulation [[25,](#page-13-16) [26\]](#page-13-17), beam-related background mixing, fast simulation, machine learning interfaces, and event reconstruction. The current software architecture of CEPCSW is illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-1-2) All software tools and interfaces in the fgure were developed to satisfy the requirements of the CEPC program. They are closely related to either detector design or other scientifc activities, such as potential physics studies and test-beam data analysis.

The CEPCSW is fully integrated with Key4hep [[27\]](#page-13-18), a common software stack developed for future high-energy physics (HEP) experiments. The primary parts of the CEPCSW core software include GeomSvc, k4FWCore, EDM4hep [[28\]](#page-13-19), and Gaudi [[29](#page-13-20)] framework. GeomSvc is a

Fig. 2 Architecture of the CEPCSW

service through which tracking algorithms can access the detector geometry from DD4hep [\[30](#page-13-21)]. Edm4hep functions as an event data model; however, it also provides bidirectional conversion between persistent data and data objects in memory, which are handled by k4FWcore. Gaudi is the underlying software framework responsible for defning the interfaces for all software components, managing data objects in memory, and controlling the execution of applications.

The data processing flow of single-particle events is shown in Fig. [3](#page-2-1) and comprises four steps. Event generation produces a list of particles, each of which is generated by a single interaction with a vertex located at the geometric origin. In the next step, these generated events are passed into the simulation, where each particle is propagated through the detector using Geant4. During this process, the simulated interactions between the particles and the detector are recorded. In the digitization step, the responses of the elementary detector modules are modeled. In addition to Monte Carlo (MC) hits from signal events, digitization also accepts hits from background events as input. In the fnal step, the reconstruction reads the charge and/or time information and generates tracks and showers for the tracking detector and calorimeter, respectively.

As mentioned above, EDM4hep is adopted as the event data model, which describes the event data generated at each step as well as the relationships between two successive steps. Additional helper classes are required to facilitate

Fig. 3 Data processing flow. The blue rectangles represent data processing algorithms, while the green ellipses defne the data objects produced by the last step and used by the followed step

the rapid navigation between a generated event and its corresponding reconstructed event.

The detector is described using DD4hep to ensure that an identical detector geometry is used for diferent applications in the workfow. The core element is based on ROOT TGeo [[31\]](#page-13-22) with various types of extensions to provide a consistent detector description for simulation, reconstruction, and analysis. DDG4 is used for detector simulation to convert the TGeo-based geometry to the Geant4 geometry, whereas DDRec is employed to provide higher-level detector geometry, for example, information for subdetector components.

3 Drift chamber simulation

The detector simulation relies on the Geant4 simulation toolkit, which provides physics models and realizes particle transportation through geometry. In the CEPCSW, the Geant4 run manager is wrapped with a Gaudi service, facilitating the Gaudi framework in controlling the event loop of the simulation. This service is responsible for initializing the geometry, physics lists, and user actions of Geant4. It also provides standard user interfaces for interacting with Geant4. Because of the simulation service, only the detector geometry and response must be implemented for a specifc detector. A precise description of the detector geometry should contain exact knowledge of the position, shape, dimension, and material content of every detector component. The digitization algorithm is invoked when the energy deposited in the sensitive regions of the detector increases above a preconfgured threshold within a particular time window. The digitization output may have provided a detailed signal shape during this period.

3.1 Detector geometry

The DC is surrounded by silicon detectors in both the barrel and end-cap regions, covering the radial range of 800–1,800 mm and the *Z* range of \pm 2980 mm. The detailed parameters of the DC are listed in Table [1](#page-3-0).

A small cell design is selected to obtain a sufficient number of track hits at the outer radius. The ratio of the half-height to the half-width (the distance between a sense wire and its neighboring field wire in the $r - \phi$ plane) is approximately 1; thus, the shape of the drift cell is almost square. The drift cell contains a sense wire surrounded by eight feld wires. In total, there are 25,357 drift cells of size $18 \text{ mm} \times 18 \text{ mm}$. To solve the ambiguity [[32\]](#page-13-23) caused by multiple hits in the same layer, the drift cells between neighboring layers are offset by the half-width.

Because stereo wires have technical advantages in measuring the positions of charged tracks in the *Z*-direction, the DC is composed purely of stereo wires. All stereo wires are

organized into 55 coaxial layers. The stereo angle on each layer varies as 0.028–0.062 rad, and the wires on any two neighboring layers are tilted in opposite directions.

Materials with low densities and atomic numbers are primarily considered to minimize multiple scattering. Both the inner and outer cylinders are made of carbon fbers. The working gas for the DC is a mixture of helium and C_4H_{10} at a ratio of 90:10. The sense wire is made of gold-plated tungsten with a diameter of 20 μ m, and the field wire is made of silver-plated aluminum with a diameter of 40 μ m.

The DC is described using DD4hep, and the detector geometry parameters, such as dimensions, materials, and sensitive detectors, are stored in XML (eXtensible Markup Language) [\[33](#page-13-24)] format. XML serves as a compact fle for DD4hep and the syntactic structure of the compact XML description is obtained from the SiD [[34](#page-13-25)] detector description. Therefore, the detector design is associated with a set of corresponding XML fles. By versioning the changes in the set of XML fles, the geometric version can be easily controlled.

