

The gluon masses

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2016 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 678 012025

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/678/1/012025>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 131.169.5.251

This content was downloaded on 09/02/2016 at 21:16

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

The gluon masses

S.A. Larin

Institute for Nuclear Research, 60-th October Anniversary prospect, 7-A, Moscow 117312, Russia

E-mail: larin@inr.ac.ru

Abstract. It is shown that the fundamental Lagrangian of Quantum Chromodynamics should be modified by the adding gluon masses to produce the mass-gap in accordance with the Källen-Lehmann spectral representation. On mass-shell renormalizability and unitarity of the resulting theory is demonstrated.

The discovery [1] of asymptotic freedom in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) has lead to the establishment of QCD as the theory of strong interactions. The gauge bosons of the theory, the gluons, are considered to be massless to have gauge invariance and correspondingly renormalizability. The introduction of the non-zero Lagrangian gluon masses into the fundamental QCD Lagrangian was considered to be forbidden because of the violating either renormalizability or unitarity of the corresponding theory. Giving masses to gauge bosons via the Higgs mechanism [2] is also not allowed since colored Higgs particles are rejected by experiments.

Quite recently it was found that the non-abelian Yang-Mills theory [3] with masses of the Proca type is in fact on mass-shell renormalizable [4]. Unitarity of the theory of the Proca type is obvious since it contains only physical degrees of freedom (no ghosts).

In the present paper it is shown that it is impossible to obtain the necessary mass-gap in QCD with zero Lagrangian gluon masses. The fundamental QCD Lagrangian should be modified by the adding non-zero Lagrangian gluon masses of the Proca type to produce the mass-gap in accordance with the Källen-Lehmann spectral representation [5]. On mass-shell renormalizability of the resulting theory is discussed.

The Lagrangian of QCD is

$$L_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + i\bar{\psi}_f \gamma_\mu D_\mu \psi_f - m_f \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f - \frac{1}{\xi}(\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2 + \partial^\mu \bar{c}^a (\partial_\mu c^a - g f^{abc} c^b A_\mu^c) + \text{counterterms}, \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$ is the gluon field strength tensor, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^a T^a$ is the covariant derivative. The quark fields ψ_f transform as the fundamental representation of the colour group $SU(3)$, $f = u, d, s, c, b, t$ is the flavour index. The gluons A_μ^a transform as the adjoint representation of this group. c^a are the ghost fields, ξ is the gauge parameter of the usually chosen general covariant gauge, f^{abc} are the structure constants of the group, T^a are the generators of the fundamental representation. $g = g(\mu)$ is the renormalized strong coupling



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

constant, $g^2/(16\pi^2) \equiv a_s$, $m_f = m_f(\mu)$ is the Lagrangian (renormalized) mass of a quark with a flavor f , and μ is the renormalization point. The summations over repeated indexes are assumed.

Let us consider the vacuum polarization function $\Pi(q^2)$

$$(-q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi(q^2) = i \int dx e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T j_\mu(x) j_\nu(0) | 0 \rangle. \quad (2)$$

where $j_\mu = \sum_f q_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma_\mu \psi_f$ is the electromagnetic quark current and $q_f = 2/3, -1/3, \dots$ is the electromagnetic charge of the quark with a flavor f .

According to general principles of local quantum field theory the function $\Pi(q^2)$ satisfies the Källen-Lehmann [5] spectral representation

$$\Pi(q^2) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^\infty ds \frac{R(s)}{s - q^2 - i0}, \quad (3)$$

where the ratio $R(s) = \sigma_{tot}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ is the normalized total cross-section of electron-positron annihilation into hadrons, m_π is a pion mass.