In the simulation, the DC is constructed by parsing the geometric parameters from the XML fles using a specialized detector constructor, creating individual components, and placing them accurately in the correct positions to form a complete virtual detector. The construction process is as follows. A cylindrical-shaped volume is created according to the maximum and minimum radii and length of the DC. Subsequently, within the holy volume, wire layers with thicknesses of 18 mm are created at diferent radii, each of which is represented by a hollow cylinder. For a specifc layer, the sense and feld wires are directly constructed as tubs and placed at the right locations. The feld wires are shared between neighboring cells with each feld wire assigned to one drift cell as an entire tube. Because of the large number of wires, if every drift cell is created independently, it deteriorates the performance in terms of both speed and memory. To solve this problem, an alternative method is implemented to frst construct the layers and then divide each layer into drift cells by utilizing DDSegmentation [\[30](#page-13-21)] from DD4hep.

After the geometrical model for the DC is built, the Geant4 visualization tool can be used to produce graphical representations of the detector and draw views and sections of the detector. Figure [4](#page-4-0)a shows a visualization of the sense and feld wires created in the simulation, illustrating the $r - \phi$ projection of the proportion of the first ten layers of wires. The sense wires of each layer forms a rotating hyperboloid surface, and Fig. [4b](#page-4-0) shows the sense wires in the frst layer. With the help of the visualization tool, the parameters of all individual geometrical entities can be displayed and checked. Careful validation of the geometry lays a solid foundation for the next steps in digitization and tracking.

3.2 Digitization

The DC is used to measure the spatial coordinates of the trajectory of a charged particle. This is achieved by detecting the ionization electrons produced by the charged particles in the gas of the DC and measuring their drift time and arrival positions on the sense wires.

In the context of detector simulation, digitization refers to the simulation of the detector response. For the DC, an accurate simulation of the drift time is particularly important. Because the CEPC detector R &D is in its early stages, a detailed detector design is still not available. A simplifed digitization method is implemented to support the development of the tracking algorithm. Its workflow is illustrated in Fig. [5a](#page-4-1).

In the simulation using Geant4, a small step size, i.e., 0.5 mm) is selected to simulate the passage of charged particles through the DC. When the particle enters the drift cell, the distance between each Geant4 step and the sense wire of the cell is recorded. The smallest distance, referred to as doca (the distance of closest approach), represents the closest approach of the particle trajectory to the sense wire as

Fig. 4 (Color online) **a** Projection of the frst 10 layers of the DC in the $r - \phi$ plane. The red dots represent sense wires, while the green dots represent feld wires. **b** Visualization of sense wires in the frst layer. The skew is exaggerated

shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-1)b, and is considered as the drift distance of this hit. The doca is smeared using a Gaussian function with a width equivalent to the wire resolution set to $110 \mu m$. The drift time is calculated using the space-time relation (X-T relation) [\[35\]](#page-13-26). In this study, a relatively simple linear X-T relationship is used.

During digitization, the efects of various types of background events are considered. The hits from a signal event are overlaid with those from additional background events before the detector response was calculated. The background primarily originates from the minimum bias and beam-gas interactions. In this study, background events are assumed to be randomly distributed within a time window of 2,000 ns. For simplicity, track recognition is only performed on the group of the fastest hits, each of which has the minimum drift time among all hits belonging to a drift cell. Therefore, during the digitization stage, when a background hit precedes that of a signal within the same drift cell, the signal hit is overridden by the background hit. Furthermore, the default wire efficiency is assumed to be 100% , and all subsequent results are based on this assumption.

Fig. 5 a The specifc workfow of digitization. **b** Schematic diagram of the doca

4 Track reconstruction

Track reconstruction is among the most crucial data processing tasks in HEP experiments because it facilitates high-precision position and momentum measurements and identifcation of charged particles [\[36](#page-13-27)]. A tracking algorithm is developed to implement track reconstruction by combining the spatial measurements (hits) of a traversing particle into sets (tracks) to extract the kinematic properties of the particle. The process of track reconstruction comprises two primary stages: track fnding and track ftting, which complement each other to provide the most accurate track parameters.

At present, the track reconstruction for the DC is based on CKF, and it requires seed tracks provided by the silicon trackers. Because silicon trackers can offer high-precision measurements with high efficiency, using silicon tracks as seeds fully meets the requirements raised by the development of the DC track reconstruction algorithm. We plan to develop a dedicated seed-fnding algorithm for the DC track reconstruction.

4.1 Track parameterization

In a homogeneous magnetic feld, the motion of charged particles in the DC forms a trajectory that is described by the fve parameters of a helix, as shown in Eq. [1](#page-5-1). The frst three parameters of the equation describe the circle on the *X*-*Y* plane, as shown in Fig. [6,](#page-5-2) and the last two parameters describe the information along the Z direction of the trajectory, following the conventions used by the BaBar experiment [[37](#page-13-28)].

Fig. 6 Description of the helix parameters (d_0, ϕ_0) and the position of the POCA (the point of closest approach)

$$
\mathbf{P} \equiv (d_0, \phi_0, \omega, z_0, \tan \lambda)^{\mathrm{T}}.
$$
 (1)

The defnition of each parameter is as follows:

- \bullet *d*₀ is the signed distance from the center of the projected circle of the helix to the reference point on the *X*-*Y* plane. Here, the interaction point (IP) is considered as the reference point.
- ϕ ₀ is the azimuth angle of the center of the projected circle of the helix relative to the IP on the *X*-*Y* plane.
- ω is the curvature of the trajectory in the *X-Y* plane. Further, the sign represents the charge of the trajectory assigned by track ftting.
- z_0 is the signed distance between the helix and the IP along the *Z* direction.
- $\tan \lambda$ is the slope of the helix, that is, the inclination angle of the projection of the helix on the *R*-*Z* plane.