The Källen-Lehmann representation determines the analytic properties of $\Pi(q^2)$ which should be an analytic function in the complex q^2 -plane with the cut starting from the first physical threshold, i.e. as it is dictated by experiments from the two-pion threshold $q^2 = 4m_\pi^2$. In particular, one gets for the discontinuity of $\Pi(q^2)$ on the cut

$$\Delta\Pi(q^2) \equiv \Pi(q^2 + i0) - \Pi(q^2 - i0) = \begin{cases} i R(q^2)/(6\pi) & \text{at } s > 4m_\pi^2 \\ 0 & \text{at } s < 4m_\pi^2. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Perturbative QCD produces the following expression for the discontinuity

$$\Delta\Pi(q^2)_{pQCD} = \theta(q^2) \rho_{gluon}(q^2) + \theta(q^2 - 4M_u^2) \rho_{quark}(q^2). \quad (5)$$

The gluon spectral density $\rho_{gluon}(q^2)$ contributes for $q^2 > 0$ as it is indicated by the theta-function $\theta(q^2)$. This is the known zero threshold. It arises from those absorptive parts of Feynman diagrams of $\Pi(q^2)$ which are produced by purely gluonic cuts of the diagrams (i.e. by the Cutcosky cuts which cross only gluon propagators of diagrams). As it is well known such diagrams appear for the first time at the four-loop level in the order a_s^3 (corresponding cuts cross 3 gluon propagators).

The quark spectral density $\rho_{quark}(q^2)$ arises from the quark cuts of the diagrams (i.e. from the cuts which cross two or more quark propagators of the diagrams). It contributes for $q^2 > 4M_u^2$ where M_u is the perturbative pole mass of the lightest u -quark, defined as the pole of the quark propagator within perturbation theory. A perturbative quark pole mass $M_f = m_f(\mu) + O(a_s)$ naturally appears after summation of perturbative loop corrections to a quark propagator. It is a renormalization group invariant quantity, i.e. independent on the renormalization point μ and on the choice of the subtraction scheme. In this sense it behaves as a physical object and that is why it is natural to use this definition of a quark mass to parametrize the theory.

We will not discuss here the important by themselves questions of convergence or divergence of corresponding perturbative QCD series at low or at high energies. Here we will just accept the constructive approach that our conventional perturbation theory is adequate to the exact solution of the theory, i.e. it correctly reproduces the perturbative expansion of the exact solution. (We assume that the exact solution is in principle obtainable if we know enough mathematics.)

Hence one gets within QCD that $\Delta\Pi(q^2)$ is non-zero in the energy interval $0 < q^2 < 4m_\pi^2$ since the perturbative contribution $\Delta\Pi(q^2)_{pQCD}$ is non-zero in this interval. And we would like to stress here that one should get in QCD an exact zero below the two-pion threshold

as it is dictated by experiments. There are of course also non-perturbative contributions, i.e. contributions of the type of e^{-1/a_s} which are invisible in the perturbative expansion at $a_s = 0_+$

$$e^{-1/a_s} = 0 \cdot a_s + 0 \cdot a_s^2 + \dots$$

But here we note that non-perturbative contributions can not exactly cancel the perturbative contribution in the continuous interval $0 < q^2 < 4m_\pi^2$ because of the different analytical dependence on the coupling constant a_s . To get that $\Delta\Pi(q^2) = 0$ at $0 < q^2 < 4m_\pi^2$ in agreement with experiments one should move perturbative gluon and quark thresholds above $q^2 = 4m_\pi^2$. That is why we should introduce the non-zero Lagrangian gluon masses.

One could object that perturbative contributions in the exact solution for $\Delta\Pi(q^2)$ could be moved above the two-pion threshold with the help of the suitably arranged θ -function. E.g. the gluon perturbative contribution could be moved above the two-pion threshold in the following way

$$\theta(q^2 - M^2 f(a_s)) \rho_{gluon}(q^2), \quad (6)$$

where $f(a_s)$ is some function which is zero at $a_s = 0$ and M^2 is a dimensional parameter such that $M^2 f(a_s)$ is a renormalization group invariant quantity which produces the necessary mass-gap below the two-pion threshold.

But perturbative expansion of the contribution of eq.(6) would contain terms with $\delta(q^2)$ and its derivatives arising from the differentiating the θ -function and we do not see such terms in real perturbative expansion. Thus the contributions of the type of eq.(6) are excluded in the exact solution of the theory.

The naive objection here is that nobody trusts perturbation theory below the two-pion threshold, i.e. that the corresponding perturbative series is heavily divergent in this energy region. But for us only the principal existence of the perturbative series with finite coefficients below the two-pion threshold is of importance here independently on the question of its divergence.

Thus one obtains the following restrictions on the (perturbative pole) masses of gluons and quarks

$$(3M_{gl})^2 > 4m_\pi^2, \quad (7)$$

$$4M_u^2 > 4m_\pi^2.$$

Although the restriction on M_u seems to be quite strong for the lightest u-quark it is not excluded from the first principles.

To construct QCD with massive gluons we will follow the approach of [4]. Presently this is the only known way to get (on mass-shell) renormalizable theory of massive gluons without color scalars (color scalars are rejected by experiments). Within this approach one starts from a renormalizable theory with scalar fields using the Englert-Brout-Higgs mechanism of spontaneous symmetry breaking [2] and after transition to the unitary gauge removes remaining massive scalar fields. Thus we add to the massless QCD Lagrangian (1) the scalar part to begin with the following general Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{QCD+scalars} = & -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + i\bar{\psi}_f \gamma_\mu D_\mu \psi_f - m_f \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f + \\ & (D_\mu \Phi)^+ D_\mu \Phi + (D_\mu \Sigma)^+ D_\mu \Sigma - \lambda_1 (\Phi^+ \Phi - v_1^2)^2 - \lambda_2 (\Sigma^+ \Sigma - v_2^2)^2 \\ & - \lambda_3 (\Phi^+ \Phi + \Sigma^+ \Sigma - v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 - \lambda_4 (\Phi^+ \Sigma) (\Sigma^+ \Phi) \\ & + L_{gf} + L_{gc} + \text{counterterms}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we introduced two triplets $\Phi(x)$ and $\Sigma(x)$ of complex scalar fields in the fundamental representation of the $SU(3)$ color group to get all gluon massive. L_{gf} is the gauge fixing part of the Lagrangian in some chosen gauge and L_{gc} is the corresponding gauge compensating part with the Faddeev-Popov ghost fields.

We can choose the following shifts of scalar fields by the quantities v_1 and v_2 to generate masses of all eight gluons

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x) + v_1 \\ \phi_3(x) + i\phi_4(x) \\ \phi_5(x) + i\phi_6(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(x) + i\sigma_2(x) \\ \sigma_3(x) + i\sigma_4(x) + v_2 \\ \sigma_5(x) + i\sigma_6(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Choosing for simplicity $v_1 = v_2 \equiv v$ one obtains the following massive terms for gluons in the Lagrangian

$$L_M = m_{gl}^2 \left[(A^1)^2 + (A^2)^2 + (A^3)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(A^4)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(A^5)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(A^6)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(A^7)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(A^8)^2 \right], \quad (10)$$

where $m_{gl}^2 \equiv g^2 v^2$ is the gluon mass parameter of the theory.

After the chosen shifts the following four combinations of scalar fields

$$\phi_1 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3} \sigma_3, \quad \sigma_3, \quad \sigma_1 + \phi_3, \quad \sigma_2 - \phi_4 \quad (11)$$

become massive Higgs particles.

The following eight combinations

$$\sigma_1 - \phi_3, \quad \phi_4 + \sigma_2, \quad \phi_2 - \sigma_4, \quad \phi_2 + \sigma_4, \quad \phi_5, \quad \phi_6, \quad \sigma_5, \quad \sigma_6 \quad (12)$$

become massless Goldstone ghosts.

Now one can make transition to the unitary gauge. All ghost fields as usual disappear from the Lagrangian. Following the approach of [4] one can remove in the unitary gauge all Higgs fields from the Lagrangian preserving on mass-shell renormalizability of the theory.

To give the derivation of this statement let us consider as an example the simplified case (the generalization to the above case will be straightforward). Let us consider the known model given by the initial $SU(2)$ -invariant Lagrangian of interaction of vector bosons and scalar fields possessing the spontaneously broken symmetry

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + (D_\mu \Phi)^+ D_\mu \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^+ \Phi - v^2)^2 \quad (13)$$

with the doublet of scalar fields $\Phi(x)$ in the fundamental representation of the group.