Because of material effects and magnetic field inhomogeneity, the real trajectory is not a perfect helix, causing these fve parameters to change along the fight path of the trajectory. For the tracking algorithms, all DC hits are considered as measurement data. In this study, charged particles with transverse momentum greater than 0.8 GeV are used. This eliminates the possibility of multiple circular tracks, thus allowing the track to be accurately described by track parameters at the frst DC hit. The track parameters are interconverted with the position and momentum of the hits by calling specifc functions using the calculation formula referenced in [[38\]](#page-13-29).

4.2 Extension of geometry interfaces

The track reconstruction process considers the interaction with materials, such as energy loss and multiple scattering, which are highly dependent on the geometry, materials, and nonuniform magnetic feld of the DC. To obtain these information, the following extended interfaces are developed by inheriting from GenFit. This because the tracking algorithm is based on the GenFit software package, and a detailed explanation of GenFit is presented in Sect. [4.4:](#page-7-0)

- CEPCMagneticFieldProvider: This class inherits from AbsBField of GenFit, and provides the magnetic feld strength at specifc positions by integrating with DD4hep. It accepts the position as input and calculates the magnetic feld strength from the magnetic feld map of the detector.
- CEPCMaterialProvider: This class inherits from AbsMaterialInterface of GenFit, and obtains the geometry and material information of the DC based on GeomSvc.

4.3 Track fnding

The mission of track fnding is to rapidly and accurately determine the potential DC hits produced by the same charged track based on candidate seed tracks [[39](#page-13-30)]. DC track fnding is implemented using three components, as shown in Fig. [7:](#page-6-0) fnding DC hits with CKF, salvaging DC hits, and appending SET hits.

4.3.1 Hits fnding

DC hit finding is among the main procedures in DC track fnding, and it is implemented by reusing the CKF algorithm adopted from Belle II [[40](#page-13-31)]. The CKF is an iterative local algorithm, frst presented in [[41](#page-13-32)], and widely used in HEP experiments. The CKF starts with a seed estimation of track parameters with uncertainties and then extrapolates the track into the detector volume using the Runge–Kutta–Nyström method [\[42](#page-13-33)].

In CEPCSW, the implementation of the CKF algorithm involves three main tasks: the acquisition of geometry information for the DC, input/output (I/O) management, and optimization of various parameters. The DC geometry can be obtained by implementing classes as introduced in Sect. [4.2.](#page-5-3) In addition, the I/O of the CKF algorithm exists in the Belle II data format, thus completing the conversion between EDM4hep and Belle II data model. Because of the diferent geometrical designs, working gas, and magnetic felds, the judgment criteria and standards of the CKF are

Track Finding

Seed tracks from VXD and SIT

DC hit finding with CKF

DC hits salvaging

SET hit appending

optimized, and new thresholds are confgured to adapt them to the CEPC DC, for example, the extrapolation track length, chi-squared (χ^2) value, and doca.

In this study, fve hypotheses are considered: electron, muon, pion, kaon, and proton. A schematic and brief overview of the track-fnding process are illustrated in Fig. [8](#page-6-1). The primary tracking process begins with seed tracks, based on which the search roads are built in the DC. These seeds are extrapolated along the search roads using the CKF, which attempts to smoothen the track while iteratively searching for neighboring hits both outward and inward. After extrapolation, potential candidate hits are identifed based on the drift distance, current position, and uncertainties of the candidate track. When multiple candidate hits are found during the propagation step, a prediction is made for each candidate hit using the predicted track parameters and measured values to assess the next candidate hit. Subsequently, a new candidate hit is added to the track and the process is repeated. In cases involving multiple mutually exclusive next-candidate hits, the entire candidate track is duplicated and subsequently treated as two separate tracks. Finally, the fnal candidate track is selected based on various quality criteria, such as the residual distance between the extrapolated and measured hit positions, extrapolated track length, the doca, etc.

This process produces a set of potential candidate hits, which are then further refned. The advantage of the CKF algorithm is its fast running speed. However, it is difficult to

Track fitting with GenFit Reconstructed track

П

Fig. 7 Workflow of track reconstruction

DD4hep

GenFit

Fig. 8 (Color online) Schematic of track-fnding process. For better visibility, the schematic shows only a few hits in the DC. First, determining the DC hits (the red dots) using CKF is based on the seed track (the blue curve), and a rough track (the red curve) is ftted using GenFit. Subsequently, the remaining hits are determined using the hits salvaging algorithm. By extrapolating the track to the remaining hits (the green dots), it is determined whether they belong to the track based on criteria, such as, the doca, residual of the extrapolated distance, etc.

reliably determine all DC hits because the CKF algorithm uses restrictive conditions, leading to only one hit being found when multiple hits are present within the same layer. These conditions result in low track quality, particularly for layers with stereo wires and low-momentum particles. Therefore, a hit salvaging algorithm is developed to solve this problem.

4.3.2 Hits salvaging and appending

The hit salvaging algorithm serves as a supplement to the hit-fnding algorithm and is developed independently to salvage more signal hits within the DC. This algorithm utilizes the preliminary results from the CKF, uses GenFit to perform rough track ftting, and extrapolates the track to the DC hits lost by the CKF.

During the examination, the hits are evaluated based on selection criteria, including the extrapolated track length and doca, to determine their association with the current track and to add them to the track. Subsequently, by reftting the previous track with the retrieved hits using GenFit, more precise track parameters can be obtained. These two steps can remove the majority of noise hits to achieve high hit purity.

Furthermore, the hit salvaging algorithm facilitates the enhancement of the overall accuracy and completeness of the track reconstruction process, improving track quality and hit efficiency (ϵ_{hit}). The hit efficiency is defined as Eq. [2](#page-7-1):

$$
\epsilon_{\text{hit}} = \frac{N_{\text{find}}}{N_{\text{MC}}},\tag{2}
$$

where N_{find} represents the number of found DC signal hits and N_{MC} represents the number of true DC hits. Figure [9](#page-7-2) shows the hit efficiency as a function of p_T measured using single *e*[−] events, which increased by approximately 26% at 2 GeV.

Next, the refned track is extrapolated to the SET plane using GenFit to determine the expected position of the SET hit. If the extrapolated hit position falls within 3σ of the actual hit position on the SET plane, the SET hit obtained by extrapolation is added to the track. This step enhances the accuracy and reliability of the candidate hits.

4.4 Track ftting

The track-ftting algorithm is performed to accurately determine the track parameters by fitting all the hits obtained in the aforementioned processes and to provide physics quantities such as momentum, vertex position, and error matrices of the track. Further, this enables the DC to exhibit good track resolution and kinematic discrimination capability [[43,](#page-13-34) [44](#page-13-35)]. In the track ftting process, the

Fig. 9 Hit efficiency as a function of p_T measured using single e^- , and the pink dots represent the hit efficiency with the hit salvaging algorithm, while the teal dots represent the hit efficiency without the hit salvaging algorithm

momentum, vertex position, and error matrix of the track are obtained using the method of least squares [[45](#page-13-36)]. The least squares method minimizes the χ^2 value to determine the parameter estimates for χ^2_{min} . χ^2 is defined as follows.

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\text{drift}_i - \text{doca}_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2.
$$
 (3)

where drift_i represents the fitted distance of the ith hit on the track, σ_i represents the measured error of the drift distance for the ith hit, and doca_i represents the distance between the *i*th hit sense wire and the fitted track in space, that is, the doca. The ftting process involves multiple iterations to adjust the track parameters until the ft converges.

During the track-ftting procedure, the correct handling of measurement uncertainties and all physical efects disturbing the helical path of the track must be considered to arrive at a correct description of the track; these efects are highly dependent on the geometry of the detector and the non-uniform magnetic feld. Considering all the physical efects renders the mathematical description of the track model very complicated and allows only a numerical calculation. Dedicated ftting algorithms based on GenFit are developed for this purpose because GenFit can accurately determine the track parameters of charged particles using spatial coordinate measurements provided by detectors. The GenFit package is integrated into CEPCSW by extending the necessary interfaces.

4.4.1 Extension of GenFit interfaces for CEPC

The confguration of GenFit necessitates a large amount of DC information, including the geometry parameters, detector materials, strength distribution of the magnetic feld, position of the sense wires, and drift distance of hits, etc. Further, to facilitate the integration of GenFit into CEPCSW, seamless data conversion is necessary. Thus, the following GenFit interface is developed:

- CEPCToGenFitHitConverter: Extracting the necessary information based on the TrackerHit object [\[28\]](#page-13-19) of EDM-4hep, and creating a corresponding GenftHit object which is used as the input of track ftting algorithm. It implements the data model conversion from EDM4hep to GenFit at the level of the hit.
- CEPCToGenFitTrackConverter: Storing the results of the track ftting algorithm, including general information (number of iterations, convergence, etc.), fit status (χ^2) , NDF, *p*-value, track length, etc.), and constructing a Track object [\[28\]](#page-13-19) as the output, to achieve data model conversion at the level of the track.
- WireMeasurementDC: Inheriting from WireMeasurement [[23](#page-13-14)], which is used for measurements in wire detectors (such as straw tubes and drift chambers). To use this class, a plane must be described by the *u* and *v* axis with *v* coincident with the wire (and *u* orthogonal to it, obviously). This is because it is not valid for arbitrary choices of plane orientation.
- GenfitFitter: Inheriting from AbsKalmanFitter, which is used to call the ftter in the GenFit package, and enabling the confguration of the magnetic feld, material efects, and ftter type.

The interfaces for obtaining material and magnetic feld information are introduced in Sect. [4.2](#page-5-3), and Fig. [10](#page-8-1) shows the data flow during the track-fitting process.

After ftting, GenftTrack objects contain a large amount of data: track representations, TrackPoint objects [[23](#page-13-14)] with measurements, FitterInfo objects [\[23](#page-13-14)] that contain all ftter-specifc information, and the ftting status used to assess the quality of the ftting. In addition, the ftted drift distance for each hit is obtained, which is useful for subsequent hit selection process. By analyzing this information, the tracks are reconstructed with high track efficiency.

5 Tracking performance

To evaluate the performance of the tracking algorithm, samples including single-particle events and physics process events are generated. Tracks reconstructed using VXD and SIT are used as candidate seed tracks, and the

Fig. 10 Data flow of track fitting process

performance of the tracking algorithm is assessed under various conditions.

5.1 Data samples

Two types of samples are produced to quantify tracking performance. The frst sample encompasses fve types of single particles (e^- , μ^- , π^- , K^- , and p) generated using the particle gun of Geant4. The second sample comprises physics events, specifically the $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$, $H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process, which is generated using the Whizard [[46\]](#page-13-37) generator.