Here $D_\mu \Phi = (\partial_\mu - ig \frac{\tau^a}{2} W_\mu^a) \Phi$ is the covariant derivative, τ^a are the Pauli matrices, $\lambda > 0$, $v^2 > 0$. (This model can be considered as the Standard Model of electroweak interactions without $U(1)$ -interaction and fermions. The derivation given below can be applied also to the complete Standard Model, the γ_5 -matrix being treated within dimensional regularization according to the technique of [6].)

To get the complete Lagrangian one makes the standard shift of the scalar field fixes the gauge and adds ultraviolet counterterms. Let us consider two gauges: the widely used R_ξ -gauge [7], [8] with an arbitrary parameter ξ and the unitary gauge.

The theory in the R_ξ -gauge describes three physical massive vector bosons with the mass $m = gv/\sqrt{2}$, and the physical Higgs field χ with the mass $M = 2\lambda v$. Here are also Goldstone

ghosts ϕ^a and Faddeev-Popov ghosts c^a with masses ξm^2 . The structure of the counterterms (consistent with gauge invariance and Slavnov-Taylor identities [9, 10] to ensure unitarity) is well known, see e.g. [11].

The propagators in the unitary gauge defined by the gauge condition $\phi^a = 0$ are obtained from those of the R_ξ -gauge by taking the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. The theory in the unitary gauge is renormalizable only on mass-shell, i.e. Green functions are divergent at $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (ϵ being the parameter of dimensional regularization) but the S-matrix elements are finite.

To consider renormalization for our purpose it is convenient to use the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk-Hepp subtraction scheme [12]. As it is well known in this scheme a counterterm of e.g. a primitively divergent Feynman diagram is the truncated Taylor expansion of the diagram itself at some fixed values of external momenta. Hence counterterms of mass dependent diagrams are also mass dependent. Needless to say that subtractions should respect Slavnov-Taylor identities.

Let us consider S-matrix elements in the R_ξ -gauge without external Higgs bosons (i.e. with external W-bosons only in this simplified model). We will analyze the dependence of diagrams on the Higgs mass M by using for convinience the expansion in large M (after renormalization but before the removing regularization). The algorithm for the large mass expansion of Feynman diagrams is given e.g. in [13] (where it is quite reasonably checked in calculations of the 4-loop diagrams for the Z-boson decay into hadrons). It can be rigorously derived with the technique of [14].

We separate all diagrams into physical ones which are not nullified in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ and unphysical ones which are nullified. In this limit the propagator of the W-boson reduces to the known unitary form

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(W_\mu^a W_\nu^b) \rangle = -i\delta^{ab} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu / m^2}{k^2 - m^2} + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu / m^2}{k^2 - \xi m^2} \right) = -i\delta^{ab} \frac{g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu / m^2}{k^2 - m^2}$$

The propagators of the Goldstone bosons ϕ^a and ghosts c^a vanish in this limit and correspondingly all diagrams which contain these propagators are also nullified.

Thus in our notations the physical diagrams are the diagrams which do not contain Goldstone bosons propagators or ghosts propagators and the unphysical diagrams are the diagrams which contain such propagators.

Within the large- M expansion the physical diagrams with χ -propagators contain either terms with integer negative powers of M^2 : $\frac{1}{M^{2n}}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ or terms with non-integer powers of M^2 (non-integer powers contain ϵ): $\frac{1}{M^{2(k+l\epsilon)}}$, k – integer, l – positive integer. This is because each vertex with the large factor M^2 has three or four attached χ -propagators due to the structure of the Higgs boson self-coupling.

In contrast, unphysical diagrams can have polynomial in M terms due to the four- ϕ vertex with the large factor M^2 . But they are ξ -dependent (they are nullified in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$) and this polynomial terms cancel in S-matrix elements.

In the renormalizable R_ξ -gauge one can present ultraviolet renormalization in a standard form of the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk R-operation for individual diagrams. This ensures that after renormalization the M -dependent terms are finite at $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ separately from M -independent terms. Thus if one removes all M -dependent terms one is left with a finite expression.