Each single-particle event is generated based on the specified polar angle θ and transverse momentum p_T , whereas the azimuthal angle ϕ is uniformly distributed from $[-\pi, \pi)$. The barrel components of SIT and SET provide precise hit positions inside and outside the DC, improving the reconstruction efficiency, particularly for low-momentum charged particles. Therefore, single-particle events with $\cos \theta < 0.776$ correspond to the barrel region of the DC with a transverse momentum p_T in the range of [2, 50] GeV.

The $H \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process is a clean physics channel with a simple fnal state, which renders it suitable for testing the algorithm performance. The $H \to \mu^+\mu^-$ events are generated by Whizard at leading-order precision, considering the initial state radiation and fnal state radiation processes during event generation, as well as the 0.16% energy spread of the electron beam caused by beam-synchrotron radiation in CEPC.

5.2 Quality of track ftting

To ensure the reliability of track ftting, it is essential to perform a goodness-of-ft test, which typically includes two components: the χ^2 test and reduced residuals (pull) test. The χ^2 value is provided by GenfitTrack object after the ftting. The reduced residuals (pull) are defned as follows:

$$
\text{pull} = \frac{v_{\text{fit}} - v_{\text{meas}}}{\left[\sigma_{\text{fit}}^2 - \sigma_{\text{meas}}^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}},\tag{4}
$$

where v_{fit} represents the fitted value obtained from the track reconstruction and v_{mea} is the measured value obtained from the simulation in the previous section. In addition, $v_{\text{fit}} - v_{\text{meas}}$ is the residual between the ftted and measured values, and σ_{fit} and σ_{meas} denote the standard deviations of the fitted and measured values, respectively. The pull distribution conforms to a standard normal distribution with a good ft, indicating that most of the residuals are approximately zero and exhibit a symmetrical shape. The pull test is used to examine track momentum, drift distance, and track parameters. To calculate the pull, the true value is considered with a standard deviation of 0. Consequently, Eq. [\(4](#page-9-0)) is simplifed to

$$
\text{pull} = \frac{P_{\text{fit}} - P_{\text{meas}}}{\sigma_{\text{fit}}},\tag{5}
$$

where P_{fit} expresses the fitted value and P_{meas} denotes the measured value.

The momentum resolution is an important metric in track reconstruction. Figure [11](#page-9-1) shows the residual distribution between the transverse momentum of the ftted and truth tracks for single μ^- with 10 GeV. The distribution closely follows a Gaussian distribution, yielding a momentum resolution of 14.0 MeV, which satisfes the performance requirements of the CEPC tracking system.

Spatial resolution is a critical standard that represents the accuracy of spatial position reconstruction. Figure [12](#page-9-2) shows the residual distribution between the ftted and drift distances, which also exhibit a Gaussian distribution. The spatial resolution achieved is 106 μm, which is slightly below the set value of $110 \mu m$. The method of fitting tracks containing measurement points [\[47](#page-13-38)], where the construction of χ^2 in the least-squares fit includes the contribution of the measurement points, can result in an underestimation of the spatial resolution compared to the true value in the reconstruction process.

5.3 Track parameters resolution

The main results of the track reconstruction are the fve track parameters as well as their distribution and resolution, which are related to the performance of the physics analysis. Owing to the infuence of multiple scattering, correlations are observed between the track parameters, with signifcant correlations among the parameters within the same plane and smaller correlations between the parameters of two diferent planes. Furthermore, the geometric curvature κ (= 1/ ω) of the track is related to transverse momentum p_{T} , where $\kappa = q/p_T$. Because the reconstructed instances use the same charge, the resolution of the transverse momentum p_T of the reconstructed track indirectly refects the resolution of κ . The following resolutions for d_0 , z_0 , and transverse momentum p_T are provided to verify the results of the track reconstruction.

Fig. 11 Blue dots represent the residual distribution between p_{Tree} and p_{TMC} for single μ^- with 10 GeV. p_{Trec} and p_{TMC} represent the transverse momentum of the ftted track and the true track, respectively. The orange line represents the ftted Gaussian function

Fig. 12 Blue dots represent the residual distribution between the ftted and drift distances for single μ [−] with 10 GeV. The orange line represents the ftted Gaussian function

The resolution of the track parameters is obtained by ftting the distribution of the track parameters residuals using a Gaussian function. In the top panels of Fig. [13,](#page-10-0) the resolutions of the impact parameters d_0 , z_0 and the relative resolution of p_T are shown as functions of the particle p_T . The bottom panels of Fig. [13](#page-10-0) display the resolutions of the impact parameters d_0 , z_0 at a fixed transverse momentum $p_T = 8$ GeV and the relative resolution of p_T as a function of the polar angle $|\cos \theta|$. For each p_T and $|\cos \theta|$, a sample of 20 k events of single μ [−] is generated. Owing to the increased material effect, the resolution of d_0 , z_0 deteriorates at lower p_T and larger $|\cos\theta|$. The relative resolution of p_T is dependent on p_T because the curvature of the track on the *X*-*Y* plane is dominated by the multiple-scattering effect. In the high-momentum region, the momentum resolution is dominated by the single-point resolution of the tracks, resulting in worse resolution with an increase in p_T .

5.4 Tracking efficiency

Another important criterion for evaluating the track reconstruction performance is the tracking efficiency(ϵ), which is related to the tracking algorithm, particle type, and particle momentum. Before analyzing track efficiency, it is essential to establish a defnition of a good track. A good track is defined as follows: the χ^2 value is below 400 and the number of DC signal hits on the track is more than six.

The definition of tracking efficiency ϵ is

$$
\epsilon(\%) = \frac{N_{\text{rec}}}{N_{\text{seed}}},\tag{6}
$$

where N_{seed} is the number of satisfactory seed tracks successfully reconstructed using VXD and SIT, and *N*rec is the number of reconstructed good-tracks.

As material effects easily lead to the generation of secondary particles, resulting in inconsistencies between the reconstructed track using MC particles as the seed and true tracks, high-quality track events are selected. When a charged particle enters the DC with minimal energy loss, it is considered to be a high-quality track, which is evaluated by $(p_{\text{mc}} - p_{\text{first}})/p_{\text{mc}}$, where p_{mc} represents the true momentum and p_{first} represents the momentum of the first DC hit.

Figure [14](#page-11-1) shows the track efficiency as a function of p_T for the five types of single particles, and the track efficiency for all types particles remains consistently above 99.5%

Fig. 13 Resolution of *d*₀ (left panels), *z*₀ (middle panels) and relative resolution of *p*_T (right panels) for single μ [−] as function of particle *p*_T (top panels) and polar angle $|\cos\theta|$ (bottom panels), respectively

Fig. 14 Tracking efficiency as a function of p_T measured using single particles, including e^- , μ^- , π^- , K^- , p, without background

without background, particularly for single μ^- , and the track efficiency is approximately 100%. Further, when a particle goes through the DC, only the energy loss of the particle is considered. If other interactions occur in the DC material and new types of particles are generated, these events are not considered in the tracking efficiency calculations. Thus, no distinction is made between particles and antiparticles in this study. The evaluation of track reconstruction with the background is important, as the track reconstruction of a real detector is susceptible to the background from diferent sources, significantly impacting the accuracy of the reconstruction result. To assess the performance of the tracking algorithm with the background and measure its ability to

Fig. 15 Track efficiency for single μ [−] as a function of particle p_T . The light blue dots represent the results without background, and the light green dots represent the results with a noise level of 20%. For each p_T , a sample of 5k events is used for the study

remove noise, the tracking efficiency is tested at different background levels. Figure [15](#page-11-2) presents the track efficiency as a function of p_T for single μ^- , both without background and with a noise level of 20%. As evident, track efficiency is not signifcantly afected by the background, remaining consistently above 99.8%. This indicated the good stability and robustness of the tracking algorithm. The track multiplicity is a critical and signifcant issue, and preliminary research has been conducted. The generated MC events exhibit an average of 10 tracks with an average momentum of 2 GeV with a tracking efficiency of 99.6% . This suggests that the current track reconstruction algorithm can handle multiple tracks efectively and achieve good performance.

5.5 Physics event reconstruction

To verify the accuracy of the tracking algorithm in physics events, e^+e^- → ZH , $H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process is analyzed. Figure [16](#page-11-3) shows the reconstructed mass distribution of the Higgs boson ftted to the CrystalBall function. The mean value is measured at 125 GeV and aligned with the expected invariant mass of the Higgs boson. Further, the standard deviation is measured at 212.5 MeV.

Based on the aforementioned results and performance evaluations, it can be concluded that the tracking algorithm operates efectively and satisfes the performance requirements of the CEPC project.

6 Conclusion

This study proposes the design and implementation of the DC software for CEPC, comprising four building blocks: event generation, DC simulation, digitization, and track

Fig. 16 The reconstructed dimuon mass distributions from the $H \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ events

reconstruction. The software is developed using C++ and Python based on the Gaudi framework and relies on several external libraries, including Geant4, CLHEP, ROOT, BOOST, GenFit, EDM4hep, DD4hep, and Key4hep. A modular design style is adopted based on Gaudi dynamically loadable elements, which enhances the maintainability, extensibility, and reliability.

In the DC simulation, the DC geometry is constructed, including layers with stereo wires, materials, and magnetic feld, and DDSegmentation is used to divide the virtual drift cells, thereby reducing runtime and saving computational resources. Digitization is implemented to simulate the electronic readout, considering a simple uniformly random background. This renders the simulation closer to real data, and provides a large amount of simulated data for reconstruction and physics research.

The tracking algorithm is implemented using four main processes: fnding DC hits based on the CKF, salvaging DC hits lost by the CKF, appending the SET hit, and ftting the track using GenFit. First, DC hits are determined using CKF based on the seed tracks provided by the silicon detector. The CKF from Belle II is reused with the conversion of the data model, expansion of the geometry interface, and optimization of the confguration parameters, resulting in the overall best tracking performance. Second, an algorithm for salvaging DC hits is independently developed using GenFit for extrapolation, serving as a supplement to the hit-fnding algorithm, thereby significantly improving hit efficiency and track quality. A SET hit is then added to the track to improve the accuracy of the tracking algorithm. Finally, the tracking toolkit GenFit for track ftting is adopted at CEPC based on a 55-layers drift chamber, and for the frst time, a detailed introduction and application in high-energy physics experiments are demonstrated.

The performance of the tracking algorithm has been studied in addition to Geant4-based full simulation of particle interactions with the detectors and $H \to \mu^+\mu^-$ events. The ftted tracks are successfully ftted and converged, with a ftting success rate of 99.8%. The time required to reconstruct a single μ [−] event with 2 GeV, including track finding and track ftting, has an average of 0.294 s. This number is reasonable. The tracking efficiency is above 99.55% for five types of single particles (e^- , μ^- , π^- , K^- , p) and for single μ [−] remains above 99.80% with a noise level of 20%, which is consistent with the case without background. The track efficiency of 99.6% for multiple tracks events generated by 2 GeV μ^- , illustrates the track reconstruction algorithm can handle multitracks and exhibits good performance. When the momentum is 10 GeV and $|\cos \theta| = 0.09$, $\sigma_{p_{\text{T}}}/p_{\text{T}}$ is less than 0.14% which satisfes the performance requirements of CEPC. The reconstructed dimuon mass distributions from the $H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events are reasonable, with a sigma of 0.21 GeV. The results demonstrate that the tracking algorithm

exhibits an excellent performance, satisfes the performance requirements of the CEPC tracking system, and can be utilized for further research.