On the Lagrangian level it means in the unitary gauge that one removes from L_U all terms containing the field χ and also all M -dependent terms in the counterterms. This should be done in the unitary gauge because in the R_ξ -gauge some diagrams containing propagators of Higgs particle can give contributions not depending on the Higgs mass M . In contrast in the unitary gauge all diagrams containing Higgs propagators give only contributions depending on M so there is one to one correspondence between M -dependent diagrams and terms in the Lagrangian containing the Higgs field χ .

The resulting theory is on mass-shell finite. This is the massive Yang-Mills theory of the Proca type

$$L_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu W_\nu^a - \partial_\nu W_\mu^a + \frac{z_1}{z_2} g f^{abc} W_\mu^b W_\nu^c)^2 + m^2 W_\mu^a W_\mu^a + \text{counterterms}.$$

Thus the Higgs mechanism can be considered as an efficient mathematical tool to observe on mass-shell renormalizability of the massive Yang-Mills theory of the Proca type which is far from to be obvious directly.

Let us now return to our Lagrangian (8) with two scalar triplets which after spontaneous symmetry breaking has four Higgs particles (11). Following the above approach we can remove in the unitary gauge all four Higgs fields from the Lagrangian preserving on mass-shell renormalizability of the theory. The Lagrangian of the resulting QCD with massive gluons is

$$L_{\text{massive QCD}} = L_M - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + i\bar{\psi}_f \gamma_\mu D_\mu \psi_f - m_f \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f + \text{counterterms}, \quad (14)$$

where L_M is given in eq.(10).

Let us note that on mass-shell renormalizability does not mean that one should consider quarks and gluons as free external particles. It means that in the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ theory the S -matrix elements with the physical external particles will be finite.

One can calculate the one-loop β -function in this theory to obtain for a massless renormalization scheme (i.e. a scheme where renormalization group functions do not depend on masses, e.g. the MS-scheme) the following result

$$\beta(a_s) = \mu^2 \frac{\partial a_s}{\partial \mu^2} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i a_s^{i+2}, \quad \beta_0 = -\frac{7}{2} C_A + \frac{4}{3} T_F n_f,$$

here $C_A = 3$ is the Casimir operator of the adjoint representation of the $SU(3)$ color group, $T_F = 1/2$ is the trace normalization of the fundamental representation, n_f is the number of active quark flavors. Thus asymptotic freedom remains valid in the considered theory with massive gluons.

The author is grateful to collaborators of the Theory division of INR for helpful discussions and to the Organizing Committee of the Workshop for kind hospitality.

References

- [1] D.J. Gross, F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 1343;
 H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 1346.
 G. 't Hooft, report at the Marseille Conference on Yang-Mills Fields, 1972.
- [2] P.W. Higgs, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 132.
 F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 321.
- [3] C.N. Yang and R.L. Mills, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 191.
- [4] S.A. Larin, e-Print: hep-ph/0503198; Phys. Part. Nucl. 44 (2013) 386; PoS BaldinISHEPP XXII (2015) 018.
- [5] G. Källen, Helv. Phys. Acta 25 (1952) 417; H. Lehmann, Nuovo Cim. 11 (1954) 342.
- [6] S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B 303 (1993) 113; e-Print: hep-ph/9302240.
- [7] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 35 (1971) 167.
- [8] K. Fujikawa, B.W. Lee and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D6 (1972) 2923.
- [9] A.A. Slavnov, Theor. Math. Phys. 10 (1972) 99.
- [10] J.C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 33 (1971) 436.
- [11] L.D. Faddeev and A.A. Slavnov, Gauge fields. Introduction to quantum theory, Front. Phys. 83 (1990) 1.
- [12] N.N. Bogoliubov and O.S. Parasiuk, Acta Math. 97 (1957) 227.
 K. Hepp, Comm. Math. Phys. 2 (1966) 301.
- [13] S.A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen and J.A.M. Vermaasen, Nucl. Phys. B 438 (1995) 278.
- [14] S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B 469 (1999) 220.