Further development will be based on more realistic simulation of detector response in the DC, and focus on the tracking performance considering wire efficiency and complex beam-induced backgrounds. Moreover, further optimization is required to improve the execution speed of the track reconstruction algorithm. Furthermore, a seed-fnding algorithm will be developed to provide seed tracks for DC track reconstruction.

Author Contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by Meng-Yao Liu, Yao Zhang, and Tao Lin. The frst draft of the manuscript was written by Meng-Yao Liu, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Data Availability The data that support the fndings of this study are openly available in Science Data Bank at [https://cstr.cn/31253.11.scien](https://cstr.cn/31253.11.sciencedb.j00186.00168) [cedb.j00186.00168](https://cstr.cn/31253.11.sciencedb.j00186.00168) and [https://doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.](https://doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.00168) [00168.](https://doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.j00186.00168)

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. G. Aad, T. Abajyan, B. Abbott et al., Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1–29 (2012). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020) [org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020)
- 2. S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A. Sirunyan et al., Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30–61 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021) [1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021)
- 3. L. Evans, P. Bryant, LHC machine. J. Instrum. **3**, S08001 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08001>
- 4. S.F. Novaes, Standard model: an introduction (2000). [arXiv:hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0001283)[ph/0001283](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0001283)
- 5. T.A. Collaboration, Beyond standard model Higgs boson searches at a high-luminosity LHC with ATLAS. Tech. rep., CERN, Geneva (2013)
- 6. H. Cheng, W.H. Chiu, Y. Fang et al., The physics potential of the CEPC. Prepared for the US Snowmass community planning exercise (Snowmass 2021) (2022). [arXiv:2205.08553](http://arxiv.org/abs/2205.08553)
- 7. M. Koratzinos, A. Blondel, A. Bogomyagkov et al., Progress in the FCC-ee interaction region magnet design. in Proceedings of International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC'17), Copenhagen, Denmark, 19 May, 2017, pp. 3003–3006. [https://doi.org/10.18429/](https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2017-WEPIK034) [JACoW-IPAC2017-WEPIK034](https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2017-WEPIK034)
- 8. T.C.S. Group, CEPC conceptual design report: vol. 2 - physics & detector (2018). [arXiv:1811.10545](http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.10545)
- 9. A. Djouadi, J. Lykken, K. Mönig et al., International linear collider reference design report volume 2: physics at the ILC (2007). [arXiv:](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1893) [0709.1893](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1893)
- 10. CERN, Cern yellow reports: monographs, vol2 (2018): the compact linear e⁺e-collider (clic) : 2018 summary report (1970). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.23731/CYRM-2018-002) [org/10.23731/CYRM-2018-002](https://doi.org/10.23731/CYRM-2018-002), [https://e-publishing.cern.ch/index.](https://e-publishing.cern.ch/index.php/CYRM/issue/view/66) [php/CYRM/issue/view/66](https://e-publishing.cern.ch/index.php/CYRM/issue/view/66)
- 11. Z.X. Chen, Y. Yang, M.Q. Ruan et al., Cross section and higgs mass measurement with higgsstrahlung at the CEPC. Chin. Phys. C **41**, 023003 (2017).<https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/41/2/023003>
- 12. T. Han, Z. Liu, J. Sayre, Potential precision on higgs couplings and total width at the ILC. Phys. Rev. D **89**, 113006 (2014). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.113006) [org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.113006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.113006)
- 13. F. An, Y. Bai, C. Chen et al., Precision higgs physics at the CEPC. Chin. Phys. C **43**, 043002 (2019). [https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/4/043002) [1137/43/4/043002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/4/043002)
- 14. Q. Sha, A. Fadol, F. Guo et al., Probing higgs cp properties at the cepc in the $e^+e^- \to zh \to l^+l^-h$ using optimal variables. Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 981 (2000).<https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10926-5>
- 15. M.Y. Dong, Z. Qin, X. Ma et al., A new inner drift chamber for besiii MDC, in International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series **31**, 1460309 (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1142/S201019451](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010194514603093) [4603093](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010194514603093)
- 16. L.M. Lyu, H. Yi, L.M. Duan et al., Simulation and prototype testing of multi-wire drift chamber arrays for the CEE. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **31**, 11 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-019-0716-x>
- 17. S.W. Tang, S.T. Wang, L.M. Duan et al., A tracking system for the external target facility of CSR. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **28**, 68 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-017-0217-8>
- 18. F. Cuna, N.D. Filippis, F. Grancagnolo et al., Simulation of particle identifcation with the cluster counting technique. (2021) [arXiv:](http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.07064) [2105.07064](http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.07064)
- 19. K.X. Huang, Z.J. Li, Z. Qian et al., Method for detector description transformation to unity and application in Besiii. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **33**, 142 (2022).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-022-01133-8>
- 20. J.Y. Zhao, N.N. Miao, L.H. Wu et al., Digitization modeling of a CGEM detector based on garfeld++ simulation. Radiat. Detect. Technol. Methods **4**, 174–181 (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41605-020-00166-0) [s41605-020-00166-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41605-020-00166-0)
- 21. N. Braun, Combinatorial kalman flter and high level trigger reconstruction for the belle II experiment. Springer Theses, 2009
- 22. X. Ai, C. Allaire, N. Calace et al., A common tracking software project (2021). [arXiv:2106.13593](http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.13593)
- 23. J. Rauch, T. Schlüter, GENFIT — a generic track-ftting toolkit. Journal Physics Conferences Series **608**, 012042 (2015). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/608/1/012042) [org/10.1088/1742-6596/608/1/012042](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/608/1/012042)
- 24. CEPCSW, CEPC ofine software prototype based on key4hep (2023).<https://github.com/cepc/CEPCSW/>
- 25. T. Lin, Y. Hu, M. Yu et al., Simulation software of the Juno experiment. Eur. Phys. J. C (2023). [https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11514-x) [s10052-023-11514-x](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11514-x)
- 26. X.F. Huang, H.B. Liu, J. Zhang et al., Simulation and photoelectron track reconstruction of soft x-ray polarimeter. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **32**, 67 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-021-00903-0>
- 27. V. Völkl, G. Ganis, B. Hegner et al., The Key4hep turnkey software stack. 41st International Conference on High Energy physics (ICHEP2022) **414**, 234 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.414.0234>
- 28. F. Gaede, T. Madlener, P.D. Fernandez et al., Edm4hep - a common event data model for HEP experiments. in Proceedings of 41st International Conference on High Energy physics - PoS(ICHEP2022) (2022).<https://doi.org/10.22323/1.414.1237>
- 29. G. Barrand, I. Belyaev, P. Binko et al., Gaudi - a software architecture and framework for building hep data processing applications. Comput. Phys. Commun. **140**, 45–55 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00254-5) [1016/S0010-4655\(01\)00254-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00254-5)
- 30. M. Frank, F. Gaede, C. Grefe et al., DD4hep: a detector description toolkit for high energy physics experiments, in Journal Physics Conferences Series **513**, 022010 (2014). [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/513/2/022010) [1742-6596/513/2/022010](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/513/2/022010)
- 31. H.K. Wu, C. Li, A root-based detector test system. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **32**, 115 (2021).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-021-00952-5>
- 32. X. Ai, X. Huang, Y. Liu, Implementation of acts for STCF track reconstruction. J. Instrum. **18**, P07026 (2023). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/07/P07026) [1088/1748-0221/18/07/P07026](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/07/P07026)
- 33. Wikipedia, The introduction of the xml fle (2023). [https://en.wikip](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/XML) [edia.org/wiki/XML](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/XML)
- 34. H. Aihara, P. Burrows, M. Oreglia et al., SiD letter of intent (2009). [arXiv:0911.0006](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.0006)
- 35. X.L. Kang, L.H. Wu, Z. Wu et al., Calibration study of the x-t relation for the besi II drift chamber. Chin. Phys. C **39**, 026002 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/39/2/026002>
- 36. Q.G. Liu, S.L. Zang, W.G. Li et al., Track reconstruction using the TSF method for the besi II main drift chamber. Chin. Phys. C **32**, 565 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/32/7/011>
- 37. D.N. Brown, E. Charles, D.A. Roberts, The babar track ftting algorithm (2000). https://chep2000.pd.infn.it/long_p/lpa_a328.pdf
- 38. L. Jia, Z. Mao, W. Li et al., Study of low momentum track reconstruction for the BES III main drift chamber. Chin. Phys. C **34**, 1866 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/34/12/014>
- 39. Q. Liu, M. Dong, W. Li et al., Study of the tracking method and expected performance of the silicon pixel inner tracker applied in BES III (2015). [arXiv:1510.08558](http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.08558)
- 40. V. Bertacchi, T. Bilka, N. Braun et al., Track fnding at belle II. Comput. Phys. Commun. **259**, 107610 (2021). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107610) [1016/j.cpc.2020.107610](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107610)
- 41. P. Billoir, Progressive track recognition with a kalman-like ftting procedure. Comput. Phys. Commun. **57**, 390–394 (1989). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(89)90249-X) [doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(89\)90249-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(89)90249-X)
- 42. E. Lund, L. Bugge, I. Gavrilenko et al., Track parameter propagation through the application of a new adaptive runge-kutta-nyström method in the atlas experiment. J. Instrum. **4**, P04001 (2009). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/4/04/P04001) doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/4/04/P04001
- 43. J.K. Wang, Z.P. Mao, J.M. Bian et al., BES III track ftting algorithm. Chin. Phys. C **33**, 870 (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/33/10/010) [1137/33/10/010](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/33/10/010)
- 44. Y. Zhang, Y. Nakatsugawa, H. Li et al., Multi-turn track ftting for the COMET experiment, in EPJ Web Conferences. **214**, 02005 (2019).<https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201921402005>
- 45. H.H. Song, Y.G. Yuan, T.P. Peng et al., Optimization study on neutron spectrum unfolding based on the least-squares method. Nucl. Sci. Tech. **29**, 118 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-018-0454-5) [s41365-018-0454-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-018-0454-5)
- 46. W. Kilian, T. Ohl, J. Reuter, Whizard-simulating multi-particle processes at LHC and ILC. Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1742 (2011). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1742-y) [org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1742-y](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1742-y)
- 47. R.K. Kutschke, A. Ryd, Billoir ftter for CLEO-II. Report number: CBX-96-20, Sep, 1996